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## PREFACE

This volume continues the work of publishing the epigraphical discoveries made at Nimrud by the British School of Archaeology in Iraq, under the direction of Professor Sir Max Mallowan and, latterly, of Professor David Oates. The editor was invited by Professor D. J. Wiseman in the autumn of 1968 to undertake the publication of legal and administrative texts from these excavations, and it is hoped that this volume will be the first of three which are planned to meet that objective. The tablets here edited are mainly divided between Baghdad and London, and since the preparation of the publication has taken a little over four years, it is only natural that I should find myself with the pleasant task of expressing my gratitude to many helpers over that period.
Without the co-operation of the staff of the museums where the tablets are stored, my work would have been impossible, but it is a pleasure to record that in all cases I was given assistance and welcomed in a manner which went far beyond the standard courtesies In particular my thanks go to the Director-General of Antiquities in Iraq, Dr. Isa Salman, and to Dr. Fawzi Rashid, Director of the Iraq Museum, for permission to work in the Museum, as well as to all their staff who helped, especially Dr. Bahija Khalil Ismail and Dr. Abdul-Hadi al-Fouadi (in 1971). I am also indebted to the Director of the Mosul Museum, Sayyid Hazim Abdul-Hamid, for permission to collate inscriptions on display there. Most of my work in Iraq was made possible by the generosity of the School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London, which gave me study leave and financed my visit to Iraq in the academic year 1969-70, and also gave financial help in 1971 on the occasion of my second visit, which was chiefly supported by the Central Research Fund of the University of London. On behalf of the British School of Archaeology in Iraq I must also record our gratitude to the Master and Fellows of Trinity College, Cambridge, for their most generous grant towards the cost of printing this volume.
For permission to study the texts in the British Museum I am indebted to the Keeper of the Department of Western Asiatic Antiquities, and I am also most grateful to the members of that Department for all their willing help. Thanks are also due to Professo Sir Max Mallowan, for his kind permission to use the plan of the Governor's Palace; to Professor O. R. Gurney, for lending me excellent photographs of the " Practical Vocabulary of Assur "; to D. A. Kennedy and Professor W. G. Lambert, for their help with the neo-Babylonian and " literary" texts respectively; to J. V. Kinnier-Wilson, for generously putting at my disposal.proofs of part of The Nimrud Wine Lists (Cuneiform Texts from Nimrud I), and answering my questions about his texts ; to Professor A. J. Sachs, for his patient advice ; for various services, to Messrs. C. B. F. Walker, J. D. Hawkins, and J. J Orchard ; and to Professor E. E. D. M. Oates and Miss B. Parker for their general advice and encouragement.
Special thanks must go to Professor Karlheinz Deller and Dr. Simo Parpola, who read through a draft of the text, and thus contributed many invaluable additions and corrections : I only regret that shortage of time prevents me from giving due weight to all their suggestions, some of which raise problems too considerable to be broached here, to which I hope they will return elsewhere. Finally, Professor D. J. Wiseman has earned my gratitude in a variety of ways: apart from entrusting me with the publication of the texts
in the first place, he has put at my disposal his field notes and catalogues of the texts, together with many copies, which has much lightened my work and supplied me with invaluable information. He also collated for me the tablets assigned to the Australian Institute of Archaeology, and I must further acknowledge the time he has devoted to the editing of this volume.
For their excellent photographs, and for the care given to them, I am very grateful to Messrs. Paul Fox (in London) and Michael Haggerty (in Baghdad). My greatest single debt, however, is to my wife Carolyn, who has not only given much time and patience to the tedious preparation of the Plates, but, consciously and unconsciously, has eased the work in a multitude of ways.
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NOTE ON COPIES AND TRANSCRIPTIONS

## Copies

These were made at different times and under different conditions and vary accordingly. They are not to any one scale, nor are individual tablets drawn to scale, since one dimension or the other may be xaggerated. On 90, whin I owe to

Transcription
For the problems of transcribing neo-Assyrian texts in the present state of our knowledge, see S. Parpola, LAS, pp. xv-xvii, and for his conventions in transcription, ibid., p. xx. In general I have used the same conventions, with these exceptions: I have not distinguished the two different Lú and kím signs, but I have used the transcription $t \mathfrak{i b i} i^{*}$ for the so-called suHur ; an exclamation mark (! means that my transcription emends the sign on tablet (or copy); space in broken passages is indicated with one or more $x$ signs, each such $x$ standing for "space for a sign "; round brackets imply " optional " e.g. $[(x x) x x]=$ " space for two to four signs ", and it must be stressed that all such estimates are only of the roughest. Other deviations are unimportant.

At the head of each text are shown, on the right, the ND (excavation) number, the museum number or place of storage, and, where possible, the date of the text, on the left are given the dimensions and provenance. For further details on the provenance and museum numbers the reader is referred to the Catalogue of Texts (pp. 253 ff.). The dimensions, given in centimetres, are, in order, the tablet's width (i.e. maximum dimension parallel to the line of writing), maximum height, and maximum thickness (this last being omitted occasionally where not measured). Dimensions enclosed in round brackets, e.g. (9.8), indicate that the tablet is broken and the measurement in question consequently incomplete

## By Sir Max Mallowan

The exhaustive publication of the Archive from the Governor's Palace brings back memories of the first season's excavations under the auspices of the British School of Archaeology in Iraq at Nimrud, Assyrian Kalhu. Our small expedition, the first of a long series was working under difficulties in an exceptionally rainy season and we were fortunate to discover in a sector of the mound hardly touched by Layard and his successors an administrative building, the ancient seat of the Governors of the city throughout the eighth century B.c. This "Palace", as it may justly be termed, was built on the vast and grandiose scale which the rulers of Assyria deemed appropriate to their authority. What we recovered was the nucleus of a much larger complex; it measured no less than $50 \times 50$ metres, embracing an area of $2 \frac{1}{2}$ hectares or a fraction over 6 acres of ground. We may attribute the main structure to the reign of Adad-nērārī III (810-782 в.c.) who made use of a large quantity of bricks of an ancestor (Shalmaneser III), apparently left over from what was required for the ziggurat. These pavement bricks were beautifully laid and firmly embedded in bitumen and lent a cachet to the building which otherwise was constructed mostly of mud-brick, with burnt-brick skins for some of the walls.
The Palace was gaily decorated with mural paintings originally described by me as frescoes, although as we now know from expert advice the paint was not applied $\dot{a}$ frais as true fresco should be. These paintings consisted of simple geometric designs ${ }^{1}$ in black, red, white and a brilliant cobalt blue - the latter colour was a vivid feature of the great halls at the northern end of the building where doubtless the governor himself sat in state, in convenient proximity to various record offices concerned with his administration Separated from these offices by a vast courtyard there was at the opposite, southern end of the building, another great hall or reception room with access to a lustral chamber or ritual bathroom which contained on the floor two ablution slabs against opposite walls The adjoining chamber B at the SW angle yielded a beautifully engraved cylinder seal of pale mauve translucent chalcedony illustrating three shaggy heroes holding the heavens aloft. ${ }^{2}$ So fine a gem must have belonged to the governor himself, possibly therefore to the first of a long line, Bēl-tarṣi-iluma, who was appointed by Adad-nērārī and dedicated statues to the god Nabu for the life of the young king and his mother Sammuramat. We know that this high officer was a court eunuch, and the statues which he dedicated to the god illustrate a rotund figure with fleshy, flabby cheeks and hands, and a beard which we may guess concealed a heavy jowl. Perhaps it was not exaggerated to see this figure as a mirror of the highly placed royal eunuchs who wielded great power at the time These relics of the governor help us in a personal vision of what went on in this great building, and as it were stand at the head of a wonderful archive of more than 200 tablets or rather fragments of tablets discovered within its precincts. Although these inscribed
${ }^{1}$ Illustrated in Iraq 12 (1950), Pl. XXX, where the preliminary account of these excavations was published. The design consisted of framed concentri ${ }^{2}$ Mallowan, $N \& R$, $I$, Pl. 12, p. 48. The seal could
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 ${ }^{3}$ S. Smith, Assyrian Sculptures in the British Pls. III, IV.clay fragments are but a pitiful remnant of a once vast record, they enable us to reconstruct a picture crowded with the everyday life in ancient Assyria; and they inform us of the problems which confronted the hierarchy responsible for its fortunes. Mr. Postgate through his patient and scholarly examination of the whole collection, has re-animated for us the architectural remains and invested them with the breath of life.
Thus twenty-four years after the discovery we have before us as full a presentation of the evidence as its fragmentation allows. In this achievement we should not forget the pioneer work of D. J. Wiseman, now professor, who was in the field from 1950, 1951, and again in 1953. His work, often done under pressure, and in difficult conditions in the field was frequently provisional, but in issuing the first catalogue with exemplary promptness he not only smoothed the path for the archaeologists who pressed and sometimes overtaxed him for information, but he was equally helpful to the epigraphists who were presented with a manual of orderly information which was at their disposal when they came to penetrate the records more thoroughly. This method of presenting the evidence has inevitably meant that some of our first reflections on these discoveries need modification ${ }^{4}$; but in all archaeological research the process of attaining a firm position is bound to be long drawn. In these early labours J. V. Kinnier Wilson also deserves our thanks for his share. ${ }^{5}$
The result of all these labours has been to give us an animated picture of the Governor's Palace as a hive of human activity where thousands of busy persons in the course of the eighth century played their parts in order to clear up their business affairs.
The history of the building revealed through the archaeological sequences has been adequately summarized by Mr. Postgate and it is clear that the secretariat was most active from the last decade of the ninth century for about one hundred years thereafter. Moreover since the excavation of the building at the very outset of our campaigns, it became clear that the sequences revealed on this site were similar to those detected in other parts of the acropolis. As in the Burnt Palace and Ezida the final destructions occurred in about 614 and 612 b.c. and thereafter there was evidence of squatters' occupation. The great courtyard eventually became a burial ground, which apparently ceased to be used shortly before the beginning of the Parthian occupation of Mesopotamia in about 140 b.c. In the seventh century a part of the building may already have been derelict, for example in chamber E at the southern end of the building nineteen successive layers of mud, beaten down by the tramp of human feet, were interpreted as the twice yearly accumulation from the spring and autumn rains. This evidence may imply a lapse of about ten years during which the great hall remained open to the sky. A reconstruction occurred thereafter and the original floor level was raised by about a metre. ${ }^{6}$ This evidence accords with observations concerning the Burnt Palace and Ezida at the end of the seventh century. ${ }^{7}$ Some chambers were, however, still in use, and the discovery in room $S$ of a mud-brick pedestal against the NW corner of the room was striking proof that the administration was still active in the late seventh century. Here in the NW corner of the room, on top of the
${ }^{4}$ Thus Mallowan, $N \& R, I, 47$, was not justified in the statement that the tablet ND 462 concerned Cilicia, although the Assyrians were in touch with that country at the time. See now Postgate, No. 195, who reads Da'unāni and rightly surmises that this efers to an unknown place probably situated within
${ }_{5}$ he province of Kalhu.
${ }^{5}$ See now also Cuneiform Texts from Nimrud $I$, ${ }_{6}$ Iraq Nimrud Wine Lists (1972).
${ }^{7}$ Mallowan, $N \notin R, I, 287$, under phase H , level 3.
pedestal, some fine palace-ware vases had been stacked. We call them the governor's dinner service, and some of the vessels were of the same fine quality as another set discovered in the administrative wing of the North-west Palace. I had the impression that these vases were deposited on the table either in baskets or in bales of straw, of which a few traces remained. ${ }^{8}$
There is no need to dilate on the nature of the activities with which the officers housed in this building were concerned for Mr. Postgate has described them with exemplary clarity, but we may pause to make a few comments on this Comédie humaine in which the Assyrians present themselves as feeling and acting much as we would under the impact of the problems of daily life. A concern with basic justice, and an understanding of the balance of power in human affairs, the attempts to stem the insolence of office, to redress wrongs and to buttress the authority of an orderly administration are to their credit. These mundane matters in no way reflect the cruel side of the Assyrian imperium manifest in the deliberate propaganda of their imperial inscriptions which have tended, no doubt unfairly, to suggest that the Assyrians were more brutal than their neighbours. We know that they were also endowed with the quality of mercy, for they were obviously an intelligent people with a humane as well as a cruel streak.
However that may be their administrative, business and legal texts reveal in detail both the competence and the conscientiousness of the Assyrian civil service in dealing with the inevitable complexities which arose out of a host of avocations. Land and taxation, problems involving sales and exchanges of estates, sales of slaves, troop movements, the distribution of rations, inventories of state property including elaborate furniture, buckets, pails, braziers and the like are of absorbing interest and as the evidence accrues will broaden our understanding of the sociology and economy of ancient Assyria. The basic evidence is now beginning to be available for an estimate of crop yields per acre and the return of sustenance in varying conditions to the Assyrian farmer.
It is evident that the governor's office played an important part as an agricultural credit bank in a largely illiterate society to which the currency of coinage was as yet unknown : here copper and silver as well perhaps as the highly prized tin and gold served as the principal standards of value. Here the governor acted as intermediary between debtor and creditor and kept the economy solvent by discharging debts through loans.
In the background we visualize through these texts the activities of cereal farmers and stockbreeders, wine growers, smiths, carpenters, weavers, a host of persons engaged in the textile trade, clothiers, leather workers, chariot makers and the like. A large proportion of Arameans, a most important element in Assyrian society, and doubtless some Hurrians were involved. It is interesting that one tablet mentions the import of the valuable hardwood Dalbergia sissoo which was imported from Makkan in Iran and became highly prized by the later Achaemenians for the building of their palaces: the stocking of the royal gardens with fruit trees and saplings also received due attention. It is interesting that one tablet, No. 207, refers to the cultivation of $\breve{S} E$ kurangu which was interpreted by the late R. Campbell Thompson as rice because of its apparent correspondence with the Iranian name for this plant. The Assyrians, who were able irrigators, may well have
${ }^{8} \mathrm{Mr}$. Postgate, on reading the plan, rightly deduced that this pedestal stood at the NW corner of room S. hould read NW. See also thereunder for furthe
rchaeological information and op. cit., Pls. 13-17, for illustrations of the pottery. Tablets might once as has been suggested under the pedestal.
cultivated it, but as yet, so far as I know, rice has never been identified as a plant on any excavation in Assyria.
The administration of the law and its execution is also a subject of paramount interest. We note that eleven tribesmen, mostly Arameans in the district of Assur, were caught red-handed stealing seventy sheep, No. 119.
There is also much other information of absorbing interest to be extracted from a close study of these texts. We may note in the contracts the curious, and doubtless archaic and obsolete, penalty clauses which involve occasionally the reduction of man to the animal whereby he was to be compelled in default of his obligations or repudiation of them to eat cress (?) all the way from the city gate of Kalhu to the inner gate of Assur. Other penalties are even more drastic and remind us that within living memory, in the country no contract was considered respectable unless it contained some clauses impossible of fulfilment. Another interesting custom which still survives is the inclusion in the sale of house property of the specific mention of the wooden beams and the door. In our early negotiations for the purchase of a house from a watchman at Nimrud we suffered from our ignorance of the fact that specific mention had to be made of these fittings, even in a verbal contract, and the owner, within his rights, carted them away.
Altercations and complaints were liable to arise between one governor and another. Assur complains that tribesmen subject to Kalhu are extensively burning the steppe in his district. A delegate of the governor of Kalhu is unable to obtain from the Turtanu the quota of prisoners after the Assyrian victory at Rapiqu. There is also an amusing passage in which the insolent household staff of the Turtänu, a cook, a victualler and a baker refuse the transport which, no doubt at much trouble, has been provided for them. Behind all these texts lies as we have said a basic concept of justice well exemplified by a request, No. 196, sent to the governor of Kalhu that he should pay taxes on property owned by him in Arzuhina, remote from his own province. Every official kept a watchful eye on domains subject to his authority and in this way a check was kept by one official on another against rapacity, nepotism and the abuse of power. There is also a human touch on the advent of a delegation from Ialuna, one suspects of wine growers, who sought the privilege of an interview with the governor of Kalhu who doubtless had special interests in that domain, as we know from his titles.
It is thus apparent that the office of an Assyrian governor was no sinecure; the threat of famine was never far off; there were plagues of locusts that infested the land from the Upper Zab, Kasappa (modern Tell Keshef), and far afield ; there were runaway harvesters who refused to cultivate the land.
In this same volume Mr. Postgate has for good measure systematically examined other texts or fragments of texts from the Burnt Palace and from the briefly conducted excavations in two other administrative buildings known as A. 50 and B. 50 north of the Governor's Palace also in the eastern sector of the citadel. ${ }^{9}$ Here we find treatment of such varied subjects as marriage dowries, issues of wool, and lists of flocks. In the Throne Room of the Burnt Palace we find a note on an official of King Sargon seeking to dissipate malicious rumours concerning his person, and in the same reign we learn of anxiety about the growing aggression of the Cimmerians, No. 243, in the north.
A few texts from the domestic wing of the North-west Palace leave us in no doubt that
in Sargon's reign, though that burning was no longer the residence of the king, it still housed wealthy members of the royal house who owned flocks, and herds of camels as well as extensive and valuable property. One of the rooms, F, contained an incantation and ritual text and a duplicate of the Marduk ordeal composition.

Finally Mr. Postgate has rewarded us by a re-examination of the famous stele of Aššur-nasir-pal II, the editio princeps of which was achieved by D. J. Wiseman based on his field copy and published in little more than a year of its discovery, ${ }^{10}$ a remarkable feat comparable with that of the late Père Scheil who gave the world the first edition of the " Code" of Hammurabi shortly after its discovery at Susa. Inevitably some important emendations and improvements to these texts have followed. In the case of the Aššur-nașir-pal II stele Mr. Postgate has been able to apply himself to the monument, working under good conditions in the Mosul Museum where it now stands and, in addition to several fresh observations, No. 266, has been able to decipher a number of lines at the bottom of the obverse of the stele hitherto barely legible, including a delectable passage which wafts us back to the scent of fruit and flowers in the gardèn of Aššur-naṣir-pal.
${ }^{10}$ Discovery in April 1951, first published by D. J. Wiseman in Iraq 14 (1952), 24 f.

## INTRODUCTION

The main purpose of this publication is to make available in its entirety the archive discovered at Nimrud in the building referred to as the "Governor's Palace ", during the years 1949 to $1951 .{ }^{1}$ However, while preparing this work it seemed sensible to study other exts of the same type found during those seasons, and also to copy or at least collate texts of other genres since they were available. In the proposed scheme for the edition of the texts from Nimrud these various inscriptions go best with the others from their seasons, and hence this volume contains not only the Governor's Palace archive, but also all the texts found in the Burnt Palace, and all other tablets of an administrative or legal nature from the seasons 1949-51; in addition various " literary" texts from those years are here published or re-edited, and I have included one or two of the historical inscriptions where this seemed profitable. ${ }^{2}$ In general, I have not collated or edited the brick inscriptions, but a list of excavation numbers provides a complete catalogue of the epigraphical finds belonging to the first three seasons at Nimrud, gives the present location of the objects, and will enable the reader to identify texts published previously under their ND numbers. The remainder of the introduction describes the arrangement of the texts and their archaeological provenances, and then gives a more detailed description of the Governor's Palace archive.

## Arrangement

In accordance with the main aim of the edition, which is to present the texts as an archive, they are grouped under their broad provenances, viz.

| Governor's Palace | Nos. $1-217$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| A 49, A 50, B 50 | Nos. 218-230 |
| Burnt Palace | Nos. 231-246 |
| North-west Palace | Nos. 247-272 |

Within these groups, the texts are arranged by type : legal (broadly in the order salessales or loans-loans-uncertain), administrative, letters, and uncertain type, with " literary" at the end. So as to compensate for the resulting separation of texts of the same kind from one another, I give here a classification of the texts by type, in which texts not from the Governor's Palace are indicated by italics.
${ }^{1}$ When in 1967 Professor D. J. Wiseman and Miss B. Parker suggested to me that I should undertake the publication of legal and administrative texts from he Nimrud excavations I was based in London, and to me there, which happened to be those from the first three seasons. These texts had been catalogued, and some of them published, by Professor D. J. Wiseman (in Iraq, volumes 12 to 14), in advance of a final publication. Professor Wiseman also made available to me many copies of the texts from the published in Iraq 13, and which he had been prevented
frem for final pabication by the pressure more remarkable epigraphical finds from later seasons.
2 The
may be thou includes many small fragments which may be thought not worth publication, but the
copying of such fragments does at least save others the fruitless task of hunting them down in the future, and it has oceasionally been possible to join some, and further such joins are obviously conceivable, especially where the fragments are in different
museums. Moreover, while small pieces are of course museums. Moreover, while small pieces context, as part of an archive they may at least have statistical interest.

## Lecai

Marriage: Nos. 1; 247 ; cf. also No. 219
Sales: of daughter: Nos. $4 ; 5 ; 11 ; 13(?) ; 219$
of single slave : Nos. $2 ; 3$ (brother); 7; 8; 10; 220(?); cf. also No. 67 of several persons: Nos. $9 ; 12 ; 248$
of land: Nos. $17 ; 18(?) ; 20 ; 23(+49) ; 24 ; 25 ; 26 ; 27 ; 31 ; 32 ; 33 ; 34$; $35 ; 36(?) ; 42(?) ; 43 ; 46(?) ; 47 ; 48 ; 50 ; 74(?)$; cf. also Nos. $69 ; 70 ; 72$
of land with orchard and/or house, threshing-floor, etc.: Nos. $15 ; 16(?) ; 21$; 30; 37
of orchard: No. 19
of tabriu: No. 45
of ground (qaqqerē) : Nos. $29 ; 38 ; 41 ; 44$
of house : Nos. 14 ; 22 (bēt qāti); 28; 39; 40 ; cf. No. 177
of object unknown: Nos. 51-63
Sales with more than one seller: Nos. $15 ; 16 ; 30 ; 32 ; 35 ;[36(?)] ; 45 ; 46 ; 47$
Sales with more than one buyer: No. 22
Exchange (of land) : Nos. $64 ; 65$
(of metals ?) : No. 100
Grant (of land) (?) : No. 66
Gift of slave (?) : No. 67
Herding contract (?) : No. 68
Receipts: Nos. 98 (wages) ; 99 ; cf. also No. 73
(for payment of debt by third party) Nos. $90 ; 91 ; 93 ; 94(?) ; 97(?)$; cf. also Nos. 69; 70
(for payment of fine by third party) Nos. 92 ; $95($ (?) ; 96 ; cf. also No. 75
Loans: of bronze : No. 101(?)
of silver: Nos. $106 ; 107$
of corn : No. 105 (with harvesters) ; cf. No. 110
item uncertain: No. 102 ; cf. also No. $76(+124)$
Debt-notes: Nos. 103 (men + bronze); 104 (copper; purchase price); 109 (bronze; purchase price) ; 108 (metals; work contract ?)
Type uncertain: Nos. $71-5 ; 76(+124) ; 77$; cf. also Nos. $158 ; 176-9$
(witnesses) : Nos. 78-89
(dates) : Nos. 174; 175

## administrative

Memoranda: Nos. 111; 112
Lists and notes:
people: Nos. 113-24; 233-9
animals: Nos. 125-34; 232; 250; 256; 257
corn + other comestibles: Nos. 135-41; 251
metals: Nos. 142-51; 225; 255
textiles: Nos. $152 ; 153 ; 223 ; 224$; 252-4
various items ("census ") : $154 ; 155 ; 222$
land: Nos. 156 ; 157(?)
uncertain: Nos. 158-69; 218; 226-8; 257-62
Sealings (" dockets") : Nos. 132 ; 133 ; 170-3; 233-9; 256-63
Clay strips with seal impressions: Nos. 229; 264
Type uncertain (perhaps mainly legal) : Nos. 176-9

## LETTERS

Nos. 180-211: 230; 240-5; cf. also Nos. $166 ; 167$

## OTHER GENRES

Nos. 212 ; 213 ; 214 (prayer) ; 215 (ritual) ; 216 (lexical ?); 217 (historical); $231 ; 246$ (ritual) ; 266-7 (historical) ; 268-9 (literary);` 270 (incantation + ritual); 271-2 (lexical)

## Provenances

While the provenance of each text is given briefly with the transliteration, and further details, where known, are included with the Catalogue of Texts (pp. 253 ff .), it may be helpful to give here a short description of the find-spots of the tablets edited in the volume, and in particular of those from the Governor's Palace, making use of the information contained in the preliminary reports in Iraq, and in M. E. L. Mallowan, Nimrud and its Remains (hereafter abbreviated $N \& R$ ). It is of course impossible for one who was not present at the excavation to present an account of the various provenances comparable to that of the excavator, but the particular circumstances of the archive from the Governor's Palace justify the inclusion here of a discussion of the light thrown on the building by the archive, and vice versa.

## The Governor's Palace ${ }^{3}$

This large and obviously important building was excavated during the first two seasons at Nimrud, 1949 and 1950, and it is described in $N \& R, I, 38-51$, as well as in the preliminary reports in Iraq, in particular Iraq 12 (1950), 163 ff . The building (marked on the site plan, Iraq 12 (1950), Pl. XXVI, as the " 1949 building "), lies towards the centre of the acropolis mound, just north of a deep ravine marking the line of an Assyrian street which ran between the Governor's Palace and the Nabû temple and Burnt Palace to its south.
The palace was arranged on the usual plan round a central courtyard, flanked to the
${ }^{3}$ This designation, coined by the excavator, may profitably be adopted. Although there is no absolute
proof that the building housed the governor's administration, the archives from it make it prac-
ically certain. The term "palace" is justified by the building's architectural character, although it is
clear that the Assyrians themselves referred to it as the governor's " house " (cf. No. 3, 4)
north and south by long " audience rooms ", and the great size of these rooms, together with the frescoes which still survived on many of the walls, would have been sufficient to identify the building as one of some importance, even without the archives discovered in it. Almost all the tablets were in fact found in three rooms only on the north side of the building, Rooms K, M, and S. With these I shall deal first, and then list more briefly the other find-spots. The location of the rooms may be determined by reference to the plan of the Governor's Palace reproduced here by the kind permission of Professor Sir plan of the Gover Mallowan.

Room $K$ : This is a relatively small room (c. $5 \cdot 00 \times 6 \cdot 00 \mathrm{~m}$.) ${ }^{4}$ situated in the northwest corner of the palace, against the west wall. It originally had two doors, but the subsequent blocking of that in the north wall ${ }^{5}$ left the room accessible only from Room M to the east, through a doorway with a raised stone threshold (visible in the photograph published in Iraq 12 (1950), Pl. XXIX.1, and again in $N_{\&} R, I$, p. 42, fig. 9 ; note the stone door socket as well). Room K was consequently well situated to serve as a storage room, door socket as well). Room K was consequently well situated to serve as a storage room,
and it may indeed have been used to house the archive which was mostly found within its and it may indeed have been used to house the archive which was mostly found within its
walls. These tablets, according to the excavator's description, began to appear some 2.40 m . below the ground surface, under a thin line of black ash. "They were in some of order, but were lying in confusion, some flat, some upright, some aslant in soft thrown debris, part clay, part ash, part broken mud-brick; most of them lay in the southern half of the room through a depth of 80 centimetres (about 2 ft .). A few of them were actually of the room through a depth of 80 centimetres (about 2 ft .). A few of them were actually
wedged between the partly torn up burnt-brick pavement of Shalmaneser III. Although there were, in places, signs of a trodden mud floor a foot above the level of the original one, this can only have been short-lived, and I suspect that it represented a period of abandonment when mud was falling in from the tops of the ruined walls." ${ }^{6}$
The exact dating of the strata poses problems : the floor of Room K was of burnt bricks of Shalmaneser III, but although these bricks were used in other rooms as well, the inscriptions on them tell us that they were intended originally for the ziqurrat, and the excavator is no doubt right to question their validity as evidence that the building belongs excavator is no doubt right to question their validity as evidence that the building belongs
to that king. In the absence of other clear evidence, the archives serve as a guide, and to that king. In the absence of other clear evidence, the archives serve as a guide, and
although it cannot of course be shown that the tablets were not transferred to the Governor's Palace at a later date, the considerable number of texts dating to the reign of Adad-nirari III does make it probable that the palace was already being used by the governors then; whether it was in fact erected in the early part of Adad-nirari's reign (as initially assumed by M. E. L. Mallowan), ${ }^{7}$ or rather already in the time of Shalmaneser III, seems to me uncertain, and the same uncertainty is voiced by the excavator in his cautious statement in $N \& R, I$, p. 50.
The date of the later phases of occupation in Room K is also subject to some uncertainties. The latest tablet among those from the room is dated to the end of 710 b.c. (No. 146), and the 80 cm . of deposit (see quote above) must clearly represent the passing of 100 years or more from the erection of the building; but whether it was a single deposit of rubbish,

[^0]including tablets, intended to raise the level of the floor, or a more gradual accumulation in two or more stages, seems less clear. ${ }^{8}$ At some point, probably not long after 710 b.c., the room was re-used, and remained in use thereafter probably until the sack of the city in 612 в.c. ${ }^{9}$ After its abandonment the northern end of the palace was used to accommodate burials, including one in Room K which was dug parallel to the east wall and sunk down to the level of the original floor, dislodging the bricks (cf. the photograph, $N \& R, I$, p. 43, fig. 10). ${ }^{10}$ During the seventh century no documents were deposited in the Governor's Palace as far as we know, and other parts of the building were already deserted, ${ }^{11}$ suggesting that it may no longer have served as the governor's residence. It seems quite likely that after Sargon's transference of the capital to Dūr-šarrukēn, the governor of Kalhu moved across to the North-west Palace or to another of the more recent buildings left free by the removal of the royal court. ${ }^{12}$
In conclusion we may say that Room K may well have been the archive room for at least one department of the Governor's Palace, and that the tablets found there and in Room M (see below) were actually stored there before the disuse and/or reconstruction of the room (some time after 710 b.c.), in the course of which the tablets we have were discarded and allowed to form part of the fill below the new floor level.

Room $M$ : This is one of the great "audience chambers", with Room K to its west, two doorways through its south wall into the central courtyard (see photograph, Iraq 12 (1950), Pl. XXIX. $2=N \& R, I$, p. 44, fig. 11, looking south-east), and to its east Room S. Along the north side there was evidently a parallel room of equal size, but the access between the two is not clearly indicated on the plan.
The tablets from Room M are not attributed any precise provenance, but evidently the level in which they were found was identical with that in Room K where the major part
${ }^{8}$ In favour of the assumption of a single-period out a depth of $c .80 \mathrm{~cm}$., which corresponds with the difference of level between the original brick floor and the " post-710" floor (ef. $N \notin R, I, 44$ ). On the other hand, there was a "trodden mud floor" some $30-40 \mathrm{~cm}$. above the first floor (see passage quoted above, p. 4), which implies that not all the fill one go. The same conclusion follows from the fact that many of the tablets must have lain exposed to the weather for a time, since one face of each is well preserved, while the other is entirely destroyed and pitted as though from rain. This would not have happened if the tablets had beor included in fill and Professor Sir Max Mallowan's suggestion that the room underwent a phase when it was entirely abandoned and mud was falling in from the tops of the ${ }_{9}$ runed walls (quoted above).
${ }^{9}$ The date of the new beaten-mud floor rather depends on the status of the layer of ash discovered
in Room K. From Iraq $12(1950), 167$, it seems certain in Room K. From Iraq 12 (1950), 167 , it seems certain ash, which was associated with burnt levels in the
est of the palace. Since then, however, the destruc to the final sack of ther roms has prove belong Therefore either our floor is subsequent to the final sack, or the layer of ash beneath it should not be equated with that in the rest of the building (for which see $N \& R, I, 43$ ). I would favour the second of these alternatives, in view of the sequence of
events referred to in note 10 and the floor then, as before, be dated to shortly after 710 b.c. ${ }^{10} \mathrm{In} N \& R, I, 43$, the beaten floor is associated with the re-plastering of the walls, and with the sealing of the doorway in the north wall. Obviously the doorway will have been plastered over when the rest of the room was done, and it does not seem impossible earlier in the room's history. The burial (indicated on the plan) will evidently not have been made immediately after the room had been repaired, and is more likely to have been placed there only after the roof had fallen in, so that it should strictly be assigned to a third phase, probably after the final sack of the
city, as suggested in $N \& R, I, 49$.
${ }^{12}$ Cf. below, p. 7, on B50.
of the archive came from, since it lay directly above the original floor. ${ }^{13}$ There is no obvious difference in the character or date of the Room M and Room K tablets, and it is certain that those from Room M belong to the same archive as those found in Room K, but were scattered further from their place of origin. It is likely that they all belong to the west end of the room, off which Room $K$ gives, but this is not specified in the reports.
Room S: As may be seen from the plan, Room S adjoined Room M, the audience chamber, on the east side, and like Room K it seems only to have given on to Room M. The excavation of Room $S$ was undertaken during the second season (1950), and the details are not included in the preliminary report for that year, which is devoted to the remarkable achievements on the main site of excavation, the North-west Palace. Details of the find-spot of the tablets are however given in Professor D. J. Wiseman's notebooks as follows: "Room S, under brick mastaba over m(ud)-b(rick) floor level in SW corner, 1 m . to left of door in S wall." A glance at the plan will show that the only doorway marked is in the wall usually described as west, and if this difference is carried through logically, we find that a brick feature is shown in the north-west corner of the room which can be plausibly identified with the " mastaba ". ${ }^{14}$ In $N \& R, I$, 51 , another important find in Room $S$ is described, which consisted of nearly 100 palace-ware vessels, lying in a heap on a mudbrick " table" or " pedestal" at the north-eastern end of the room. However, the plan shows no such feature at the room's north-eastern end or corner, and we are led to wonder whether this is not the same feature once more, and hence identical with the " mastaba" in which the tablets were discovered. Whether this is so or not, the discovery of a large collection of tablets within a square mud-brick construction reminds us of the arrangements in the North-west Palace's administrative wing (Zigurrat Terrace), where the letters and administrative archives had been accommodated in rows of brick boxes. ${ }^{15}$ It is perhaps not too rash to suggest that in Room S too there was a single such " filing cabinet ", which was later filled in and re-used as a table.
Other rooms: in Room U, lying on the east side of the courtyard, adjoining Room S , were found the historical text of Tiglath-pileser III (ND 400), an early legal text (No. 14), and a fragment of a letter (No. 209). No. 131, a note of animals, was found in Room B; this lay in the opposite corner of the building, and was a small entrance chamber leading into the "ablution room ". ${ }^{16}$ This is hardly intended as a depository for tablets, and I have suggested in the commentary to No. 232 that No. 131 may not belong to the Governor's Palace at all, but have strayed from the Burnt Palace where the very similar No. 232 was found. The remaining rooms named as provenance of inscribed material (mainly bricks), are Rooms C, H, and I (ND 277-8 and 283), none of which are indicated
${ }^{13}$ For the stratigraphy of Room M see the photograph in Iraq 12 (1950), Pl. XXIX. $2(=N \& R, I, 44$, fig. 11), with commentary, ibid., p. 182; this shows
that the tablets belonged to Level IV (which is equivalent to the layer of fill directly above the floor in Room K), and that three levels (III-I) were distinguished for the seventh century, before the area was made to serve as a burial-site. This Level IV in Room $M$ is presumably identical with the layer epigraphical catalogue (ef. Catalogue of Texts, pp. 253 ff .).
${ }^{14}$ As is clear from the plan (Iraq 12 (1950), Pl. XXVI), the Governor's Palace was not on an xact N-S axis, the "N" being more accurately
described as NNW. (The rough indication of N on the plan published here (Fig. 1) is taken from the overall site plan.) This may account for the apparent ${ }_{15}$ discepancy between this description and the plans. ${ }^{15}$ See Iraq 15 (1953), 33, with Pl. IV.1.
PI. XXX.3; a cylinder seal from this rom 12 (1950), illustrated in $N_{\&} \&, I, 48$, fig. 12.


Fig. 1

835

Room

| K | K/M | M | S | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | x |  |  | 100 |


| B.C. | Room |  |  |  |  | Nos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | к | K/M | M | S | $\cup$ |  |
| 755 | $x x x$ |  |  |  |  | 5,23,81 |
| 750 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | x |  |  |  |  | 104 |
|  | x |  |  |  |  | 24 |
| 745 | $\begin{gathered} x \\ x \times x \end{gathered}$ |  | x |  |  | 25 $26,27,28$ 105 |
| 740 | x |  |  |  |  | 95 |
|  | $\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}}$ |  | $\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}}$ |  |  | 98,106 96,107 |
| 735 |  |  | x |  |  | 6 |
|  | x |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |  | 134,174 |
| 730 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 725 |  | x | x | x |  | $\begin{aligned} & 108 \\ & 29 \\ & 175 \end{aligned}$ |
| 720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 715 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 710 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{x} \\ \mathbf{x \times x} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | 144 $145-7$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |  | 170,2 |
| 803/775 | xx | $x$ |  |  |  | $80,90,21$ 78 |

Fig. 2 Chart to show occurrence of datable tablets from the Governor's Palace
on the plan; they may all be in the southern part of the building, and Room C certainly is, as it gave access to Room E , the long room flanking the courtyard on the south. Room P leading off Room H, is also unidentified.

## A 49, A 50, and B 50

These are trenches dug during the first two seasons, which were not pursued for a variety of reasons. According to D. J. Wiseman, Trench A 50 was " situated in squares F 3, 4, and the B 50 building in F 5, 6 ", and the latter is marked as " 1950 building" on the contour map (Iraq 13 (1951), 105, note 2). ${ }^{17}$ A 50 is described in the text (Iraq 13 (1951), 105), as " a private house built against the citadel wall ", and its position, as shown on the contour map (Iraq 12 (1950), Pl. XXVI), shows that the " private house " must have been an integral part of the buildings known later as TW 53, and described in $N \& R, I, 184-97$ The exact position of the A 50 trench in relation to the 1953 excavations is shown in Iraq 16 (1954), Pl. XXVIII, where an earlier trench labelled Trench A 1949 joins the more recent excavations from the south-west. It is clear from the compass-alignment that this must in fact be the same trench as that shown in squares F 3-4 on Iraq 12 (1950), Pl. XXVI, and is therefore identical with A 50. It is therefore no coincidence that the purchaser in No. 219 (from A 50 ) is called Mannu-ki-ninurta, and that a man of the same name is found mong the regular witnesses of the TW 53 archive, once being described as " the entrance " ${ }^{18}$ One find made in this trench is referred to in Iraq 14 (1952), 4, where it described as " component parts of a . . . stool leg in bronze ", discovered in Sq. F 4 in 1950 ; this is no doubt the "cache of small objects" which was found together with Nos. 219-21 (ND 407-9), according to the epigraphist's field notes (see Catalogue of Texts, p. 259). ${ }^{19}$
A 49 was a similar undertaking to A 50 , but since it produced only one useless fragment, its location is of no great importance to us here. It is probably the trench marked on the plan (Iraq 12 (1950), Pl XXVI) in squares E 5 and F 5, and referred to (ibid., p. 162) with the comment that " our efforts in E 5 . . were similarly curtailed "
B 50, marked as the " 1950 building" on Iraq 12 (1950), Pl. XXVI (cf. above), was evidently an important structure, but efforts here too were overtaken by the weather and more urgent tasks elsewhere. The only evidence as to the building's identity therefore comes from the few texts discovered in it, and as most of these are clearly administrative, we are no doubt justified in concluding that it was the home of some branch of the administration. Unfortunately there was no dated text, but it is conceivable that it was o this part of the mound that the provincial government moved after leaving the Governor's Palace, since No. 230 (found here) is actually addressed to the governor. The plan and scale of the building would support this-note that its walls were $c .2 .50 \mathrm{~m}$. thick, noticeably thicker than those of the Governor's Palace

## The Burnt Palace

The few texts found in this building are mostly republished here for the sake of complete-
${ }_{17}$ The excavation of A 50 was probably begun in 1949, and it must be mentioned in Iraq 12 (1950), 161-2, as "a broad trench in squares F 3, 4 ${ }^{18}$ ša $s$ pān nēribi; see Iraq 15 (1953), 156b, and Pl. XII ND 3426, 45
ness, though little is added to the previous editions. ${ }^{20}$ The excavation of the Burnt Palace is described in $N \& R, I, 200 \mathrm{ff}$. (plan, p. 201), and in Iraq 15 (1953), 5 ff . and especially 11 ff ., and 16 (1954), 63-4 with Pl. XI. Our tablets were mostly found in Room viii (the throne room, occasionally referred to as the "Long Room"), and in Room vii, a small chamber leading off the north-eastern end of Room viii (see $N \& R, I, 203 \mathrm{ff}$; Iraq 15 (1953), $9-10 ; 16$ (1954), 71-2). The tablets themselves provide a terminus post quem for the burning of the palace, and the circumstances of their discovery are described e.g. in Iraq 15 (1953), 16, or 14 (1952), 61.

The North-west Palace
The major finds of epigraphical material in the North-west Palace (built by Assur-nāṣir-apli and refurbished by Sargon) were made during the 1952 season, and the texts included here are only those stray pieces which were recovered in the rooms lying to the south-east of the main courtyard (see the convenient summary of D. J. Wiseman, Iraq 13 (1951), 104-5). Reports on this part of the North-west Palace may be consulted in $N \& R, I$, 93 ff ., especially 119 ff ., and in Iraq 14 (1952), 6 ff .

## The Governor's Palace Archive

Both by their find-spots and by their contents the texts from the Governor's Palace fall into two major groups : the tablets from Rooms K and M, which are mainly legal documents, and those from Room S which include all the correspondence and most of the administrative texts. As can be seen from the accompanying calibrated chart of the datable texts (Fig. 2), the legal documents belong chiefly to the first half of the eighth century, whereas in general the Room S texts, although undated, seem to belong to the second half of that century. The ensuing discussion of the archive deals first with the prosopography, then with various salient characteristics of the texts themselves, and concludes with an assessment of the archive as a whole.

## The Palace personnel

1. The governor

There are five persons mentioned in our texts as governors (šaknu or bēl pāhiti) ${ }^{21}$ of Kalhu, viz. Mušēzib-ninurta, Bēl-tarṣi-iluma, Bēl-dān, Marduk-rēmāni, and Šarru-dūrī
${ }^{20}$ Although excavation did continue here in later seasons, inscribed finds were scant. Known to me
are the ND numbers 2052 (cf. Iraq 15 (1953) 2063 (Iraq 16 (1954), 32), 3481 (cf. D. J. Wiseman), Iraq 15 (1953), 148), and 2053-2060 (unpublished). None of these belonged in the same context as the texts edited here, from 1951.
${ }^{21}$ I consider that the evidence of our archive proves conclusively that in the eighth century the Assyrian terms saknu and bel-pāhiti were two
descriptions of the same office: Sarru-düri and Bēl-dān are each referred to as both utu.EN.NAM and šakin Kalhi. I do not deny, of course, that the word saknu had many other usages, and it seems likely that
it was the ambiguity occasioned by the title's wide use of the which was responsible for the increased use of the term bel-pahici. Daknu is rarely used in but where his office is deseribed in formal contextssuch as in limmu lists, or datings, or inscriptions on seal or stele-he is called sakikin GN, where the term saknu is, of course, quite unambiguous. I cannot accept the theory of R. A. Henshawe that the salknu (1967), 517-25; 88 (1968), 461-83), and consequently in what follows no distinction is made between the two titles.
(for references see Index of Personal Names). When the evidence of these texts is combined with what is already known from elsewhere, we may construct the following list of governors for the period which concerns us-the late ninth and eighth centuries b.c. ${ }^{22}$

| Šamaš-bēlu-uṣur | 851 | limmu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 844 | (s. Iraq 25, p. 67 (bottom)) |
| Mušēzib-ninurta | $817 / 808$ | No. 2 |
| Bēl-tarṣi-iluma | 808 | No. 170 |
|  | $803 / 775$ | No. 90 |
|  | 797 | limmu |
|  | 793 | No. 93 |
| [ $\quad$ ]x x | 791 | No. 15 |
| Aš̌̌ur-bēlu-uṣur | 772 | limmu |
| Bēl-dān | 744 | limmu |
|  | 734 | limmu |
| Marduk-rēmāni | 728 | No. 108 |
| Ǎš̌ur-bāni | 713 | limmu |
| Šarru-dūrī | - |  |

Taking these names in order, we may say that with the possible exception of No. 100 none of our texts belongs under the governorship of Šamaš-bēlu-uṣur, and only No. 2 under Mušēzib-ninurta. So far as I know, this Mušēzib-ninurta is not otherwise attested, unless he is to be identified with the priest of Šadikanni, grandson of Samanuha-šar-ilāni, for whom see E. Unger, BASOR 130 (1953), $15 \mathrm{ff}{ }^{23}$
Bēl-tarṣi-iluma is of course well known already, in particular from his Nabû temple statue inscriptions where he is described as governor of Kalhu, Hamedi (not = Amedu), Sirgāna, māt Temeni, and Ialuna. His own seal, as far as reconstructed (see on No. 171), mentions at least māt Temeni and Ialuna, as well as Kalhu. ${ }^{24}$ As pointed out in the commentary to No. 171, it may be significant that Hamedi and Sirgāna appear not to be mentioned, since the sealing dates from 808 в.c., whereas the statue inscriptions could easily belong to some time after $808 .{ }^{25}$ If No. 2 is also to be dated to 808 b.c., then Bēl-tarṣiiluma was created governor of Kalhu in that very year ; but since No. 2 could equally well belong to 817 в.c., this cannot of course be proved. The lower limit to his period as governor

[^1]is at present 793 в.c., which might have to be brought down to 791 if his name were to be restored in No. 15; but the text is so badly damaged that this is very uncertain. ${ }^{26}$

The governorship of Bēl-tarsi-iluma, and perhaps also that of his successor, is the time during which the main part of the Room K and M texts must have been written. Admittedly only nine numbers can be certainly attributed to his term of office (see the table of dated texts, Fig. 2), with some sixteen more certainly before 772, the one year for which Aššur-bēlu-uṣur is attested as governor, but similarities of script, and to a certain extent the prosopography, make it likely that the majority of the legal texts from these rooms belong in the period c. 800-770 в.c. This fact is of considerable interest, since we are thus able to trace the form of neo-Assyrian sale texts (in particular) back almost a century further, and in matters of script and language our texts constitute a very welcome addition to the early eighth century archive from Tell Halaf. ${ }^{27}$

Finally we should perhaps observe that the Governor's Palace archive does not seem to include the private archives of Bēl-tarsi-iluma himself. Those texts which do refer to him as a principal are of different kinds : the sealings with his seal impression are of course public administrative matters, and a possible grant with his seal impression (No. 66) should belong to the archive of the beneficiary. On the other hand the debt clearance texts (for which see below, p. 17) would have been retained by Bēl-tarṣi-iluma, but since these are the only texts where he is virtually "creditor " it is quite likely that the payments are of a public nature, which agrees well with the conclusion reached below, on other grounds. Consequently we have no texts which must have formed part of Bēl-tarși-iluma's personal archives, which is not surprising, as he doubtless had a private residence apart from his rooms in the Governor's Palace. Note in conclusion that we have a mention of Bēl-tarsi-iluma's brother (No. 64), and that like Šarru-dūrī and perhaps other governors of Kalhu, he was a eunuch.

Aššur-bēlu-usur is only attested as an eponym. He could of course be identical with the eponym for 796 в.c. (governor of Kirruri), or, perhaps more likely, with the man of this name who was an official under Palil-ēreš, governor of Raṣappa. ${ }^{28}$
$B \bar{l} l-d \bar{a} n$, eponym for 744 and 734 , was clearly governor during the most active years of Tiglath-pileser III. He is attested in our archive chiefly as recipient of letters, including some from the king and two from his son in Babylonia, but these can only be dated by relative criteria such as the script, which does not at least conflict with a date in Tiglathpileser's reign. ${ }^{29}$
${ }^{20}$ Other references to Bēl-tarssi-iluma (besides his occurrence in limmu datings) are RGD No. 2 and
a cylinder seal, first correctly interpreted by F. E. ceylinder seal, first correctly interpreted by F. E.
PLZ 3 (1900), 434, which has been described as his although it in fact belonged to a eunuch of his The text reads: $1^{1}$ sáa mrém-ma-ni-DINGIR ${ }^{2}$ Lứ. SAG ${ }^{3} s$ sá ilu does not figure in ${ }^{\text {lusáá-kinn urukal-hi. This }}$ Remanieunuch, he is represented on the seal as unbearded. ${ }^{27}$ The most obvious characteristic of the script is the use of slanting wedges where horizontals were used later. Most frequent in the sign $\check{s} a\left(\right.$ (e.g. No. $1,5^{\prime}$ ),
it is also encountered in $q i($ e.g. No. 14,6 ) which thus tends to resemble sIa $\times$ mi and in the Tell Halaf texts was often mistaken for DAR (e.g. in the placenames given as Qa-tar-i-ni and Bu-ru-dar-[r]i, AfO

Beiheft 6,82 ). Note also the absence of the later $b a$ with horizontal wedges. On the other hand, both $b u$ and $n a$ may be written with horizontals where (for bu ching wedges would later have been de rigueur for $b u$ cf. No. 17, 10; for $n a$, No. 9, 3).
${ }^{28}$ His seal, published in L. Delaporte, Catalogue des
cylindres . . de la Bibliothèque Notionale

 $r a-s a-p[a]$; the restoration of his title as lú.SAG ( $=$ eunuch) is assured by similar seal inseriptions, and by the fact that the human figure on the seal is ${ }^{29}$ Thisardless (cf. note 26).
with the eponym for the your 750 course be confused same name.

Marduk-rēmāni, attested as governor in 728 at the end of Tiglath-pileser III's reign, is not certainly found anywhere else. ${ }^{29 a}$
Aššur-bāni does not occur among the Governor's Palace texts, although he is author of No. 241, from the Burnt Palace, and of other letters from the Kouyunjik collection (cf. $A P N$, p. 37 ).
Šarru-dūr $\bar{\imath}$, identified as a governor of Kalhu both by his cylinder seal (see No. 172) and by a reference to him in a letter probably written by the king (No. 203), has not been encountered elsewhere. He did not hold the limmu office, and none of the texts in which he is mentioned can be accurately dated. Hence only the most general considerations are available to fix his dates. Script and phraseology preclude dating the letters in which he is found earlier than $c$. the middle of the eighth century, and the find-spot of the textsassociated with the correspondence of Bēl-dān, and with No. 108 (dated to 728 b.c. during the tenure of Marduk-rēmāni)-must place him before Aššur-bāni (713 в.c.). We are therefore left with three choices: between Aššur-bēlu-usur and Bēl-dān (i.e. limits of 772-744), between Bēl-dān and Marduk-rēmāni ( $734-728$ ), or after Marduk-rēmāni (728-713). A possible indication in favour of the earliest of these may be sought in Šarrudūri's cylinder seal, which is noticeably similar to that of Bēl-tarsi-iluma, and in the use of a similar stamp seal on the sealings of these two governors (see Appendix, on Nos. 132 and 173); note further that the sealings of Sarru-dūrī (Nos. 132, 172-3) come from Rooms K and M like those of Bē-tarsi-iluma. On the other hand, the information from No. 188 that $m \bar{a} t$ Sūhi and Hadallu were under Assyrian control suggests a time of Assyrian strength, and strongly favours a date after the accession of Tiglath-pileser III. ${ }^{30}$ A further reason for placing him under Tiglath-pileser or later is Šarru-dūrīs seal inscription, which designates him simply " governor of Kalhu": Bēl-tarși-iluma's seal inscription gives a number of territories under his governorship, and if Sarru-dūrī also had such wide areas under him we should expect these to be mentioned on his seal too. It is perhaps not too bold, then, to suggest that this points to a date after the reorganization of the provinces by Tiglath-pileser.
When these various considerations are taken together, the easiest solution seems to be to place Šarru-dūrī after Bēl-dān. A date before Bēl-dān is initially attractive, but even though there is no reason why all our texts mentioning Šarru-dūrī should not date from a single year, it is hard to see how Tiglath-pileser could have carried through his reorganization of the provinces and have established control of the Sūhu region within the year between his accession and the limmu year of Bēl-dān, 744 b.c. As for the third choice, after 728 , this seems to me difficult to reconcile with the cylinder seal. ${ }^{29 a}$
${ }^{29 \mathrm{a}}$ Collation of NL 16 (Iraq 17 (1955), 134-5, was Marduk-rēm[āni]. Since he is describing to the king the arrival of ambassadors in Kalhu, he is certainly the same man, holding the office of governor. The letter must be dated early in the reign of Sargon, probably around 716 B.C. (712 B.C., as proposed by H. W. F. Saggs, Iraq 17 (1955), 152, although otheris known to have been governor by then). The resulting extension of Marduk-rēmāni's tenure of office into the reign of Sargon makes it even less likely that Šarru-düri held the governorship after him.
${ }^{30}$ Campaigns of Tiglath-pileser III on the Euphrates towards Babylonia are not specifically
attested, but Hadallu occurs among the list of Aramaean "tribes" subjected. The land of Sūhu is very sparsely attested in the late Assyrian period (cf. H. W. F. Saggs, Iraq 17 (1955), 153, on NL 17), but the inscription of Šamas̆-rēs-susur makes it unlikely that māt Sūhi was under Assyrian control in man, An.Or. 43, 183-4 with note 1127, 271 with man, An.O.
note 1740.
2. $B e \bar{l}-$-issīya

It was already observed by D. J. Wiseman (Iraq 12 (1950), 185) that several of the tablets from Room K make mention of Bēl-issiya. Altogether there are seven sale texts where he acts as purchaser (in Nos. 4 and 22 : owner of purchaser), and three other texts (Nos. 92, 94, and 103) in which he is a contracting party; the dated texts range from 791 (Nos. 15) to 756 (No. 22). Since it is the purchaser who keeps a sale document as proof of (No. 15) to 756 (No. 22). Since it is the purchaser whe justified in concluding that our texts include the archives
his right to ownership, we are jus. his right to o
From Nos. $7($ ? $) ; 15 ; 22 ; 33$ we learn that he was a " village-inspector" (rab ālāni). ${ }^{31}$ In Nos. $33 ; 34$, however, he is simply " eunuch of Bēl-tarsi-iluma, governor of Kalhu "; the name of the governor as whose "village-inspector" he served was given in No. 15 ( 791 в.c.), but is unfortunately too broken to read with certainty. Our Bēl-issiya therefore (791 B.C.), but is unfortunately Beal-tarṣi-iluma under Adad-nirari III, and remained in the began his career as a eunuch of Bē-tarṣi-iluma undiluma's disappearance, and just before the
palace until at least 756, long after Bèletal palace until at least 756, long after Bēl-tarsi-1luma s disap
accession of Tiglath-pileser III, some thirty-five years later.
During this time he purchased two individual slaves, a group of three men and a woman, and the following land:

| Area | Price | Location | Date | No. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| [large area] | $[\mathrm{x}+] 15 \mathrm{~m}$. bronze | Kurbail | 791 | No. 15 |
| [large area] | $[$ | $]$ | $[\quad]$ | 791 | No. 16 (?)

Perhaps the most striking feature of this rather meagre list is that Nos. 15 and 35 deal with purchases of land in the region of Kurbail ${ }^{32}$; it is moreover very likely that No. 16, which resembles No. 15 in more than just its date, also recorded a purchase of land by Bēl-issiya in the Kurbail district-hence its inclusion here. Similarly, No. 36, although ther in date than Nos. 15 and 16, must have dealt with a land sale in the Kurbail region, and since it has a number of witnesses in common with No. 15 it is very likely that it too documented a purchase of land by Bēl-issiya.
Each of the Kurbail land purchases seems to have been made from a consortium of Each of the Kurbail land purchases seems to have been made of persons not obviously owners-five priests in No. 35, but in Nos. 15 and 16 a large number of persons not obviously having anything in common except presumably the location of their property. A similar tie probably linked the sellers in Nos. 33 and 34, where however separate documents were made out for the different owners, although the two tablets were obviously prepared simultaneously (cf. Nos. 24 and 25). As to the location of the land in Nos. 33 and 34, some doubt exists, but although the reference to the town Kupruna may be defining the measure doubt exists, but although the reference the land, the only obvious reason for the use of used, and not indicating the location of the land, the only ond be that the transaction was in the such a measure (which is not elsewhere attested) would be that these two plots of land also region of Kupruna. Hence I think we may safely assuma's town of Kalhu.
were some distance from Bēl-issiya's
${ }^{31}$ This is the usual reading of the title, but cf. note
${ }^{32}$ For the town Kurbail see on No. 15, 24. on No. 129, 3 .

This leads us to wonder why these land transactions were initiated. From the point of view of the sellers the answer is no doubt simple necessity: I. M. Diakonoff has recently pointed out ${ }^{33}$ that the sale of land in the ancient Orient was not a light matter, and must be assumed to have occurred only under considerable economic pressure, and I have also had occasion to make the same observation with regard to land sales in the middle Assyrian period. ${ }^{34}$ In our present texts excellent confirmation is available for this assertion in Nos. 15 and 16, which are dated in a year of famine (see note on No. 15, 52), and the same could easily have applied to the other sales-note that No. 68 probably mentions famine, and that the years of Assyrian inactivity in the first half of the eighth century probably also saw economic instability.
However, if owners in Kurbail were prepared to sell their lands, it seems likely that conditions round Kalhu, well to the south, were equally bad if not worse, and why, in that case, should Bel-issiya not have bought land nearer home? In the present state of our knowledge there seem to be two possible answers to this. One is that all the land round Kalhu was already in the hands of large land-owners who were not of course susceptible to such economic pressures, and the other explanation is that land in the region of Kurbail was preferred precisely because it lay so much further north than Kalhu, and was hence less liable to crop failures in bad years. In either case, the activities of Bēl-issiya have to be compared with those of Rēmāni-adad ${ }^{35}$ in the seventh century under Aššur-bān-apli, and they show that not only the highest officials and provincial governors were able to acquire estates in separate provinces at the time of Adad-nirari III.
The foregoing paragraphs are written with the assumption that in all these transactions Bel-issiya was acting as a private individual. However, this is by no means certain : in No. 92 and perhaps No. 94 (on these texts relating to fines see below, p. 18) he may well be involved in an official capacity, and have nothing to do personally with the transaction. He is however simply given his name, without any title, whereas in some of the sale texts he is accorded the title of " village-inspector" more than once. It has been observed elsewhere ${ }^{36}$ that there is no formal way in which the private and public transactions of an Assyrian official can be distinguished, and hence only indirect means can be used to decide one way or the other. In this case Bēl-issiya's function as "village-inspector" would be entirely in accord with the theory that the governor used him as a representative to visit distant regions for the purpose of buying land, although how in that case the ownership of the land was later transferred from the "proxy-purchaser" Bēl-issiya, who is named as buyer on the tablet, to the true purchaser, is a problem which cannot be answered. The advantage of this explanation is that it accounts for the presence of these sale documents of Bēl-issīya among what should be a public archive (cf. above, p. 10, on Bēl-tarși-iluma). However, the same situation exists for all those people who appear among the Governor's Palace texts as purchasers or creditors, and in particular for Sîn-êțir and Šamaš-kumūa (see below), so that for the moment I feel that the simpler explanation is that Bēl-issiya's private archives are included with those of other private individuals and with texts of a more official kind.
${ }^{33}$ Troisième conférence internationale d'histoire conomique (Munich, 1965), " Main features of the conomy in the monarchies of ancient western Asia ", p. 25.
${ }^{34}$ BSOAS 34 (1971), 520.
${ }^{35}$ Cf. G. van Driel, Bi. Or. 27 (1970), 170, for this $\operatorname{man}_{36} \mathrm{~J}$.
3. Sîn-ētir and Šamaš-kumūu

Two other persons parts of whose archives seem to have found their way into Rooms K and M a also active at the same time

The only dated text of Sîn-ēțir's is No. 20 ( 779 B.c.), but the script and size of the remaining pieces where he is or may be involved are sufficient to show that they too belong to the early eighth century. In No. 64, where Sîn-ēṭir is one party to an exchange of land, he is described as the brother of Bēl-tarsi-iluma, and this description is also given on his seal which was impressed on the same tablet (see commentary to the text). Like his brother Sîn-ētir was a eunuch: this is clear from No. 20, 15, where the phrase " and his sons "" etc is absent, while in No 47,8 he is probably given the double title of his sons ...", etc. is absent, while in No. 47, 8 he is probably given the double tide of
[Lư.s]AG lứA.BA. If his name is correctly restored in this last text, he was accordingly a eunuch and a scribe, but his title is broken away in No. 65, and there is unfortunately nothing to indicate whether our Sîn-ēṭir is to be identified with the man of that name who was the house-overseer of the chief eunuch (No. 17, 36).
If we assume that Sîn-ettir's name is in fact correctly restored in all cases (only in Nos. 20, 64, and 65 is it certain), we may list the following transactions of his :

| Area | Price | Location | Date | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $24[+x]$ homers | $1[+\mathrm{x}] t$. [copper?] | [ ] | 779 | No. 20 |
| 50 homers (incl. $a d r u$, etc.) | [x] t. [copper?] | [ ] |  | No. 37 |
| ] | [ $]$ | [ ] |  | No. 42 |
| [x] homers | 160 m . bronze | Kapru-bēl[. .] |  | No. 47 |
| 40 homers | 30 homers | Halahhu |  | No. 64 |
| 70 homers | [ x homers] | [ ] | [ | No. 65 |

It seems from this that Sîn-ētir was, like Bēl-issiya, the owner of considerable areas of land, which did not always lie in the vicinity of Kalhu. In this case, however, we have no reason to suppose that Sîn-ētir was a village-inspector, or was in other ways likely to have acted as a representative of the governor in his acquisition of land. Indeed, this possibility is more or less entirely excluded by No. 64, where he exchanges land with Mušallim-ištar, since he could surely only be described in a legal document as having "given" away the land if he was indeed the owner of it, without any qualifications.

A similar conclusion may be drawn in the case of Samaš-kumūa, who is the purchaser Nos. 17, 31, and 57. He, in No. 17, is described as the " eunuch of Adad-nirari, king of No." Assyria (year 783 ), and No. no sons are mentioned as possible inheritors of the purchased property. In No. 31 his
and title is Lú.a.ba lú.NIMgir [É.GAL(?)], which probably means that he was the [palace] herald's scribe, rather than "scribe (and) herald ". In either case the fact that we have at least two other sale texts with Šamaš-kumūa as purchaser from the same archive is probably sufficient to permit us to identify this scribe with the eunuch of the other two possibly earlier) texts. Whether the Šamaš-kumūa who acts as witness in No. 68 is the ane man is of course uncertain, but since he is a scribe it is at least possible
Details of two of Šamaš-kumūa's land purchases survive: in No. 17 he buys 60 (or more ?) homers in the village of Du'ūa which is perhaps in the neighbourhood of Nineveh
and in No. 31 the area bought is 40 homers. Hence, although the material is scarce, his known activities fall into the general pattern established in the cases of Bēl-issiya and Sîn-ēțir.

## 4. Other personnel

There is quite a number of people who, like Bēl-issīya, Sîn-ētir, and Samaš-kumūa, are represented in the archive by sale texts in which they are the purchasers: Dayāni (No. 3), Bēl-nūrka-lāmur (No. 5), Marduk-aplu-uşur (No. 6), Aššur-taklak (No. 14), Rīb-marduk (No. 19), Şābu-damqu and Šamaš-s̄ēzib (No. 22), Mannu-kī-māt-aššur (No. 23), Girītu (Nos. 24 and 25), Kurbānu (Nos. 26 and 27), a woman (Iutātu ?, No. 29), Ubru-ištar (No. 30), Šamaš-kēnu-uballiṭ (No. 32), Ṣil-aššur (No. 40), Aššur-dūru-uṣur (No. 43), Adi-māti-ili (No. 44), Qibīt-ǎš̌ur (No. 45), and AD.BU.SI(?) (No. 48), not to mention those with lost or damaged names. To these people we should add those who appear in other kinds of text of which they would normally have" been the holders : Bēl-ēțir(?) (recipient of grant, No. 66), Aššur-dūru-uṣur (No. 104), Sami’ (No. 105), Pān-ištar-lāmur (No. 106), and Bēl-šadūa (No. 107), all these being creditors in loan texts.
Unfortunately we do not know enough about any of these people to determine why thei tablets should have been stored in these rooms of the Governor's Palace, and indeed in several cases the name is only found here among our texts. Sometimes, it is true, they have titles which could point to an official position within the palace: Aššur-taklak and Mannu-ki-māt-aššur were both " house-overseers " (GAL É), while Marduk-aplu-uṣur could well be the rab kalläpi of No. 81, and Ašsur-dūru-uṣur is very likely the eunuch of Bēl-dān the governor, in No. 99, since he is found both as a purchaser and as a creditor in the archive. However, in most cases the absence of such information makes it impossible to decide if the appearance of these people as purchasers or creditors among our archive is of itself sufficient reason to assume that they were at some time members of the governor's household or administrative circle. It is of course possible that all of them were so employed, even the woman, but in any case, since these are private documents which seem to have found their way into public archives, there can be no presumption that they were.
More light is thrown on the personnel of the Governor's Palace by the various professions associated with the governor in our texts. Besides Bel-issiya, who was eunuch and subsequently "village-inspector " to the governors of Kalhu, we have a house-supervisor (ša muhhi bēti), who was probably a different official from the rab bēti (cf. Aššur-taklak and Mannu-kī-māt-aššur above), ${ }^{37}$ a rab kallāpi (a military post), a eunuch (in addition to Aššur-dūru-uṣur, see above), an information-officer, and a singer, all described as "of " (ša) the governor; besides these, there are people described simply as " of the house of the governor". There can be little doubt that many of the other people named as witnesses or otherwise must have belonged to the governor's household too, and an indication of other professions which might have been at home there may be drawn from a comparison with the households of the chief eunuch and the turtänu. Thus in No. 17 one of the parties

[^2]must have belonged to the chief eunuch's household, and among the witnesses we find a rab bēti (" house-overseer "), a doctor, scribe, messenger, and "third-rider" of the chief eunuch's household, while the seller himself, a eunuch, was probably also a member of the same household. Dependants of the turtānu appear on several occasions as witnesses, and they seem to come from two quite separate establishments of his. In the land-sale texts of Bēl-issiya the witnesses from Kurbail include a " chief of the female votaries of the house of the turtānu", and a "house-supervisor " (ša muḩhi bēti) and another man also from the turtänu's household in Kurbail. In other cases members of his household probably belong to his Kalhu establishment-Kalhu in the eighth century still being Assyria's political and military capital - and they consist of a "house-supervisor " (ša muhh bēti) and two weavers (in No. 91), possibly a company-commander (lú.gal 50), and two men in different texts described as " of the turtānu's household ".

The texts
Not all the various types of text are described here; my purpose is rather to give a general view of the contents of the archive, and to take up certain points which do not belong strictly in the commentary to individual texts since they emerge from the consideration of a group of documents. For a detailed list of the types of text the reader is referred to the list above, pp. 2-3.

## 1. Sales

In general the real estate sales are formulated like those of the seventh century known from the Kouyunjik archive ; only the occasional formula is unusual, and even then it can often be found among the texts in $A D D$. There is, however, a change in the outward appearance of the tablets: in the early eighth century b.c. the normal script was large and bold, not to say coarse, and consequently a sale text would require quite a large tablet. An excellent example of this is No. 17, but several other land sales have the same characteristics (the largest being No. $15,10.8 \times 15.4 \mathrm{~cm}$.), and they also share the same very flat surface, rare later (except of course for large or literary tablets), which gives a sharp angle where obverse and reverse meet the edges (cf. the photo of No. 18, Pl. 94a, not the best example). These observations may apply equally to slave sale tablets a comparison of No. 6 ( 735 в.с.) with No. 9 (date lost, but certainly earlier) shows how the flat surface survived at least until the reign of Tiglath-pileser, while the reduction in the script size occasioned a reduction in the size of the tablet (see photos, Pls. 92b, 93b).

However, not all the slave sales were as carefully prepared as these two, and there does seem to be a difference in the earlier texts between the real estate and the slave sales, in the degree of formality required. The slave sales may be more casually written on smaller, less handsome tablets, while Nos. 2 and 4 ( 808 and 769 b.c.) are lacking most of the formulae usual later-No. 4 does not even have finger-nail impressions-and of all our slave sales only No. 11 has the sibtu bennu clause which is customary later, and that in the reverse order.
Perhaps because the cheaper stamp seal was not yet in common use, the seal impression is almost always replaced by finger-nail marks. Of all the sale texts, only one real estate
sale (No. 18) has a seal impression. This is of course hardly surprising, since the richer families who could afford the luxury of a cylinder seal would not have needed to sell their property (or, in the case of a loan, to borrow money). In contrast, cylinder seals belonging to Bēl-tarsi-iluma and his brother are found on Nos. 66 and 64 respectively, where the land is not being sold by them but either granted or exchanged. Later, however, we find a fine cylinder seal on No. 44 (c. Tiglath-pileser, but the cylinder seal does not belong to the seller), and on No. 13 a stamp seal, which itself points to a relatively late date.
2. Receipts

Some of the most interesting of the Governor's Palace texts are Nos. 90-9, which are receipt texts of various kinds. Nos. 90, 91, and 93 in particular hold our attention, since they all record the payment of debts by Bēl-tarși-iluma instead of the men who had incurred them. Thus in No. 90 he pays a total of more than $52 \frac{1}{2}$ minas of bronze (or copper) for two debtors, to nine or ten creditors; in No. 91 the sum is $53 \frac{1}{2}$ minas of bronze, and there are twenty-three creditors, the debtor, Urdu-ištar, being a weaver; and in No. 93 the total debts amount to 2 talents 20 minas ( $=80$ minas) of bronze, there are eleven creditors, and the debtor is ironically a merchant (Lú.DAM.GÀR). It is interesting to note that the formulation of the documents is not consistent, although they serve an identical purpose, since this rather suggests that this type of text was either rare or an innovation, and hence unfamiliar to the scribes. Thus, while all three texts are validated with the creditors' finger-nail impressions, Nos. 91 and 93 have them at the top of the obverse, while on No. 90 they are relegated to the top edge, at the end of the text; and in No. 91 there is a heading which is lacking in No. 93. As suggested in the commentary to No. 91, these texts seem to fulfil two functions at once: while they strictly only testify that the creditors have no further claims on the debtor, they also give Bēl-tarsi-iluma a document which transfers the entire credit to him, and obviously places the debtor very heavily in the governor's debt, although his consent to this situation is only legally attested by his seal or nail impressions on the original loan texts.
The background to these three transactions is not easy to establish. In the first place we must determine whether Bēl-tarsi-iluma is acting privately or in his capacity as the governor-if such a distinction can usefully be made-and then we must try to define his reasons for intervening-was it on behalf of the debtor, or to ensure that the creditors received what was owed to them? In the case of the weaver (No. 91) we could suppose that he was a member of the governor's establishment, ${ }^{38}$ and that the payment was made to prevent the governor from losing his services or else as part of the governor's traditional patronage vis-d-vis his subordinates, but there is no good reason to assign a merchant (No. 93) to Bēl-tarși-iluma's household, and instead it seems preferable to suppose that the governor might step in to satisfy creditors once a man's debts had become manifestly excessive. This would of course mean that Bēl-tarși-iluma was acting in his official capacity as governor, since it was hardly an example of private charity, and hence we seem to have the beginnings of a government policy to deal with bankruptcy, intended for the protection of creditors, and considerably more effective in this respect than most modern states. This solution also provides an explanation of why the texts were preserved in the Governor's Palace, rather than in Bēl-tarși-iluma's private residence (cf. above, p. 10). As to the
fate of the debtors, clearly the texts were retained in the palace until some sort of repayment had been made; it is quite possible that until this time the debtor was required to serve the governor (as a Lú.šàm, bought man ?), but this side of the picture remains dark.

Further debt receipts are No. 94 (payment by Bēl-issīya, details not very clear), and Nos. 92, 95, and 96, where the payment is for a fine. This is specified in Nos. 92 (sartu) and 96 (šalluntu sarte), and may be deduced in No. 95 where only the word šalluntu is used. From other neo-Assyrian texts where fines are mentioned, it seems that it was usual to pay the sum prescribed to the injured party (and not, e.g., to the authorities), ${ }^{39}$ and this would obviously be the case in No. 95, where the payment is blood-money (see note to No. 95, 16). We might therefore expect that these texts would be receipts, validated by the seal or finger-nail impression of the injured party receiving the fine, and held by the payer as evidence of the payment. This, however, cannot be so, since none of these texts (including No. 94) has seal or finger-nail impressions (nor indeed a space for them) : the texts are bare statements that the payment has been made, and in No. 95 indeed the injured party, who was presumably the recipient of the money, is only mentioned as a witness (1. 15).

I think we may reasonably conclude that in the case of a judicial fine-effectively an obligation between two parties determined by the courts-procedure demanded that the payment of the fine should take place before the authorities, probably those which had determined the case, and that on that occasion a record of the payment was made and deposited in the public archives. Hence in No. 95 we read that the payment was made "in the house of the palace scribe ", and doubtless that is where the document was housed thereafter. Whether a separate document would have been made out for the payer, as evidence that his obligation was fulfilled is a question which must await further texts. ${ }^{40}$

## 3. Loans (and debt-notes)

Unfortunately the archive contains relatively few loans, which makes it difficult to make comparisons with later ones, and dangerous to draw any very broad conclusions. What is said below is consonant with the evidence we possess, but it should be stressed that it is liable to revision on the basis of new evidence.

The most interesting characteristic of these early loan texts is their outward appearance : as is pointed out in the Commentary to No. 101, this early eighth-century text, which is certainly not a sale document, is not encased in an envelope on which the contents of the tablet are repeated together with a seal or finger-nail impression, which is the normal practice later. Instead the nail-marks are placed at the head of the tablet, just as on a contemporary sale text, and like a sale text too, the writing is along the short axis of the tablet. In contrast, No. 99 (a receipt, dating to the middle of the eighth century) is indeed encased in an envelope on which the text is repeated, and the writing is parallel to the short axis; as with the later texts too, the seal impression is on the envelope, but there is a difference in that it is not on the obverse of the envelope but on the uninscribed reverse.
${ }^{39}$ This emerges clearly from text No. 2, 10-13, in rraq 1 PU No 660 as persons). ersons)
No. 2 in Iraq 32 (1970), 131-2; it too has no seal or
finger-nail impressions, and I. 13 probably recorded the fine's payment, as is shown by 11.14 ff ., which
obviously contained a " Nichtanfechtungsklausel ". This tablet should therefore be categorized as a "record of payment of fine ".

Between the two extremes of Nos. 101 and 99, Nos. 106 and 107 constitute a half-way stage: in No. 106 ( 738 в.c.) the nail-marks are still made directly on to the tablet and there are no signs of an envelope, but it has lost the phrase supur PN identifying the nailmarks (which was present in No. 101), and the marks themselves are not at the beginning f the text but on the reverse, between the witnesses' names and the date. Similarly in No. 107 ( 737 в.c.) the text begins at once by naming the item loaned, and the cylinder seal impression is to be found on the reverse, separating two lines of the date.
It seems easiest to summarize these changes in the outward appearance of the loan text by drawing up a list of the various features which were subject to change :

| No. | Envelope | Axis of <br> writing | Nail/Seal <br> (position) | Nail/Seal <br> note | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 101 | no | short | head | yes | $798(?)$ |
| $102^{\text {a }}$ | no | short | [head] | $[?]$ | 795 |
| 106 | no | long | Rev. | no | 738 |
| 107 | no | long | Rev. | no | 737 |
| 108 | no | long | T.E.(?) | yes | [] |
| 99 | yes | long | Rev. of env. | no | c. 745-730 |
| ND 3488 | yes | long | Obv. + rev. of env. | [yes] | 706 |
| ND 2331 | yes | long | Obv. + rev. of env. | yes | 679 |
| ND 3437 d | yes | long | Obv. of env. | yes | 650 |

## Notes:

. The obverse of No. 102 is lost, but what remains of reverse indicates a loan ; no nail-marks or seal impressions are present on the reverse, and they were therefore probably on the obverse.
b: Iraq 17 (1955), Pl. XXVIII.4, p. 122 ; Iraq 15 (1953), p. 148. It is not certain if there was a "seal-note" on obverse, but highly probable.
c : Iraq 17 (1955), Pl. XXVII.5, p. 121 ; Iraq 16 (1954), p. 43. Although described as a tablet with seal impression, in the photograph it appears to have all the characteristics of an unbroken envelope.
d: Iraq 17 (1955), Pl. XXIV.6, p. 117 ; Iraq 15 (1953), p. 142. The "seal-note" ( $\mathrm{NA}_{4}$.KIŠIB PN) is visible on photograph.
Despite the unfortunate gap between 795 and 738 в.c., this table shows a gradual process during the eighth century from a kind of document outwardly similar to a sale text, to the characteristic loan texts of the seventh century, pillow shaped and encased in a sealed envelope. For the sake of comparison three of such texts have been included in the table from other provenances at Nimrud: although they are generally very similar to one another, it may not be a coincidence that the two earlier examples have seal impressions on the reverse as well, although it should be stressed that the texts have been selected at random for their date, and that I have not compared all the available evidence on this point. Finally we may note how the introduction of the stamp seal led to a great increase in the number of seal owners, and a corresponding decrease in the frequency of finger-nail impressions.

As to the wording of the loan texts, there is no great difference from that of later texts.

True loans are characterized by the phrase ina pūhi (Nos. 105-7; 742-737 в.c.), while in No. 105 ( 742 b.c.) we have an early instance of a corn-loan with " harvester clause ". ${ }^{11}$ On the other hand Nos. 103 and 104 are debt-notes, and hence do not have the phrase ina pūhi (ittiží); No. 108 is not so much a debt-note as a delivery contract, and No. 109, despite its use of the word ittisis (" he has taken ") which is normally used of incurring an obligation, is perhaps a receipt for the purchase(?) price of seven persons.

## 4. Administrative texts

In contrast to the legal texts, the bulk of the administrative texts comes from the Room $S$ archive, and is presumably therefore like the letters (see 5 . below) to be dated to the reign of Tiglath-pileser III. Like most neo-Assyrian administrative texts, however, they are undated, and some may indeed be later. ${ }^{42}$
Neither in phrasing, nor in their outward characteristics, do the various administrative texts show much of especial interest. There are no large account tablets, such as are known from Nineveh and the North-west Palace at Nimrud, and indeed the only tablet with more than one column is No. 155. For very brief notes the scribes used the "cigar-shaped" tablets (not "dockets", since they were not usually attached to anything else), on which the transition from obverse to reverse is practically imperceptible (e.g. Nos. 144 ff.); and for longer texts, e.g. lists, the tablets might be written across the shorter axis (e.g. the lists of PNs, Nos. 119-20) or on the long axis, when more detail was needed in each line, e.g. the horse texts (Nos. 125-7) or the corn and wood texts (Nos. 135-6, 139).

As to their content, the administrative texts reveal the expected preoccupations of a provincial administration, and have little to indicate that the province's capital was also the capital of the empire, and that the royal palace was only the other side of the acropolis. A comparison of our texts with those from the rather earlier Tell Halaf archive shows the same broad categories: they list persons, including law-breakers, horses, cattle, and sheep, corn and other edible commodities, and equipment of various kinds, including metal objects and textiles. Regrettably, their terse phraseology, and in particular our ignorance with regard to the prosopography, prevent us from using these texts to reconstruct in detail the methods of administration, but the individual texts do often convey interesting information, and No. 155, a long inventory, is particularly worthy of mention, despite the crucial broken passages at beginning and end.
Sealings (Nos. 132-3; 170-3) : Although only these few inscribed sealings were found in the Governor's Palace, they are of some interest. It seems that in all cases the clay was sealed with the seal of the governor of the day, but unfortunately on most of them either the text is entirely broken away, or only the date survives. The only sealings where the subject is clear are Nos. 132-3, where there is mention of sheep. These two texts, obscure of themselves, should be compared with No. 257, from the North-west Palace, which mentions " x sheep, sibtu", and which may itself be restored after Nos. 132-3, to say that these sheep are "at the disposal of " (ina pān) the shepherds of Nabû-dūr-beliỳ. Correspondingly in No. 132 the sheep are ina pān a shepherd, and in No. 133 they are ina pān two persons, who may also be assumed to have been shepherds.

[^3]These " sheep-sealings" seem to me to pose three main questions:
(1) Why were the sheep handed over to the shepherds ?
(2) Why was the governor's seal impressed ?
(3) To what were the sealings attached ?

A possible answer to the first of these questions is that the sheep, being the property of the provincial government (perhaps, in No. 257, as sibtu tax), were handed over to the shepherds for pasturing until they were required again by the government. It might of course be suggested instead, that the sheep were not in fact " issued " to the shepherds, but rather owed by them to the provincial governor so that these sealings would be effectively debt-notes; but this idea does not recommend itself because the sealings were definitely not legal documents, but administrative, and in any case if they recorded a debt the acknowledgement of the shepherds would be necessary, and not as here the seal of the governor, who would be the creditor. Hence it seems preferable to take ina pān in its administrative sense of "under ", " in the charge of ", rather than in the legal sense equivalent to "incumbent on (as a debt) ". Our sealings therefore must attest the system of " herding-contractors ", already well known in both ancient and modern Near East, ${ }^{43}$ and they would harmonize well with other neo-Assyrian evidence for such practices in the administration, which will be discussed in the writer's study of taxation.
There remain the second and third problems listed above, which are closely bound to each other. Since, according to normal practice, the shepherds can have been only marginally members of the administration, if members at all, it is possible in a vague way to understand the use of the governor's seal in order to record his assent to the transaction, but undoubtedly a more precise explanation must depend on our reconstruction of the purpose of the sealing itself. Unfortunately the impressions on the reverse of these sealings do not help us much: No. 257 was probably applied to a small jar, like a larger group of sealings from the Burnt Palace (Nos. 233-7), and it is possible that No. 133 also comes from the neck of a jar, since it has the same cross-section as those sealings (cf. copy, Pl. 54). No. 132 on the other hand is sharply curved in two directions, and unfortunately the impression on the reverse is not clear, showing only the marks of a string-knot. Thus it is clear that the sealings were indeed attached to some larger object, but except for the fact that in two cases this object seems to have been a jar, I cannot make any useful suggestion as to what these objects might have been or what purpose they could have served. ${ }^{44}$

## 5. Letters

Like most of the administrative texts, the letters in the Governor's Palace archive come from Room S, and as they were found in a group together they genuinely constitute an
${ }^{43}$ Cf. recently J. J. Finkelstein, JAOS 88 (1968), 30-6.
${ }^{4}$ Only at first sight comparable are the old Babylonian " animal tags " published in C. E. Keiser, Buybnian Records in the Library of J. Pierpont which are edited in A. Ungotographs on Pl. VI), Hammurabi's Gesetz, VI, Nos. 1907-1923. Both Keiser (ibid., pp. 11 ff ), and Ungnad (OLZ 25 (1922), 255) assume that these clay labels were hung round
the animals' necks. Since each mentions only one animal with its shepherd (NA.KAD), this is possible, and since they are not sealed the similarities with our sealings are very superficial. Dr. K. K. Riemschneider refers me to three further such tags from the same archive (S. Levy and P. Artzi, 'Atiqot 4 (1965), Nos. 90-2); of these No. 91 is interesting since it animals in question were dead.
archive. ${ }^{45}$ Before discussing the date of the letters, it seems useful to give a list of the letters, showing their writers and receivers :

| No. | Writer | Recipient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 180 | Hunānu | The king |
| 181 | The king | Scribe(?) of Kalhu |
| 182 | ,, | Qāt-ili-gabbu |
| 183 | ", | Bēl-dān |
| 184 | " | , |
| 185 |  | Šarru-dūrī |
| 186 | [,,] | [Šarru]-dūri? |
| 187 | [,,] | Šarru-[dūrī] |
| 188 | šakin mäti | Šarru-dūrì |
| 189 | " |  |
| 190 | The governor | Șil-šarri |
| 191 | ša pān ēkalli | The governor |
| 192 | rab mūgi | ,, |
| 193 | Ninurta-ilāya | ," |
| 194 | Nergal-ēțir | ", |
| 195 | Adad?-ēṭir | " |
| 196 | Aššur-taklak | , |
| 197 | Mār-ištar |  |
| 198 | Ubru-nabû |  |
| 199 | Adad-ahu-iddina | " |
| 200 | [ - ] |  |
| 201 | Mišarrum-nāṣir | Bēl-dān |
| 202 |  |  |
| 203 | [The $\operatorname{king}($ ? $)$ ] | [ - |
| 204-6 | [ - ] | [ - ] |
| 207 | - ] | [The governor(?)] |
| 208-11 | - ] | [ - ] |

It is immediately apparent from this list that we have here part of the correspondence files of two governors of Kalhu, Bēl-dān and Šarru-dūrī (see above, p. 10 f.). Unfortunately only those letters written by the king, by the governor of Aššur, and by Bēl-dān's son are addressed to the governors by name; just as letters to the king never give his name, referring to him simply as " the king, my lord", so the majority of the letters to the governors begin " to the governor, my lord "-evidently it was customary for an inferior person to address letters to his superiors using only the title and not the name. As a result of this custom, in most cases we cannot decide whether Bēl-dān or Sarru-dūrī was the governor addressed.
The letters of Bēl-dān may be dated to the reign of Tiglath-pileser III, and reasons
${ }^{45}$ Twenty-two letters were found in the brick mastaba " in Room S. No. 209 came from Room U, while the provenance of Nos. $180,187,200,204-5$, nd 211 is not certain, although they probably also
are advanced above for placing Šarru-dūrī either directly before or directly after Bēl-dān; naturally one of the arguments in favour of this is the fact that the correspondence of the two governors was found together.

Excluding the two Babylonian letters from Mišarrum-nāṣir, Bēl-dān's son, the governors' letters may all be classed as administrative, and can easily be divided into two main groups: " external" and "internal" correspondence. Thus where the letter is from the king or the governor of Aššur, the Kalhu governor is receiving orders or requests from outside to take action with regard to his province, whereas most of the remaining letters are directed to the governor with reports or requests for advice from his subordinates in the provincial administration. Between these two categories are a letter from the "palace overseer " and one from the rab múgi: unlike the others, these writers do not call themselves "servants" of the governor, and yet they do acknowledge his superior standing by addressing the letter by his title only, and by placing their own titles only after his in the introduction (cf. on No. 191, 1-2). Evidently both these officials were subordinate in rank to the governor, as we should have anticipated, but in both cases they are giving him instructions-albeit in the precative-and it is clear that they are writing directly to the governor as representatives of the royal establishments, instead of transmitting their requests through higher authorities as would probably have been done if the governor concerned had been in a separate provincial capital and not on the doorstep of the royal palace at Kalhu.

With regard to their physical characteristics our letters have no very special features; as normal, they are mostly written across the short axis of the tablet, sometimes ending high up the reverse, but sometimes carrying on round the top edge and on to the left side. An exception is No. 182, a letter from the king, which is written parallel to the tablet's long axis. The script of the letters is very variable, but as far as it is possible to generalize it stands closer to the letters from the reign of Sargon than to the script used in the early eighth century legal texts and the letters from Tell Halaf. On the whole the sign forms are indistinguishable from those of the Kouyunjik archive, and equally varied, and if there is one major difference from the letters of Sargon's reign it lies in the size of the script, which is larger in the earlier period, and somewhat coarser as a result. Whether this is entirely due to the slightly earlier date, or is in part caused by the less formal and important nature of the provincial correspondence, is hard to tell, since a similar difference seems to exist between the few "royal" letters of the reign of Tiglath-pileser III and those of Sargon that I have had the opportunity to examine. On the other hand, it is obvious that letters written to the king are likely to be more carefully prepared than the king's own messages, some of which we have in our texts, and it is perhaps no coincidence that the single letter which is indeed addressed to the king (No. 180) is noticeably better written than the remainder. Until we have more examples of non-royal correspondence from the seventh century, we have no excuse for disparaging the scribes of Tiglath-pileser's reign simply because of the very variable quality of the letters in our group.

## Conclusions

The importance of the Governor's Palace archive has recently been pointed out by J. V. Kinnier-Wilson, ${ }^{46}$ who stresses the value accorded to the tablets by their discovery
belong to Room S. No. 195 is from the bricked-i from Room M.
in days when find-spots are recorded. Apart from the smaller archive of Tell Halaf, and the (not unimportant) fragments from Tell Billa, our texts constitute the only neo-Assyrian provincial archive which can be identified as such. Consequently it is of particular importance to assess the value of the texts as a group, and to make use of the general picture thus obtained as a corrective to isolated scraps of information from individual tablets.

In conclusion, therefore, we must stress the incompleteness of the archive. Although the texts from Room S were mostly found together, in a relatively undisturbed context (see above, p. 6), those from Room K (and M) were found in very disturbed circumstances, and even if Room K was intended as their resting-place it is obvious that many tablets were lost by dispersion or destruction in the course of time. This is of course no more disruptive to our sources than we are used to expect, for, beyond perhaps the old Babylonian palace archive at Mari, what archives do we possess in their entirety? None the less, these deficiencies must be borne in mind, and a glance at the chronological disparity of our texts, and at the wide gaps in our list of dated tablets, shows that an enormous amount is missing. While we cannot tell how many of the apparently "private" legal transactions have vanished, it is obvious that the great bulk of correspondence is lost, along with the most important of the provincial administrative records, such as account texts.
On the other hand, we must despite all have a fairly representative cross-section of the palace scribes' activities ; besides the letters, the administrative texts cover a wide range of subjects, as mentioned above (p. 20), and the same applies to the various types of legal texts. Curiously, therefore, we have a group of tablets which is not restricted in time or in character, and there seems to be no way of determining why those tablets which have reached us should have been preserved together, in preference to the many other equally plausible candidates. Although of course we can never know what was lost, we should perhaps be grateful for the very randomness of the material, since this does something to counteract its paucity.

Before presenting the texts themselves, there remain two specific points arising from our texts which could neither be dealt with above, nor be incorporated into the commentary on individual texts. Since they are both large subjects with wide reaching implications, no attempt is made here to deal with them exhaustively, and the ensuing notes are intended merely to call attention to the kind of new evidence our texts provide.
Aramaean names: Since the fusion of Assyrian with Aramaean culture is gradually emerging as one of the major components of the Assyrian empire, any new information on the progress of the Aramaeans or their language is historically interesting as well as of value to linguists. Outside Tell Halaf, where the position is complicated by the almost exclusively Aramaean population of the entire area, no large group of names has been recovered for the early eighth century b.c., and hence the names in our texts are of some interest. Note, for example, the names Sēr-ma'ādi and S̄ē-hanāna in No. 93 (793 в.c.), carried by men sufficiently settled to be lending money to a merchant. In other texts of comparable date we meet a Bahiānu, a Silu/i who is actually described as an Aramaean (No. 90, 10-11), and a Bi-silu who is the mayor of Du'ūa, a village near Nineveh (No. 17, 41). These instances prove, if proof were needed, that some part of the population of the settled
districts between the Upper Zab and Tigris rivers bore Aramaic names. It is, however, my impression that there is a higher proportion of true Assyrian names at this date than there was later, which would not of course be surprising in view of the extensive deportation of conquered populations into the Assyrian homeland, but this is an impression which would require careful and lengthy documentation to confirm it. ${ }^{47}$

Another side of the picture is given by the texts Nos. 113, 119, and 120, which clearly do not list settled population. In No. 113 the exact purpose of the list is lost, but the names are manifestly non-Assyrian, and lists of this kind-giving details of families including children-almost invariably refer to groups of prisoners or deportees being handled by the administration. In No. 119 the persons named, who had taken part in a razzia, are described either as Ruqahaean, or as being from Si'-altaru? ; hence it is of interest to encounter the names Mudada and Harānu, a century or more after the Assyrian kings had encountered the same names among the rulers of the Aramaean states on the Habur. The only other occurrences of these two names, as far as I know, are at Tell Halaf (Nos. 42, 5; 28, 2), and it would seem therefore that they did not survive the eighth century, at least in Assyria proper. Other Aramaean names of equal antiquity, however, did not suffer the same fate, such as Bahiānu, attested now in the ninth, eighth, and seventh centuries b.c.
Currency: This subject equally belongs in a much larger investigation of the currency and prices of the neo-Assyrian empire. All I want to do here is to point out that, in contrast to the seventh century when silver is undoubtedly the standard medium of currency, although copper was still fairly commonly used, in the texts of the early eighth century copper is very much more common than silver. In our sale texts-which include some as late as 743 and even 727 B.c.-we have thirty-four instances of copper or bronze ${ }^{48}$ as purchase price, ${ }^{49}$ to three instances of silver, ${ }^{50}$ and two texts with a mixed price of copper and silver (Nos. 17 and 18). Consequently we are justified in stating that in most of the eighth century copper was the standard medium of currency ; silver is used in Nos. 30 and 31 , where the area sold is considerable and the purchase price accordingly high, and the same reason probably applies to Nos. 17 and 18, where the bulk of the price was paid in silver, presumably for convenience, and the remaining small sum made up in the more accurate copper. The reasons for the (doubtless gradual) shift to silver are no doubt various, and it would be pointless to attempt to investigate them here when no study of prices for the later period has been made and other basic economic forces remain inadequately described. ${ }^{51}$
${ }^{47}$ Cf. the charts in J. Zabłocka, Stosunki agrarne in Nos. 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 12, 14, 19, 21-7, 29, 32-5, 43 $w$ panstwie Sargonidow (Uniwersytet im. Adama historyezny, Seria historica Nr. 47. Poznań, 1971) in particular those listing the deported population between the kings Aššur-dān II and Aššur-nirāri V ${ }_{48}$ and in the Sargonid period.
48 For the suggestion that both " copper " (URUDU)
and " bronze" "(ZABAR) may be used for bronze at this and " bronze" (ZABAR) may be used for bronze at this
date, cf. C. Zaccagnini, Oriens Antiquus 10 (1971), 123-44; his thesis seems to me to be supported by the parallel usage of the two logograms in our texts, and I do not therefore make a distinction between them here.
49
"
Bronze

49 " Bronze " in Nos. 2, 11, 15, 45, 47 ; " copper "
to do with copper or brine in No, $8,16,20$ to do with
37,48 . ${ }^{50}$ Silver is used in Nos. 30 and 31, and in view of the quantity of 1 mina must be restored in No. 13. ${ }^{51}$ To make a very rough comparison, we may quote
the following figures extracted from the 100 texts of the following figures extracted from the 100 texts of varying kinds edited in $A R U 200-99$; they date
mostly if not all from the seventh century: silver 72 texts; copper: 6; uncertain: 16; no meta involved: 6. In comparison with our texts, these figures speak for themselves, however much allowance is made for chance or non-random selection

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 1' $2^{\prime} \quad[$ $]_{i}^{\Gamma} s^{2}$ pu $x[$ ? $]$
(stamp seal impressions)
$3^{\prime} \frac{[(\mathrm{x}) \times \mathrm{x} m] i^{i} \text { íD-la-te } 2 \text { TÚG }}{} d a ́ p-p a-\_$ás $^{2}{ }_{\lrcorner}-[t e]$
$4^{\prime} \quad$ [ X T$]$ ÚG SI.LUHู.MEŠ-te $[(\mathrm{l})]$
$5^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}]$ ÚG $k i$-sip-te ša pu-uš-ka-a-a[( )]

$7^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{X}$ TÚ]]G $k i$-sip-[ $t] e$ ša $a$ síg ZA.GÌN.DIR $a-d i$ TÚG ša $x[\quad]$
$8^{\prime}$ [ x TỨG $\left.k\right] i$-ṣip-te ša SÍG ZA.Gìv.DIR $a$-di [T] ÚG KIMIN
$9^{\prime} \quad[()] x x x x$ ša lúha-ti-ni
$10^{\prime} 6$ TÚG. ${ }^{〔}$ GÚ ${ }^{\prime}$.È.MEŠ sad-ra-te 2 TÚG ša-ḩi-la-t[e]
11' 2 тúG $h\left[u l^{?}\right]-s e^{2} 4$ тúg gu-li-na-te
$12^{\prime} 2$ TÚG $g[a-m e]-d a-t e$ PAP 32 TÚG.PA.MEŠ
$13^{\prime} 3$ sal ${ }^{?}$ - $x x(x)^{7}$.MEŠ UTÚL UD.KA.B[AR] $s a-a p-l u$ URUDU
$14^{\prime}$ ša 4 MA.NA HAR URUDU NA ${ }_{4}$.GIL NA $\mathrm{NA}_{4} \mathrm{ME}-s \imath^{?}[(\mathrm{l})]$
$15^{\prime}$ ša 5 GÍN $q u$-da-si GUŠkIN
16' 「īna šì šáa urugar-ga-miš
B.E. $17^{\prime}[(x) x x] k a b$ URUDU (remainder broken)

## Translation

$3^{\prime}: \ldots . . ; 2$ cloth covers ; $[\mathrm{x}]$ S. cloths; $[\mathrm{x}]$ pieces of ša puškāyi cloth; $[\mathrm{x}]+\frac{1}{2}$ š. cloths of red wool; $[x]$ pieces of purple wool, together with a [...] cloth; [ x$]$ pieces of purple wool together with a ditto cloth; a $\ldots . \operatorname{cloth}(?)$ of the bridegroom ; $10^{\prime}: 6$ ordinary coats ; 2 š. garments ; $2 h$. cloths; 4 coats(?) ; 2 g. garments; in all 32 textiles(?). $13^{\prime}: 3 \ldots \ldots$; a cauldron of bronze ; a bowl of copper ; a copper arm-ring of 4 minas; a . . . . of . . . . stone ; a gold (finger-)ring of 5 shekels, by (the mina) of Carchemish; [a...].. of copper; (remainder broken)

## Notes

$4^{\prime}$ : TúG SI.LUH: this textile is presumably to be restored in $P V A 256$ (there : túk st.e[A] !), and is also attested in $A D D 959,5: 1$ TÚG STILUH, and $A D D 957,10: 4$ ST.LUH HÉ.MED(!). Any connection with UDU SI.LUH ( $R G D$ No. 48, $3^{\prime}$ ) is uncertain. Also túa.SI.LUH SA ${ }_{5}$ GI (VAT 9849, 20) and túa.SI.LUH KUR $a b(a)-l a-a-a$ (ibid., 24), courtesy K. Deller.
$5^{\prime}:$ kisiptu, apparently hitherto unknown, must be a derivative from the verb *kasāb/pu. This verb seems to be an nA by-form of $k a s a \overline{a p u}(m) \mathrm{I}(A H w 453 \mathrm{a})$, cf. $k a$-ZIB ( $A D D 676 \mathrm{rev}$. 11 ', coll.) ; the meaning of the verb being "to cut off " or similar, we may suggest a translation of kisiptu as "cut-off piece ".
ša puškāyī (sic ?) recalls $P V A 232$ : тứG.Gú.È ša bu bu-u[ $\check{s}-x]$; collation from photograph indicates that there is just enough space to accommodate $p u-u[s ̌-k a-a-a]$. K. Deller quotes me 3 тúc.bar.dut ša $p u$-uš-ka-a-a (VAT 9849, 22).
$6^{\prime}$ : šahili also PVA 240(!) and Billa No. 71, 2-3 (JCS 7 (1953), 137); plural šabilāte probably first attested here (1. $10^{\prime}$ ). The word is derived, according to $A H w 345 \mathrm{~b}$, from $\begin{gathered}\text { s.a } \\ a\end{gathered}$
síc.मॄ́.me.dA ( $=$ tabribu), see Iraq 32 (1970), 158, on No. 26.iv.5.
7 $^{\prime}$ : Sig.ZA.GIN.DR : B. Landsberger, mention here of a bridegroom indicates that the text lists items to do with a marriage ; the frst sign in the line could be tíc, in which case it might refer to a special kind of garment appropriate to a bridegroom. Any other sort of reference to him at this point seems unlikely.
$11^{\prime}$ : hulsēe is tentatively restored after PVA 242 ; however, as K . Deller points out to me, it is possible that the $P V A$ entry is an error for nik-se, which would fit equally well here, and is (unlike $b u l s \bar{e}$ ) otherwise attested (also in VAT 9849, 10 and 31).
12': túg.pa : this term, whose Akkadian reading is unknown to me, is elsewhere used to sum up certain types of textile : tứG.KAD and šadinnu (ND 2672 (Iraq 23 (1961), 42), and, without tứa, in $A D D 1124$ rev. 1-2).
$14^{\prime}$ : Possible restoration: me-si-[ra-nu], cf. No. 125, 4.
14-15': The word order in these lines is curious, but as indicated in the translation, it is clear that the mentions of weight apply to the following, and not the preceding, items.
17': Sign kab confirmed by collation.

## Commentary

Since the opening lines are lost, we can say little about this text, except that it lists ems transferred as part of a marriage transaction. For such texts, cf. par excellence ND 2307 (Iraq 16 (1954), 37-8), which includes textiles and metal vessels as here, but is richer by far.

## No. 2

Plate 3

Governor's Palace, Room M.
Obv. $1 \quad$ şu-pur ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{EN}}-a-h i}$
2 DUMU $\mathrm{m}_{\text {HAR- }} \tau^{?} u^{?}-n a-a-a$
(finger-nail impressions)
$3 \overline{\mathrm{~m} x[(x)]-t a-^{-} a}$
4 Ì $\mathrm{R} \stackrel{\mathrm{R}}{5}]{ }^{\mathrm{m}}{ }_{\mathrm{EN}}-a-h i$
5 ina ŠÀ 20 MA.NA UD.KA.BAR.MES
6 i-zi-rip
$7 a-n a{ }^{\mathrm{m}} m u-s{ }_{2}-z i b-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{MAS}}$
8 lúšá-kìn urukal-ḩi
9 i-ti-din
Rev. 10 kas-pu ga-mur
11 ta-din Lú za-rip
12 la-qi
13 IGI mir.DINGIR.MEŠ- $n$
14 DUMU [m]DINGIR-dà-ra-ni

15 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\text {ra-ši }}$-DINGIR
16 lúmu-kil-KUŠ..PA」.MEŠ
17 IGI m mu.gIŠ dUMU mki-rib-te
18 IGI mib-ni-i
19 DUMU m.dUTU.KAR-ir
20 IGI mla-qi-pu
21 lúše-lap-pa-a-a
T.E. 22 itiše li-me

23 m.dŠeš.gAL.DINGIR- $a-a$
Translation
1: Nail of Bēl-ahi, son of HARṭunāyu. (Nail marks.) 3: [. .]ta'a, slave of Bēl-ahi, he (Bēl-ahi) has contracted and given to Mušēzib-ninurta, the governor of Kalhu, in exchange for 20 minas of bronze. 10 : The price has been paid in toto, the man is legally acquired.
13: Before Urdu-ilāni, son of Ilu-darānu, before Raši-ilu, the rein-holder, before Šūmu-lēšir, son of Kiribtu, before Ibnī, son of Šamaš-ettir, before Laqīpu, the Shelappaean.

22 : Month of Addāru (XII), limmu of Nergal-ilāya (817/808 в.c.).

## Notes

2: нar-ku-also possible but less likely.
6: $i$-zi-rip ..... i-ti-din : this rare combination is found elsewhere only in $A D D 384,7$, although the phrase za-rip ta-din is found in an unpublished Assur text (Ass. Fd. Nr. 10805, see K. Deller, OrNS 33 (1964), 93). Here the phrase appears to replace the more usual utappiš ittidin. Deller has discussed the verb zarāpu (and its treatment in CAD, Vol. 16 (Ș), 105 b ) in his review of that volume in OrNS 33 (1964), 92-3. He is surely right in his reasons for taking the initial sibilant as $z$, not $s$, and it is now quite clear that he was correct in rejecting $C A D$ 's translation of the verb : "to buy, acquire". It appears from our passage that zarāpu must have had a function very like that of uppušu; Deller tries to set up the two opposing pairs uppušu + tadānu (procedure of sale) and zarāpu $+\operatorname{laq} \vec{a} ’ u$ (procedure of purchase), and there is no denying that the scribes habitually used the verbs in this way. But the occurrence here (and in $A D D$ 384, wrongly emended by $C A D$ and not explained by Deller) of zarāpu + tadānu shows (1) that CAD's translation is wrong, and (2) that Deller has attempted to draw too fine a distinction.
In short, it seems that, theoretically, zarāpu and uppušu are interchangeable, and both mean approximately "entered into " (or "concluded ") " a legal contract ", although in practice uppussu tends to be used more with tadānu, zarāpu more with $\operatorname{laq} \bar{a} ’ u$. It is no chance that our text is so early : ADD 384 (date lost) also has the archaic formula apil zaku, and collation reveals that it is a characteristic early eighth-century sale tablet, being large, and having the coarse script found on the Nimrud tablets of this date.
22: Note the absence of a day date; this too could be due to the text's early period, although the omission does of course occur later as well.

## Commentary

Whether the text dates to 808 , or to 817 , it is one of the earliest of our documents, and indeed (apart from a few from Tell Billa) of all nA legal texts. Two features which may be explained by this early date have already been noted above. The only other point worthy of comment is probably not to be attributed to this cause: the text completely lacks penalty clauses, even the invariable tuāru (dēnu) dabābu laššu. In this it agrees with No. 4 (769 в.c.), which is not particularly early within the context of this archive. In that case I have suggested that the informality of the document may be because the transaction
is between two members of the administration ; the same might be true here, but there can be no proof of this.

No. 3
Plate 2
$5 \cdot 8 \times 8.1 \times 2.9$
Governor's Palace, Room K
Obv. 1 ku-mu $\mathrm{NA}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{KIŠ̌IB}^{2}-s ̌ u ́ u s[u-p] u r-s ̌ u ́ u[i \check{s}]-k u n$
(finger-nail impressions)
$2 \overline{s[u-p] u r \text { m }_{\text {SUHUUŠ.ŠEŠ.MEŠ }}}$
3 LÚ.[ì]R Lša」 LÚ UGU É
4 ša lúen.nam ša [u]rukal-hi
$5 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{AD}}-u l$-ZU ŠEŠ-šúu
6 ina Šà 8 MA.NA URUDU.MEŠ
$7 a-n a{ }^{\text {m}}{ }^{\text {DI.KUD- }}$
i-ti-din
mDIKUD- $i$ ta IGI
10 m[SUH]UŠ̌.ŠEŠ.MEŠ í-tap-piš TI-qi
11 [Š]Ě̌̌-šúu ša mSUHUŠ.ŠEŠ.MEŠ
B.E. 12 [za-rip la]- qi

3 [kas-pu] ga-mur ta-din
14[]$\times x \times x$
Rev. 15 [ $] x x$
$16[\mathrm{MA}] . \mathrm{NA}{ }^{\text {r }}{ }^{\text {AN.NA }}{ }^{7}$.ME
] $x \quad x$ SUM- ${ }^{\ulcorner } a n^{\urcorner}$
] Gú.UN [UR]UDU.MEŠ
$\begin{gathered}] i\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}(x) \\ ] & x\end{array}\right]\right.\end{gathered}$
$\begin{array}{ll}x & x \\ x & x\end{array}$
$] x \times x$
[IG]I ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{ta}-\left\ulcorner x^{7}-q a^{?}\right.$ [(x)]
[IGI] $\mathrm{m}_{\text {si-lim-ǎ̌-_šur_ }}{ }^{\text {lt }}[x]-p u r^{?}$
[IGI] $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{SAR}^{2}-a-a}$ IGI ${ }_{a-r i^{?}-b u \text { Lú.ŠÀM }}$
7 IGI mhu ${ }^{2}-l a-a-a$ ša uru $_{\text {EN-li-bur }}$
28 IGI mìr. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} 15$ DUMU $^{\mathrm{m}} n u-r a-a-n i$
29 IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} q a-r u-r u$ IGI $\mathrm{m} d i-d i-i$ LÚ.ŠÀM
30 PAP 8 IGI.MEŠ ${ }^{\text {itizŹZ }}$ UD.3.KÁM
T.E. 31 lim- $m u{ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {EN.GAR. }}}$ BA- $a-n i$
to Dayānī in exchange for 8 minas of copper. 9: Dayānī contracted and took (him) from Ubru-ahhē. 11: The brother of Ubru-ahhē [has been legally ac]quired, [the price] has been paid in toto.
(Long break; ll. 16-18 mention payment of fines in tin (16) and in copper (18).)
24 : [before] Ta . . .[. ., before] Silim-aššur, a ......, [before] Kiṣrāyu, before Aribu? a "bought man ", before Hulāyu" of the village of Bēl-lībur, before Urdu-ištar, son of Nūrānu, before Qaruru, before Didī, a " bought man ". In all, 8 witnesses.
30 : Month of Šabātu (XI), 3rd day, limmu of Bēl-iqišāni (791 b.c.).

## Notes

7: Dayānī: dr.kud alternates with da-an and Aramaic דין in the PN Daȳān-kurbail (K. Tallqvist, $A P N$ 68a); our name DI.Kud $-i$ is also found in a list of specimen names (ADD II, App. 1.x.29, p. 361), where Tallqvist (ibid.) reads Dayān-na'id, which seems less likely to me than Dayān̄̄.

12: Note that the gap between the traces of $q i$ is exaggerated wrongly in the copy.
25: No space for [DUG.QA].bur, the last sign could also be PAD. If lúsir.pur (RGD No. 27.8 and ADD 952.7', coll.) were a syllabic spelling, we could perhaps restore here [si], but the connection with sheep in $A D D 952$ suggests that sir has to be taken as the sign for shepherd ; cf. perhaps Billa No. 76, 6 : 12 ši pur (JCS 7 (1953), 171) ?
26: Or possibly $h u$ (for $r i$ ).

## Commentary

Ubru-ahhē sells his brother to Dayānī. Ubru-ahhē is himself described as the slave of an official of the provincial governor, and it is therefore likely that his brother is also a slave (there is no reason to suppose that lú.ìr in 1.3 does not mean "slave" in its fullest sense). This does not however explain the nature of Ubru-ahhē's legal powers over his brother Abu-ū-idi. It might be that in the case of a slave-family the elder brother might exercise a father's authority over the rest of the family, but even if this were so, and there is no evidence to support it, he would surely not have the right of sale, which would lie with the slaves' owner. The same difficulty would affect the theory that Abuūlīdi was in some way legally obliged to his brother, such as by debt.
Even if we choose to assume that the term " slave " as used in this text is only relative, and should be considered to mean rather " subordinate ", we still have no obvious solution, or explanation, of why one brother might sell another. If the brother, as a free man, was being sold into slavery-a rare event in any case, at this date-we might expect a clause of release such as is found in No. 10, or better preserved in No. 248

No. 4
Plate 3
$6 \cdot 2 \times 8 \cdot 4 \times 2 \cdot 9$
Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. $1 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {PAP- }-a \text {-SU }}$
2 LứìR ša ${ }^{\text {lúagrig.gAL }}$
3 DUMU.MÍ-su
$4 a-n a$ LÚ.ÌR $s ̌ a \mathrm{~m}_{\text {EN.KI- }} a$

Obv． 5 ina lìb－bi 36 ma．na URUDU．MEŠ
6 sum－in kás－［ $p] u$ gam－mur
7 ta－din mí za－ar－pat
8 тi－at šúm－ma Mí
9 tah－ti－liq AD－šá
10 pu－tuh－šá na－ši
Rev． 11 IGI mšǔl－mu－pap．meš

13 LÚ．KA．S̆ÈR $\check{s} a$ ša IGI．É．GAL
14 IGI mhhu－ṭu－su lú
15 IGI mšúl－mu－EN．IGI GAL ši－ma－ni
16 IGI ${ }^{m}$ ERín．MEŠ．SIG LÚ．tin．NA
17 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\text {Sisi－si－ia }}$ LÚ．NINDA
18 IGI ma－ḩu－a－nu lúha－za－nu
19 ša URU．ŠE lütar－ta－ni
20 IGI mab－bu－a－na－15 Lú．tin．NA
21 IGI m GIŠ．MI． 15
T．E． 22 IGI m．d MAŠ．I
23 sa－bit IM
L．E． 24 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\text {șa－sti－i }}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{i}}\right]^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i}^{\text {barag }}$ UD． 13
25 lim－mu $\mathrm{m}_{\text {EN．DINGIR－}}-a$

## Translation

1：Ahua－（e）riba，slave of the chief steward，has given his daughter to the slave of Bēl－issiya in exchange for 36 minas of copper． 6 ：The price has been paid in toto，the woman is legally acquired．8：If the woman flees，her father bears the responsibility．

11：Before Sulmu－ahhē，before ．．－ahu－iddina，the tailor（？）of the palace supervisor， before Huṭusu，ditto，before Sulmu－bēli－lāmur，chief maltster（？），before Șābu－damqu， vintner，before Sisiya，baker（？），before Ahuānu，mayor of the village of the turtänu，before Gabbu－ana－ištar，vintner，before Șil－ištar，before Ninurta－na＇id，writer of the tablet， before Ṣaṣi．
24：Month of Nīsānu（I），13th day，limmu of Bēl－ilãya（769 в．c．）．

Notes
4：It is not possible to turn Bēl－issiya into the purchaser by rendering this line＂to（be）a slave of B．＂， because lútì cannot apply to a girl．

5： 30 is written like KUR（collated）．
12：${ }^{\mathrm{NA}_{4}} .$. š́ ：no reading can be suggested；collated．
13：sic（ša repeated）；this necessitates reading IGI É．GAL，and not agrig．gal
14：The unusual ditto sign is written over an erased GAM（a common sign of repetition）．
15：sti－ma－ni must be the Assyrian form for the ingredient of beer called isimmāñ（for which see the dictionaries）；any doubt on this score is settled by the subsequent mention of two＂vintners＂
（Lútin．va）． （Lư．Tin．FA）．
16：For reading sIC as damqu（i．e．for STa $_{5}$ ），see the other instances of this name in the Index ；the reading of the first element of the name seems to be proved by the writing sa－bu－SIG（APN 204b）． 22：There is the possibility of reading the $-i$ as a simple phonetic complement（cf．Deller＇s suggestion


## Commentary

Ahua－（e）rība sells his daughter（un－named）to a slave of Bēl－issīya（who was presumably at this date the rab $\bar{a} l \bar{a} n i$ of the governor of Kalhu）．Curiously，the name of the actual purchaser is not given，and the document lacks the usual penalty clauses，including the sibtu bennu clause，although there is a less usual condition，which lays the responsibility for the girl on the father should she run away．
Of the witnesses two belong to the establishment of the palace supervisor，while four others（Sulmu－bēli－lāmur，Şābu－damqu，Sisīya，and Gabbu－ana－ištar）have similar pro－ fessions，and perhaps form a group under the abarakku，since it is in commodities of this sort that he is known to deal．It may be that the curious features of this document are to be explained by the fact that the transaction is between members of the administration （cf．commentary on No．2）；the absence of penalty clauses could be accepted by itself as insignificant，but when the text has no seal or nail impressions either，some explanation of its deviation from standard practice must be sought．There seems to be no good legal reason for it，and hence I can only suggest that the whole transaction was relatively informal because of continuing close contact between the parties concerned．

Governor＇s Palace，Room K．

Obv． 1 ［s］u－pur ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} n a-g a-[a-a]$
2 en mí（finger－nail impressions）
3 m［ī］x－na－ia DUMU．m［í（－su）］
4 ina ŠÀ 「17 GÚ．UN MA．N［A ］
5 m $n a-g a-a-a \quad[($
$6 \quad a-n a{ }^{\text {m．d }}$ EN．ZALÁG－ka－「la－mur ${ }^{\urcorner}$
7 「ű－tap－piš i－ti－din
8 ［k］as－pu ga－mur ta－din
9 mí za－ár－pat la－qi－at
10 tu－a－ru da－ba－bu la－a－šú
11 ša BAL－u－ni
Rev． 12 「kas－pu a－na 10．MEš－te
13 a－na［E］N．MEŠ－šú ú－tar
$14[\mathrm{I}] \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{I}] \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{m}}$ 「 $b i^{? 7}-b i-i$
15 ［I］GI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{m}^{\top} x^{7}-q i-i$
16 IGI mpu－uš－hi－i
17 IGI ${ }^{m}$ DU－$i$ LUU．A．BA $^{\prime}$
18 itíDUL UD．20．K［ÁM］
19 lim－me ${ }^{\text {m．} d_{\text {MAš̌šs }}-z i b-a-n[i]}$

## Translation

1: Nail of Nagāyu, owner of the woman. (Nail marks.) 3: [. .]nāya [(his)] daughter Nagāyu has contracted and given to Bēl-nūrka-lāmur in exchange for one talent of [copper/bronze]. 8: The price has been paid in toto, the woman is legally acquired. There is no (further) withdrawal (or) litigation. Whoever revokes shall return the price ten-fold to its owners.

14: Before Bibī(?), before [. .]qī, before Pušhī, before Kēnī, the scribe.
18: Month of Tašrítu (VII), 20th day, limmu of Ninurta-šēzibāni (754 в.c.).

## Notes

17: ba' is written zu, but the emendation and restoration is supported by the occurrence of a Kēni as the scribe of No. 26 (II. 29-30). That Kēnī is the correct reading of $D \mathrm{DU}-\mathrm{i}$ is shown by $A D D 676$, where in rev. $6^{\prime}$ we have mke-ni-i and in rev. $10^{\prime} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{DU}}-i$ (collated).

No. 6
Plate 4; Photo Plate 93b
$5 \cdot 2 \times 8 \cdot 1 \times 2 \cdot 1$
Governor's Palace, Room M
Obv. $1 \quad k u$-um NA $_{4}$.KIšİ-šúu ṣu-pu[ $r$-šúu iš-ku]n

(finger-nail impressions)
$\overline{\mathrm{m}} x[(x) x q] i$ KA.ŠÈR ÌR $\operatorname{ša}^{\text {uru }}{ }_{\mathrm{NI}}[\mathrm{NA}]-a-a$ $u p-p\left[i \check{s}-m a a^{\mathrm{m}}\right] \cdot \mathrm{d}_{\text {AMAR.UTU.A.PAP DUMU }} \mathrm{m}_{\text {EN.PAP- }} i r$
ina Ŝ̀ $[(-b i) x$ MA.N]A URUDU.MEŠ TI- $q i$
6 kás-p[u ga(m)-mur S]UM-ni LÚ-u šu-a-tu
$z a-r[i-i p ~ l a q i ~ t u ́-a]-r u ~ d e-e-n u$
8 ка.[ка laššu] man-nu šá ina ur-kiš
9 i-na [matē-ma de-]e-nu KА.КА
$10 u b$-ta-' $[u-n i \quad 1$ м $]$ A.NA KÙ.BABBAR LUH- $u$
111 ma.na guškin sag-ru ina bur-ki
12 d15 ša uru ${ }_{\text {NINA }} i$-šak-kan
13 [ $k] a ́ s-p u a-n a$ 10.MEŠ-te ana EN-š[ú]
14 [ $\dot{u}-t] a-$ ra ina de-ni-š[ú] к[А.кА-ma]
15 [la T]I-qi bi-lat? AN'.NA [( )]
16 [ana L]úv.en.nAm URIJ-[šú iddan]
172 anše.kur.RA 「babbar`.m[EŠ
Rev. 18 [
19 [IGI m ]-aš-šur Lú [ ]
20 [IGI m$] x$ Lú $x[$ ]


23 [IGI m.dni]n?-urta? -PAP.PAP [( )]
24 IGI [m $x$ ] $x \quad x$ LỨ $x$

25 IGI [mé-s]ag-gíl-A.PAP A[.BA] s sa-bit IM
$26{ }^{141}\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & \text { UD].28.KAM } \lim -m[u]\end{array}\right.$
$27 \mathrm{~m}_{a s ̌-s ̌ u r-[s ̌ a] l-l i m-a n-n i} 1[$ úśá-kìn(?)]
28 uruár $^{r}-b a_{6}(\hat{U})^{?}-[x]$

## Translation

1: Instead of his seal [he impressed his] nail. Nail of Ninuāyu, tailor. (Nail marks.) 3 : [. . .]qi, tailor(?), slave of Ninuāyu, Marduk-aplu-uṣur, son of Bēl-nāṣir, has contracted and taken in exchange for [x] minas of copper. 6: The price has been paid [in toto], that man is legally [acquired; there is no (further) with]drawal, lawsuit, (or) litigation. Anyone who [at any time] in the future initiates lawsuit (or) litigation shall place [1] mina of purified silver (and) 1 mina of refined gold in the lap of Ishtar of Nineveh. [He shall] return the price ten-fold to its owners, [he shall plead] in his lawsuit, [but not] succeed. He shall [pay] 1 talent(?) of tin [to] the governor of [his] city, [he shall offer] 2 white horses [to DN].
19: [Before ....]-aššur, the [...., before .....], the [...., before .....], the builder(?), [before A]dad-iqbi, the [. . . ., before Nin]urta?-ahu-uṣur, [the . . . . .], before [.....], the . . . . Before [Es]aggil-aplu-uṣur, the scribe, writer of the tablet.
26 : Month [of . . . .], 28th [day], limmu of Aššur-šallimanni, the [governor] of Ar[rapha] (735 в.c.).

## Notes

6: Note the unusual writing Lư- $u$.
17: There may be a faint ruling after this line on the Bottom Edge; the penalties in 11. 15-17 are obviously an afterthought of the scribe.
18: This line may not have been present at all.
28: The city name required is Arrapha; I can see no convincing reading for the second sign, the first part of which is quite clear.

## Commentary

Sale of a slave by a man called Ninuāyu, or " the Ninevite ". Since the text mentions Ishtar of Nineveh (1.12), it seems likely that he was in fact a resident of that city, and indeed the omission of the PN determinative before his name in 1.3 suggests that it was perhaps not strictly his name, and that the scribe was conscious of this.

No. 7
Plate 5
ND 242
IM, for study
$6 \cdot 4 \times(8 \cdot 7) \times(2 \cdot 8)$
[-].ii.[-]

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. $1 k[u-u m / m u$


Obv． 4 m．dUTU．${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{AD}^{\urcorner} \cdot[\quad$ ÌR－šíu $]$
5 ša $\mathrm{m}_{m u-\mathrm{D}\left[\mathrm{I}^{?} .{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{UTU}(\mathrm{ta}\right.}$ ）］
ú－piš－［m］a $\left[\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{E}}\right] \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{KI}-a^{?}$
7 Lú．GAL．「URU？．MEŠ？${ }^{7}$ ina lìb－bi bi－la［t $\left.t^{?} x(x)\right]$
8 TA＊ $\operatorname{IGI}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ mu－DI？${ }^{\text {．}{ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{UTU} i[l-q i]}$
9 ［ka］s－pu［g］am－mur $[t] a-d[i n]$
10 L［ч́］za－rip la－$a-[q i]$
11 ［ttu－a－ru］de－e－［nu d］a－［ba－bu］
$12[(x) x x]_{\llcorner } x x_{\lrcorner}[$
（remainder of obv．and all rev．broken）
L．E． $1^{\prime}$［
$\left.{ }^{\text {iti }}\right]^{\text {G GUD }}$［
$2^{\prime}$
$3^{\prime}$
$i] a^{2}$－di IGI ${ }^{2} x[$
］IGI mša［l］－lim－DINGIR LÚ．SAG

## Translation

1：In［stead of his seal he impressed his nail］．Nail［of Mušallim？－šamaš of］the town ［．．．．］．（Nail marks．）
4：Samaš－abu－［．．．，the slave］of Muša［llim？－šamaš］Bēl－issīya has contracted and taken from Mušallim？？－šamaš in exchange for 1 talent［of ．．．］．9：The price has been paid in toto， the man is legally acquired．［There is no（further）withdrawal］，lawsuit，（or）litigation ［．．．．．］．（long gap）．
L．E．1＇：［ ］Month of Ayāru（II）［．．．．］，［before ．．i］adi，before［．．．．．，before ．．．．．］，before Šallim－ilu，eunuch．

## No． 8

Plate 3
$(5 \cdot 8) \times 7 \cdot 5 \times(2 \cdot 3)$
Governor＇s Palace，Room K．
Obv． 1 ［ku－um $\left.\mathrm{NA}_{4}\right]$ ．「KIšisb－šúu ṣ $][u$－pur－šú（iškun）］
（finger－nail impressions）
2 ［su－pur］m 2 DINGIR．SU KUR ha－ma－t $\left[a^{?}-a-a\right]$
$3 \quad[\mathrm{~m}(x)] x-d u$－lu Lú．ìr－［šu］
4 ［ša］mingir．SU［（ ）］
5 ［u－ta］p－piš ina ŠÀ 21 MA．N［A
$6 \quad a-n a{ }^{\mathrm{m}}{ }_{\mathrm{EN}}-i-s i-a i-t[i-d i n]$
kás－pu gam－mur ta－din
8 Lú $z a-r i p l a-a-q[i]$
tu－a－ru КА．КА ${ }_{\llcorner } l a_{\perp}-[a(\check{s})-s \check{u}$（ тапnи）$]$
10 ša i－pa－r［i－ku－u－ni］
11 L1」 GÚ．UN AN．［NA （remainder broken）
N．B．－The fragment shown in the copy together with ND 478 fills the gap in 11．6－9；it is at present housed in Baghdad together with ND $479(\mathrm{~b})$（No．37）．It measures（2•5）$\times(2 \cdot 2) \times(0 \cdot 9)$ ．

## ND 478

 Inst．Arch．London ［－］
## Translation

1：［Instead of］his seal［he impressed his nail］．（Nail marks．）［Nail］of Ilu－（e）riba，the ［man of］Hamath．

3：［．．］dulu，［the］slave［of］Ilu－（e）riba he（Ilu－（e）rība）has contracted and giv［en］to Bēl－issiya in exchange for 21 minas［of copper／bronze］．7：The price has been paid in toto，the man is legally acquired．［There is no（further）］withdrawal（or）litigation． ［Anyone］who contravenes（the agreement）［shall pay］ 1 talent of tin［．．．．．

No． 9
Plate 6 ；Photo Plate 92b
ND 474 IM 56862 ［－］
$7 \cdot 7 \times 10 \cdot 3 \times(3 \cdot 0)$
Governor＇s Palace，Room K．
Obv． $1 \quad$ su－pur $\mathrm{m}_{\text {PAP－la－mur }}$ DUMU $\mathrm{m} k i-s i-i$ （finger－nail impressions）
23 LỨ．ERÍN．MEŠ 1 MÍ PAP 4 ZI．MEŠ
$3 a-n a \mathrm{~m}_{\text {EN．KI－}} \mathrm{i} a$ ina 1 me $50 \mathrm{MA.NA}$ URUDU．MES
4 TA＊IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\text {PAP－l }} \mathrm{la}-\mathrm{mur}$ DUMU mki－si－ia
5 ú－tap－piš $i$－si－qi
6 kás－pu ga－mur ta－din
7 UN．MEŠ za－ar－pu la－qi－u
8 tu－a－ru da－ba－bu la－a－šu
9 man－nu šá ina ur－kiš TA＊ $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{EN} . \mathrm{KI}-i a}$
10 de－nu da－ba－bu ub－ta－ú－ni
111 ma．na Kù．babbar 1 ma．na Guškin
12 ina bur－ki d dAš $i$－šá－kan
13 ina de－ni－šu $i-d a-b u-u b$
14 la i－la－qi
$1 5 \longdiv { \text { IGI } { } ^ { 2 } - \text {－ma－ri－i ša qur－bu－ti } }$
16 IGI ${ }^{\text {m}}{ }^{\text {EN．URU GAL }}$ lúkal－la－pi

B．E．（uninscribed） （remainder broken）

## Translation

1：Nail of Ahu－lāmur，son of Kisī．（Nail marks．）
2： 3 men and 1 woman，in all 4 persons，Bēl－issīya has contracted and taken from Ahu－lāmur，son of Kisīya，in（exchange for） 150 minas of copper．6：The price has been paid in toto，the people are legally acquired．There is no（further）withdrawal（or）litigation． Anyone who in the future initiates a lawsuit or litigation against Bēl－issiya shall place 1 mina of silver（and） 1 mina of gold in the lap of Ninurta，（and）he shall plead in his lawsuit（but）not succeed

15 ：Before Imārī，officer，before Bēl－āli，chief kallāpu，before Aššur－rēmāni，information officer，［．．．．．

## Notes

1: The alternation $k i-s i-i: k i-s i-i a$ shows that the distinction $-i:-i a$ need not always be Ass: Bab (cf. M. Dietrich, $A O A T$ 7, $50^{2}$ ).
3: It would in theory be possible to translate this line as it stands: "in all 4 persons (sold) to Beel-issiya. He has contracted and taken ...", but this phrasing would be most unusual. I think it is easier to assume that the $a-n a$ is a mistake, and that the scribe had intended to use the phrase ana Bēl-issiya ittidin, but lost the thread of his intentions. Ina in place of the usual ina libbi might also be a slip.
15: ša qur-bu-ti clearly shows that the profession normally read ${ }^{1 "} q u r b u ̄ t u$ should (after the pattern
 the reign of Sargon. Besides the unequivocal instances in this volume (see glossary), we may compare
the following passages (a sample only) where lú qur-bu-ti/te is plainly a Nom. or Acc. singular: ABL 99 rev. 17, 306, 2-4, 12 (both Sargon); 165 rev. 8. NL 44 (Iraq 20 (1958), 195-6), 14-20. NL 54 (Iraq 21 (1959), 163 5) 16 (but cf 32); NL 62 (Iraq 21 (1959), 172 4), 20. NL 89 (Iraq 28 (1966), 185-7), 25

ADD 865, 2.
On the other hand, from Sargon's reign onwards, we find the writing Lú qur-bu-tú, which cannot in every case be a misreading for te : ND 2732 (Iraq 23 (1961), Pl. XXV) rev. 11'; ND 2803 (Iraq 23, Pl. XXIX-XXX) rev. i.1 (but cf. ibid. rev. i.8, $11,33,35$, ii.14, 17-all -te) ; $A B L 167,20$ (Sargon);
339 rev. 11 (Esarhaddon) ; 415 rev. 14; and many others. It is not clear whether these writings are for $\check{s} a$-qurbūtu or just qurbūtu; even the occasional omission of the Lú is not decisive (e.g. $A B L 415$; ADD 993.iii.3; ND 2489 (Iraq 23 (1961), PI. XVI, coll.), i. 7 : qur-ub-tú), since this could be an abbreviation like gal.SAG for rab ša rêséi.
Other instances are indecisive: (ša) qurbūti might be the Gen. sing. or the Plural of a *qurbūtu or ša-qurbūtu, whilst the writing qur-zaG leaves the final vowel in doubt. In my opinion the evidence suggests that towards the end of the eighth century b.c. the phrase ša qurbūti came to be treated as a single noun ša-qurbūtu, the period of transition falling chiefly within the reign of Sargon. A fuller investigation might permit us to define the position more accurately. A different explanation for the change of vowel is given by K. Deller and S. Parpola (OrNS 36 (1967), 338).

## No. 10

## Plate 5

ND 243 IM 56826
$7 \cdot 6 \times(8 \cdot 6) \times(2 \cdot 7)$
Governor's Palace, Room K
Obv. 1' $\qquad$
(trace of one stamp seal impression)
$2^{\prime} \quad\left[(\quad)^{\text {m }} l a-m a\right] r-\left\ulcorner i a-a^{7}-[n u x(x)] x-\right.$ šrú $[(\quad)]$
, $[u p-p] i s ̌-m a \begin{array}{llll}\text { m } \cdot \mathrm{d} & x & x & x\end{array} x^{\urcorner}$
, [i]na [l] $\bar{i} b-b i 1$ [ $G]$ Ú.UN 「MA.NA URUDU'.MEŠ
TA* pa-an m mu.U.U $i l-[q] i$
[k]as-pu ga-mur ta-ad-din
[L] Ư šu-a-tu za-rip laq-qi
$8^{\prime}$ [šum-m]u LUGAL(!) an-du-ra-ru
$9^{\prime}$
[i-] ša-kan m/a-mar-ia-ra-
 (remainder broken)

## Translation

[Seal of PN, owner of the man being sol]d. (Seal impressions.)
$2^{\prime}$ : [Lama]riānu, his [slave(?)] PN [contrac]ted and took from Šūm?-adad-milki in exchange for 1 talent of copper. $6^{\prime}$ : The price has been paid in toto, that man has been legally acquired. $8^{\prime}$ : If? the king creates an amnesty, Lamariānu [.....] the slave of the [. . . . .

## Notes

$5^{\prime}$ : The reading of the name mu.ש.U is uncertain, although the second part (if it is to be taken thus) is fairly well established now (see K. Deller, OrNS 34 (1965), 382 f.). K. Deller suggests to me that the is airly well established now (see K . Deller,
$8^{\prime}-9^{\prime}$ : Although $18^{\prime}$ was collated, it does not tend to support the emendation of in to lugal and may giving sense to the passage, and may be justified by a comparison with No. 248, 13'-16'. In 1. $9^{\prime} s^{\prime} s a$ preferable to $s_{a} \dot{a}($ (coll.).

## Commentary

This seems to be a slave sale, with an unual clause which envisages the possibility that the man being sold might be released from his slavery as a result of an (an)durāru (" amnesty "), and that therefore the present purchaser might lose the benefit he is here acquiring. No. 248 is a similar text, and since it is better preserved, I have held back a brief discussion of the possible implications of these clauses to the commentary on that text.

## No. 11

Plate 5
ND 246
$5 \cdot 8 \times 7 \cdot 8 \times 3 \cdot 0$
Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. $1\left[\begin{array}{lll}{[s u p u r} \\ \mathrm{m} & x & x\end{array} \mathrm{M}\right] \mathrm{U}^{?}$.A[PIN? En MÍ $\left.\quad(?)\right]$ (finger-nail impressions)
$2\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{mi} \\ x\end{array}(x) p\right] u^{?}$-šú DUMU.M[İ-su]
3 [(x) $x] x$ MEŠ $u$-piš-ma
$4[\mathrm{~m}(x) x] x$. LMU.APIN $^{2}$ ina lib-bi
5 [ UD.K]A.BAR.MES
$6\left[a-n a{ }^{\mathrm{m}}(x) x x\right]$ - $\left\ulcorner{ }^{2} b^{27}-n i\right.$-PAP
7 [id-din kas-pu] ga-mur
8 [ta-din ( )] be-en-nu ṣib-tu
9 [ana 1 me UD.MEŠ] sa-ar-tú
(remainder of obv. broken; gap of 3-6 lines)
Rev. 1' [IGI $\left.\left.^{\mathrm{m}} x x\right]\right]^{-} a^{?}$-tú $x[(x x)]$
$2^{\prime}[(\quad)]^{\text {lú }}$ mu-kil-KUŠ.PA.ME
$3^{\prime}[\mathrm{IGI}]$ m.d $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{IM}}-s a-a a^{\prime}-d u-{ }^{-} \imath^{\top}-d i n$
$4^{\prime} \quad$ Lú.3.U ${ }_{5}$

$6^{\prime}$ IGI m mD. ${ }^{\prime} 5^{\prime}$ '.KÁM- $a-a$ ŠEŠ.AD-šúu
$7^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{I}}[\mathrm{M}] \text {.DÙ uru }{ }_{\mathrm{HAR}}-s ̌ a-a-a}$

Rev. $8^{\prime}$ IGI mhar-ma-ku DUMU ${ }^{\text {m }}$ aš-šur-l $\left[\right.$ e- $\left.i\left({ }^{\imath}\right)\right]$
$9^{\prime}$ IGI $\mathrm{m}_{m u-m i-i}$ KIMIN
$10^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{m} a$ š-šur-PAP- $i r$ LÚ.MU
11' [I]GI ${ }^{\text {PAP-l }} u$-TI lúkar-ka-di-nu
12' [IG]I ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} q a-n a-s i-i$ Lú.DUMU-š [ $\left.\hat{u}^{i}\right]$
13' [IGI] mqur-di-d 15 LÚ $[x x]$
$14^{\prime}$ [IGI ${ }^{\text {m}}{ }^{i}$ ]na ${ }^{\text {? }}$-DI.PAP- $i r$ L[Ú.A.BA $]$
T.E. $15^{\prime}[s a-b i t]$ tup-[pi( )] (remainder broken)

## Translation

1: [Nail of . . .]-šūmu-ēreš(?) [owner of the woman]. (Nail marks.)
2: [...p]ušu, [his] daughter ..... [...]-šūmu-ēreš(?) contracted and [gave to ..]-ibni-ahu(?) in exchange for [.... of] bronze. 7: [The price has been paid] in toto, (she is guaranteed against) sickness and seizure [for 100 days] (and against) criminal charges [for ever . . . (break of 3-6 lines).
Rev. 1': [Before . .]'atu[. . .], rein holder, [before] Adad-ṣa'du-iddin, third rider, before Abu-ilāya, mayor, before $\mathrm{Ha}(\mathrm{n})$ šāyu, his father's brother, before Adad-ibni, man of HARšu, before Harmaku, son of Aššur-le['i?], before Mumī, ditto, before Aššur-nāșir, cook, before Ahu-luballiṭ, victualler, before Qanasī, his son, [before] Qurdi-ištar, [..... before I]na-šulmi-nāṣir(?), [the scribe, writer] of the tablet.
(Remainder, probably including the date, broken.)

## Notes

4-6: The restoration of the first name (in 1.4) as the seller, and the second as the purchaser (by supplying iddin, not ilqi, etc.), is based on the observation that the -su which has to be restored at the end of 1.2 can only refer to the man mentioned in 1.4, who must therefore be the owner of the girl. rev. 13 (coll. CAD в 206b)) should be an indicator of early date, before the phrase was standard. Rev. $9^{\prime}$ : Before kimin an erased Lú.

No. 12
$6 \cdot 9 \times(7 \cdot 9) \times 3 \cdot 0$
Plate 7

Governor's Palace, Room K
Obv.
(space for seal or finger-nail impressions)
$\qquad$

$\left.5^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{m}_{\text {EN.DÙ-uš } x[(x)} x\right] i l^{3}-q\left[i^{3}\right]$
$6^{\prime} \quad$ kás-pu ga-mur $[$ ta-din $]$ ERÍN.MEŠ
$\begin{array}{ll}6 \\ 7^{\prime} & z a s-p u \quad \text { ga-mur }[r-p] u-d i n \\ 8^{\prime} & {[l] a-\_q i_{\lrcorner}-u}\end{array}$


$10^{\prime} \quad[1]$ MA.NA [K]Ù.[BABBAR] $1 \mathrm{~m}[$ [A.NA KÙ̀.G]I
11' $a-n a[(x) x] x x[(x)] x[(\quad)]$
$12^{\prime} \quad$ [D]UMU.M[í-su(? $\left.)\right] \quad x \quad x \quad x \quad x[\quad]$
$13^{\prime}$ [
(undeciphered ; $17^{\prime}$ is the first line of witnesses' names)

## Translation

$(2(+) \mathrm{PNs}) 4^{\prime}:$ [in] exchange for $3^{?}$ talents of copper (PN contracted and] took(?) from Bēl-ēpuš. $6^{\prime}$ : The price has been [paid] in toto, the people are legally acquired. There is no (further) withdrawal [(or) lawsuit]; anyone who contravenes(?) (the agreement) [shall pay 1] mina of silver (and) 1 mina of [gold] to [DN . . . . .] his daughter(?) [. . . . . at the] feet of [DN . . . (remainder broken).

## Notes

$3^{\prime}$ : This line should at least include the name of the purchase
$9^{\prime}:$ man is written over an erased $m a$. The verbal form restored would be acceptable if derived from the N stem of parāku, but that stem is not otherwise attested in this context.

## Commentary

This multiple slave sale is unfortunately in piteous condition. That it involves more than one person is shown by the plurals of lines $6^{\prime}-7^{\prime}$, and by the high purchase price.

## No. 13

Plate 6; Photo Plate 92a
ND 257 Inst. Arch. London 29.iv.?
$4.8 \times 8.1 \times 2.2$

Governor's Palace, Room M.

Obv. 1 NA ${ }_{4} \cdot$ KIšIB $^{\mathrm{m}} d\left[a^{?}\right.$ -
2 EN M[í (stamp seal impressions)
3 mi
4 DU[MU.MÍ(?)
$5 \hat{u}^{?}[-$
$5 u^{?}[-\quad$ ]
6 ina lib-「bi $1 \mathrm{MA}^{7}$.[NA KÙ̀.babbar]
7 il-qí kas-pu g[a²-mur]
8 [t]a-din tu-a-ru d[e-(e-)nu]
9 [K]А.КА $l a-a-[s ̌ u ́ u$
Rev. 10 [
$11[(x) x x] n a[$
12 IGI $^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {EN.GIŠ. }} \text {. }}$

$14 \mathrm{I}[\mathrm{GI} \mathrm{m}] \cdot \mathrm{d}$ UTU-nu-r $\mathrm{u}^{2}$


Rev. $16{ }^{\text {rigI }}{ }^{\text {I m m }} 30 . \mathrm{I}$ ? $[(\quad)]$
17 itiŠU UD.29.KÁ[M]
18 lim-mu ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} x x \times x x$

## Translation

1: Seal of $\mathrm{Da}[$. . .], owner of the woman [(being sold)]. (Seal impressions.)
3: FPN, daughter(?) [of Da..., PN contracted and] took in exchange for 1 mina $[(+x)$ of silver]. 7: The price has been paid in [toto], there is no (further) withdrawal, [lawsuit] (or) litigation. [. . . . . . . .].
12: Before Bēl-...., before Nabû? ....., before Samaš-nūr[ī?], before Ubru-i[štar?], before Sîn-nā'id?

17: Month of Du'uzu (IV), 29th day, limmu of . . . .

## Notes

1: $\mathrm{m}_{i}[d$ - would also be possible.
18: Despite the considerable traces surviving, I have been unable to identify this limmu's name.

No. 14
$7 \cdot 0 \times 10 \cdot 4 \times 2 \cdot 6$
Governor's Palace, Room U
Obv. 1 ku-um NA $A_{4}$.KIšib-šúu ṣu-pár-šú iš-ku[n]
(space for finger-nail impressions)
$\mathrm{m}_{m e-n i-'}$ ' Е́ ep-šú
$3 a$-di GIŠ.ÙR.MEŠ-šúú
4 maš-šur-tàk-lak Lú.GAL É
ina Šì $40 \mathrm{M}[\mathrm{A} . \mathrm{N}] \mathrm{A}$ URUDU.MEŠ
ú-tap-piš i-si-qi kás-pu
ga-mur ta-〈din $\rangle$ E $z a-r i p$
laq-qı́ tu-a-ru ка.ка la-šú
9 man-nu šá ina ur-kiš TA ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ aš-šur-tàk-lak
10 lu-u ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ me-ni-' $i$ lu-u DUMU.MEŠ-šú
11 [l]u-u ŠEŠ.MEŠ-šúu de-e-nu
12 [KA]. KA $u[b-t] a-' u-n i$
$13\left[\begin{array}{lll}x & x & x\end{array}\right]$ GUŠKIN DIR ina bur-ki DINGIR
$14\left[\begin{array}{lll}(x) & x & x\end{array}\right] a$-šib urukal- $h i$ GAR-an
B.E. $15\left[\begin{array}{lll}x & x & x\end{array}\right]$ Kù.BABBAR? $a$-na $a^{?}$ Lú.EN.NAM

16 [URU-šíc SUM-an
Rev. 17 [IGI $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{m}}(x) x x\right]-a$-DINGIR
18 [
8 [
$\left[\right.$ IGI] $\mathrm{m}\left[\begin{array}{lll}x & x\end{array}\right] x$.PAP
20 [Lú].SAG ša LÚ.EN.NAM

ND $401+402$ Inst. Arch. London 18.xii. 802

21 [IGI] miš-me-DINGIR
22 [IGI] m.d UTU-šal-lim
$23{ }^{1}\left[{ }^{1} m\right] u$-kil-ap-pa-te
24 IGI mhar-ma-ku
25 IGI m.d(?)MAŠ?.šUii(?).DIB
26 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{s i-s i-i a}$ A.BA? URU?
27 itiše Ud.18.KÁM
28 lim-me $\mathrm{m}_{a s ̌}$-šur-UR.É.KUR

## Translation

1: Instead of his seal he impressed his nail. (Unused space for nail marks.) (Nail of) Meni'i.
2: A built house together with its beams Aššur-taklak, the major-domo, has contracted and taken in exchange for 40 minas of copper.
6: The price has been paid in toto, the house is legally acquired; there is no (further) withdrawal (or) litigation. Anyone who in the future initiates a lawsuit [(or) litigation] against Ašsur-taklak-whether Meni'i, or his sons, or his brothers - shall place [x mina(s)] of red(?) gold in the lap of [DN] who dwells in Kalhu, (and) shall give [x mina(s) ${ }^{?}$ ] of silver? to the governor [of his city].
17: [Before . . . .]a-ilu, [before . . . .]simu, [before . . . .]-uṣur(?), eunuch of the governor, [before] Išme-ilu, [before] Šamaš-šallim, the rein holder, before Harmaku, before Ninurta? ${ }^{\text {? }}$ ātīi?-sabat? , before Sisīya, the city scribe(?).
27 : Month of Addāru (XII), 18th day, limmu of Aššur-bašti-ēkurri (802 в.c.).

## Notes

13: The sign DIR is written, characteristically for nA, with a " missing " vertical (cf. on No. 24, 5), but the initial "SI" shows clearly that DIR and not KAL was intended. Here it is used where we are accustomed to expect sagru, which refers (like mesú used of kaspu) to a condition of the metal (refined, or the like), rather than to a colour. DIR is found describing gold in historical texts (see R. Borger, Asarhaddon, p. 83, on 1. 30), but against Borger, and with CAD A Pt. 2, 500a, it must be sāmu, " red " and not utru " extra-fine ", which belongs to old Assyrian.
28 : Aššur-bašti (read: bāsi ?)-ēkurri is the correct version of the name previously read Ašsur-balti iš̌i (A. Ungnad, RLA II, 422-3, 442b), as was already shown by the Sultantepe limmu lists (see O. R Gurney, An.St. 3 (1953), 17)

# No. 15 

Plates 9-10
ND 203
$10 \cdot 8 \times 15 \cdot 4 \times 3 \cdot 0$

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 1 [ku-um NA $_{4}$.KIŠIB-šúúnu ṣ]u-pár-š̌ú-nu iš-ku-nu

| (finger-nail impressions) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| [supur | ] mqu-ni-ia |
| [ | ] m.d. ${ }_{\text {UTU.ZU }}$ |
| [ |  |

Obv． 5
［ina lìb－b］$]$ lib－bi ud？$x$ x $x$ bi ki e u－tap－$p i-s ̌{ }^{2}$
$\left.\left.\left[a-n a a^{\mathrm{m}}\right] \mathrm{EN} . \mathrm{KI}-\right)\right] 15$ MA．NA UD．KA．BAR．MES
$\left[{ }^{2} a{ }^{\mathrm{m}}(x x)\right] x x \quad x$ lússácíl URU．MEŠ－$n i$
$\left[i(t)^{?} ?-\tan -\left[n u^{?}\right]\right.$ x
$\left[(t)^{\cdot}\right]$－tan－［nu ${ }^{2}$ ］kás－pu ga－mur ta－din
［A．Š］${ }_{\mathrm{A}}$ ？$\check{s} a_{\llcorner }-a-t \hat{u}_{-}[()] z a-r i p l a q-q i$

${ }_{L} l u_{\lrcorner}-u$ LÚ．ERÍN．M［EŠ］$a n-[n u-t e]$ EN A．ŠÀ．GA．MEŠ
［lu－u ŠEŠ．MEŠ－šú－nu lu－u DUMU］．MEŠ－＿šú $\lrcorner-n u ~ l u-u ~ D U M U . D U M U . M E S ̌-s ̌ u ́ u ́-n u ~$
［lu］－u Lú．erín．meš en．［Meš $i l]-k i$－šúu－nu
$[l u-u]^{1}\left[{ }^{\dot{u}}\right] s \check{s} a k-n u[(x \quad x)]_{L} l u_{\lrcorner}-u$ lúha－za－nu
$[l] u-u$ mám－ma－nu－šú－nu 「qur｀－bu ša［i］l－la－an－ni
TA＊${ }^{\text {m }}$ EN．KI－ia de－e－nu KA．KA ub－ta－＇u－u－ni
 $a$－šib uru $k u r_{\lrcorner}-b a-i l$ SUM－an
B．E． 257 LÚ．mAš．MEŠ 7 Mí．mAŠ．MEŠ $a-n a$ dša－la hitrat ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{\text {IM }}$ ú－maš－šar
Rev． 27 2 ANŠE．KUR．RA．MEŠ BABBAR．MEŠ ina GìR ${ }^{11}$ aš－šur $i$－ra－kas 1 MA．NA SÍG qer－du кú am－mar dug $a$－$q a-n i$ sad－ru NAG 1－bÁN sah－lé－e TA＊「KÁ？．GAL šá urukur－ba－il $a-d i$ КÁ．GAL ša urukal－ḩi $i$－zar－ru－ni－šú
ina ap－pi EME－šú i－laq－qut［（x）］KÁ GIŠ．BÁN－šúl－nu ú－mal－la kas－pu a－na 10．mEŠ－ti a－na EN．m［EŠ］－šú ip－pal $a-n a$ de－ni－šu $i$－da－bu－bu la i－laq－qi
IGI ${ }^{\text {m．d }}$ EN．NUN＇．DINGIR．MEŠ LÚ ${ }^{\text {SANGA }}$ ša uruk $u r$－ba－il IGI ${ }^{\text {m．} d_{\text {EN．A．SUM－}} \text { ．} a \text { DUMU－šú }}$
 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{s i} \hat{-}[p] a ́ r-r a-a-n u$ GAL KUŠ．MEŠ ${ }^{\prime} a$ uru $k u r-b a-i ̀ l$ IGI mDUMU．${ }^{\text {d INANNA }}$ lúha－za－nu šá urukur－ba－il IGI m［s］a－ni－ni IGI m．a ${ }_{\text {IM．SEŠ．AS }}$
IGI m ${ }^{\text {DUMUU }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ INANNA GAL MÍ．MAŠ．MEŠ ${ }^{\text {ša }}$ É lítur－ta－ni IGI ${ }^{\text {m }}$ ra－＇$u-u$－$-z u$ A ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{s a-n i-n i}}$
PAP 9 LÚ．I［G］I．MEš－ti urukur－ba－il－a－a
IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} a m-p a-a-r\left[u^{2}\right]$ Lú．ìR ša mi．d ${ }_{\text {sar }}$ r－pa－ni－tum－šar－rat

IGI mir－ni－ia LÚ 「 $x(x)$ IGI？${ }^{?}[\mathrm{~m}(x)] x-h i-s u$
IGI m．uru $\mathrm{HI}-a-a$ LÚ．DUMU－ši－pír ša GAL．LÚ．SAG．MEŠ
IGI miz－bu－SI．SÁ lúša qur－bu－tı
IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} n u-u q-s a-a$ ša É lúsar－ten－ni
IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\text {SUHUŠ．}}{ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{UTU}$ LÚ．ìR ša $\mathrm{m}_{\text {mar－duk－}}{ }^{2} a^{27}$
IGI ${ }^{\text {m．d }}$ U．GUR．MU．P［A］P $s a-b i t$ IM

51 itidul Ud．15．кÁm［lim］－mu ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {EN．BA－}} \text {－ni } n \text { šá－kìn urušib－hi－ni－iš }}$
$52 \quad i-n a$ M［U．A $]^{\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{NA}}$ uk－li la ba－ši－ti

## Translation

1：［Instead of their seals］they impressed their nails．（Nail marks．）［Nail of ．．．． （and）．．．．．］（and）Qunia［（and）．．．．（and）．．．．．］（and）Šamaš－（i）le＇i［（and）．．．． x men］，owners［of the land（being sold）］
7：［An estate of x homers of land in cult］ivation？，（measured）by the sūtu of $10 q a$ ， ［（and）．．．．］．．．，a well，a house，a threshing－floor，［．．．．．］．．．．（these men）have contracted ［（and）in exchange］for $[(x+)] 15$ minas of bronze have［sold to］Bel－issiya，the village inspector［of P］N，the governor of Kalhu．13：The price has been paid in toto，that［la］nd is legally acquired．There is no（further）withdrawal（or）litigation．In the future at any ［time］（anyone）either（one of）these men，the owners of the land，［or their brothers or］ their［sons］or their grandsons，or the men responsible for their ilku－duties，or an officer or a mayor，or any relation of theirs，who comes up and initiates a lawsuit（or）litigation against Bèl－issīya，shall pay［5？］minas of silver（and） 5 minas of gold to Adad who dwells in Kurbail，and shall dedicate 7 male（and） 7 female votaries（？）to Sala，the first wife of Adad．He shall offer 2 white horses at the feet of Aššur． 28 ：He shall eat 1 mina of carded wool，（and）drink a standard agannu－bowl．They shall strew for him 1 sūtu of cress？－seed from the gate of Kurbail to the gate of Kalhu，and he shall gather it with the tip of his tongue，and fill their sūtu up（again to）the brim．31：He shall repay the price to its owners ten－fold；he shall plead in his lawsuit（but）not succeed．
34 ：Before Bēl－rubā＇－ilāni，the priest of Kurbail，before Bēl－aplu－iddina，his son，before Bēl－issīya，the brother of Bēl－rubā＇－ilāni，before Siparrānu，the leather official of Kurbail， before Mār－ištar，the mayor of Kurbail，before Sanini，before Adad－ahu－iddina，before Mär－ištar，chief of the female votaries（？）of the house of the turtānu，before Ra＇ūzu，son of Sanini，in all 9 witnesses of Kurbail．
43：Before Ampār［u？］，slave of Şarpanītum－šarrat，before Šamaš－ahu－iddina，slave of Aššur－aplu－uṣur，before Irnīya，before？［．．．］hisu，before Hiāyu（？），messenger of the chief eunuch，before Izbu－lēšir，officer，before Nuqsā，of the house of the sartennu，before Ubru－šamaš，slave of Mardukia？，before Nergal－šūmu－uṣur，the writer of the tablet．
51 ：Month of Tašrītu（VII），15th day，limmu of Bēl－iqīs̄āni，governor of Šibhiniš，in a non－．．．．year．

## Notes

24：This is one of a small group of our texts which concerns land transactions in the vicinity of Kurbail，a city which certainly lay to the north of Nineveh．For the reading of the name，and for discussion of its location，see B．Parker，E．E．D．M．Oates，and J．V．Kinnier－Wilson in Iraq 23 （1961）， 31 ， Iraq 24 （1962）， $16^{26}$ and $97-9$ respectively
25 ：LữMAŠ and mí．MAŠ are also found in No．17，30，followed by lú．／mí．SUhur．Lá．For this sort of making the reading kazrutu（pl．kazrāte）probable for nA．For lứMAŠ MSL XII 236 viii 12 offers the nA gloss ma－šu－ú，but no reading for mí．maš is known．Otherwise one might have seen in mí．maš the logographic writing for harimtu．
28－31：For the penalties described in these lines（and in No．17，27－9），see W．von Soden，OrNS 26 （1957），135－6，and Analecta Biblica 12 （＝Studia Biblica et Orientalia 111 （1959）），365－6．The plant
sahllu is generally identified with a kind of cress（Lepidium sativum），which has similarities to mustard， and whose seed was used as food（R．C．Thompson，DAB 56）．

32：Note the rare use of apālu．
34：NUN＇emended after 1． 36 ．
37：Is mere coincidence that，of the people known with this name（ $A P N$ 202b），one（ $A D D 75$ rev．9， 742 в．c．）is a sa－rip duh－ši－e（read so after $A R U 652$ ）？
42：The writing Lư．IGI．MEs－ti seems to suggest reading this logogram used by the Assyrian scribes as šibūti，especially if we compare $\check{s i}$－bu－ti in Tell Halaf No．20， 8 （AfO Beiheft 6，23）．
formulation．As it stands，it should mean＂in a non－existent year of（the）$u k l u$＂，but＂by its careless yormulation．As it stands，it should mean＂in a non－existent year of（the）uklu＂，but＂a non－existent
year a meaningless concept，and one must suspect that the phrase is intended to convey rather year＂is a meaningless concept，and one must suspect that the phrase is intended to convey rather
that it was the $u k l u$ which did not exist．This obscurity is even worse in the only comparable passage （ND 3421，15－17；a slave sale）：ina MU．AN．NA la ba－si－ti a－ki 1 qa ŠE．PAD．MES̆ $1 \frac{1}{2}$ MA．NA URUDU．MES̆ ta－lak－u－ni GEMÉ TI－at－＂the slave－girl was bought in a＇non－existent year＇when 1 qa of corn went for $1 \frac{1}{2}$ minas of copper＂；however，this passage does give us a clue to the correct understanding of the phrase，since it includes a note of the price of corn（＂Getreidekursangabe＂）similar to those discussed by K．Deller in OrNS 33 （1964）， 257 ff ．The price given in ND $3421-1$ qa of corn for $1 \frac{1}{2}$ minas of copper－ is extremely high，and this suggests that ina šatti lā bašīti means loosely＂in a year of famine＂． Following a suggestion of A．L．Oppenheim＇s，I would therefore take $u k l u$ here as＂food＂，and not， as I had previously conjectured，as（waklu $=$ ）uklu，the king＇s title in a legal context．
The phrase then means＂in a non－existent food－year＂，and describes economic conditions at the time of the sale．I can find no Assyrian parallel for this sort of remark，but it is perhaps worth referring to the third millennium phrases mu－hé－gál－－la）and mu－nu－gál－la（see D．O．Edzard，Sumerische Rechts－ urkunden des 111 ．Jahrtausends，p． 223 for references）．
compare my note on $R G D$ No． $28,3^{\prime}$（p．57）．
49：$\left\ulcorner i a^{7}\right.$ is probable，but lugal would perhaps also be possible；however，the syllabic writing of the first part of the name favours the suggested reading．

## Commentary

This text is discussed above（pp．12－13）along with the others which refer to Kurbail． This particular land sale records the purchase by Bèl－issiya of an area of land which includes a well，a house，and a threshing－floor，from at least 8，probably 12，owners．It is impossible to gauge the area of the land from the price of $15(+)$ minas of copper，since the economic conditions－which may have occasioned the sale in the first place－will have lowered the price．Another question which cannot be definitely answered，is whether Bēl－issiya is here acting on his own behalf，or as an official agent of the provincial governor of Kalhu．

No． 16
Plate 7
$(4 \cdot 9) \times(6 \cdot 2) \times 3 \cdot 7(\mathrm{ND} 247)$
$(3 \cdot 9) \times(3 \cdot 6) \times 3 \cdot 0($ ND $275(\mathrm{~m}))$
Governor＇s Palace，Room K
Obv． 1 ［ku－mu NA $_{4}$ ．кIšıB－šúu－nu ṣu－pár－šúu－n］u iš－ku－nu （finger－nail impressions）


| 4 | $[$ | $] x^{\mathrm{m} k} k\left[a^{2}-\right.$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | $[$ | $]^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {SUHUŠ．HAL．}}}$ |
| 6 | $[$ | $\mathrm{d}]_{\mathrm{IM}}[$ |
| 7 | $[$ | $\mathrm{D}] \dot{\mathrm{U}}[$ |
| 8 | $[$ | $]_{\mathrm{L}} x x_{\perp}[$ |

］$[$
d］im［
$]_{\llcorner } x x_{\lrcorner}[$
（remainder of obv．broken）

Rev．

lim－mu］${ }^{\mathrm{m}}{ }_{\mathrm{EN}}$ ．［BA－a－ni］lúšá－kìn 「uru？
$i-n] a^{2}{ }_{\text {L MU }}$ ．［AN．NA $(u k-l i)$ la $b a-s \hbar i-t i$
N．B．－These two fragments do not join，but must be from the same tablet．The minimum width of the two fragments together is 8.3 cm ．，the minimum width of the gap between the inscribed surfaces as preserved is 1.5 cm ．

## Commentary

These two fragments come from a companion tablet to No．15，and must have documented a very similar transaction，probably with Bēl－issiya as the purchaser．There must have been 12 or more sellers．

## No． 17

Plates 11－12
ND 496
$9 \cdot 0 \times 13 \cdot 7 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．（thickness not measured）
Governor＇s Palace，Room M．
Obv． 1 「／ku－um ${ }^{7} \mathrm{NA}_{4}$ ．KIšIB－šúu ṣu－pur－šú iš－kun （finger－nail impressions）
2 su－「pur ${ }^{7} \mathrm{~m}_{\text {DINGIR．DÙ－}}$ áš LỨ．SAG ša L［Ư？．GAL．SAG（？）］
3 É $60^{\text {？}}$ aNŠE A．Šì ina ma－［za－r］u－ti

5 ina uru $d u$－＇$u$－ú－a ša Lứ．UŠ ANŠE．MEŠ
6 ú－piš－ma ina Šà 2 MA．NA 2 GÍn KÙ．babbar $2 \frac{1}{2}$ MA．NA URUDU

8 ［LUGAL K］UR $a s ̌$－šurki $i d$－din
9 ［kas－pu ga（m）］－＿mur，ta－din A．šà za－rip la－qi
$10 \quad[t] u-a-\ulcorner r u\urcorner d[e-n u] d a-b a-b u l a-a ́ s ̌-s ̌ u$

12 ［l］u－u［ŠEŠ．M］EŠ－šú $l u-u$ DUMU．ŠEŠ．MEŠ－šáu lu－u mám－ma－nu－šú qur－bu
13 ［l］u－u L［̛́］．SAG EN $i l-k i-s ̌ u ́ u l u-u$ lúha－za－nu

Obv． $14 \quad l u-u$ lúšak－nu lu－u lúqe－pu lu－u Lú．GA［L．UR］U．MEŠ
15 lu－u Lú．En．nam lu－u mám－ma zaq－pu ša e－［la］－ni
16 ina UGU A．ŠÀ šu－a－tu šu－su um－ma－du－〈ni＞
17 TA＊m．dUTU－ku－mu－a ŠEŠ．MEŠ－šú DUMU．ŠEŠ．MEŠ－šúu
18 de－nu da－ba－bu ub－ta－＇u－ni
191 MA．NA KÙ．bABBAR 1 MA．NA GUŠKIN ina bur－ki dMAŠ
20 a－šib urukal－hi i－šá－kan
Rev． 214 anše．KUR．RA．meš babbar．meš ina［ $\epsilon]$ ìir ${ }^{\text {ii }}$ aš－šur i－ra－kas
224 ANŠE．KUR．RA．MEŠ HUR－ba－ka－ni ina GİR ${ }^{\text {ii }}$ dŠEŠ．GAL $u$－še－rab
1 ma．na síg qer－du 「Kú？？
am－mar dus $a-g a-n i$ sad－ru 「NAG
dumu．Uš－šú GAL－úu ina pa－an d30［G］ibíl
Dumu．mí－su gal－tu ina IGI ${ }^{d} b e^{?}-x[(x)] i-$ ${ }^{\text {ša }} a r^{\urcorner}-r a p$
3－BÁN úsah－lé－e TA＊KÁ．［G］AL［ša］uru［kal－h］i
$a$－di KÁ．GAL ša URU．ŠÀ．URU 「 $i$－za $a a^{\urcorner}-r u-n i$－šúú
ina ap－pi EME－š́ú i－la－qu－［u］t GIŠ？．BÁN－šíu－nu ú－mal－la 7 Lú．MAŠ．MEŠ 7 MÍ．MAŠ．MEŠ $a-n a d_{\text {IM }} a$－šib urukur－b［a］－il ú－šar 7 Lú．SUHUR．LÁ．meš 7 mí．SUhur．Lá．meš
$a-n a$ diš－tar $a$－ši－bat uru TAB．TAB．DINGIR $i$－da－an
kás－pu a－na 10－a－ti a－na EN－šúu ú－ta－ra
ina de－ni－šúu i－da－bu－bu la i－la－qi

IGI m．d30．KAR－ir Lú．GAL É ša LÚ．GAL．SAG
IGI mbir－ta－$a-a$ LÚ．A．ZU ša LÚ．GAL．SAG
IGI ${ }^{m} u b-r u-{ }^{-1} A K$ Lú．A．BA ša Lú．gAL．SAG

IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\text {AD．GIŠ IGI }} \mathrm{m}_{i-t u-{ }^{\prime} a-a-a \text { DUMU } \mathrm{m}_{\text {AD }} \text { ．GIŠ }}$
IGI mbi－si－lu lúha－za－nu ša urudu－＇u－ú－a
IGI mab．PAP．IGI IGI mrém－ni－DINGIR IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ s $i$－id－qi－DINGIR
PAP 4 Lứ．MEŠ ša uru $d u-{ }^{\prime} u-\hat{c}^{-}-a$
［IG］I m ${ }_{\text {man－nu－ki－PAP．MEŠ urukal－ha－a－a la－bi－ru }}$
［IG］I ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} i q$－bi－DINGIR KIMIN I［GI］${ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{GİR}^{1 i}-a s ̌$－šur－aṣ－bat KIMIN
T．E． 46 ［igi m．d］im－ba－nu Kimin i［GI m．］${ }^{\text {dPa．MU．PAP．MEŠ Kimin }}$

［ $\left.{ }^{[i \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{G}\right]$ UD UD．6．KÁM lim－me $[\mathrm{m}] \cdot \mathrm{d}_{\text {MAŠ．PAP－}}$ ir
$\left[\text { IGI }{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \cdot\right]^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{AK} . \mathrm{DU}-d\left[u^{?}-g\right] u l^{l} s, s a-b i t ~ t u p-p i$


## Translation

1：［Instead of］his seal he impressed his nail．（Nail marks．）Nail of Ilu－eppaš，eunuch of the［chief eunuch（？）］．
3：An estate of $60^{?}$ homers of land in cultivation，（measured）by the sütu of $10 q a$ in the［sūtu $\left.u^{?}\right]$ of copper，in the village of Du＇ūa of the donkey－drivers，he（Ilu－eppaš）con－ tracted and gave to Šamaš－kūmūa，eunuch of Adad－nērārī［king］of Assyria，in exchange for 2 minas 2 shekels of silver（and） $2 \frac{1}{2}$ minas of copper． 9 ：［The price］has been paid in toto，the land is legally acquired；there is no（further）withdrawal，［lawsuit］（or）
litigation．Anyone［who］in the future－whether Ilu－eppaš，or his［brothers］，or his brothers＇sons，or any relative of his，［or］a eunuch responsible for his ilku－duties，or a mayor，or an officer，or an executive，or a village－inspector，or a governor，or anyone who comes forward－（who）lays his hand on that land（and）initiates a lawsuit（or）litigation against Šamaš－kūmūa，（or）his brothers，（or）his brothers＇sons，shall place 1 mina of silver （and） 1 mina of gold in the lap of Ninurta who dwells in Kalhu． 21 ：He shall dedicate 4 white horses at the feet of Aššur，（and）introduce $4 \ldots$. horses at the feet of Nergal． 4 white horses at the feet of Assur，（and）introduce $4 \ldots$. horses at the feet of Nergal．
He shall eat 1 mina of carded wool，he shall drink a standard agannu－bowl．He shall burn his eldest son before Sîn，and he shall burn his eldest daughter before Bē $[$ lat？$-x]$ ．They shall strew for him 3 sūtu of cress？（－seed）from the gate［of Kalhu（？）］as far as the gate of the inner city（Aššur），（and）he shall gather it with the tip of his tongue（and）fill up their sūtu（again）． 30 ：He shall dedicate 7 male（and） 7 female votaries（？）to Adad who dwells in Kurbail，（and）give 7 male（and） 7 female hierodules（？）to Ishtar who dwells in Arbailu． He shall return the price ten－fold to its owner．He shall plead in his lawsuit（but）not succeed．
35 ：Before Himbu（？），priest of Nergal，before Sîn－ēṭir，the major－domo of the chief eunuch，before Birtāyu，the doctor of the chief eunuch，before Ubru－nabû，scribe of the chief eunuch，before Nabû－šallim，scribe，before Sāmūtu，the diviner of the king，before Abu－lēšir，before Itu＇āyu，the son of Abu－lēšir，before Bisilu，mayor of the village of Du＇ūa，before Kimir？－ahi－lāmur，before Rēmāni－ilu，before Șidqi－ilu，in all 4 witnesses of Du＇ūa． 44 ：Before Mannu－kī－ahhē，a long－standing Kalhu man，before Iqbi－ilu，ditto， before Šēp－aššur－aṣbat，ditto，［before］Adad－banu，ditto，before Nabû－nādin－ahhē，ditto， ［before B］ūnāya（？），scribe，before Šunu－qardu，the butler（？）of the king．

48 ：［Month of］Ayāru（II），6th day，limmu of Ninurta－nāṣir（783 в．c．）．
49：［Before］Nabû－kēnu－dugul？，writer of the tablet．Before Mušallim－marduk，the third rider of the chief eunuch．

## Notes

2：The restoration at the end of the line takes account of the number of witnesses who belong to the household of the chief eunuch．This suggests that one of the participants in the transaction belongs to that household as well，and the buyer Samaš－kūmūa does not，being a eunuch of the king＇s household．
3：The number of homers is not certain．It is unclear whether the diš is preceded by a sign or merely by a break；as the space is restricted，the simplest solution is that given，but another possibility would be to read 71 （i．e．$[60+1] 0+1$ ）homers．
4：A copper sūtu is known also from texts from the Nabû temple at Nimrud：ND 5451，2；5453，3； 5460，2；5468， 3 （Iraq 19 （1957），129－34）．
5：Du＇ūa also occurs in texts from Nineveh，see S．Parpola，AOAT 6， 106
7：Šamaš－kūmūa is the purchaser also in Nos． 31 and 57.
12：For the restoration of［brothers］and not［sons］，compare 1．17：both buyer and seller were unuchs ！
13：It appears from this line as though the＂men responsible for $i l k u$ duties＂were in the case of eunuchs other eunuchs；but it is difficult to know how rigorously to accept this．
15：The translation is literally＂or anyone arisen who comes up＂
16：The phrase＂to lay hands upon＂is unparalleled in nA legal texts，although it is used in old Babylonian．
23 ：kú，which does not agree with the traces，is nevertheless necessary（cf．No．15，28）． 27－9：For this（and other clauses here）cf．No．15，29－31；in 1.29 read possibly rather ká＇bán．
30 ：ú－šar replaces the form umašssar in No．15， 26 ；the use of this verb is rare in nA and probably consciously literary ；the use of these two forms of the present supports the idea that the verb was falling out of use（ef．K．Deller，OrNS 30 （1961），347）．

31：On subuur．lí see note to No．15， 25.
35：This line is squeezed in as an afterthought；presumably the scribe omitted to give the priest his proper place at the head of the list．As for the name，the reading Tāb－šār－x is tempting，and this would sugsest taking the Bu as se－ru，as suggested to me by K．Deller；however，the sign isell is clearly BU and not SE－RUM，which poses pro．
42：For the PN see on No．42， 14.
44：For Kalhāyu labīru K．Deller refers me to $A B L$ 1103， $7^{\prime}-8^{\prime}$ ，qi－in－na－te ša uru ${ }_{\text {NINA }}$ la－bi－ru－te； our phrases probably should be taken as＂member of a long－established Kalhu family＂．
47：The reading Būnāya for Dঠ̀̀－$a-a$ is based on comparison with longer names with this component， e．g．the eponyms for the years $850,844,823$ ，and 816 （ $R L A \mathrm{II}, 420-1$ ）．In the translation no．LuL is emended to kaš．LuL（not collated）．
49：$d[u-g] u l$ seems probable，but could not be collated．

## Commentary

The unusual size and length of this text，together with its careful redaction，may be due to the importance of the transaction which involved a considerable area of land．Unfortu－ nately we cannot define the location of the village in whose territory the land lay，but its mention in texts from Nineveh suggests that it was not in the near vicinity of Kalhu． However，we cannot use the mention of Adad of Kurbail（l．30）to associate this text with those which are concerned with the Kurbail area，since the god was too well known to be only local；nor does the phrase in $11.27-8$ help us to decide where the land was situated．

Governor＇s Palace，Room K．

Obv． 1 ［ $\left.\mathrm{NA}_{4} \mathrm{KIš}\right]$ IB ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} a \check{s}$－šur－A．PAP DUMU ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} x x-n i$
（cylinder seal impression）


$5[(x) x] x$ URUDU $?$ ．MEŠ $i l-q i$
$] x x[(x) t u-] a-r u^{?} d e-\left[e^{?}\right]-n u$
$\mathrm{m}] a s ̌-s ̌ u r-\mathrm{A} .{ }^{\ulcorner } \mathrm{PAP}$ luㄱ DUMU．M［EŠ］－šúu
$-s]$ ú lu LLÚ qur－ub－šúu」
］．meš－ni
$]-n i$
$] x[\quad]-n i$
［ $x$ ］MA．NA K［Ù．babbar？$] x$ $x[(x) x$ G］AR－an
12 ［
Rev． 13
14 IGI ${ }^{\left.\mathrm{m}_{u}\left[\begin{array}{lll}b^{?}-x & x & x\end{array}\right] \text { DUMU }{ }^{\text {m．d } \mathrm{d}_{\text {INANNA－t }}} \text {－} r i-b a\right) ~}$

15 IGI mbur－「ki $(x) s i^{?\urcorner} \lim ^{2} s i^{?} x(x)$ DUMU m．d ${ }_{\text {MAŠ．E }}$
16 IGI mšùl－mu－LUGAL DUMU ${ }^{\text {m }}$ ERÍN．MEŠ－$d a$－$q u$
17 ［IG］I mìr．${ }^{\text {diNANNA }}$ lúh $\alpha a-z a-n u$
18 「ša urukal－hi
19 IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ mu－šal－lim－${ }_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{MAS}}$ lúmu－tir－te－me
20 ša LÚ．EN．NAM ša urukal－hi
21 IGI ${ }_{4}$ sa－la＿－ma－nu
22 IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} p u-[(x)]-\left\ulcorner\check{s} a^{\urcorner}-a-a\right.$
EN $x$ x me ša urukap－ru da－lal
［IG］I $\left.\mathrm{m}_{s a-l a-「} m a\right\urcorner-n u$ LÚ．GAL KA．Š̀̀R
ša lúrak－su－te

## IGI m．${ }_{\text {PA．MU．AS }}$ DUMU $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{HII}}-b a-n i$

［s］a－bit tup－pi $\qquad$
$\mathrm{iti}_{\text {BARAG }}\langle\mathrm{UD}\rangle .15$ ．КÁM $l i-[m] u \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{EN} . \mathrm{SI}}$ ． $\mathrm{SA}^{7}$
［L］Ú．ntMGIR ša mšùl $1-m a-n u$－MAŠ
［LUGA］L KUR $a \check{s}$－šur

## Translation

1：［Seal］of Aššur－aplu－uṣur，son of ．．．ni．（Seal impression．）
2：［．．．．．．．PN，the ．．．．］of？the governor［（ ）］has contracted（and）taken in exchange for $16^{?}$ minas of silver $[(\text { and }) \ldots . . .]^{2}$ of copper． $6:[\ldots . .$. ．there is no （further）with］drawal？，lawsuit？，［（or）litigation．Anyone，whether］Ašsur－aplu－uṣur，or his sons，or his［．．．．］or any of his relatives，［．．．．．．］shall put $[\mathrm{x}]$ minas of silver？
$\qquad$
14：Before U［bru？－．．．］，son of Ištar－tarība，before Burki－．．．，son of Ninurta－iqbi， before Šulmu－šarri，son of Ṣābu－da（m）qu，［bef］ore Urdu－ištar，the mayor of Kalhu，before Mušallim－ninurta，information－officer of the governor of Kalhu，before Salamānu，before Pušāya？，the $\qquad$ of the village of Dalal，before Salamānu，chief tailor（？）of the raksu men，before Nabû－s̄ūmu－iddina，son of HIbāni，writer of the tablet．
28：Month of Nīsānu（I），15th，limmu of Bēl－lěšir，herald of Šulmānu－ašarēd，［king］ of Assyria（781 в．с．）．

## Notes

15：The final E is written over an erased $i q$（from $i q-b i$ ）
22：The name is restored after that in No．92， 9.
26：The name perhaps rather $t i$－ba－ni．

## Commentary

Strictly there is no certainty that this is a property sale at all，as the crucial lines naming the purchaser and the thing sold are lost．However the tablet is superficially very similar， by its size and script，to Nos． 15 and 17，although it has a seal impression，not finger－nail impressions．Moreover the mention of both the mayor of Kalhu and a man from a village （1．23）among the witnesses，does perhaps add to the statistical likelihood that this is a land sale．

Governor＇s Palace，Room K．
Obv． 1 ku－mu $\mathrm{NA}_{4}$ ．KIšİB－šúu
2 şu－pár－šú iš－kun （finger－nail impressions）
3 su－pur mku－ku－na－a－te
4 DUMU $\mathrm{m}_{\text {ș }}$－bu－úu－ia
5 GIŠ．ŠAR Ứ ina KÁ．MEŠ－šú
6 ša É $\mathrm{m}_{\text {SU }}$ ．${ }_{\text {dMAR．UTU }}$
746 Gíd 30 dagal
8 「 $a-n a{ }^{7} \mathrm{~m}_{\text {SU }} \mathrm{d}_{\text {dMAR．UTU }}$
9 ina ŠÀ 「5？ $5^{? 7}$ MA．NA URUDU．MEŠ
10 ᄂ $i_{\lrcorner}-t i-d i-\left\llcorner n i_{」}\right.$
11 ［kas－pu g］a－m［ur ta－din］
B．E． $12[t u-a]-r u$ K［А． KA$]$
13 ［la－á］scs－s［ú］
Rev． 14 ［ ］x［］
15 ［ $x$ Ma．n］A KÙ．babbar［
6 ［ina bur－ki（ $\left.{ }^{?}\right) s ̌$ s．$] a^{?} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{IM}} \check{s}[a$
17 ［i－š］á－ka－an ina de－［ni－šú］
18 ［K］A．кA la $i-l[a-q i]$
19 ［IGI］m ${ }_{\text {KÁ．DINGIR－}-a-a \text { PAP［ ］}}$
20 I［GI］m．d30．E
21 IGI mgu－un－g［u－x］
22 IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} t a-b u-s[u()]$
23 IGI mha－de－e［（ ）］
24 IGI misu－ni－［x（ ）］
25 IGI ${ }^{\text {m．d．d．}}$ PA．$x[(\mathrm{l})]$
T．E． 27 UD．20．кÁM lim－mu m．d AMAR．UTU－「̌ém？${ }^{? \imath-n i}$

## Translation

1：Instead of his seal he impressed his nail．（Nail marks．）Nail of Kukunātu，son of Ṣābūya．
5：A vegetable（？）garden within the gates of the house of Rīb－marduk， 46 （cubits）long 30 （cubits）wide，he（Kukunātu）has sold to Rīb－marduk in exchange for 5？minas of copper．11：［The price has been paid in］toto，［there is］no（further）［withdraw］al（or） liti［gation．Anyone who contravenes the agreement（？）shall］place［x minas］of silver ［（and）$x$ minas of gold（？）in the lap］of Adad of［．．．．］．He shall plead in his law［suit （but）］not［succeed］．
19：Before Bābilāyu，brother（？）［．．．］，before Sîn－iqbi，before Gung［u．．］，before

TTābūs［u（．．．）］，before Hadē［．．．］，before Suni［．．．］，before Nabû－．．［．．．］，writer of the tablet．

26 ：Month of Addāru（XII）［．．．］，20th day，limmu of Marduk－rēmāni（779 в．c．）．
Notes
5：The translation＂vegetable garden＂assumes that giš．šar ú．SAR（＝urqi）was intended，but it is also possible that $\hat{u}$ is a phonetic complement to GIŠ．S̆AR（kirû）．The very small area in question makes the vegetable patch the more likely．
9： 4 ma．na would also be possible（coll．）．
14：This line should possibly read $] i-z\left[a a^{\prime}-q u-p a-(a-) n i\right]$ ．
15：This line is written over erasures．
24：Note that this witness is a woman
 and $\mathrm{s}[\mathrm{U}$ are all possibilities．
26：The traces after šx do not seem to favour dirig（collated），but it is hard to see what else could tand here．

## Commentary

Sale of a small plot of ground to the man，Rīb－marduk，within whose＂gates＂（pre－ sumably implying an enclosure wall）the land lay．Note that the formula of sale does not include the usual uppušu or zarāpu，but uses tadānu by itself（cf．note to No．2，6）．

No． 20
Plate 14
$(6 \cdot 0) \times 12 \cdot 0 \times 2 \cdot 6$
Governor＇s Palace，Room K．
Obv． 1 GIM NA $4_{4}$ ．KIŠIB－š［ú ṣu－pár－šúu iškun］ （finger－nail impressions）
2 su－pur ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}{ }_{\text {EN．［DÙ }}-a-a$ EN A．ŠÀ $\quad$ ）］
3 É $24^{?}$ anše［A．ŠÀ
$4 i$－se－ni－i ${ }^{\text {s．}}{ }^{\text {？}}$
5 m．d 30．KAR－ir［
6 ina lib－bi 1 G［ÚU．UN
7 ú－tap－piš［т］A？［
$8 i$－si－q［i］kás－p［u ga（m）－mur ta－din］

$\begin{aligned} 9 & \text { A．Š̀ } z a-r[i p] l a q-q[i \text { tu－a－ru（dēnu）］} \\ 10 & \text { da－ba－bu }[l a-a ́ s ̌-s ̌ u ~(~\end{aligned}$
）］

13 lu－ú šEŠ．MEŠ－šú lu－ú m［ám－ma－nu－šú
14 lu－ú́ Lúc．meš－e ERín．meš［
15 ša TA＊m．d3［0］．KAR－ir $x$［
16 de－nu da－「 $b a-b u^{\urcorner}$［ub－ta－＇$\left.u-n i\right]$
175 MA．NA KÙ．BABBAR 2 MA．N［A GUŠKIN（ ）］
18 ina bur－ki ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} n$［in－urta

Rev. 204 an[šm.kUR Pa meš
$21 i$-[rak-kas
22 šá [
23 ka[s-pu
24 i-
$25 x$ [
(remainder of rev broken)
T.E. $1^{\prime}$ IGI [
$2^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{\text {m }}$ [
$3^{\prime}$ IGI m.d
L.E. $4^{\prime}$ iti $\left[x\right.$ U U]D.11.кÁм $l i-m u[\mathrm{~m}] \cdot \mathrm{d}_{\text {AMAR.UTU }}$-rém-ni

## Translation

1: Instead of his seal [he impressed his nail]. (Nail marks.) Nail of Bēl-[būnāya, owner of the land (being sold)].
3: An estate of $24^{?}$ homers of [land . . . . . .], together [with $\qquad$ . . ] Sîn-ēṭir [. . . . .] in exchange for 1 talent [. . . . . .] has contracted and taken from? [Bēl-būnāya]. 8: The price [has been paid in toto], the land is legally acquired. [There is no (further) withdrawal (lawsuit), (or)] litigation. (Anyone) at any time in the future, whether Bēl-būnāya, or [his sons], or his brothers, or any [relative of his], or men (who are?) people [. . . . .], who [initiates] a lawsuit (or) litigation against Sîn-êțir (or) aga[inst his sons(?)] shall place 5 minas of silver (and) 2 minas [of gold] in the lap of Ni[nurta who dwells in Kalhu(?), shall [dedicate] 4 [white] horses [to Aššur . . . . . (remainder broken)].
T.E. $1^{\prime}-3^{\prime}:(3$ witnesses $)$.
L.E. 4' : Month of [. . .], 11th day, limmu of Marduk-rēmāni (779 в.c.).

Notes
1: For $\operatorname{GIM}=k u ̄ m(u)$ see K. Deller, OrNS 36 (1967), 81 (on NL 87).
4: For this usage of issēniš cf. $A R U 342$
12: For the reading of the name cf. note on No. 17, 47
15: In view of the restricted space, we should perhaps restore $\mathrm{L}[$ Ú.SAG $]$ (cf. No. 33, 15) or $\mathrm{T}\left[\mathrm{A}^{*}\right.$ šEš.meš-š̌úl, which would imply that Sin-ētir was a eunuch

## No. 21

Plate 14
$(3 \cdot 5) \times(3 \cdot 6) \times(1 \cdot 8)$
Governor's Palace, Room K or M.
Obv. 1 [kūm(u) NA 4 .KIšIB-šú ṣ]u-pár-šú iš-kun (finger-nail impressions)

| 2 | $[$ şupur | $g] a^{?}$ É 10 ANŠE A.ŠÀ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 3 | $[$ | $]$ E.? $^{?}$ ad $-r u$ GIŠ.ŠA $[\mathrm{R}]$ |
| 4 | $[$ | $] x$ |

transliterations and translations

5 [
(remainder of obv. and upper part of rev. broken)
Rev. 1'
$2^{\prime}$ [
T.E. $3^{\prime}$
$3^{\prime}$
$4^{\prime}$
$5^{\prime}$
$5^{\prime}$
$6^{\prime}$
-_DINGIR? ${ }^{\text {. }}$.MEŠ
Lứ?].MU $\check{s} a$ GAL.SAG
] lúha-za-nu
]en
UD. $x$ ].KÁM lim-mu m.dIGI.DU.KAM

## Translation

1: [Instead of his seal] he impressed his nail. (Nail marks.) [Nail of P]N
2: An estate of 10 homers of land [. . . . . . .], a house, a threshing-floor, (and) orchard, [. . . . . . . . . in exchange for . . . . .]copper [...
Rev. 1': [before ....]li, [before ....]-ilāni?, [before ......, the] cook of the chief eunuch, [before .....], the mayor, [before ....]-bēl.
$6^{\prime}$ : [Month of . . . ., xth] day, limmu of Palil-ēreš ( $803 / 775$ в.c.).

## Notes

2: The $g$ ] $a^{\text {? }}$ could be the final syllable of the seller's name, but a better restoration is probably [supur PN en a.sì̀.c]a. ...
No. 22
Plate 15
ND 495
$6 \cdot 0 \times 8 \cdot 5 \times 3 \cdot 1$

Governor's Palace, Room M.
Obv. 1 șu-pur mki-qi-la-a-ni
2 İR ša ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{EN}} \mathrm{KI}-i a}$
3 [L]Ú.GAL.URU.MEŠ-ni (finger-nail impressions)
4 EN É ŠU ${ }^{11}$ É $q a-t i$
5 *a-na gi-mir-ti-šúu
6 ú-piš-šúu m ${ }^{\text {ERÍN.MEŠ.SIG }}$ :
7 m.d ${ }^{\text {UTU-še }}$-zib $i-n a 1$ GÚ.UN URUDU.MEŠ
8 TA* IGI mki-qi-la-a-ni
9 тा-qi-ú kas-pu ga-mur
10 SUM-ni É ŠU ${ }^{I I}$ šu- $a-t u ́$
$11 z a-p a-a t ~ l a-q i-a t$
12 tú-a-ru de-nu
B.E. 13 ка.ка la-áš-šúu

14 man-nu šá ina ur-kiš
Rev. 15 ina man-ti-ma lu mki-qi-la-nu
16 lu DUMU-šú lu DUMU.DUMU-šú

Rev． 17 TA＊merín．meš．SIG TA＊m．dutU－še－zib
18 de－nu ка．ка $u b-t a-$－$u-n i$
19 kas－pu a－na 10－te a－na EN－šú
20 GUR－ár ina de－ni－šư
21 Ka．ka $l a$ тi－$q i$
$2\left[\overline{[\mathrm{I}] \text { GI }{ }^{\mathrm{m}\ulcorner } \mathrm{KUR}^{7}-\operatorname{man}-d\left[i^{7}\right]}-a-a\right.$

24 IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} p a-n a-a-a$
25 IGI mapIN－eš－DINGIR
26 IGI ${ }^{m}$ EN．BÀD lúmu－Kil－${ }^{-}$KUŠ．PA ${ }^{7}$ ．MEŠ

T．E． 28 IGI ${ }^{\text {m．d }} \mathrm{d}_{\text {MAS̆．I？}}$ ？sa－bit［ $[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{M}$
$29 \mathrm{iti}_{\text {GUD UD }}$ ．26．KAM
L．E． 30 lim－mu ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {EN．KUR－}}-a \text {［］］úśá－kìn uru par－нA－na }}$
31 IGI mad．GIŠ lúš［e－l］a－pa－a－a

## Translation

1：Nail of Kiqilānu，slave of Bēl－issīya，the village－inspector，（nail marks），owner of the workshop．
4：A workshop in its entirety Șābu－da（m）qu（and）Šamaš－šēzib contracted（and）took from Kiqilānu in（exchange for） 1 talent of copper．9：The price has been paid in toto， that workshop is legally acquired ；there is no（further）withdrawal，lawsuit，（or）litigation． Anyone who at any time in the future，whether Kiqilānu，or his son，or his grandson， initiaties a lawsuit（or）litigation against Ṣābu－da（m）qu（or）against Šamaš－šēzib，shall return the price ten－fold to its owner．He shall plead in his lawsuit（but）not succeed．
22：Before（Māt）mandiāyu（？），before Šamaš－nādin－šūmi（？？）son of ．．．－ālik－pāni（？）， before Pānāya，before Ēreš－ilu，before Bēl－dūrī，the rein－holder，before Bēl－iddina？，the chief ．．．．of the king，before Ninurta－na＇id，writer of the tablet．

29 ：Month of Ayāru（II），26th day，limmu of Bēl－šadūa，governor of Parnunna（756 в．c．）． 31 ：Before Abu－lēšir，the Šelappaean．

## Notes

4：The scribe may have written $b \bar{e} t q \bar{a} t i$ syllabically the second time in order to make it clear that the repetition was not mistaken．
6：The text has $h u$ for SIG（collated），but 1.17 makes it clear that SIG was in fact intended；for the name see No．4， 16 （note）．
11：za（r）pat：the loss of the $r$ is attested elsewhere in the form za－pat（ARU 57；463；and in a text from Sultantepe（An．St． 7 （1957），138－9：SU 51／36，11）collated）．Cf．also rš becoming šš（note on No．24，5）．
Note that bēt $q \bar{a} t i$ is apparently feminine（though cf．11．5， 10 ！），unlike bētu alone ！
15：Error for mat－ti－ma？maN is written over an erasure
19－20：As appears on the copy，the scribe at first jumped a phrase somewhere here，and these lines re consequently written over erasures；at the end of 1.20 тi－$q i$ is still recognizable．
28 ：The correct reading of $\mathrm{d}_{\text {MAš．I }}$ is open to doubt，cf．on No．4， 22.

## Commentary

An unusual feature of this sale is that we have two buyers，and it is unfortunate that
the text gives us no clue as to why the two men co－operated in the purchase，or how their joint ownership was later regulated．

## No． 23

Plate 16
$7 \cdot 1 \times 10 \cdot 3 \times 2 \cdot 6$

Governor＇s Palace，Room K．
Obv． 1 ［kūm（u）NA $\mathbf{N}_{4}$ ．KI］šist－šúu ṣu－pur－šúu GAR－un
2 ［scu－pur ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} n$ ］$]$－te－ia EN A．［ $[$ š］À
（finger－nail impressions）
3 ［É $x]$ ANŠE $\left\llcorner 3\right.$－BÁN？${ }^{\text {？}}$ A．ŠÀ ina mu－li－「 $e^{7}$
4 ［ $x$ ANŠE $x$－］BÁN $\llcorner i n a$ ú－šal－＿－$[l] i$ PAP 4 ANŠE A．ŠÀ
$5\left[x(x x) \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{l>-l]} u-b a-a-a q u q-\left\llcorner q e-r e_{\perp}\right.\right.$
6 「pu－si－e｀$\check{s} a$ 「白？${ }^{?}$ HA．LA $\ulcorner x\urcorner[(x x)]$
7 HA．LA ša GIŠ．ŠAR ša ur－「 $q i^{\top}$
8 HA．LA sa GIŠ．SAR sa

10 ina ŠÀ $52^{?}$ MA．NA URUDU．MEŠ
11 il－qi kás－pu ga－mur ta－d［in］
12 A．Ŝ̀ šu－a－tú za－rip laq－qi
13 tu－$\alpha$－ru de－nu ка．ка la－š［u］
14 man－nu ša ina ur－kiš ina ma－［t］e－e
B．E． $15 u$－$\quad \mathrm{m}_{n a-t e-i a} l[u]-u$ ŠE［ s$]$ ．MEŠ－šúu
Rev． 16 lu－u DUMU．meš－šúú lu－u mám－ma－nu－šú
17 ša тA ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~m}_{\text {man }}-n u-k i-i-\mathrm{K}[\mathrm{U}] \mathrm{R}-a s ̌-s ̌ u r ~ \grave{u}$ ŠE［š－š］$u$
18 de－nu ка．ка $u[b-t] a-' u-u$－$u$－n $[i]$
192 ma．na kù．babbar 1 m［A．n］A GUŠKin sag－r［ $u$ ］
20 ina bur－ki ${ }^{\text {d MAŠ }} a-s ̌ i b$ urukal－hhi ${ }^{\circ} i$－šá ${ }^{2}-k a n^{? 7}$
1 GÚ．UN AN．NA．MEŠ $a$－na LÚ．EN．N［AM（URU－šúu）SUM－$a n(?)]$
［kas－］pu a－na 10．MEŠ $a-n a$ EN．MEŠ？－š̌ú ［GUR（？）］
23 ［ina d］e－ni－šú кА．кА－ma la т［I？（ ）］

$25[\mathrm{I}]$ GI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}[(x x) x] x x$ Lứ3． $\mathrm{U}_{5}[(\mathrm{l})]$
6 ［IGI m］la－qi－pu Lư．3． $\mathrm{U}_{5}$
7 ［IG］I m．dAMAR．UTU．SUM．PAP．MEŠ
28 ［（）］Lú．GAL kal－la－bi
29 ［IGI m $(x)] x-b u-u-a$ LÚ．A．BA
$30 \quad[()] 2^{?}-i s a-b i t ~ k a-n i-k i$
31 ［ ${ }^{\mathrm{it1} x} x$ UD］． $13^{?}$ ．KA $[\mathrm{M} l i] m-m u$
T．E． 32 ［m Ninurta］－še－zib－an－「ni ${ }^{\top}$
N．B．－The transliteration incorporates collations made after the copy．

## Translation

1: [Instead of his] seal he impressed his nail. [Nail of N]atēya, owner of the land. (Nail marks.)
3: [An estate of x$]$ homers 3 sūtu of land, in the hills, $\left[\right.$ (and) x homers $\left.7^{?}\right]$ sūtu in the valley, in all 4 homers of land, [adjoining(?) Ul]lubāyu(?), the clear ground for a house(?), a share of the . . . ., a share of the vegetable-garden-Mannu-kī-māt-aššur, the major-domo contracted and took from Natēya in exchange for $53^{?}$ minas of copper. 11: The price has been paid in toto, that land is legally acquired. There is no (further) withdrawal, lawsuit (or) litigation. Anyone who at any time in the future, whether Natēya, or his brothers, or his sons, or any (relative) of his, who [sic] initiates a lawsuit (or) litigation against Mannu-kī-māt-aššur or [his] brother, shall place 2 minas of silver (and) 1 mina of refined gold in the lap of Ninurta who dwells in Kalhu, [he shall give] a talent of tin to the governor [of his city] (and) shall [return] the price ten-fold to its owner. He shall plead in his lawsuit (but) not succeed.
24: Before Ša-ili-gabbu, rein-holder, before [. . .]. ., third rider, [before] Laqīpu, third rider, before Marduk-nādin-ahhē, chief kallāpu, [before . .]būa, the second? scribe, writer of the document.

31 : [Month of . . . .], 13th? day, limmu of [Ninurta]-šēzibanni (754 в.c.)
Notes
1-3: The missing left-hand corner is very likely No. 49, which then supplies the missing beginnings of these lines.
8: It is obvious that here, as with the name of the governor of Guzāna known particularly from the Tell Halaf texts, the kur must be read as part of the name; otherwise there would be no means of distinguishing " who is like Assyria " from "who is like the god Assur " (cf. E. Weidner, AfO Beiheft 6 , p. 9, note 28).

24-8: All these witnesses are military personnel, and very likely come from the same administrative 29:
29: The traces permit neither $s] a$ or $n] a-b u-u-a$
32 : This is the only possible eponym.

## Commentary

This is the first of our documents to distinguish between land in the " hills" (mul̂) and land in the " valley" (ušallu). "Valley " is of course only a very rough approximation; coming as the word does from the south, it appears to mean flat, watered, and presumably water-laid ground, and in the region of Nimrud, and indeed all along the Upper Zab and Tigris rivers, it must have been the word used to describe the fields along the river and on its level, as opposed to the much less fertile ground through which the river valleys have cut.

No. 24
Plate 17
$6.5 \times 8.8 \times 3.2$
Governor's Palace, Room K
Obv. 1 ku-um $\mathrm{N}\left[\mathrm{A}_{4}\right.$.KIšIB-šúu ṣ]u-pur-šú iš-kun

2 su-pur m[tab-š]i-sI sí a muzía
3 E[N ] A.SA
(finger-nail impressions)
4 É 5-[BÁN] A.Š̀̀ ina ú-šal-li
5 ina uruÉ.IM.DIR $u$-piš-ma
6 m「gi`-ri-tú TA* IGI mtab-ši-SI.SÁ
7 ina lìb-bi 17 ma.na URUDU.MEŠ $i l-q i$
8 kas-pu ga-mur ta-din A.ŠÀ šú-a-tú
9 za-rip la-qi tu-a-ru de-nu
10 ка.кa la-áš-šúu man-nu ša ina ur-kiš
11 ina ma-te-ma lu-u mtab-ši-SI.SÁ
$12 l u-u$ DUMU.MEŠ-šú lu-u ŠEŠ.MEŠ-šúu
13 ša de-nu КА.КА тА* mgi-ri-te
14 TA* DUMU.MEŠ-šúú TA* ŠEŠ.MEŠ-šúu
15 ub-ta-u-ni
161 Ma.na kù.babbar 1 ma.na guškiv
B.E. 17 ina bur-ki dMAŠ $a$-šib urukal-hi GAR-a[n]

Rev. 181 Gú-un [an.na
]
19 kas-pu a-na 10.[MEš-te? ]
 $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$
21 IGI mšùl-mu-PA[P.MEŠ?
$s ̌ a$ LÚ.EN.NAM [
23 IGI m.dšùl-[m]a-[n]u-[ ]
24 IGI mrém-a-ni-[x] 「LÚ’ $[\quad]-a-a{ }^{3}$
25 IGI meN.DINGIR- $a-a$ ša uru $[(x) x]_{\llcorner } \dot{u} x x_{\lrcorner}$
26 IGI m.d MAŠ.SU ša uru $[(x)] x-n a^{?}$
27 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\text {gab-bu-a-mur Lútìr }}$
ša $\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{d} 30 . \mathrm{DI}-a-n i$
29 IGI mrim-ut-DINGIR-ma LÚ sa-bit [I]M
$30{ }^{\text {iti }}$ Zíz UD. 27 .KAM
31 [l]im-[m]e m.du.GUR.[P]AP-ir
32 [ $\left.{ }^{1 u ́]}\right]$ śá-kìn [ur]u $n a-s ̧ i-b i-n a ~$
L.E. 33 (two crossed wedges, of uncertain purpose)
N.B.-The top left-hand corner is supplied by a fragment stored with ND 480 (Inst. Arch. London).

Translation
1: Instead of [his seal] he impressed his nail. Nail of [Tabš]i-kittu, son of Qūa, owner of the land. (Nail marks.)
4: An estate of 5 [sūtu] of land in the valley(-land) in Bēt-šaššeri Giritu contracted and took from Tabši-kittu in exchange for 17 minas of copper. 8: The price has been paid in toto, that land is legally acquired. There is no (further) withdrawal, lawsuit, (or) litigation. Anyone who at any time in the future, whether Tabsi-kittu, or his sons, or his brothers, initiates a lawsuit (or) litigation against Girītu, against his sons (or) against his brothers, shall place 1 mina of silver (and) 1 mina of gold in the lap of Ninurta who dwells in Kalhu. [He shall give(?)] 1 talent of [tin to the governor of his city, (and) he
shall return] the price ten-[fold to its owner]. He shall plead in his lawsuit [(but) not succeed].
21. Before Sulmu-ah[hē( . . .), the . . . .] of the governor [. . . ], before Sulmānu-[. . . . . .], before Rēmāni-[. . .], the [...], before Bēl-ilāya of the town of [....], before Ninurta(e)rība of the town of [ . .]na? , before Gabbu-āmur, slave of Sîn-šallimāni, before Rīmūt-(e)ri-ma, the 〈scribe〉, writer of the tablet.

30: Month of Šabātuu (XI), 27th day, limmu of Nergal-nāṣir, governor of Naṣibīna (746 в...).

Notes
2: Tab-ši-st.sÁ poses problems: my use of kittu is intended to provide a feminine subject for tabši, but the name is perhaps better taken as an apocopated form of the mA Ittabsi-SI.SA
P1. XXVI, BM 123367, rev. $8^{\prime}$ ), in which case T'abš̌i-mīšāru would be preferable here. 23.
4: The reading of é.im.DIR as Bēt-šašsiri, which is suggested by the comparison of this text with 5. thit plant (šaršeru) is more likely to be found in a place name than a cloud (erpitu). For rśs becoming šs f. W. von Soden GAG Erg. § 35 d . S. Parpola, AOAT 6, 81 (Bit-erpitia) should be amended accordingly. Bēt-šašsiri is also found in I $R 29,46$, in the list of cities which rebelled against Shalmaneser III, and in $R G D$ No. 5 rev. 6 , a text which seems quite likely to have come originally from Kalhu. As to the location of the city, it clearly lay on one of the two big rivers-Tigris or Upper Zab-, since in RGD No. 5 also there is mention of $u$ šallu.
also there is mention of uśallu.
The sign DIR is lacking one vertical, but this is common in nA texts (cf. Iraq 32 (1970), Pl. XXVIII, 20,4 , where the sign is clearly DIR, so that the transliteration ( p .154 ) should be corrected).
6: Giritu is also the purchaser in No. 21.
28: a30-de-e'-ni is also possible, but seems less likely

## Commentary

See on No. 25.

No. 25
Plate 18
$8.5 \times 6.0 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Governor's Palace, Room K
Obv. 1 ku-um $\mathrm{NA}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{KIŠšrib}^{2}$-šú ṣu-pár-šúu iš-kun
2 şu-pur m.d UTU.IGI.LȦ- $a-n i$ EN A.ŠÀ (finger-nail impressions)
3 É 5-BÁN A.ŠÀ ina URU.ŠE ša É-šáa-ši-ri
4 ina ú-šal-li ú-piš-ma
5 m $\quad$ gi-ri-tú ina Šà 17 MA.NA URUDU.MEŠ
6 TA* IGI m.d ${ }_{\text {UTU }}-a-m u r-a-n i$ il-qi
7 kas-pu gam-mur ta-din A.šà
8 šu-a-tú za-rip laq-qí tu-a-ru
9 de-e-nu ка.ка la-ás-šu
10 man-nu ša ina ur-kiš ina ma-te'-ma

11 lu-u m.dUTU-a-mur-a-ni lu-u DUMU.MEŠ-šúu
12 lu-u DUMU.DUMU.MEŠ-šúu lu-u LÚ.ŠEŠ.MEŠ-šúú
13 lu-u LÚ.DUMU.ŠEŠ.MEŠ-šú lu-u ma mám-ma-nu-šúu
14 TA* mgi-ri-túu TA* DUMU.MEŠ-šúú
15 TA* DUMU.DUMU.MEŠ-šú de-e-[n]u
16 ка.ка $u b-t a-u-n i 1$ [M]A.NA KÙ.babbar
B.E. 171 ma.NA GUŠKin $a-n a{ }^{\mathrm{d}}[x$ SUM $]$-an

Rev. 18 kas-pu [


21 IGI ${ }^{m} p u-x[(x)] x$ LÚ.A.BA
22 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\text {si-lim- }}{ }^{\text {I IM LÚ.SIPA MUŠEN.MEŠ }}$
23 IGI ${ }^{\text {m.d }}$ UTU.PAP.MEŠ. $[x$ (. . . . .)]
24 IGI ${ }^{\text {DI.PAP.MESK }}$ [
25 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{m u-s ̌ e-z i b-D I N G I R[~}^{\text {- }}$
26 IGI ${ }^{\text {m.d } d_{P A-t a k-b i-i S ~ L U ́ . A . B A ~}^{c}}$
27 sa-bit IM
28 itibarag Ud.28.KÁM $^{\text {Bin }}$
29 lim-me ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ EN.KAL-an
30 lúsád-kìn urukal-hỉ

## Translation

1: Instead of his seal he impressed his nail. Nail of Šamaš-amurāni, owner of the land. (Nail marks.)
3: An estate of 5 sūtu of land in the village of Bēt-šašširi in the valley Girītu contracted and took from Samaš-amurāni in exchange for 17 minas of copper. 7: The price has been paid in toto, that land . . . is legally acquired. There is no (further) withdrawal, lawsuit (or) litigation. Anyone who at any time in the future, whether Šamaš-amurāni, or his sons, or his grandsons, or his brothers or his brothers' sons, or any (relative) of his, initiates a lawsuit (or) litigation against Girītu, against his sons (or) against his grandsons, shall [pay] 1 mina of silver (and) 1 mina of gold to [DN]. He shall return the price [ten-fold to its owner], he shall plead [in his law]suit (but) not succeed.

21: Before $\mathrm{Pu}[\ldots]$, scribe, before Silim-adad, bird-keeper, before Šamaš-ahhē-[. . .], before Sulmu-ahhē [....], before Mušēzib-ilu[?(. . . .)], before Nabû-takbiṣ?, the scribe, writer of the tablet.
28: Month of Nīsānu (I), 28th day, limmu of Bēl-dān, governor of Kalhu (744/734 b.c.).

## Notes

3: For Bēt-šašsiri see on No. 24, 5 .
7: The final sign in the line was collated by Professor D. J. Wiseman; I can only suggest murvb ${ }_{4}$ : $=q a b l u$, which may refer in nA to a type of land or orchard (instances of syllabic spelling in $A H w 888$ a, but logographic writings are not mentioned, e.g. $A D D 751,3$, which do not support the suggestion (ibid.) that the word is gablu = gabal = hill). However, here we should not expect such a definition of the property to come at so late a stage in the document.

10: Text has $t u$ (coll., D.J.W.).
14: Copy has tú, read perhaps rather -te (cf. No. 24, 13)
19: Traces are present on the tablet as indicated in the transliteration (coll. D.J.W.)

26: This name collated (D.J.W.); derivation from kabāsu does not seem likely. Could this be for 26: This name collated (D.J.W.); derivation
$k b t / d$ with spirantization of the dental (ef. W. von Soden, GAG Erg. § 29f)?
29-30: 744 is the more probable date, not only because no mention of the second $p \bar{u} r u$ is made, but also because it is closer in date to No. 24.

## Commentary

This document and the preceding one both record purchases of land by Giritu in the relley-lands of Bēt-šǎšisiri, for the sum of 17 minas of copper; hence I have restored the same area of land in No. 24 as we have here. It is particularly useful to compare the description of the land's situation in each case. In this text the land is " in the village of descre Bet-s., in the valley "; now Bēt-šassirl is not a mere village (URU.SE), and we Therefore to do here with a village (or temporary has "in the territory of ", since the land will have been in the valley-land belonging to Bēt-šašširi, and not in the town itself or its immediate vicinity; it is hiohly probable that Giritu would acquire contiguous properties, and that the descriptions in these two texts could be exchanged. Hence I think we may reconstruct a picture in which the main town of Bēt-šašsiri lay in the hills ( $m u l \hat{u}$ ), some way above the level of the river, but owned lands and even a small settlement down on the alluvial river banks, where the richest agricultural land lay.
No. 26
Plate 18
$4.3 \times 6.0 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Austr. Inst. Arch 17.ix. 743

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 1 ku-um $\mathrm{NA}_{4}$.KIŠIB-šúu su-pur-šu
2 iš-kun
3 su-pur mar-duk-a-te (finger-nail impressions)
4 É 2 -bán a.šà ina KÁ URU
5 ú-piš-ma mkur-ba-nu
6 ina lìb-bi 12 ma.na URUDU.meš
7 TA* IGI mar-duk-a-te
8 [i]l-q[i] kas-[p]u ga-mur
9 [ta]-din A.šÀ šúu-a-tú
B.E. 10 za-rip la-a-qi

11 tu-a-ru de-e-nu
Rev. 12 ка.ка la-áš-šu
13 man-nu s̆á ina ur-kiš
14 ina ma-te-ma $l_{u-u}{ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {mar }}}$-duk-a-te
15 lu-u PAP.MEŠ-šúu lu-u 「DUMU ${ }^{\urcorner}$.MEŠ-š̌úu
16 lu-u LÚ.EN.NAM URU-šúú
17 šá de-nu ка.ка та* mkur-ba-ni
18 [ $\hat{u}^{?}$ ] DUMU.MEŠ-šúu ub-ta-u-ni
19 kas-pu a-na 10.TA.Àм ana EN-šú

20 GUR-ra ina de-ni-šúu KA.KA NU TI
21 IGI mšùll-mu-PAP.MEŠ
22 IGI mba-ri-hbu
T.E. 23 IGI ${ }^{m}$ EN.Bà̀D

24 IGI ${ }^{m} x[(x) x] x$
25 IGI ${ }^{\text {m }}$ DI. [KUD $\left.{ }^{\text {T- }}\right] i^{\text {? }}$

L.E. 27 lim-mu ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{KU}-t[i-]$ A.É. ŠÁrr.RA

28 LUGAL KUR $a s_{\text {s-šur }} \mathrm{ki}$
29 IGI mke-ni-i Lú.A.B[A]
30 sa-bit ka-ni-ki

## Translation

1: Instead of his seal he impressed his nail. Nail of Mardukāte. (Nail marks.) 4 : An estate of 2 sūtu of land (with)in the gate of the city Kurbānu contracted and took from Mardukāte in exchange for 12 minas of copper. 8: The price has been paid in toto, that land is legally acquired. There is no (further) withdrawal, lawsuit, (or) litigation. Anyone who at any time in the future, whether Mardukāte, or his brothers, or his sons, or the governor of his city, initiates a lawsuit (or) litigation against Kurbānu (or) against his sons, shall return the price ten-fold to its owner. He shall plead in his lawsuit (but) not succeed.
21: Before Šulmu-ahhē, before Barihu, before Bēl-dūrī, before [. . . .], before Dayānī?. 26 : In the month of Kislīmu (IX), 17th day, limmu of Tukultī-apil-ešarra, king of Assyria.
29 : Before Kēnī, scribe, writer of the document.

## Notes

4: ina $b \bar{a} b \bar{a} l i$ is presumably a way of saying " within the city walls " or " inside (a particular) gate " the city in question must be Kalhu.
5: Kurbānu is also the purchaser in No. 27, of the same date
18: Or [ta*
25 : The PN is restored after No. 3, 7, but is of course uncertain. The sign dr is confirmed by collation
29: Kēnī is also the scribe of No. 5 , of 754 b.c.

No. $2^{7}$
Plate 19
$5 \cdot 1 \times 6.9 \times 2.5$

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. $1 \quad s[u-p u] r{ }^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\text {EN }}{ }^{7}$.[BÀD]
$2 \underset{\Gamma_{E N}{ }^{7} \text { A.ŠÀ }[(x)]}{ }$ (finger-nail impressions)
3 「Éㄱ 1 ANŠE A.ŠÀ.GA
4 ina KUR-e $\grave{\text { í-piš-ma }}$

Obv. 5 mkur-ba-nu ina lib-bi
$6 \quad 16^{?}$ MA.NA URUDU.MEŠ
7 TA* IGI MEN.BÀD $i l-q i$
8 kas-pu ga-mur ta-「din
9 A.šÀ šú- $\alpha-t u ́[z] a-r i p$
10 la-qi tu-a-ru de-nu
11 [к]А.KA la-a-šú man-nu
B.E. 12 šá ina ur-kiš ina ma-te-ma
$13 l u-u \mathrm{~m}_{\text {EN.BÀD }}$
14 lu-u PAP.MEŠ-šúu lu-u UN.MEŠ-šúu
15 lu-u Lú.GAR-an-šu
Rev. 16 lu-u Lú.EN.NAM URU-šu
17 ša de-e-nu ка.ка
18 TA* m/kur-ba-ni u DUMU.MEŠ-šúu
19 ù [DU]MU.DUMU.MEŠ-šú
$20 u b$ - $[t a-u]-n i 1$ MA.NA KÙ.babBaR
211 MA.NA [GUŠKIN ina bu] $r$ - $k i{ }^{\text {d MAŠ }}$
22 a-šib [urukal-hi GAR-a]n
23 (undeciphered)
24-7 (broken; witnesses)
T.E. $28 \mathrm{iti}_{\mathrm{G}}$ [AN UD. $\boldsymbol{x}$.KAM]

29 lim- ${ }^{\ulcorner } m u^{\urcorner} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{KU}-}-\left[{ }^{i}{ }^{i}-\mathrm{A} . E ́\right.$. ŠÁR.RA $]$
30 MAN KU[R $a$ š-šur $]$

## Translation

1: Nail of Bēl-[dūrī], owner of the land [(being sold)]. (Nail marks.)
3: An estate of 1 homer of land, in the mountain, Kurbānu contracted and took from Bēl-dūrī in exchange for 16 minas of copper. 8: The price has been paid in toto, that land is legally acquired. There is no (further) withdrawal, lawsuit, (or) litigation. Anyone who at any time in the future, whether Bēl-dūrī, or his brothers, or his family, or his officer(?), or the governor of his city, initiates a lawsuit (or) litigation against Kurbānu, or his sons or his grandsons, [shall place] 1 mina of silver (and) 1 mina [of gold in the] lap of Ninurta who dwells [in Kalhu].
24 : (Witnesses' names.)
28: Month of Kislìmu (IX) [xth day] limmu of Tukultī-[apil-ešarra], king of [Assyria (743 в.с.)].

## Notes

4: The precise connotations of šadu are uncertain; it may be no more than a synonym of mulu, raised ground, hills ", but it is perhaps more likely to refer to something more definite, although where such a locality should be sought in the Kalhu region is less clear.
6: $18 \mathrm{~mA} . \mathrm{NA}$ is also possible.
14: In view of the absence of sons, Bēl-dūrì is probably a eunuch (K. Deller)
15: Lư.GAR-an-šú seems anomalous: we should expect šakin-šu, or more likely, šaknu-šu. K. Delle

23: The traces do not seem to correspond with any of the expected formulae.
29: The restoration is guaranteed by the date of No. 26, also a sale text of Kurbānu.

## No. 28

Plate 17
$5 \cdot 8 \times(3 \cdot 9) \times 2 \cdot 6$

ND 275(e)
Inst. Arch. London [-].x. 743 ?

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 1 ku-m[u NA ${ }_{4}$.KIšIB-š] $]$ ṣu-pár-šúu GAR
2 su-「pur $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{m}}\right\urcorner\left[\begin{array}{lll}x & x & x \\ (x) & x) \\ \text { EN E }\end{array}\right.$
(finger-nail impressions)
3 É ep-šúu $a$-di GIŠ.ÙR.MEŠ-šúu
$4[(\quad) d]$-di GIŠ.[TG.MEŠ]-šúu
5 [ $\quad] n a^{2}[(x) x] u^{3}$-piš
L]ú.GAL URU.MEŠ

$$
] x x[\quad]
$$

(remainder of obv. and upper part of rev. broken)
Rev. $1^{\prime} \mathrm{iti}_{\mathrm{AB}} \mathrm{U}[\mathrm{D} . x . \mathrm{KAM}]$

$3^{\prime}$ LUGAL KUR [ $a s{ }^{\prime}-s ̌ \breve{s}_{u} u\left({ }^{(\mathrm{ki})}\right)$ ]
Translation
1: Instead of his [seal] he impressed his nail. Nail of [PN], owner of the house. (Nail marks.)
3: A built house together with its beams (and) together with its [doors, PN], the villageinspector, contracted [(and) took in exchange for ....... (long gap).
Rev. 1': Month of Kanūnu (X), [xth] day, limmu of Tukul[tī-apil]-ešarra, king of Assyria] (743 в.c.).

Notes
5: A possible restoration is [ina libbix ma.NA AN].NA.[ME]š; it would be possible, according to the
 $u(p) p i \check{s}$, but not the perfect utappis, the restoration suggested is to be preferred.
Rev. 2' : No other royal name of the right period fits the traces, and therefore the emendation suggested -supplying šár-is unavoidable.

## Commentary

So far as we can tell this is a perfectly ordinary house sale. In view of the title village inspector (1.6), the purchaser may have been Bell-issīya.
No. 29
Plate 20
ND 276
$4 \cdot 3 \times 7 \cdot 6 \times 2 \cdot 4$

Governor's Palace, Room M.
Obv. $1 \quad \underset{\sim}{s u-p u r}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}\left\ulcorner x x x^{\urcorner}\lceil[(x)]\right.$ (finger-nail impressions)

Obv． 2 en $q a q-[q] e-r[e]$ SUM－u－n［i］
330 Gíd．da 14 dagal
4 tu－piš－ma mi $i a-u^{?}$－ta－tu $u^{?}$

6 「kas－pu ga｀－mu［r t］a－din
7 qaq－qe－re 「šu｀－a－tú－［nu］
8 za－rip－pu la－ьqı－иu
$9 t u-a_{-} r u_{\lrcorner} d e-n u$
10 ［к］А．к［А $l] a-a ́ s ̌-s ̌ u$
11 man－nu ša $\left.{ }^{\ulcorner } i-n a\right\urcorner$ ur－k［ǐ̌］
Rev． 12 ina ma－［te－ma］i－za－qu－pa－ni
13 kas－pu 「a－na 10｀．меŠ
$14 a-n a$ EN．MEŠ－šú GUR－ra
5 ina de－ni－šúú КА．КА－ma
16 la i－laq－qi
17 IGI mDINGIR．SU
18 IGI m muškin－i
19 IGI m．dasal－lú－hi－MU．PAP
20 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{DU}-i}$
21 IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ aš－šur－AŠ．PAP
22 IGI mla－qi－pu
23 IGI m $d u-d u-u ́-a$

T．E． 25 sa－bit $\mathrm{I}\left[\mathrm{M}^{1 \mathrm{ti}} x\right]$ Ud．$[x]$
26 ［li］$m$－$m u^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {EN．KASKAL．EN．P［AP］}} \text {［ }}$
L．E． 27 lự̌á－kìn urugu－za－na

## Translation

1：Nail of PN，（nail marks），owner of the ground being sold．
3 ：（Ground） 30 （cubits）long， 14 （cubits）wide，$I(a)$ utātu？contracted and took，in exchange for $10^{?}$ minas of 〈copper〉．6：The price has been paid in toto，that ground is legally acquired；there is no（further）withdrawal，lawsuit，（or）litigation．Anyone who at any time in the future arises shall return the price ten－fold to its owners．He shall plead in his lawsuit，but not succeed．
17 ：Before Ilu－（e）rība，before Hurāṣī，before Asalluhi－šūmu－uṣur，before Kēnī，before Aššur－nādin－ahi，before Laqīpu，before Dudūa，before Ninurta？＇balās－su－iqbi，writer of the tablet．
25 ：［Month of ．．．．，xth］day，limmu of Bēl－harrān－bēlu－uṣur，governor of Guzāna （727 в．c．）．

## Notes

2：qaqqerē，as this transliteration implies，is plural，as is usual in nA legal texts；this is particularly clear in 11．7－8．The plural of this noun is already in use in the middle Assyrian legal documents． SUM－$u$－$n[i]$ would be a very unusual spelling（cf．the list of spellings collected by K．Deller in OrNS 31 1962），229），and a possible alternative is to read SUM $q\left[a^{\prime}-q e-r e\right]$ at the end of the line．
5：URUDU is more common than zabar at this date in the texts．

## No． 30

Plate 90
$7 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．
ND 204
Austr．Inst．Arch． ［－］

Governor＇s Palace，Room K．
Obv． 1 ku－um $\mathrm{NA}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{KIŠ̌̌B-}^{2}$－šu－nu sut－pár－š̌̌i－nu i［š－ku－nu］
$2 \mathrm{~m} h u-u b-u s ̌-k a-a-a{ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{AD}}!-u[l-\mathrm{zU}]}$
3 DUMU．MEŠ ${ }^{\text {m．d．dutU－še－}\ulcorner x\urcorner[()]}$ （finger－nail impressions）
4 É 40 ANŠE A．ŠÀ ina ma－za－ru－te
5 É ad－ru GIŠ．ŠAR ina uru $s a-l i-m a-a-n i$
6 ina Šà 2 MA．NA KÙ．bABBAR $i n a$ Šà 1 MA．NA $\check{s} a$ MAN
7 msubuš． 15 ú－piš－ma
8 TA＊IGI Mhu－bu－uš－ka－a－a
9 TA＊ $\operatorname{IGI}{ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {AD }}-u l-z U} i l-q i$
10 kás－pu ga－mur ta－din
11 A．šì za－rip laq－qi
12 tu－a－ru de－e－пu ка．ка la－áš－šúu
13 ina ur－kiš ina mat－e－ma
14 lu－u mhar－bu－uš－ka－a－a
$15 l u-u \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{AD}}-u l-\mathrm{ZU} l u-u$ DUMU．MEŠ－šú－$n u$
16 ša de－e－nu ка．ка
17 тА＊ $\mathrm{m}_{u} u-r u$－15 ú DUMU．MEŠ－šu
$18 u b-t a-{ }^{-} u-u$－u－ni

## B．E．（uninscribed）

Rev．（broken，except for traces at the ends of the lines：1．20？：a］n ${ }^{2} ; 21^{?}$ ：］maš？； $\left.\left.23^{?}: p\right] a^{?} ; 26^{?}:\right] x-p i-d i$ ；coll．D．J．W．）．

## Translation

1：Instead of their seals they［impressed］their nails．Hubuškāyu（and）Abu－ūl－［īdi］， sons of Šamaš－šē［．．．］．（Nail marks．）
4：An estate of 40 homers of land in cultivation，a house，a threshing－floor，（and）an orchard，in the town of Salimāni，Ubru－ištar contracted and took from Hubuškāyu（and） from Abu－ūl－idi in exchange for 2 minas of silver（weighed）by the royal mina．10：The price has been paid in toto，the land is legally acquired．There is no（further）withdrawal， lawsuit，（or）litigation．At any time in the future（anyone），whether Hubuskāyu or Abu－ūl－ìdi，or their sons，who initiates a lawsuit（or）litigation against Ubru－ištar or his sons［．．．．．（rest too broken for translation）．

## Notes

2：Copy（and collation）si（！），but the emendation must be right．
4：For maz（za）rütu see Iraq 32 （1970）， 135
7：In this line the purchaser＇s name is written subuš．15，but in 1.17 he is $u b-r u$－ 15 ；both spellings were specifically collated and confirmed for me by Professor D．J．Wiseman．This shows that the correct
 but ubru．This solves some other problems ：it explains the almost complete disappearance of the first
element $u b-r u$, found in the texts from Tell Billa (JCS 7 (1953), 144a), from later nA texts-since it was written logographically-, and it provides an explanation for the variant writing of the name of the 122-3). Although $u b r u(m)$ (*wabrum) is already known in middle Assyrian as an onal t is not clear to me where the connection with subus ais. (Seo hon on $y^{\prime}$ )
16: There is a superfluous diš between $s a a$ and $d e$ in this line (coll.).
17: as $\dot{u}$ would be a very unusual way of writing $\bar{u}$, I am tempted to emend to TA*! (not collated).

## Commentary

Ubru-ištar buys from two brothers a considerable area of land, which includes a house a threshing-floor, and some trees. It is not clear if the two brothers own separate part of this estate, or whether they are as yet undivided, joint holders of the land, which had presumably been the property of their father.

## No. 31

Plate 21
$8.8 \times 12 \cdot 6 \times(2 \cdot 8)$
Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 1 「ku-um $\mathrm{NA}_{4}$.KIšIB-šú ṣu-pur-šúu [ǐ̌-kun] (finger-nail impressions)

3 DUMU ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\ulcorner } x^{\urcorner}-a-a$ Lứ ša šar $-r i$
4 É 40 ANŠE ŠE.NUMUN.MEŠ A.Š̀̀.[G]A?
5 ina ma-[za-r]u-[t]e ${ }^{\ulcorner } a^{\urcorner}-n a{ }^{\ulcorner } x x x x x x^{\top}$
$6[x] n a\left\ulcorner x x x^{7}\right.$ URU $\left.{ }^{\ulcorner } x x x x\right\rceil$
7 [ina] Šì 2 ma.[NA ( $x$ ) $x$ ] $x$ KÙ.babbar $a^{2}$-na MA.nA ša Lu[GAL]
$8[\mathrm{~m}] \cdot \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{UTU}}-k u-m u-a$ LÚ.A.BA Lú.NIMGIR [É.GAL(? $?$ ]


1 [t]u-a-ru de-e-nu da-ba-bu la-áš-šúu
2 man-nu ša a-na ur-kiš EGIR UD-me
13 [a-na ma-t]i-ma $a-n a$ UD.UL.Lf́.A
$4[l u]-u{ }^{1} \mathrm{~m}_{m a-} b i_{-}-m u-u ́ u$ DUMU.MEŠ- $\ulcorner$ šúu
15 [lu]-úu DUMU.DUMU.MEŠ-šúu lu-úu ŠEŠ.MEŠ-šúu
16 [lu-ú $]^{\top}$ DUMU ${ }^{\top}$.ŠEš.MEŠ-šúu lu mám-ma qur-ub-šúu
7 [lu-ú E]N $i l-k i-s ̌ u ́ u ~ l u-u ́ u ~ L u ́ . g A R-n u ~$
8 [lu-ús š]a²-pi-ru lu-ú lúha-za-an-nu
9 [ ]x ša i-za-qu-pa-a-ni
[de-e-nu da-b]a-bu TA* $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{d}$ UTU-ku-mu-a
21 [
$u b]-t a-{ }^{\prime} u-u$ - $u$-ni
B.E. and rev. (broken)

## Translation

1: Instead of his seal [he impressed] his nail. (Nail marks.) Nail of Mabimū? , son of ....., son of . . āyu, a " man of the king ".

4: An estate of 40 homers of sown land in cultivation. . . Šamaš-kūmūa, the scribe (or?) the [palace(?)] herald, contracted and took from Mabimu ${ }^{?}$ in exchange for paid in toto, the land is legally acquired. There is no (further) withdrawal, lawsuit, (or) litigation. Anyone who in the future in after days [at] any time in distant days, [whether] Mabimū? or his sons, [or] his grandsons, or his brothers, [or] his brothers' sons, or any relative of his, [or] (someone) responsible for his ilku-duties, or an officer, [or] a representative(?), or a mayor, [.......]-who comes forward (and) initiates [a lawsuit (or) liti]gation against Šamaš-kūmūa [(or) against his sons . . . . .

## Notes

2: Here, and also in 11.9 and 14, it might be possible to read the name ${ }^{m_{m a}}$ ant-mu-u; all the passages are too worn for certainty.
3: The man's title seems certain, although the syllabic writing of šarru is surprising. A title ša žarri has occasionally been looked for in nA texts ( K . Deller refers me'to $A B L$ 1257, rev. 9-11, where ìr.mEš $\check{s}_{a} a$ man seems to have the Aramaic equivalent lư di-mil-ki ; this is not a profession, though), but in fact the majority of instances are a profession $\begin{gathered}a ́ a \\ \text { U.U (or Níq.U.U), which is definitely not to be confused }\end{gathered}$ with sa sarri, although its correct reading is unknown. From the contexts in which it is found it is clearly a profession connected with a craft such as stone or metal working; see MSL XII, 234, ii B 13 (do not emend!), where he appears with the copper-smith and workers in stone ; ADD 868, $2^{\prime}$ (coll.), after a goldsmith and before stone-workers (burgullu and kapšarru); ADD 827, 5: mf.smuda.meš mišá u.v.meš. Other instances are Iraq 19 (1957), 127-35: ND 5447, 11; 5452, 11; 5469, 20 (all the same man), and perhaps ND 2068 (Iraq 16 (1954), 32), ADD 50 rev. 6, and ABL 155, 4, 7. Unortunately neither the logogram nor the contexts give a clue to the craftsman's exact trade; my guess is "furnace-man" or "fuel-man".
5-6: These undeciphered lines presumably gave the land's location and precise description.
12-13: The unusual phrases in these lines are probably consciously literary; of. ina ár-kat ud-me
, Assyrian ur-kat.
18: šāpiru also occurs in $A R O$ 96a, 6, and in No. 60 below.
Commentary
Note simply that a Šamaš-kūmūa is also purchaser in Nos. 17 and 57.

No. 32
Plate 22
$(7 \cdot 5) \times(7 \cdot 1) \times 2 \cdot 9$
$(2 \cdot 7) \times(3 \cdot 0) \times(1 \cdot 6)(275(\mathrm{~g}))$

ND $249+252(\mathrm{j})(+) 275(\mathrm{~g})$ IM, for study Inst. Arch. London (275(g))
[ - ]

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. $1^{\prime}$ [ ].KÁm

$4^{\prime} \quad$ in $a^{2}$ u] $]$ russ $a-l a-h i-t-t a^{2}-a-a$



$8^{\prime} \quad$ ina $\left.l i\right] b-b i 90$ МMA」.NA URUDU.MEŠ $i l-q i$

Obv. $9^{\prime} \quad[k a s-p u] g a-$ Lmur $_{\lrcorner}[t a-d i n]$ A.š̀̀̀ $z a-[r] i p$ $[7 a q-q i(t u-a-r u) d e-e-n] u$ ка.ка $l a-a-s ̌ u ́$
]ša Lú.ERÍN.MEŠ an-nu-ti
$-]$ šú-n[u l]u-u DUMU.MEŠ-šú-nu
$l] u-u$ EN $i l-k i-s ̌ u ́ u-n u$
LÚ.GA]R?-an[-šú-nu(?)]da-b[a? bu(?)] ] $x$-ke-nu-TI
$u b$-ta-' $u$ ]-u-ni
UD.K]A.BAR.MEŠ
$-a] n^{?}$
$7-m a$
E
B.E. (broken; uncertain how many lines missing, if any) [ ]-šá
$\left.{ }^{\mathrm{m}} q u\right] r$-dí- ${ }_{\text {INANNA }}$-là-mur
.U]N.MEŠ
]. MAN $_{\text {MAN }} \cdot \mathrm{PAP}$
(last signs only ; presumably ends of witnesses' names, etc.) (remainder broken)

## Translation

$1^{\prime}$ : [nail of . . . .]āyu, [nail of . . . .]-ēreš?.
$3^{\prime}$ : An estate of 29 homers of land [in] the village of Salahitāya, [next] to an orchard, next to the river, Šamaš-kēnu-uballiṭ, officer of Adad-nērārī, king of Assyria, [con]tracted and took [in ex]change for 90 minas of copper. $9^{\prime}$ : [The price has been paid] in toto, the land is legally [acquired]; there is no [(further) (withdrawal), lawsuit,] (or) litigation. [Anyone, whether one] of these men, [or] their [brothers] or their sons, [or their . . . . .] or those responsible for their ilku-duties, [or . . . . . . or their?] officer(?) [(who) initiat]es liti[gation(?) against Šamaš]-kēnu-uballiṭ [(or) against his sons(?)], shall [place/pay $\ldots . .$. ] of bronze [......].
Rev. 2: [before Qu]rdi-ištar-lāmur, [before .....]-nišē, [before ....-]šarru-uṣur, [...........

## Notes

$1^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ : Since these lines precede the description of the estate being sold, it is clear that the traces surviving must be the ends of the names of the sellers, of whom we know from I. 11' that there were more than one. There is no means of telling how much of the tablet is missing above 1.1 .
Billa) , ind probably from Kalhu in ND 3432 (Photo, Iraq 17 (1955), PL XXVII, of K Dolle, 8 (Tell Billa), and probably from Kalhu in ND 3432 (Photo, Iraq 17 (1955), Pl. XXVII; cf. K. Deller, OrNS 35 (1966), 193).

14'. The title, see on No. 9, 15; the first sign preserved cannot be lú (coll.).
14: The restoration is not entirely satisfactory, since we expect dènu dabäbu ....; however, the same objection affects an alternative restorati
Lú.GAR-an-ší-nu (if correct), cf. No. 27, 15 .
$15^{\prime}$ : The fragment with 11 . $15^{\prime}-19^{\prime}$ and Rev. 1-6 is in London and cannot therefore be joined physically to the rest of the tablet. That it does belong is indicated by the remains of Samaš-kēnu-uballiṭ's name in $1.15^{\prime}$, and by the shape of the fragment. The shape of the fragment also seems to indicate that its first line follows on directly from $1.14^{\prime}$ on the main part of the tablet, as assumed here, but it is not impossible that the gap is wider.

Rev. 2: $l \grave{a}$ (NU) is not a current value in nA texts of this type, and must be considered as eccentric here. K. Deller quotes me one further such writing: migr.15-là-IGI.LÁ (VAT 8643, 7, 15).

## Commentary

Samaš-kēnu-uballiṭ buys from 2 or more persons an area of 29 homers of land for 90 minas of copper. An unusual feature of the phraseology is that the sellers were named and referred to only at the head of the document, in the introductory section. There is not the usual resumptive phrase (such as issu pān Lú.MEŠ annūti) in the main body of the text ( $1.11^{\prime}$ being already part of the penalty clauses).

## No. 33

Plate 23
ND 260
IM 56830
$6 \cdot 9 \times 9 \cdot 5 \times 2 \cdot 2$
Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 1 GIM NA $\cdot$.KIšIB-šúú ṣu-pár-šźu iš-ku-nu (finger-nail impressions)
2 su-pur mia-ma-qi EN A.šà
3 É 8 anše A.šà ina ma-za-ru-te
4 GIŠ.BÁN ša $10 q a$ uruku-up-ru-na
5 ina lib-bi 8 MA.NA URUDU.MEŠ

7 lúšá-kìn urukal-ḩi
8 TA* IGI mia-ma-qi ú-tap-pis
9 i-si-qi kas-pu gam-mur ta-din
10 A.šÀ šú-a-tú za-rip laq-qi
11 tú-a-ru da-ba-bu la-áš-šúu
12 man-nu ša ina ur-kiš lu mia-ma-qi

- 13 lu ŠEŠ.MEš-šú lu DUMU.MEŠ-šúu

14 lu Lú.meš-e EN $i l$-ki-šúu
B.E. 15 TA* $\mathrm{m}_{\text {EN.KI- } a}$ LÚ.SAG

Rev. 16 de-nu к[A.KA
17 「2? ${ }^{2 ?}$ MA.NA [
$18 \quad a-[n] a$ [
19 kás-pu
$20 \quad l\left[a^{\text {? }}\right.$
(remainder broken ; T.E. and L.E. uninscribed).

## Translation

1: Instead of his seal he impressed his nail. (Nail marks.) Nail of Iamāqi, owner of the land.
3: An estate of 8 homers of land in cultivation, (measured by) the sūtu of $10 q a$ (of) Kupruna, Bēl-issīya, eunuch of Bēl-tarși-ilu[ma], governor of Kalhu, has contracted and taken from Iamāqi in exchange for 8 minas of copper. 9: The price has been paid in toto,
that land is legally acquired；there is no（further）withdrawal（or）litigation．Anyone who in the future，whether Iamāqi，or his brothers，or his sons，or the men responsible for his ilku－duties，［initiates］a lawsuit（or）liti［gation］against Bēl－issiya，the eunuch， ［shall pay］ $2^{?}$ minas of［silver（？）．．．．．．．］to［DN ．．．．．．．，he shall return］the price［ten－fold to its owner（s）．．．．．．．

## Notes

2：The PN should perhaps be normalized as Iamāqu，but this is made uncertain by 1.12 ，where it should be nominative．
4：The omission of ina at the beginning of the line is probably an error，since it occurs in the com－ panion text No．34， 4.
Kub／pruna（also No．34，4）is hardly identical with the town mentioned by Sennacherib in Bit－dakkuri． As nothing is known of the town＇s location or character，there is no way of determining why it is mentioned here．At first sight it seems as if the scribe is referring to a special＂Kupruna sutu－measure＂， it me＂a
12：The omission of ina matē－ma may be accidental．
15：The unusual repetition of the man＇s title is probably intended to explain why no relatives of his are mentioned，cf．No．17，12，with note．

## Commentary

As far as 1．12，and apart from the necessary changes，this tablet is almost a sign by sign duplicate of No．34．The two transactions must，I think，have been recorded by the same scribe，and they are very likely to have been close in date as well．Probably the lands were in the same area，if not contiguous，and they may well have been in the territory of a town or village Kupruna（see note on 1．4）．

## No． 3

Plate 23
$5.9 \times 8 \cdot 4 \times(2 \cdot 3)$

Governor＇s Palace，Room K．
Obv． 1 GIM NA 4 ．KIŠIB－šúu ṣu－pár－šú iš－ku－un （finger－nail impressions）
2 ṣu－pur mba－te－ia EN A．šÀ
3 É 2 ANŠE A．šì ina ma－za－ru－ti
4 ina GIŠ．BÁN ša $10 q a$ uruku－up－ru－na
5 ina lib－bi 2 ma．na URUDU．MEŠ
$6 \quad \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{EN} . \mathrm{KI}-a} a$ Lú．SAG ša ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ EN－tar－s $[i-\mathrm{DINGIR}-\mathrm{ma}]$
7 lúšá－kìn urukal－hi
8 ú－tap－piš TA＊IGI mba－te－ia
9 i－si－qi kás－pu gam－mur ta－din
10 ［A］．šì šú－$a-t u ́ z a-r i p ~ l a q-q i$
11 ［tu］－a－ru da－ba－bu la－áš－šúu
12 ［man－nu］ša ina ur－kiš ina ma－te－ma
3 ［ $\left.l u{ }^{\mathrm{m}} b a\right]$－$\left.t e-i a_{\perp}\right\rfloor u$ ŠEŠ．MEŠ－šú
14
(Rev. broken, except for indecipherable traces).

## Translation

1：Instead of his seal he impressed his nail．（Nail marks．）Nail of Batēya，owner of the land．
3：An estate of 2 homers of land in cultivation，（measured）by the sūtu of $10 q a$（of） Kupruna，Bēl－issiya，eunuch of Bēl－tarsị－［iluma］，governor of Kalhu，has contracted and taken from Batēya in exchange for 2 minas of copper．9：The price has been paid in toto， that land is legally acquired；there is no（further）withdrawal（or）litigation．［Anyone］ who in the future at any time，［whether Ba］tēya，or his brothers，［or his sons，or］the men？ responsible for his $i l k u$－duties ．．．．．．．．．．

Notes and Commentary
See on No． 33.

## No． 35

Plate 24
$7.5 \times 10 \cdot 4 \times 3 \cdot 0$
Governor＇s Palace，Room K．
 （finger－nail impressions）

3 「s su｀－pur mé．IM．DIR－$a-a$ L［Ú．N］AR
4 ［su］－pur ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ LUGAL．TI－iq－bi LÚ．NAR
5 ［su－pu］r m．d MAŠ．PAP．MEŠ－šal－lim？LÚ．NAR
6 ［su－pur］mkur－ba－il－a－a LÚ．UŠ＋［KU］
7 ［É $(x+)] 15$ ANŠE A．ŠÀ ina $k u-d a-a-r i$［ša Lú．NAR］
8 ［ina］urukur－ba－il ina GÚ．UN URUDU．M［EŠ］
$9 \quad \mathrm{~m}_{\text {EN．KI－} i a}$ Lú．GAL URU．MEŠ－$n i$
10 TA＊$p[a-a] n$ LÚ．MEŠ šu－nu－ú－ti
11 ú－「piš－ma тт－qí kás－pu
12 ga－mur SUM－ni A．šà za－ri－pi
13 la－［q］i tu－a－ru de－nu ка．КА
14 la－áš－šu ma－nu ša ina 「ur｀－kis
15 lu－u TA＊ŠÀ LÚ．MEŠ šu－nu－ú－ti
16 lu－u DUMU．MEŠ－šúu TA＊men．ki－ia
17 de－nu ка．ка $u b-t a-u ́-n i$
181 ma．na Kù．babbar 1 MA．NA GUŠkin


21 ［IG］I ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {EN．}}}$ ．NUN．DINGIR．MEŠ LÚ．SANGA

IGI m「si－par｀－ra－$a-n u$ LÚ．GAL KUŠ．MEŠ
IGI ${ }^{2}$ aš－šurur－EN．$[x]$ lúláhh－hí－nu
I［GI $\left.{ }^{\text {m }}\right]$ EN．$\lceil\mathrm{KI}-i a\urcorner$ ŠEŠ－šú ša LÚ．SANGA

］－「15 ${ }^{\text {LDUMU }}{ }^{\text {m．d }}{ }_{\text {UTU }}{ }^{\prime}$ ．x．PAP
$] x x-l u-u$－тI－$a t$
7．MEŠ IGI ${ }^{m} \cdot \mathrm{a}_{\text {IM．PAP．AS }}$
［PAP $x \mathrm{~L}] \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{IG}} \mathrm{IG}[\mathrm{I}] \cdot \mathrm{ME}[\check{\mathrm{S}}]$ urukur－［b］a－ìl－a－a
$[\mathrm{IGI}] \mathrm{m}[(x x) x] a k x x[(x x) x t] e$
（remainder of rev．traces only）

## Translation

1：Instead of their seal they have［impressed］their nails．（Nail marks．）2：Nail of Gula－balāṭī，the singer，nail of Bēt－šašsirāyu，the singer，nail of Šarru－balātu－iqbi，the singer，［nail］of Ninurta－ahhē－šallim，the singer，［nail of］Kurbailāyu，the incantation－ priest．
7 ：［An estate of $(x+)] 15$ homers of land in the ．．．．－ground of the singer（s），［in］ Kurbail，Bēl－issiyya，the village－inspector，contracted and took from those men（in exchange for）one talent of copper．11：The price has been paid in toto，the land is legally acquired； there is no（further）withdrawal，lawsuit，（or）litigation．Anyone who in the future，whether （one）from among those men，or his sons，initiates a lawsuit（or）litigation against Bēl－issiya，shall pay 1 mina of silver（and） 1 mina of gold to Adad［who dwells］in Kurbail． He shall plead in his lawsuit，but not succeed．
21 ：Before Bēl－rubā＇－ilāni，the priest，before Siparrānu，the leather official，before Aššur－bēl－［．．．］，the lahhinu，before Bēl－issīya，the brother of the priest，before［．．．．］āyu， the paternal uncle of the priest，［before ．．．．．，before ．．．．］－lū－baltat？${ }^{\text {？}}$ ，［before ．．．．．］， before Adad－ahu－iddina，［in all x］witnesses of Kurbail．Before

## Notes

3：For the PN see note on No．24， 5.
6－7：The signs restored at the end of these lines in the transliteration were present when Professor D．J．Wiseman made notes on the tablet in 1950．Since then a small fragment has become detached from the right edge．Note the association of the kaln priest with singers

7：$k u(d) d \bar{a} r u$ ：see $A H w$ s．v．，also RGD No．54．i．4＇；a type of land．
8：It is probable that no number is written before aư．un because the Akkadian would have read 16：cf．No． 33,15 and note．

16：cf．No．33， 15 and note．
21：Same witness as in No．15，34；on the present tablet the traces would also allow the reading nun．me．dingir．meš（ $=$ apkal－ilāni），but the clear writing in No． 15 must take preference．
22 ：Same witness as in No．15， 37.
23 ：lahhinu is also a temple employee，see CAD a Pt．1， 296
24：Same witness as in No．15， 36.
28：Same witness as in No．15， 39.
29：cf．No．15， 42.

## Commentary

Here，as in No．15，Bēl－issīya buys land in the area of Kurbail from a consortium of sellers．In this case the previous owners are 4 singers and a lamentation－priest，and their
ssociation with the（Adad）temple at Kurbail is presumably one reason why the priest imself（suang $\hat{u}$ ）is found as a witness．It is interesting that although the two texts are ery similar in the transactions recorded，and in their witnesses，there are major differences in their formulation：where No． 15 has presumably utappišu ．．．．．［ittannu］，with the sellers as subject，here Bēl－issīya is the subject of $u(p) p i s ̌ i l q i$ ，while the long penalties in No． 15 are absent here．

No． 36
Plate 25
ND 481
IM 56863
$(8 \cdot 0) \times(9 \cdot 0) \times 2 \cdot 0$
［－］
Governor＇s Palace，Room K．
Obv．（not copied ；traces are preserved of 11.1 （indecipherable）， 3 ：［ ］．${ }^{d_{B E}}$ ，and 4：［ ］$] u-\hat{u})$ ．

Rev．1＇［
］$x[$
$2^{\prime} \quad[a-s ̌ i b]$ urukur－「ba－il $i^{\urcorner}-$šá $-k[a n]$
$3^{\prime} \quad[b i-l a] t$ AN．NA $a-n a$ LÚ．EN．NAM URU－šúu［SUM－$\left.a\right] n$
4＇［kas］－pu a－na 10．meš a－na EN－šúú G［UR］－「ár
$5^{\prime} \quad$［ina $\left.d\right] e-n i$－šu－nu i－da－bu－ub la тт－ú？
$6^{\prime}{ }^{[\mathrm{IG}] \mathrm{I}^{?} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{IM}} \check{x} a \text { urukur－ba－il }}$

$8^{\prime}\left[\right.$ IGI ${ }^{\left.{ }^{m} r a\right]-u-z u}$ IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{U}}-k u-m u-\left\ulcorner i a^{`}\right.}$
$9^{\prime}$［IGI $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{t}\right] a-a b-l i-p u$－šú ša É lútur－ta－ni $^{\text {I }}$
$10^{\prime} \quad\left[\text { IGI }{ }^{\mathrm{m}} x-\mathrm{P}\right]_{\mathrm{AP}}$ ？－ia IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{d}_{\text {IM．}} \text { SIPA－} n i}$ urukur－ba－i $[l]$

12＇［IGI m ${ }_{\mathrm{U}}($ ？$)-$ še－z $z i b-a n-n i$ lúšáá UGU É ša É lútar－ta－ni
$13^{\prime}[\operatorname{IGI} \mathrm{m}(x) x]$－ia $\operatorname{IGI} \mathrm{m}_{a-g i-r a-a-a}$ urukur－ba－il
$14^{\prime} \quad$［PAP $x$ ur］ukur－ba－il－a－a
$15^{\prime} \quad\left[\operatorname{IGI}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}(x) x\right] x-l a-a-a \check{s} a$ É ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{NUMUN}^{?}$－$k i-x[(x x)]$
$16^{\prime} \quad\left[\text { IGI }{ }^{\mathrm{m}} x x\right]_{-}$－sal＿－lim KIMIN？－ma？$[()]$
T．E． $17^{\prime} \quad[\quad] \mathrm{L} \mathfrak{u}^{\prime}[x x]\left\ulcorner\check{s} a^{\urcorner}[(x x)]\right.$
18＇［ ］sa－bit［ ］

N．B．The line－numbering on the copy is out by one．

## Translation

Rev． $1^{\prime}:[\ldots . .$.$] he shall place［in the lap of DN who dwells］in Kurbail．He［shall$ give one tal］ent of tin to the governor of his city．He shall return［the］price ten－fold to its owner．He shall plead［in］his lawsuit（but）not succeed．
$6^{\prime}:$［Before（？）］Adad of Kurbail．［Before］Bēl－aplu－iddina，the priest of Adad of ［Kurba］il，［before Ra］＇ūzu，before Adad－kumūya，［before T？］āb－lipūšu of the house of the turtānu，［before ．．a］hūya？，before Adad－rā＇īni（of）Kurbail，［before］Adad－aplu－iddina of
the house of Šamaš-kēnu-dugul, [before Adad(?)-šēz]ibanni, the overseer of the house of the turtānu, [before . . . ]ia, before Agirāyu (of) Kurbail, [in all 9 men] of Kurbail. [Before ... $]$ lāyu of the house of Zēr??-ki[. . . ., before . . . .]-šallim, ditto(?), [. . . ., before . . . . . . . the scribe], writer [of the tablet].

19': [Month of . . . ., xth] day, limmu of [PN].
Notes
Rev. $6^{\prime}$ : This appears to be the only possible restoration of the text; although of course common in old Babylonian texts, the witnessing of a contract by a god is rare in nA ; cf. ND 2080 (Iraq 16 in old Babylonian texts, the witnessing of a contract by a god is rare in nA; č. NDD 2080 (1954), 54 ), which seems to be witnessed by the king's statue and also by Šamaś (ll. 11-12).
(1954), 54), which seems to be witnessed by the king's statue and also by Samas (11. 11-12).
$7^{\prime}$ : Beel-aplu-iddina is named as a witness in No. 15, 35, where he is the son of the priest of Adad. This tablet must therefore be later than 791 в.c.
$8^{\prime}$ : Ra'ūzu's name restored after No. $15,41$.
$9^{\prime}$ : This name is comparable to Hadi-lipūšu, for which see $A H w 975$ ( $p u a ̈ s ̌ u(m)$ ); the name Tāa-lipūšu shows that we should take the first part of Hadi-lipūšu as a predicative permansive, and these two instances suggest that perhaps puäšu (at least in nA) should mean not " sich ärgern ", but rather the opposite " to come to agreement
$10^{\prime}$ : As shown in the copy, there is an erased wedge after $n i$
11': Šamaš-kēnu-dugul, clearly an important man, since he has a "house ", must surely be the eponym for 749 в.c., see $A P N 211$ a.
12': Adad- restored because of shortage of space.
15: NUMUN? may perhaps be rather $b i^{\prime}$.

## Commentary

Although the traces on the obverse are not sufficient to give any absolute proof that this is a land sale, the fact that parties from Kurbail are concerned, and the identity of some of the witnesses with those in the other Kurbail texts which are land sales, justify the text's inclusion here

No. 37
Plate 25
ND 479(b)
IM, for study
[ - ]
$(2 \cdot 5) \times(2 \cdot 2) \times(0 \cdot 9)$

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. $1[k] u$-um N[ $\mathrm{A}_{4} \cdot$ KIŠIB-šu ] (finger-nail impressions)
2 su-pur ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} a-x[$
3 É 50 anše a.š[À
ina šì giš.bán
5 [É(?)] ad-ru [
$6 \quad[(x) x]_{\llcorner } x_{\lrcorner}$GÚ.UN [
7 [u(p)piš-ma (?) m]. d30 $]$ (remainder of text broken)

Translation
1: Instead of [his seal he impressed his nail]. (Nail marks.) Nail of A[. . .].

3: An estate of 50 homers of land [......] (measured) in the sūtu measure [of . . qa, (including?) a house(?)], threshing-floor, [..... in exchange for $x]$ talent(s) of [.....] Sîn- $\overline{\mathrm{e}}[\mathrm{t} i r(?)$ contracted and took ...

## Notes

7: The restoration of uppiš and of the PN is of course tentative. Sîn-ētir's name does however fit the traces, and he is quite a likely purchaser, since he is also found in Nos. 20 and 64, acquiring considerable areas of land.

No. 38
Plate 26
$4 \cdot 8 \times 6 \cdot 3 \times 2 \cdot 5$
Governor's Palace, Room K.

Obv. 1 「ṣu`-[pur

(finger-nail impressions)
3 [x] $x[$
4 [x] GIŠ.Š [AR
5 [()]a-na É $s$ [u
6 [ú]-tap- $\left\llcorner\dot{p i s s}_{\lrcorner}\right\lrcorner[$
7 [
B.E. $8 \quad[x x]^{\Gamma} x^{\top}[$

9 [k]ás-pu gam-[m]ur [ta-din]
Rev. 10 「qaq`-qe-re za-a[r-pu]
11 laq-qi-úu tú-a-r[u (dēnu)]
12 da-ba-bu la-a-[̌̌u]
13 man-nu [ša] i-par-r[i-ku-u-ni]
141 MA.[NA K] Ù. BABBAR $a-n a[d]\ulcorner 157$
15 (indecipherable)
(remainder broken ; indecipherable traces of two lines on L.E.)

## Translation

1: Nail [of PN], owner [of the ground(?)]. (Nail marks.)
3 : $[\ldots \ldots \ldots$.$] , an orchard, [\ldots . .$.$] to the house$
$\ldots[\ldots$ PN $]$ has contracted [............

## Notes

7: Collation determines that there is only room for this one line between 1.6 and the first line on the B.E.
10: qaqqerē: see on No. 29, 2.
15. This line does not seem to contain the expected signs; there is very probably a ruling directly 15: This line does not seem to contain the expected signs;

## Commentary

The mention of qaqqere (l. 10) implies that this is probably the sale of a building-plot within the town; on the other hand the property did include an orchard (I. 4). For a similar sale, of a plot of ground together with half an orchard, see $A R U 348$.

## No. 39

Plate 26
ND 479(a)
IM, for study
$6 \cdot 5 \times(7 \cdot 7) \times 2 \cdot 8$

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv.
(beginning broken)


```
\(3^{\prime}\) [ ] MA.NA URUDU.ME
    ] \(u\)-tap-piš
[ kaspu g]a-mur
```

(remainder of obv., c. four lines, broken)
B.E. $1^{\prime} \quad{ }^{\ulcorner } x x^{7}$ [
$2^{\prime} 1$ MA.NA [
Rev. $3^{\prime}$ ina bur-ki s s[a
$4^{\prime} \quad i$-šá-kan $k\left[a \dot{s} s^{?}-p u\right.$
$5^{\prime} a-n a{ }_{\llcorner } \mathrm{EN}_{\lrcorner}-[s u \quad u-t a]-r a$
$6^{\prime} \quad[i] n a ~ d e-n i$-šú $i-[d a]-b u-u b$
$7^{\prime}$ la $i-l a q-q i$


$10^{\prime}$ [IGI] m.d $30-u$ - $u$-TI.LA
$11^{\prime}{ }^{\text {「 } \mathrm{IGI}^{7}}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ ur-za-a-a
$12^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{\text {m.d }}$ UTU-še-zib
(blank space ; then remainder broken)

## Translation

$1^{\prime}:[\ldots . .$.$] a built house, [..... together with] its doors, [in exchange for ...]$ minas of copper, [PN] has contracted [and taken]. $5^{\prime}$ : [The price has been paid] in toto, $[\ldots . .$. (long gap) . . . Anyone who contravenes (the agreement)(?)] shall place 1 mina [of silver(?)] in the lap of [DN]. He [shall return the price ten-fold] to its owner. He shall plead in his lawsuit, (but) not succeed.
Rev. 8' : Before Aššur-šallim [(...)] of the village $\mathrm{Zu}^{?}$...., before Ubru-ištar, before Bēl?-šallim, [before] Sîn-uballiṭ, before Urzāyu, before Šamaš-šēzib.
[Date lost.]

## Note

Rev. $8^{\prime}$ : -sallim [anni] possible; in the place name $b a$ is possible instead of $z u$ (coll.).

## Commentary

That this text is a house sale is obvious from ll. $1^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$. There is a slight problem as to the correct verb to be restored in $1.5^{\prime}$ : issiqi or ittidin both seem possible at first sight. However, I think we may decide in favour of issiqi "taken" by considering the very little space available for PNs: since the seller will have been named in the superscript, and since there is room in 1.4 for only one PN, that name must be the name of the buyer. It does occur occasionally elsewhere, that the name of the seller is not repeated in the body of the text.
No. 40
Plate 27
ND 275(f) Inst. Arch. London
$(6 \cdot 0) \times(8 \cdot 1) \times(2 \cdot 6)$

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv.
(beginning broken)


## Translation

$2^{\prime}:[\ldots]$. . ${ }^{\text {ill }}$ ? -aššur, the [....].], contracted [and] took [(from PN) in exchange for] $\ldots . . .5^{\prime}$ : The price [has been] paid in toto, that house [is legally acqu]ired; [there is no (further)] with[drawal, law]suit (or) liti[gation

## Notes

$5^{\prime}$ : If [ina $\left.l i\right\rceil b^{j}-b i$ is restored at the beginning of the line, it is hard to extract a designation of the price from the signs that follow; similarly at the end of the line we might conjecture TA* [ [GI PN], but the space is inadequate for this, while $\mathrm{TA}^{*} \mathrm{I}[G I-\delta \check{z} u]$ is not particularly common. Reluctantly therefore I leave the line unresolved.

## Commentary

It is clear from 1. $6^{\prime}$ that this is a house sale.
No． 41
Plate 27
$(5 \cdot 0) \times(5 \cdot 6) \times(2 \cdot 7)$

ND 275（d）
Inst．Arch．London ［－］

Governor＇s Palace，Room K．
Obv．（beginning broken）

|  | （finger－nail impressions） |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\prime}$ | ［s］u－pur ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{n}^{\text {n }} a^{27}-x$［ |
| $2^{\prime}$ | ［（x）］EN PAP．MEŠ ${ }_{\text {L }}$ DUMU」．${ }^{\text {MEŠ }}$［ |
| $3^{\prime}$ |  |
| $4^{\prime}$ | ［Gíd］．L．DA」 $33^{\text {？}}$ ina 1 K ［ÙŠ DAGAL |
| $5{ }^{\prime}$ |  |

## Translation

$1^{\prime}$ ：Nail of $\mathrm{Na}^{?}[. . . .$.$] owner？of（？）［his（？）］brothers（and）sons［．．．．．．．．．］．Clear$ ground，［x cubits］long， 33 ？cubits［wide ．．．．．

Notes
$2^{\prime}$ ：This is a most extraordinary line；what we expect is a phrase like＂owner of the clear ground being sold＂，but this simply cannot be wrung from the tablet．Nor can the signs conceal another PN （which is not in any case required）．As the line stands，it suggests that the seller was about to dispose of his brothers and sons，but that this is not so is shown by the ensuing lines．Possibly it refers in some way to the interests of the man＇s relatives in the property，but without parallels nothing more can be said．K．Deller suggests taking en as $a d i$ ，which is certainly a good idea，but does not resolve al difficulties．

No． 42
Plate 27

ND 232
Inst．Arch．London ［－］
$(7 \cdot 3) \times 10 \cdot 8 \times 3 \cdot 1$
Governor＇s Palace，Room K．
Obv．（almost entirely broken ；it is possible to distinguish a finger－nail impression at 3.5 cm ．from the T．E．，and below that（at 4.5 cm ．from the T．E．），the sign É below a ruling）

Rev． $1 \quad l\left[u^{2}-u\right] x \quad x[$
2 「TA＊า m．d［30（？）．к］AR－ir $\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & x\end{array}\right)\right]$
$\left.3 d a^{2}-b\left[a^{3}-b u^{3}(x x) u\right] b^{?}-t a^{3}\right\lrcorner[-u-n i]$
$4 \quad 1 ? \mathrm{MA} . \mathrm{N}[\mathrm{A}]_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}} .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{GI} a^{7}-n[a$
5 x $x x[x x] x x[$
6 kás－$p$［ $u^{?}$
$7 \quad[(x)] x x[$
8 tgi ${ }^{m}[$

IGI $\mathrm{m} k i^{?}$－
10 IGI mšù $l^{?}-m u^{?}-[$
11 IGI mš $a^{3}-x$［
IGI mi－nu－x［
IGI ${ }^{\text {m．d }}{ }_{\text {PA．}}$［
IGI ${ }^{\text {KAB．}} \mathrm{LAD}^{3}$ ．［
IGI $\mathrm{m}_{m u-s}$－$a l-\langle$ lim $\rangle$－d ${ }_{\text {AMAR．UTU DUMU }}{ }^{m} x$［
$[\mathrm{IG}] \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {TUR }}}$ ．［（ $\left.x\right)$ ］．A．PAP $x[$
17 ［ （remainder（T．E．）broken）

Translation
Rev．1：．．．．．］or［．．．．．．．］initi［ates？a lawsuit］（or）litigation？against［Sô？？］－ētir ［shall pay］1？mina of gold to［DN $\qquad$ owner $\qquad$ 8：Before［．．．．．］，before Ki［．．．］，before Šulmu？－［．．．．］，before Sa［．．．］，before Inu［．．．］， before Nabû－［．．．］，before Kimir？－．．［．．．］，before Mušallim－marduk，son of［．．．．， before］．．．－aplu－uṣur ．．．．．

## Notes

Obv．：The sign é（＂estate＂）in this position indicates that we probably have a sale of land，although it could also be a house sale．In any case it is a sale of property．

Rev．2：The restoration of the name as that of Sin－ettir is of course uncertain．
14：кав as a logogram is very sparsely attested in nA PNs；apart from the names kAb．Pap．igi （No．17，42），Dayānu－KAB－a［hhê？$]\left(83,2^{\prime}\right)$ and perhaps KAB．PAP．MEŠ $[()]\left(86,2^{\prime} ; 91,9\right)$ ，I can only quote from $A P N 200$ a $\operatorname{Sin}-m u-$ KAB＝mukammir（？）．In all cases except perhaps this last we could suspect a miswriting of KAB for KÀD $=k i \grave{s r u}$ ，although strictly кÀD should be kasäru．

No． 43
Plate 28
$5 \cdot 2 \times 7 \cdot 8 \times 2 \cdot 4$
Governor＇s Palace，Room M
Obv． 1 「ṣu｀－pur mhal－mu－si
2 EN $\qquad$
（finger－nail impressions）
3 É 2 ANŠE 4？BÁN 「A．ŠÅ̀
4 ina URU šáá m．d［d］a－「gan－EN｀．PAP
ú－piš－ma ${ }^{\text {maš－šurur－BÀd．PAP }}$
6 ina SA 50 MA．NA URUDU．MES
7 тА＊pa－an mhal－mu－si
8 il－qi kas－pu ga－mur
9 ta－din A．š̀̀̀ $z a$－［rip］
10 laq－qi tú－a－ru КА．КА
11 la－ás̆－šu man－nu

Rev. 12 ša $i$-GIL- $u-n i$
13 kas-pu a-na 10.mEš-te
$14 a-n a$ EN.MEŠ-šú GUR
15 ina de-ni-s̆ú KA.KA
16 NU $\qquad$ TI
7 IGI m.d[MA]š? ${ }^{?}$.APIN-eš
18 IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}\left\ulcorner x^{7}[x]-i\right.$ PAP-šúu
$19[\mathrm{I}] \mathrm{G}[\mathbf{I}] \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{BA}}[\mathrm{L}-]_{\mathrm{L}} a-a_{\perp} \mathrm{LUU}$.NAGAR
0 [I]G[I] ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}\left[\begin{array}{lll}x & x & x\end{array}\right]$
$1 \begin{array}{lll}{[ } & 7 x l u^{2}\end{array}$
$\left.22[(\quad)]{ }^{\text {itiš }}[\mathrm{E}]\right]_{\text {DIRIG? }}$,

4 [ $\quad[x x$
L.E. 25 IGI mba- $n i\urcorner-i s ̣ a-b[i t \mathrm{IM}]$

## Translation

1: Nail of Halmusu, owner of the land. (Nail marks.)
3: An estate of 2 homers $4^{?}$ sūtu of land in the village of Dagan-bēlu-ușur, Aššur dūru-uṣur contracted and took from Halmusu in exchange for 50 minas of copper. 8: The price has been paid in toto, the land is legally acquired; there is no (further) withdrawal (or) litigation. Anyone who contravenes (the agreement) shall return the price ten-fold to its owners. He shall plead in his lawsuit, but not succeed.
17: Before Ninurta?-ēreš, before [....]ī, his brother, before Pilaqqāyu?, a carpenter, before [.....].....
22: Month of intercalary? Addāru (XII + I), [limmu of] PN [. . . . .].
25 : Before Banī, writer [of the tablet].

## Notes

1: I have used the nominative form of the name, Halmusu (cf. $A P N$ 84a), although it is not actually attested in this text.
19: Although one would expect giš.bal for pilaqqu, there is no space after bal for another sign which would allow us to read e.g. Balṭayu. I have therefore assumed that the GIš has been (consciously) omitted by the scribe.

23: The name, which must be that of the eponym, could also begin ${ }^{d}{ }^{1}$ PA, and so it does not seem worthwhile to speculate on the available dates. A decision may eventually be possible when more i known of the system of intercalary months in Assyria (assuming the restoration here of dirig is correct)

## No. 44

Plate 28; Photo Plate 93a
ND 258(a)
$5 \cdot 5 \times 8 \cdot 3 \times(1 \cdot 7)$
Governor's Palace, Room M.
 (cylinder seal impression)

2 su-pur ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {DUMUU }}} 15 \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{N} q] a q-q e-r e$
(finger-nail impressions)
3 [qa]q-qe-re pu-se-e [1]5? ina 1 кùš
4 [G]íd.da 11 KÙŠ DAGAL
$5 \hat{u}^{-}$-piš-ma ${ }^{\text {m}}$ EN.KUR.DINGIR L[Ú].KAŠ.LUL
6 [š]a Mí.É.GAL ina lib-bi? 「6 MAㄱ.NA URUDU.MEŠ
7 [qa]q-qe-re TA* IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ DUMU. 15 [i]l-qi
8 [k]as-pu ga-mur ta-din-[n]i
9 [qa]q-qe-re šu-a-tu za-rip laq- ${ }^{\ulcorner } i^{\top}-\frac{u}{u}$

11 man-nu ša ina ur-kiš ina ma-ti-ma

13 [lu]-u DUMU.MEŠ-šúu lu-u DUMU.DUMU.MEŠ-šúu
$14[l u-u]$ ŠEŠ.MEŠ-šú lu-u _DUMU.ŠEŠ」.MEŠ-šúu
B.E. $15[l u-u$
]-ni $i^{?}-$ šú $^{2}$
(remainder of B.E. and all rev. broken)

## Translation

1: Seal of Šamaš-iddina, mayor of the village of [. . . . .]. (Cylinder seal impression.) 2 : Nail of Mār-ištar, owner of the ground. (Nail marks.)
3 : Clear ground, [1]5? cubits long, 11 cubits wide, Adi-māti-ilu, the queen's butler, contracted and took the ground from Mār-ištar in exchange for $6^{?}$ minas of copper. 8: The price has been paid in toto, that ground is legally acquired; there is no (further) withdrawal, lawsuit, (or) litigation. Anyone who at any time in the future arises, whether Mār-ištar, or his sons, or his brothers' sons, [or his ......, or] his [...... .

## Notes

10: Both de-i-nu and ка.ка-bu are unusual writings; we should perhaps write rather di-i-nu (if the $i$ is correct) though this would be un-Assyrian.
15: Not shown on copy.

## Commentary

The only noteworthy feature of this text is that the tablet is sealed by the local mayor (hazannu), and that his name is placed before that of the seller. The owner was allowed to indicate his assent to the transaction by impressing his nail in the usual way, but only after the mayor's seal had been applied, and the space for his nails is squeezed between two lines. The reason for this is unclear: is the mayor concerned in his official capacity, or only as a private person? It is conceivable that in nA times local authorities took some part in sales of land which fell within their jurisdiction, but as far as I know no other evidence for it exists ; on the other hand, there is no very obvious reason why a third party might be involved in a private capacity. Without further parallels a firm decision is difficult, but I prefer to think that the mayor is here acting officially, giving the consent of the village to the sale. Note that the mayor of the relevant town or village is found as a witness in Nos. 15 and 17.

No. 45
Plate 28
$5 \cdot 2 \times 9 \cdot 5 \times(2 \cdot 1)$

Governor's Palace, Room M.

Obv. 1 su-pur ${ }^{\mathrm{m} q u r-d i \text {-din[GIR.ME]š- } n i}$
2 ᄂsu-pur ${ }^{2}[\mathrm{ml}] a^{2}-q[i-p] u^{2}$
3 [(PAP)] 2 Lứ.M[ES̆ ] finger-nail impression
4 É 1-bÁN tab-ri-ú [?
5 ú-piš-ma $\left[{ }^{\mathrm{m}} q i\right.$ i-bi]t-aš-š[ur]

7 [t]ab-ri-ú ina Š[^̀ (-bi)]
8 [ $x$ ] MA.NA UD.K[A.BAR.MEŠ $i l-q i]$
9 [ká]s-pu ga-mur [ta-din]
10 [tab-r]i-ú za-rip la-[qi]
11 [ma]n-nu šá ina ur-[kiš] ina ma-te-「ma
$12[l] u-u{ }^{\mathrm{m}} q[u r-d] i$-DINGIR.MEŠ
13 [ []$u-u$ m $l a-[q] i-p u$
14 [l]u-u ŠEš.MEŠ-šúu-[nu (
5 [l]u-u Du[MU.MEŠ(?)]]-śću-nu
16 [l]u-u EN? $i l-k i-s ̌ u ́-n u$
17 [l]u-u mám-ma-nu-šú-nu
18 ša тА* $\mathrm{m}[q] i$-bit-ǎ̌-šur
19 [( $x$ ) $x$ DUMU].MEŠ-šú
B.E. 20 [d]e-nu кА.кА [ub-t]a-'u-u-ni

21 1? MA.NA sÍa $q[e r]-d u$ [ ] (remainder broken; traces only of two lines on L.E.)

## Translation

1: Nail of Qurdi-ilāni, nail [of L]aqīpu, [(in all)] 2 men, [owners of the . . . .]. (Nail marks.)

4: An estate of 1 sūtu . . . . [. . . . Qibī]t-ašš[ur] contracted and [took] the . . . . from [these] men in exchange [for $x$ ] mina(s) of bronze. 9: [The] price has [been paid] in toto, [the . . .]. . is legally acquired. Anyone who at any time in the future, whether Qurdi-ilāni or Laqipu, or their brothers, or their [sons(?)], or (those) responsible for their ilku-duties or any (relative) of theirs, who initiates a lawsuit (or) litigation against Qibīt-aššur [or against] his [sons(?), shall eat] 1? mina of carded wool, [. . . . . . .

## Notes

4: tabriu is a word which crops up occasionally in nA texts, and it must describe a special kind of property, like the well (PƯ) and threshing-floor (adru) with which it is also found. See ARU 46, 6 ( 5 homers of land, a quarter in(?) a threshing-floor, a quarter in 3 tab-ri-a-te); 117, 7; 120, 6, 20;
$422,6(?) ; 442,3 ; 448,18 ; A D D 741,30(?) ; A D D 1195$ (AJSL 42 (1925-6), 242), 5 (as here : É 1-BÁN tab-ri- $\hat{⿲}^{\prime}$ [ ) ; ADD 1196 (ibid., p. 244), 3, 32; no meaning can yet be ascertained for the word, although we may observe in passing that it is particularly frequent where long and detailed descriptions of a propertys bounal $\breve{S} L 15289$. 318147 ; $A H w$ s. p. 46 ; A. Deimel, 7: For the

## Commentary

Sale of a small area of land with some special characteristic by two men who are presumably joint owners in some way; cf. the very similar transaction $A D D$ 1195, where however the price is probably much higher.
No. 46
Plate 27
$(4 \cdot 0) \times(5 \cdot 5) \times 2 \cdot 7$

Governor's Palace, Room M.

Obv. 1 [
]ṣu-pár-šúu-nu
$\frac{}{\text { (finger-nail impressions) }}$


## Translation

1: [Instead of their seals (they impressed)] their nails. (Nail marks.) [Nail of . . . . . . .] Dayān-šamaš, [nail of PN, nail of . . .]-uballiṭ [. . . . . . .]
4: An estate of 70 homers [. . . . . . .] corn heaps(?), [. . . . these men] have contracted [and given(?) to . . . . . . .

## Notes

1-3: These lines show that there were three or four sellers, whose names are given in 11. 2-3; possibly the names extended into l.4. These men must be the subject of utappišu in 1.6 , and hence we should restore [ittannu] at the beginning of 1.7 , as suggested by the translation.
4: It is tempting to restore $l i b-b] i$ here, but the rest of the text is so uncertain that this cannot be justified; the sign could equally well be the end of a PN.

## Commentary

Just enough of this fragment survives to tell us that the text concerned the sale(?) of a considerable area of land by three or more persons to another whose name is lost.

No. 47
Plate 29

## ND 448

IM 56855
$(5 \cdot 7) \times 9 \cdot 0 \times(3 \cdot 0)$

No. 48
Plate 30
ND 482
$(6 \cdot 8) \times(9 \cdot 2) \times(2 \cdot 5)$

Governor's Palace, Room S.
Obv. 1 [
2 [su-pur ${ }^{\text {m.d.d }}$ UTU-ša $\left.a\right]$ l-lim [
$3 \underset{\text { [EN(?).MEŠ] }]}{[\text { [ }}$ (space for finger-nail impressions)
4 [É $x]$ ANŠE A.ŠÀ.GA ina ma-za-ru-te[
5 [ ]x ša $a^{2} \mathrm{U}$ AD ina urukap-ri $\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{N}$
6 [ina lib-b]i 1 me 60 MA.NA UD.KA.BAR.[MEŠ?]
7 [ $\dot{u}-p i] \bar{s}-\dot{u}-m a<a-n a$ m.d30.K[AR?
8 [LỨS] $\mathrm{AG}^{?}$ LÚ.A.BA $i$-ta-n[ $\left.u^{?}\right]$
9 [kas-p]u ga-mur ${ }^{\text {La }}$-din」 ${ }_{\lrcorner}$
10 [A.ŠÀ.G]A šu-a-tú za-r[ip la(q)-qi]
11 [tu-]a-ru de-e-n[u da-ba-bu la-áš-šu]
12 [ina u]r-kiš ina ma-[te-ma
13 [lu-u m].d UTU-š[al-lim
14 [lu-u] DU[MU.MEŠ-šú-nu
15 [ ]-ni ${ }^{\Gamma} x x^{\top}[$
B.E.
(uninscribed) (rev. broken)

## Translation

1: [Nail of PN, nail of Šamaš-ša]llim, [owners of the land]. (Unused space for nail marks.)
4: [An estate of $x$ ] homers of land in cultivation [. . . . .]. . . . . in the village of Bēl-[. . .], they (Šamaš-šallim and another) [con]tracted and have given to Sîn-êṭ[ir(?), . . . eun]uch(?) (and) scribe, [in exchange] for 160 minas of bronze. 9 : [The price] has been paid in toto that [land] is legally [acquired ; there is no (further) with]drawal, lawsuit, [(or) litigation] In the future at any [time (anyone), whether] Samaš-š[allim, or PN, or their] sons . . . . Notes
1: There is space for only one line at the top; this line must have contained the name of another eller besides Šamaš-sallim.
5: Could this line be read [ina ciš.bí] 7: ša 10-at ?
7: There is perhaps space to read $[\hat{u}-t a p-p i] \bar{s}-\hat{u}$, which would agree in tense with $i t t a n n u$, and thus be preferable. The restoration of Sîn-ettir's name is again purely conjectural.
8: If the titles are correctly restored, then they must surely be parallel "eunuch and scribe ", and not "eunuch of the scribe ". K. Deller compares TCL 9, 58 rev. 45-6, where two men are respectively
" eunuch (and) queen's scribe " and "eunuch (and) palace cook ".

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv.

```
(beginning broken)
(finger-nail impressions)
```

$1^{\prime} \quad[\quad] \quad x \quad x \quad x^{\urcorner} n i$ ša kap-ru $x$
[É $] x$ ANŠE A.šì ina $m a-z a-r u$-te $[(\quad)]$
[ ]x-di-e A.šà ša тA* $[p] a-a[n]$
, $[e-t i(?)-q] u-\hat{u}-n i t i h i^{*}{ }^{*} a \mathrm{~m}_{n}\left[u^{?}-\right.$
5' [ $\hat{u}-p i]$ š-ma ina ŠÀ 20 MA.NA 3 -su [(
$6^{\prime}[a-n a] \mathrm{m}_{\text {AD.BU }}$ ?.SI? DUMU ${ }^{\text {P }}$ PAP-x[ $\left.\quad\right]$
$7^{\prime} \quad[i(d)-d i] n$ kás-pu ga-[mur ta-din A.ŠA $]$
$8^{\prime} \quad[z a]-r i p ~ l a q-q i ́ a-p i l l z[a-k u$
[(dēnu)] da-ba-a-bu la-á[š-šu
$0^{\prime}$ [man]-nu sa ina ur-kuš $x$ [
11' $\left.\quad\left[\begin{array}{llll}(x & x\end{array}\right) x \quad x\right]$.мEš-šú $l u-u$
, [lu-u E]N $i l-k[i$
(remainder broken)

## Translation

$1^{\prime}$ : . . . . .]. . . of the village [. . . .].
$2^{\prime}:[$ An estate of x$]$ homers of land in cultivation [...]... the field which [pass]es in front of [.....], next to (the field) of P[N], [he contra]cted and gave [to] PN, the son of Ahu-x[. . .] in exchange for $20 \frac{1}{3}$ minas of [. . . .]. $7^{\prime}$ : The price [has been paid in] toto, [the field is] legally acquired, he is paid off and cleared. There is no (further) [withdrawal, (lawsuit,) (or)] litigation. [Any]one who in the future [. . . . ., whether PN, or] his [. . . .]s, or [. . . . ., or (those) responsible for his] ilku-duties [. . . . . . . . . .

## Notes

$1^{\prime}$ : This line is presumably the end of the superscription which usually identifies the maker of the nail impression; the undeciphered signs may therefore be the remains of the seller's name, and this the second line of the original text.
$3^{\prime}$ : The broken word at the beginning of the line must mean something like " near ", "adjoining "; frequent in such a sense are the signs gab.di, whose reading is not known. If these signs were in some way sylur
6 : The satisfactory.
The restoration of $[a n a]$ at the beginning of this line, which makes it necessary to read $i d d i n$ in $1.7^{\prime}$, and makes $\mathrm{AD} \cdot \mathrm{BU}^{\text {? }}$. $\mathrm{SI}^{?}$ the purchaser, is necessary because if he were the seller (and the restorations were correspondingly changed), the name of the purchaser would not be given anywhere in the text; moreover if the seller were named at this stage in the document, it would normally be directly after uppiš-ma.
8': The archaic formula apil zaku crops up occasionally in nA texts (see CAD A Pt. 2, 158a), although not " passim " as stated there.
No. 49
Plate 16
ND 252 unn. IM, for study [-].vii.[-]
$(2 \cdot 5) \times(3 \cdot 1) \times 2 \cdot 0$
[Governor's Palace, Room K/M.]
This small fragment, which must come from a property sale because of the $E$ at the beginning of Obv. 3, does not merit full treatment. It may be the top left-hand corner of No. 23 .
No. 50
Plate 29
ND 252 unn.
IM, for study
Fragment: $(5 \cdot 1) \times(4 \cdot 9) \times(1 \cdot 8)$
Inscr. surface : $(3 \cdot 1) \times(3 \cdot 7)$
[ - ]
[Governor's Palace, Room K/M.]
(This fragment is shown to be part of a land sale by the only two decipherable lines: $\left.2^{\prime}:\right] A . S ̌ A ̀ . G A\left[\right.$, and $\left.5^{\prime}:\right]$ ina Š S̀ $[(-b i)] 20 x[$.
No. 51
Plate 29
ND 263
$7.9 \times 11.7 \times 3 \cdot 0(?)$

## Inst. Arch. London

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. (entirely lost)
Rev. 1 kás-pu a-na 10.meš-te a-na EN-šúú $i$-d[an]
2 ina de-ni-šú ка.ка la $i$-la-aq-q
3 IGI min.KUD. ${ }^{\text {MAŠ LÚ.GAL }}$ É
4 IGI mku-ku-la-a-nu lúšsa qur-bu-te
5 IGI mì.GÁL.dINGIR.MEŠ LÚ.GAL kal-la-pi
6 IGI ${ }^{\text {GIR }}{ }^{\text {ii }}$.LUGAL LỨ.MU
7 IGI ${ }^{\text {m.d }} \mathrm{d}_{\text {PA.MU.DÙ LÚ }}$.A.ZU
8 IGI m MUGAL-mu-nam-ir LÚ.SAG
9 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\text {EN.PAP.MEŠ LÚ.GAL KA.ŠÈR }}$
10 IGI m mín.MEŠ.SIG ${ }_{5}$ lúmu-šar-ki-su
11 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{m i-n u \text {-DÙ- } u s \text { stidingir lúrak-su }}$
12 IGI m.dim-na-áš-hi-ra Lú.A.BA
13 IGI ${ }^{\text {m.d }}$ IM.DÙ.PAP.MEŠ ṣa-bit t tup-pi
$14{ }^{\text {iti }_{\text {BARAG }}}$ lim-mu ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {EN.LÁ.DINGIR-ma }}}$
15 lúšá-kìn urukal-hi

## Translation

Rev. 1: He shall give (back) the price ten-fold to its owner. He shall plead in his lawsuit (but) not succeed.
3: Before Dayān-ninurta, the major-domo, before Kukulānu, the officer, before Ibašsiilāni, the chief kallāpu, before Sēp-šarri, the cook, before Nabû-šūmu-ibni, the doctor, before Sarru-munammir, the eunuch, before Bēl-ahhē, the chief tailor?, before Șābu$\mathrm{da}(\mathrm{m}) \mathrm{qu}$, the mušarkisu, before Mīnu-ēpuš-ilī, the raksu, before Adad-našhira, the scribe, before Adad-bān-ahhē, the writer of the tablet.
14: Month of Nīsānu (I), limmu of Bēl-tarṣi-iluma, governor of Kalhu (797 в.c.).

## Notes

3: For the reading of di.kud see on No. 3, 7.
10-11: I leave the difficult words mušarkisu and raksu untranslated, since any translation would be misleading.
14: Note the absence of a day date, and compare my note on No. 2, 22.

No. 52
Plate 31
$7 \cdot 2 \times(10 \cdot 9) \times(2 \cdot 6)$
IM 56824

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. (entirely lost, except for the ends of four lines on the right edge, and the end of the last line).
$1^{\prime}$ [
Rev. $2^{\prime} \quad 3$ anše.kUR.RA BABBAR- $e^{\urcorner}$GAR]-an
$3^{\prime}$ (
$\prime^{\prime}$ a-pa $a-n a$ 10.MEš-te $a-n a$ EN-šú
a a-na de-ni-šú
$5^{\prime} \quad i$-da-bu-u[b]
6' la i-la-qi
$7^{\prime}$ IGI mla-qi-pu
$8^{\prime}$ IGI M DINGIR-ha-na-na
$9^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{\text {m }}$ sa-la-ma-nu
10' IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ ga-ra-š̌i-úu
$11^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{\text {PAP.BA- }}{ }^{\text {is }}{ }^{?}$
$12^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{\text {m }}$ EN.NÍG.BA? ${ }^{?}$-ni
$13^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{m}{ }_{\llcorner } u b_{\lrcorner}-r u u^{-d}$ U.GUR
$14^{\prime}$ IGI $\mathrm{m}[x]-z a-l u$
$15^{\prime}$ [it $^{\text {¹ }}{ }^{\text {BARARAG UD.23.кÁM }}$
$16^{\prime}\left[\right.$ lim-m]e ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{U}}-m u \text {-šá-mer }}$ (remainder blank to break)

## Translation

$1^{\prime}$ : [... in the lap of DN he shall] place. He shall offer 3 white horses at the feet of Assur. He shall return the price ten-fold to its owner. He shall plead in his lawsuit (but) not succeed.

7': Before Laqīpu, before Ilu-hanāna, before Salamānu, before Garašiu, before Ahu-(i)qīš?, before Bēl-(i)qišāni?, before Ubru-nergal, before [. .]zalu.
$15^{\prime}$ : Month of Nīsānu (I), 23rd day, [limmu] of Adad-mušammer (788 в.c.)

## Notes

$2^{\prime}$ : K. Deller points out to me that this babbar-e, replacing the more usual babbar.meš, would argue for a reading pus(i)ē (as in qaqqerē puss(i)ē, which is once written ki.mes̆ babbar.mes̆, in VAT 8270, 2).
4: Note $a-n a$ for the usual ina
$2^{\prime}$ : Unusual writing; possibly pap-ba-da.
12: -suk ${ }^{\prime}$-na'-ni also possible (collated), but less likely,
No. 53
Plate 30
ND 235
Inst. Arch. London
$(8 \cdot 6) \times\left(14 \cdot 0^{?}\right) \times(2 \cdot 8)$ 20.iii. 778

Governor's Palace, Room K.

Obv. (entirely lost)
Rev. 1' [ $x$ m]A.NA KÙ̀.BABBAR L[UHู]-u 1 MA.N[A GUŠKIN]
$2^{\prime}$ [sa]g-ru ina bur-ki d dMAŠ [ $a$-šizb]
$3^{\prime} \quad[u]$ ru [kal-h]i $i_{-}$- $_{2}$ śáa_-kan 2 anše.[KUR.ra.meš babbar.meš]
$4^{\prime} \quad$ ina $G[i ̀ \mathrm{i}]^{11} a[\check{s}-s \check{u} u] r \quad i$-rak-kas」 2 anše.[KUR.RA.meŠ . . . . . .

$6^{\prime}$ [a-na 10.MEŠ]-t[e] $a-n a$ EN.MEŠ-šúu ú-[ta-ra]
$7^{\prime} \quad[i n a d e-n i$-š] $]$ KА.KA-ma la $i-l\left[a q^{2}-q i\right]$
$8^{\prime}$ [IG]I m ${ }_{r a-['] u-z u \text { LÚ.DAM. } \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{AR}]}$

$10^{\prime}$ IGI men?.PAP.MES
кi[min]
$11^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{\text {m}}$ PAP.DU- $k a^{?}$ DUMU ${ }^{\text {mí } m\left[u^{?} \text { - }-~ . ~\right.}$
$12^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{IG}] \mathrm{I} \mathrm{m}_{\text {ERÍN.MEŠ.S[I] }} \mathrm{G}_{5}{ }^{\text {lú }} d a-a-a-l\left[u^{2}\right]$
$13^{\prime}$ [I]GI m.d ${ }_{\text {PA.MU.AŠ s sa-bit tup-p }[i]}$
$14^{\prime}$ [I]GI mdi-di-i LÚ.GÍR.LÁ [()

$16^{\prime}[\text { LỨ.NIMGIR }]_{L} E_{\perp} .[G A] \mathrm{L}$

## Translation

$1^{\prime}$ : He shall place [ $1^{?}$ ] mina(s) of purified silver (and) 1 mina of refined [gold] in the lap of Ninurta [who dwells in Kalh]u; he shall dedicate 2 [white] horses at the feet of Assur, (and) introduce $2[\ldots .$.$] horses at the feet of [Ner]gal. He [shall return the price$ ten-fold] to its owners. He shall plead in his [lawsuit] but not succ[eed]
$8^{\prime}:$ [Before] Ra'ūzu, the merchant, before Mušēzib-ilu, the victualler(?), before Bēl?-ahhē,
ditto, before Ahu-illaka(?), son of . .[. . .], before Ṣābu-da(m)qu, the runner, before Nabû-šūmu-iddina, writer of the tablet, before Didī, the butcher?
$15^{\prime}$ : [Month of] Simānu, 20th day, limmu of Bēl-lēšir, the palace [herald] (778 в.c.)

## Notes

$3^{\prime}-4^{\prime}$ : These lines may be restored after No. 17, 21-2.
10' : Also possible would be кAB (cf. No. 42, 14).PAP.mEŠ (collated).
1': For the name cf. No. 109 ; he is the son of a woman
$14^{\prime}$ : gifr.LÁ is a most unusual profession in nA texts, and as far as I have noticed, it is only found in $A R U 455$ and in Ass. Fd. Nr. 11789.i.24: mpap-sa-pa Lút.aír.LíL (K. Deller). The logogram is clearly glossed ta-bi-hu (STT 383 vii. 6 , see MSL XII, 234 iii.8), and the meaning " butcher " is therefore admissible. However, as K. Deller points out to me, it occurs twice in the other such list K 4935 (MSL XII, 238 ff .), once clearly as a butcher (v.29), but once (i.11) among eunuchs, bodyguards, etc., and there it is probably a functionary, perhaps to be read nāss $(i)$ patri.
$15^{\prime}: \mathrm{s}[\mathrm{I}]$ stands for the expected sI.Ś́, and may be no more than an error.

No. 54
Plate 31
$8 \cdot 4 \times 10 \cdot 3 \times 3 \cdot 3$

ND 210(a) IM, for study 0.vii. 771

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 1 [ $-s] u ́-n u^{?} i s^{2}-k\left[u n^{?}\right]$
(remainder of obv. broken)
Rev. 1 [A.Š]Å? za-rip la-q[i]
2 [t]u-a-ru da-ba-bu 「la`-[̌̌úu]
3 [man-m]a та man-ma la $i-d a-b u-u[b]$
4 itidul UD.20.KÁM
5 lim-me ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{a s ̌} \text {-šur-KAL-an }}$
$6 \mathrm{M}[\mathrm{AN} \mathrm{K}] \mathrm{UR} a \check{c}$ s-šuriki

## Translation

1: [Instead of their(?) seal they(?)] impressed their(?) [nails] . . .
Rev. 1: [The ....] is legally acquired; [there] is no (further) withdrawal (or) litigation. No]-one shall litigate with anyone.
4: Month of Tašrītu (VII), 20th day, limmu of Aššur-dān, [king] of Assyria (771 в.c.)

## Notes

 ne seller
Rev. 1: The traces at the beginning of this line are not clear enough to justify placing this tex among the property sales.

## No. 55

Plate 32
ND 239
$(6 \cdot 2) \times(9 \cdot 6) \times(2 \cdot 6)$
Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. (entirely broken)

```
Rev l [ - x,ME[s
lall
[lu-úu ER]ÍN?.MEŠ EN i[l-ki-š̌úu]-nu }
-m]u\mp@code{l-šú-nu ERÍN?[}
x.MEŠ-šú-nu TA mma?[-x lll
[dēnu d]a-ba-bu `ub`-ta-``[\mp@subsup{u}{}{\imath}]-u-n-n[\mp@subsup{i}{}{i}]
[x mA.NA KÙ].gi 3 MA.NA KÙ.bABBAR.MEŠ
[ina bur]-ki d dMAŠ GAR-an
[x ANŠE.KUR.R]A.MEŠ BABBAR?.MEŠ a-na aš-šur SUM-an
[x l
[SUM-an kas-pu a-n]a 10.MEŠ-te a-n[a E]N-šúu GUR-ra
    _ x }x\mathrm{ x ša uru_[x]-ku l-a-ni
    ]x-šú\mp@code{ururugIMIN}
    ] uru
    s}]a[\operatorname{ur}]\mp@subsup{]}{\textrm{EN}-[\begin{array}{ll}{x}&{x}\end{array}]}{
(remainder broken)
```


## Translation

$3: \ldots$ [whether] Li[. . . . . , or] . . . ., [or the] people responsible for their? [ilku-duties, or] their $[\ldots .$.$] people(?), [or] their [..... (who)] initiates [a lawsuit (or)] litigation$ against Ma[....], shall place [3? minas of go]ld (and) 3 minas of silver [in the lap of Ninurta. He shall give [x] white [horses] to Assur, (and) [he shall give(?) 1 talent of] tin to the governor of his [city]. He shall return [the price] ten-fold to its owner
14: [Before . . . . .], of the village [. .]kuāni(?), [before . . . . .]. ., ditto, [before . . . . .], ditto, [before . . . . ., of] the village Bēl-[. . . .].

## Notes

12: A verb for this line is missing, unless we restore it (as suggested) at the beginning of 1.13 ; but there hardly seems room for it there.

## Commentary

This is a purchase from more than one seller (their names are found in ll. 3-4, and the plural suffixes in ll. 6-7 confirm it). The fact that witnesses come from a named village suggest that this may have been a purchase of land in that area.

Governor's Palace, Room K.

## Obv. (entirely broken)

Rev. 1' [ ] $x x x$ [ ]SUM? ${ }^{?}$-an [
10.ME]Š-šúu $a-n a$ EN-šu GU[R] к]A.KA- $m a$ NU [TI]
$5^{\prime}-12^{\prime} \quad$ (indecipherable traces of names of witnesses)

## Translation

$2^{\prime}:[. . . . . .$.$] he shall give. He shall return [the price ten]-fold to its owner. He shall$ plead [in his lawsuit] but not [succeed].
$5^{\prime}$ : (Names of witnesses.)
No. 5 \%
Plate 33
ND 480

Inst. Arch. London
$7 \cdot 0 \times 8 \cdot 8 \times(2 \cdot 9)$
[ - ]
Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. (entirely broken)
B.E. (uninscribed)

Rev. 1 lu-u mbi-si-né-e [( )]
2 lu-u DUMU.MEŠ-šúu $l u-u$ ŠEŠ.ME[Š-šúu (lu-u)]
3 EN il-ki-šú TA* m.d UTU-ku-m[u-a]
$4 u$ šEš.MEŠ-šú de-e-nu KA.KA
5 [u]b-ta- $\left\ulcorner\chi^{\top}-n[i]\right.$
61 MA.NA KÙ.[BA]bbar 1 ma.na GUŠKin
$7 a$-na dmaš SUM-an
81 Gú.UN AN.NA $a-n a$ Lú.EN.N[AM]
9 sum-an kas-pu a-na 10-a-ti
$10 a$-na EN-šú GUR ina de-ni-šú
11 ка.кА-ma la тI
12 IGI mzi-zi-i Lứ.SIMUG.KÙ.G[I]
13 IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {NUMUN.ZI.GIŠ IGI }} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{ZA}}-\mathrm{AD}^{?} \text { ?-IŠ? }}$
T.E. 14 [ $\overline{\mathrm{I}}] \mathrm{R}!{ }^{\text {s.a }} a{ }^{\text {m. }} \mathrm{d}_{\text {IGI.DU.PAP.A }}\left[\mathrm{s}^{?}\right]$

15 [I]GI $\mathrm{m}_{a s ̌}$-šur- $\mathrm{E}^{2}-a-a$

L.E. $17 \quad[\mathrm{IG}] \mathrm{I}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ šùl-[mu-E] $]$ N IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{HÉ}} \mathrm{SI}_{4} .1[5]$
18
19
$\mid x$ IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\text {DÙ }}-a^{?}[-a(?)]$
19 [ l]im-mu ${ }^{\text {m.d }}[$

## Translation

1: ..... whether Bisinē, or his sons, or [his] brothers, (or?) those responsible for his ilku-duties, (who) initiates a lawsuit (or) litigation against Šamaš-kūmū[a] or his brothers, shall give to Ninurta 1 mina of silver (and) 1 mina of gold; he shall give 1 talent of tin to the governor. He shall return the price ten-fold to its owner. He shall plead in his lawsuit but not succeed.
12: Before Zizī, the goldsmith, before Zēr-kitti-lēsir, before Za..., slave of Palil-ahu-idd[ina?], before Aššur-. . .āya, before . . .aššur, before . . . . . . 17 : Before Šulmu-bēl, before . . .isstar, [before . . . . .], before Būnā[ya?].

$$
19 \text { : [. . . . . . . . .], limmu of [PN]. }
$$

Notes
3: lu-u would be usual before this phrase; it may simply have been omitted by the scribe.
13: For the signs $a d^{?}$ and $i s^{?}$ ? see copy (collated ; sic).
15: $\mathrm{E}^{\text {? }}$ collated.
 ${ }^{\text {diNANNA }}$; since neither writing offers any very convincing reading, it is difficult to determine which sign, $\mathrm{SI}_{4}$ or DAR, was intended. A syllabic reading seems very unlikely, and the only possible logographic
solution seems to be Libni-istar (see $C A D$ в 90 b for the verb).

18: For the name Būnāya see on No. 17, 47.

No. 58
Plate 33
ND 252(f) + unn. frg. IM, for study
[ - ]
$(4 \cdot 2) \times(4 \cdot 5) \times(1 \cdot 2)$

Governor's Palace, Room K/M
Obv. (entirely broken)


## Translation

$2^{\prime}$ : [There is no (further) with]drawal, law[suit, (or) litigation. Anyone] who in the future [. . . . . . . ] initiates [a lawsuit (or) litigation against PN] or his sons or [his brothers(?), shall place] a tal[ent(?) of tin(?) . . .] in the lap of Ninurta who dwells [in Kalhu. They? shall plead in] their lawsuit [but not succeed].

## No. 59

Plate 33
$(6 \cdot 0) \times(7 \cdot 8) \times 2 \cdot 4$

ND 275(b) Inst. Arch. London [ - ]

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 1'-2' (undeciphered)

$6^{\prime}-9^{\prime}$ (undeciphered)
$10^{\prime}$ [ $] x l u-u$ D[UMU?.MEŠ-šúu $]$
$11^{\prime}$ [ $\left.\quad l\right] u-u$ ŠEŠ.MEŠ-[šúu]
$12^{\prime}-16^{\prime}$ (undeciphered)
Rev
(traces of four(?) lines towards base, undeciphered)

## Translation

$3^{\prime}: \ldots \ldots$ PN contracted and] took in exchange for [. . . . . mi]nas of copper. [The is legally] acquired, the price [has been paid in toto $\ldots \ldots \ldots .8^{\prime}$ : Anyone, whether PN . . . . .] or [his s]ons(?) [. . . . .] or [his] brothers [. $\qquad$

Plate 33
$(3 \cdot 4) \times(2 \cdot 8) \times(0 \cdot 6)$
[ - ]
解 and general appearance of the other two fragments are so similar to those of Fragment a that they have been included under the same number as part of the same tablet
[Governor's Palace, Room K/M.]
Obv. (entirely broken)
Rev. (Fragment $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{c}$ )

|  | (Fragment $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{c}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1{ }^{\prime}$ | [ ] [ |
| 2 |  |
| $3^{\prime}$ | [ ка.к]A la-ášl-šúu [ |
| $4^{\prime}$ | [ -š] $u^{?} l u-u$ LÚ́.[EN.NA]M? [ |
| 5 ' | [ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ] $] a-p i-r\left[u^{\text {? }}\right.$ |
| $6{ }^{\prime}$ | [ $]_{\llcorner } x x_{\lrcorner}[$ |
|  | (Fragment b) |
| $1{ }^{\prime}$ | [ ] ša ${ }^{\text {r }} \mathrm{x}^{\top}$ [ |
| $2^{\prime}$ | [ lu]-u GAR-nu $x$ [ |
| $3 '$ | [ l]u-u Lú.meš [ |
| $4^{\prime}$ | [ T]A m.d30.[ |
| $5{ }^{\prime}$ | [ de-e]-^nu ${ }^{\text {a }}$ [ |

Translation
Frag．a＋c：［Those ．．．．．are legally］acquired．There is no［（further）withdrawal， lawsuit，（or）liti］gation．［Anyone ．．．．．whether PN，or］his［．．．．．］or the governor ［of his city ．．．．．］or a representative（？），［．
Frag．b：．．．．．．］or an officer［．．．．．．．］or the men［responsible for his ilku－duties（？） ．．．（who）initiates a law］suit？［（or）litigation］against Sîn－［．．．．．．．．．

Notes
$\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{c} .2^{\prime}$ ：If correctly restored，the plural form here shows that we must have a sale of ground （qaqqerē），or，less likely，of slaves．
$5^{\prime}:$ šāpiru is also probably in No．31， 18.
b．：This fragment may well overlap with the other，to the left or right；there is practically no curvature of the surface to assist a decision．

## Commentary

Purchase of some property by a certain Sîn－［．．．．］，who may be our familiar Sîn－ētir．
No． 61
Plate 33
ND unn．
$(3 \cdot 7) \times(4 \cdot 3) \times(1 \cdot 3)$
［Governor＇s Palace，Room K／M．］
Obv．（entirely broken）
Rev．1＇［ $\left.]^{\Gamma} x x^{7}\right]$
$\begin{array}{lll}2^{\prime} & {[\quad u b]-t a--[u]-\bar{u}-[n i()} \\ 3^{\prime} & {[x \mathrm{M}] \text { A．NA } \quad[\mathrm{K}] \text { Uे．BABBAR } 1 \mathrm{M}[\text { A．NA GUŠKIN }]}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}3^{\prime} & {[x \mathrm{M}] \mathrm{A} . \mathrm{NA}[\mathrm{K}] \mathrm{U} . \mathrm{BABBAR}} \\ 4^{\prime} & [\quad]]_{\text {MAŠ }} \text { ša ur }\end{array}$
$5^{\prime}[x$ ANš $]$ E．KUR．${ }^{\text {RA }}$ ？${ }^{\text {？}}$ ，BABBAR． $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{E}]$ š $[$
$\begin{array}{lll}6^{\prime} & {[ } & ] \\ 7^{\prime} & {[ } & {[ }\end{array}$

## Translation

$1^{\prime}$ ：．．．．．Anyone who］initiates［a lawsuit（or）litigation ．．．．．．．shall place（？）x］ mina（s）of silver（and） 1 m ［ina of gold in the lap of（？）］Ninurta of［Kalhu ． He shal dedicate $x$ ］white hor［ses to Assur

## No． 62

Plate 34
ND 801
$8.9 \times 11.4 \times(2.8)$
［－］．iii．［－］

Governor＇s Palace，Room S．
Obv．（entirely broken）
Rev． 1 ［ DUM］U．M［E5̌？］－ší ${ }^{?}$ ？
Rev．1：．．．．．who］initi［ates a lawsuit］（or）litigation［against PN or］his sons（？）， $[\ldots .$.$] shall［give 1 \mathrm{mi}]$ na of silver（and） 1 mina of gold to Ninurta ；he shall give 15 ？talents of tin to the governor of his city．He shall return the price ten－fold to its owner．He shall plead in his lawsuit but not succeed．

10：Before Urdu？－ištar，the ．．［．．．］，before Ahu－tidintu（？）［．．．．．］，before Adad－ šalli［m ．．．．］，before Nabû－ahhē－［．．．．．，before］Adad－bēl，the ．．［．．．，before］Bēl－lām［ur ．．．．．］．

16 ：In the month of Simānu（III），［xth day，．．．．．．．．．

## No． 63

Plate 34
$4 \cdot 6 \times(6 \cdot 0) \times 2 \cdot 2$
Governor＇s Palace，Room K．
Obv．（very badly broken；not copied as useless）


$4^{\prime} \quad$［IG］I ${ }^{\text {m }} 15^{\text {？}}$ ！－DINGIR－$a-a$ IG［I m］$i q^{?}-[\quad]$
$5^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{I}] \mathrm{GI}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} u b-r i-15 \mathrm{I}\left[\mathrm{GI}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{P}\right] \mathrm{AP} . \mathrm{ZU}$


$8^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{IGII}^{7}$ m．a30？－mu－DI LÚ．A．BA
$9^{\prime} \quad s, s a-b i t ~ t u p-p i$

ND 240（a）

Inst．Arch．London 14．xii．［－］

London ntar her

年

```
[de-e-n]u da-ba-bu ub-t[a-'u-ni]
[ [x MA].NA LKÙ.BABBAR」 1 MA.NA K[Ù.G]I
4 a-na d
5 15*? Gú.LUN」, AN.NA a-na LƯ.EN.N[AM]
URU-šú i-`da`-an
「kas``-pu a-na 10.MEŠ a-na EN-šúu G[UR]
ina de-ni-šúu}i-da-bu-ub-ma
9 la i-laq-qi
```



```
11 IG[I] m PAP.SUM-tu? [(
12 IGI m.d.dM-šal-li[m
13 IGI m.dPA.PAP.MEŠ.[
14 [IGI] m m.EN lúmu
14 [IGI] m
16 ina itisIG}\mp@subsup{4}{4}{}\mathrm{ U[D.
17 [ ] x x [
2 ［de－e－n］u da－ba－bu ub－t［a－＇u－ni］
3 ［ \(x \mathrm{MA}]\) ．NA \({ }_{\text {LUÙ．}} \mathrm{BABBAR}_{\perp} 1 \mathrm{MA} . \mathrm{NA} \mathrm{K}[\mathrm{U} . \mathrm{G}] \mathrm{I}\)
\(a-n a{ }^{\text {dmAS }} i-d\left[a n^{?}\right]\)
6 URU－šú \(i-{ }^{\ulcorner } d a^{\urcorner}-a n\)
「kas＇－pu a－na 10．meš a－na EN－šú G［UR］
8 ina de－ni－šúu \(i\)－da－bu－ub－ma
9 la i－laq－qi
```



```
IGI \({ }^{\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{d}_{\text {IM }}-s ̌ a l-l i[m}\)
3 IGI \({ }^{\text {m．a PA．PAP．MES．}}\)［
5 ［IGI］ \(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{EN}}-l a-m[u r\)
\(\left.\left.17{ }^{[ }\right] \quad\right] x[\)
```


## Translation

IM，for study
［－］

11' $\quad[$ lim-m $] u^{\text {m.d(?) }}[$
$12^{\prime} \quad[()]^{\left\ulcorner\text {uru }_{x}\right.}{ }^{\urcorner}[$

## Translation

$2^{\prime}:$ He [shall place] $2^{?}$ minas of gold? [in the lap of] Adad?, the lord of Kalzu.
$4^{\prime}$ : Before Ištar? i-ilāya, before Iq[?....], before Ubri-ištar, before Ahu-(i)le’i, before Išme-ilu, the palace herald(?), before Iššilàya(?), before Sîn?-mušallim, the scribe, writer of the tablet.
$10^{\prime}$ : Month of Addāru (XII), 14th [day], limmu of [PN, governor of . . . . .].

## Notes

$3^{\prime}$ : For the restoration of Adad's name here, see $A R U 460,17$.
$6^{\prime}$ : It seems a little unlikely that the palace herald should be listed here, after four other witnesses, but it is the restoration that best fits the traces; or lú.「UGU ${ }^{\prime}$ é.gaL ?
$8^{\prime}$ : The DN looks almost like d40, but one would hesitate to read it as Ea.
$10^{\prime}-11^{\prime}$ : It seems certain that the scribe has repeated the signs lim-mu at the beginning of $1.11^{\prime}$.

## Commentary

The small size of both tablet and script may point to a date late in the eighth century.

## No. 64

Plate 34 ; Photo Plate 91b

## $9 \cdot 4 \times(10 \cdot 9) \times(2 \cdot 5)$

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. $1 \quad \mathrm{NA}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{KIŠ}[\mathrm{IB}$
]
${ }^{\text {EN }}{ }^{\text {n }}$ [
(cylinder seal impression)
3 ŠEŠ-šú š[ $[a]^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {EN.LÁ. DINGIR-ma }} \text { lúšá-kì }[n \text { urukal-hi] }]}$
4 É 40 ANŠE A.ŠÀ.GA tal-pi-tú [( )]
5 pat nar-ut-tu ina Šà $k i^{2}$-ri $i^{2}$ ša ur-qi $[(\quad)]$
6 ina urubu-ru-qi [(x)] ša KUR ha-láh-[hi]
m.d30.KAR-ir ú-piš-ma

9 [ $u\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & x\end{array}\right)$ É] da-la-a-ni
10 [a-na $\mathrm{m}_{m u-s ̌ a] l-l i m-d_{\text {INANNA }}} i d$-din
11 [ $\quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}\text { [ } \\ 10\end{array}\right]$
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{lllll}12 & {[ } & ] x & x & x\end{array}\right] \quad\right]$
(remainder of tablet broken)
Seal inscription
1 [šá(?) m(.d) $30 . \mathrm{K}]$ AR ŠEŠ! m.d[EN-]
2 [LÁ(-şi)-DINGIR-m]a? šá-kìn kal-[h̆ $i]$

## Translation

1 : Seal [of Sîn-ēțir], owner [of the land (. . . . .)], (Seal impression), brother of Bēl-tarṣiiluma, governor [of Kalhu].
4: An estate of 40 homers of . . . . land, alongside the river-land(?), within(?) a vegetable-garden?, in the village of Būruqi(. .) of the land of Halahhu, Sîn-ēṭir contracted and gave [to Muša]llim? -ištar in exchange for an estate of 30 homers of land (watered by) canal [(and x homers)] (watered by) buckets [. . . . next] to? the lot of Ṭāb-s̄ār-[. ...]

## Seal inscription: (see note on ll. 1-3).

## Notes

1-3: The obvious restoration of these lines is that suggested in the translation: the so-called "seller ", who impresses the seal, must be Sîn-êtir, for reasons given below in the Commentary, and this is confirmed by the seal inscription, if rightly restored. Unfortunately the restoration is not entirely free from doubt, but it may be sapported by the following considerations: 1. 1 of the seal inscription seems to contain the sign Kisib (seal "), but this would normally stand first, and the preceding sign is not $N A_{4}$. The reading K$]_{\mathrm{AR}}$, which agrees perfectly with the copy, makes it very probable that the KIŠrB is in fact miscopied for šEŠ-a not unlikely mistake - and we may then reconstruct the inscription as shown. The only remaining awkwardness is the division of Bèl-tarsi-iluma's name over two lines, but this is no more unusual than the omission of the URU determinative before Kalhu. The translation of the seal inscription runs : [Seal of Sin-ē]țir, brother of [Bēl-tarṣi-ilum]a, the governor of Kal[hu].
It seems that I. 3 was added as an afterthought, since
4: the seal impression.
4: talpittu: this word, of unknown meaning, is quite often used in nA house sales, to describe the property being sold (see $A R U 100,2,7 ; 128,8 ; 178,4 ; 339,3 ; 341,6 ; 352,6 ; 354,1 ; 444,3$;
$A D B$ No. $15,1^{\prime}$; ND 2305 (Iraq 16 (1954), 36-7), 4, 11 coll.); $A R U 105,26$ (ta 7 -pi-ta-a-te, pl., coll.). $A D B$ No. 15,1 ; ND 2305 ( 1 raq 16 (1954), $36-7$ ), 4,11 coll.); $A R U 105,26$ ( $t a] l-p i-t a-a-t e$, pl., coll.).
Although it is applied merely to land in our text, in all these other passages it describes a house, whether Although it is applied merely to land in our text, in all these other passages it describes a house, whether
sold by itself or a part of a larger estate. Elsewhere, though, the word is applied to consumptibles such as bread (ND 2789 (Iraq 23 (1961), 53), 2, 10; ADD 1023, 10; both times contrasted with NINDA.MEŠ sadru, " ordinary bread "), corn (ND 5457 (Iraq 19 (1957), 131), and wine (KAV 79 rev. 2), also for bread of. H. Zimmern, $B B R 66,8 ; 67,7$ (K. Deller). Talpitt(t)u is also found in the middle Assyrian texts of the sheep archive of Ninurta-tukulti-ǎ̌sur (E. Weidner, AfO 10 (1935-6), 9 ff .), e.g. KAJ 206, 7-9: PAP 4 UDU.meš tal-pi-tu ša PN. Combining this last usage with the known (though admittedly old Assyrian !) meaning of $l p t \mathrm{D}$, " to book (to someone) ", I would hesitantly suggest that talpit $(t) u$ is used to indicate that the item referred to is recorded (elsewhere) : thus in the KAJ passage, the sheep would be " on PN's account ", " booked to his name ", while in the case of property, it may mean that the details of the house (etc.) are recorded, or even "registered ", whether in documents held by the authorities or privately.
5: This line too is difficult. I assume that nāruttu is an (unattested) abstract formation from nāru, and that it may, like ma'uttu (Iraq $32(1970), 135^{6}$ ) from $m a^{\prime} \hat{\prime}$, refer to a kind of land. However, this writing is unexpected, and the ending -tu in a word which should be genitive gives rise to further doubts.
6: Halahhu is known to lie north-east of Nineveh, not far from the later Dūr-šarrukēn; this 6: Halahnu is known to lie north-east of Nineveh, not far from the later Dur-sarruken; this Buruqi(/u) can 8-9:
 land irrigated by water-flow from a river or (ARU 187, 4; 211, 9; 444, 5), and refers to an area of neo-Babylonian texts, and refers to land irrigated by the use of buckets, drawing water from wells or cisterns (see B. Landsberger, MSL I, 185),
In 1.9 we could restore either [ $(\dot{u}) x$ anše ḱ], or just [ $\dot{u}$ 丘]
11: If my restoration of this line is correct-and it is hard to see what other function a third PN could have at this stage in the document-the description of the second plot of land exchanged is left until after the iddin, perhaps in order not to separate that verb too far from uppis.

## Commentary

In addition to the several curious points of detail in this text, it is remarkable as one of the very few nA exchange texts (cf. Iraq 32 (1970), 34, adding perhaps (according to K. Deller) Ass. Fd. Nr. 10005, see OrNS 33 (1964), 93). In exchange for 40 homers of land a long way from Kalhu to the north of Nineveh, Sîn-ēṭir gives Mušallim-ištar 30(+) homers of irrigated land, perhaps in the Kalhu area. Since the document is so phrased that Sîn-ētir appears in the role of "seller" and Mušallim-ištar as the "buyer", the seal impression, which testifies that the "seller" has consented to the transaction, must be that of Sîn-ētir, who therefore appears to be Bēl-tarṣi-iluma's brother (for the significance of the text's formulation, see Iraq 32 (1970), 34). This tablet then should belong to the archive of Mušallim-ištar, but unfortunately we have no other information about him, and we cannot therefore say whether he is likely to have deposited his copy of the transaction in the governor's palace at Kalhu. Sîn-ēțir, on the other hand, was a brother of the governor, and two tablets from the same provenance mention him as the purchaser of property (Nos. 20, 42, cf. 65), so that his archive clearly was housed in the governor's palace. In these circumstances it does seem possible that despite the formal arguments to the contrary, this tablet formed a part of his archive, and did not belong to Mušallim-ištar.
No. 65
Plate 35
ND 252(p)
IM, for study
[ - ]
$(7 \cdot 2) \times(5 \cdot 6) \times(1 \cdot 1)$
Governor's Palace, Room K/M.
Obv. 1' $[(x)]{ }^{\top}$ Lúv.EN ${ }^{\top}[$
$2^{\prime} \quad$ [i]na Šà uru $[$
$3^{\prime}$ É 70 ANŠE 「 ${ }^{\prime}$ ]. [ŠÀ
$4^{\prime} a$-na m.d[3]0.KAR-ir ${ }^{1}$ [u
$\left.5^{\prime} \quad{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right]$. ŠÀ.gA ina ŠÀ A.ŠÀ. [GA
$6^{\prime} \quad[(x)] p u-t u\left[h-h u^{?}\right] \operatorname{ta}$-din [
$7^{\prime}[t u-a]_{-r}[u]_{\llcorner } d a-b a_{\lrcorner}-[b u l] a^{?}-a \dot{a}[\check{-s}-s ̌ u$ (remainder broken)

## Translation

$2^{\prime}: \ldots$ in the village of [. . . . .] an estate of 70 homers of land [. . . . . . ] to Sîn-ētir the [. . . . . PN has given(?)]. Land [is taken(?)] in exchange for land, $[($ the land? $)]$ has been given in exchange? [..... There is] no (further) [with]drawal (or) litigation, [. . . .

## Note

6': The usual phrase at this stage of a land sale is of course kaspu gammur tadin, "the price ha been paid in toto", but there is obviously no place for such a phrase in an exchange document. In R 4001 (see commentary below), the phrase is simply omitted; here it seems that an attempt has been made to supply a suitable equivalent. The signs $p u$ and tuh, although damaged, have been collated and seem clear; there are in fact traces (as shown in the copy) of two verticals after tuh, which could constitute the remains of $h u$, and it is difficult to envisage any logogram of one sign only which might
ave stood between tuh and tadin. Despite the unexplained space at the beginning of the line, then, the suggested restoration seems probable, and the translation "exchanged (and) given" renders a fair quivalent of the usual sale text formula. For putuhhu see $A H w 885 a$; this usage (where it must be stative parallel to tadin) would seem to confirm the derivation from puhhu (876a).

## Commentary

This text must be compared with No. 64, which is also a land exchange, but here the text is so phrased that Sîn-ēṭir is not, as there, the "seller ", but the " purchaser" (ana in 1. $4^{\prime}$ ). We may therefore attribute this fragment to his archive with more confidence. The phraseology of the text, as far as it survives, is of some interest; apart from the phrase discussed above in the note to l. $6^{\prime}$, we meet eqlu ina libbi eqli just as in TR 4001 (Iraq 32 (1970), 31), 9
No. 66
Plate 35 ; Photo Plate 95 d
ND 476
Inst. Arch. London
$6 \cdot 4 \times 8.9 \times 2 \cdot 6$
[ - ]

Governor's Palace, Room K.

2 [ $\dot{s}] a ́$-kìn urukal-hi
(inscribed cylinder seal impression)
$\overline{[q] a q-q e-[r] e ~ p u-s ̣ e-e}$

5 [in]a šid-d[ $\left.i^{i}\right]^{\mathrm{d} h} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}-r i-t i$
[ $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{E}\right]$ N.LÁ-sí-DINGIR-ma
Rev. 7 [šá-kìn(?) uruk]al?-hi
$8[a-n a]$ m.d ${ }^{\text {EN }} \cdot{ }^{-}{ }^{\text {KAR }}{ }^{7}-i r$ (remainder broken)

Seal inscription (see on No. 171)
[KIŠi]B ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {EN.LÁ. }}}$.DINGIR- $m[a]$
[š]á SAG šá mu.ERÍN.GA[B]
[( )] MAN ŠÁr šá-kìn urukal-ḩi
$[\mathrm{KUR} i a-7 l u-[n] a$
$a[t]-k a l-k a$
$\begin{array}{ll}6 & a[t] \\ 7 & a^{2}[ \end{array}$
]pa

Translation
1: Seal of Bēl-tarṣi-iluma, governor of Kalhu. (Seal impression.)
3: Clear ground in the village of Kār?-(i)diglāyī, on the bank of the canal, Bēl-tarṣiiluma, [governor of K]alhu, [has given(?) to(?)] Bēl-ēṭir? . . . . .

4: The first sign could be a flattened кar, and this makes a plausible place-name: "quay of the Tigris-men ".

## Commentary

This text has a number of features which make it unlikely to be an ordinary land sale. It may be a simple grant of land from Bēl-tarsii-iluma to a subordinate
If the text were a sale, the dimensions of the property would normally be given (although we might expect them also in a grant), and the seller would usually be designated as " owner of the land" after the superscript had identified his seal. Moreover, it is perhaps a little unlikely that Bēl-tarsii-iluma should be selling the land and not buying it himself. It is true that the structure of the lines as preserved would accommodate a sale formula: $\mathrm{PN}_{1}$ [ana] $\mathrm{PN}_{2}$ [utappiš ittidin], as in No. 5, but even so the price should precede these verbs, and it appears to be entirely absent here
Since the identity of the text as a grant must, however, remain very uncertain, there is little profit in discussing the possible implications of the existence of legal grants of land from a provincial governor to his subordinates.
No. 6 '
Plate 35
ND 250
Inst. Arch. London [ - ]
$3 \cdot 9 \times(5 \cdot 6) \times 2 \cdot 2$

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. $1^{\prime} \quad[s] u-p[u r] \mathrm{m}_{m a-n a^{2}-[ }$
(finger-nail impressions)
$2^{\prime}$ EN Lứ [( )]
$3^{\prime}$ та* lib-bi zi-i-te
$4^{\prime}$ ša ŠEŠ-šú-nu
$5^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{L}]$ Ú $a-n a$ LứgAL É
6' [i]-ta-nu
$7^{\prime}[(x) x$ ŠE]š-šú- $n u$
B.E. $8^{\prime} \quad\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & x) x]-a k-t e \\ \hline\end{array}\right.\right.$
$9^{\prime} \quad\left[\operatorname{IGI}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}(x) x x\right]-a-a$ ša UGU É
Rev. $10^{\prime}$
(remainder, except for the end of one sign, broken)
Translation
$1^{\prime}$ : Nail of Mana[?. . .], (nail marks), owner(s) of the man. $3^{\prime}$ : Out of the share of their brother, they have given a man to the major-domo. $7^{\prime}$ : [. . . .] their brother, [. . . .]. . . . $9^{\prime}$ : [Before . . . . ]āyu, the house overseer, [before . . . . . the . . . . of the] house, [. . . . . . . . . .

## Notes

$1^{\prime}$ : It is uncertain how many lines are missing at the head of the tablet; from the text it appears that there must have been more than one owner, who must have been named before the nail marks.
$3^{\prime}:$ zittu, literally " a share", here clearly means " an inherited share". We cannot decide here whether the "share" in question is that inherited from the father by the (dead ?) brother along with the other sons, or the "share" = inheritance left by him at his own death

## Commentary

This curious little text seems to describe a joint action undertaken by two or more brothers after the death of another brother. Perhaps because he died without an heir, his brothers are able to dispose of some, at least, of his property, and here for some reason they are handing over a man (i.e. slave, presumably) to the " major-domo". The action may be in fulfilment of a debt left by the dead man, but of this there is no certainty.

No. 68
Plate 36
$6 \cdot 3 \times 9 \cdot 1 \times 3 \cdot 1$
Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 1?

] $x$ KA.MEŠ
]SUM?
]bar
] UTU[L? $\left.{ }^{?}\right]$
(remainder of obv. except last line broken)
' $l a$ [ ]
Rev. $2^{\prime}$ ma-a [U]TUL?.[MEŠ?] ni-dan
$3^{\prime}$ ma-a šum-ma $[b] u-r u$
$4^{\prime} \quad[i n] a$ KUR $a s ̌-s ̌ u r^{\text {ki }} \quad i$-tal-ka
$5^{\prime}$ TA* KUR lu la $i$-[h] $]$-ṣi-nu-na-s $[i]$
$6^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{\text {PAP-šam-ši }}$ lúmu-šar-「ki-- $[s u]$
$7^{\prime}$ IGI mbur-zi-na-nu Lú.A.ZU
$8^{\prime}$ IGI m.d UTU-ku-mu-a LÚ.A.BA
$9^{\prime}$ IGI m ${ }_{\text {GIŠ. }}$. MI? ${ }^{\prime}$. ď̌EES. GAL
$10^{\prime}$ LÚ.GAL É.GAL ša É.gAL BE- $t i$

$12^{\prime}$ ša É.GAL GIBIL
T.E. $13^{\prime}{ }^{\mathrm{iti}}{ }_{S}[\mathrm{I}] \mathrm{G}_{4}$ UD.21.KÁM
$14^{\prime}\left[\text { limmu }{ }^{\text {m.d.d }}\right]_{\llcorner }$AMAR.UTU_-rém-ni

## Translation

(Obv. broken ; mention of Sulmu-bēl, and perhaps of " shepherd-boy(s) ".)
Rev. $2^{\prime}$ :
...] saying: "We shall give the shep
$6^{\prime}$. Bem not look after us from the palace? . - .
kūmūa, the scribe, before Ṣil-nergal, the palace-overseer of the old palace, before Șil-ištar, the palace-overseer of the new palace.
$13^{\prime}$ : Month of Simānu (III), 21st day, [limmu of $]$ Marduk-rēmāni (779 в.c.).
Notes
$3^{\prime}$ : The restoration of $b \bar{u} r u$ was only made after considering many alternatives; doubt exists as to the correct identity of both signs, and there is room for a small sign between the $b u$ and the $r u$. However, the scribe does use extremely long horizontals, as in the line above, and hence the head of the wedge after $b u$ can be the beginning of the $r u$. Būru is a strictly nA word (see the dictionaries), and although otherwise in semi-literary contexts, it clearly belongs to the vernacular and thus is not out of place here. $5^{\prime}$ : hasānu, " to look after, protect ", is used in the nuance " to maintain, support" both of horses and of people (e.g. a slave-girl, ND 2307 (Iraq 16 (1954), 37-8, l. 45)).

## Commentary

Not enough survives of this unique text to allow of any certain reconstruction, but none the less an attempt to account for the lines we do have must be made. That we have a legal document is obvious from the presence of witnesses, but there are no other resemblances to any known nA text. The main text, in so far as it remains, clearly records in the form of direct speech one side of an agreement; the identity of the speakers is lost, but since they seem to be in charge of " shepherd-boys " (UTUL.MEŠ), they are probably " shepherds", or rather "herding-contractors", who undertook to have flocks pastured and employed shepherd-boys to look after the animals. The other party to the agreement is presumably the palace" (mentioned in 1.5 ', and with at least two representatives among the witnesses), which term is used often to mean " the administration ", and it must be the palace which requires the shepherd-boys. The basic contract therefore seems to be for the provision of shepherd-boys by the "herding-contractors" for the palace flocks, but the clause about the consequences of a famine could only be explained if we knew what the other conditions were.

No. 69
Plate 35
ND 252(d)
IM, for study
[ - ]
$8 \cdot 2 \times(5 \cdot 2) \times(1 \cdot 8)$
Governor's Palace, Room K/M.
Obv. 1 [ku-m]u? 「「 $\mathrm{NA}_{4}$.KIŠIB-šú-nu ṣu'-pár-šú-nu (finger-nail impressions)
[su-p]ur ${ }^{\mathrm{m} k u-n a-a-a} s u-p u r \mathrm{~m}_{\text {MU.GIš }}{ }^{\mathrm{m} g a b}-b[u-a-m] u r$

]-di şu-pur ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ KAM. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} 15$
$s u-p] u r{ }^{\mathrm{m}} a-h i^{2}-m i^{?}-e$
su-pur] $\mathrm{m}_{\text {DINGIR- } a-a-t a\left[k^{?}-l a k\right]}$
] $x x_{\perp}[$
Translation
1: [Instead] of their seal (they impressed) their nail(s). (Nail marks.) 2: Nail of Kunāyu, nail of Šūmu-lēšir, nail of Gabbu-āmur, [nail of . .]makru, nail of Šulmu-ahhē-šu,
[nail of . . . .]di, nail of Eršī?-ištar, [nail of . . . . ., nail of] Ahimie?, [nail of . . . . ., nail of Ilāya-ta[klak? $]$. . . .

## Notes

7: We might restore here en.mess] ha-b[u-(ul-)li], " the debtors ", or a similar phrase, as in No. 92, 14.

## Commentary

This long list of those impressing their nails could come from the beginning of a sale by several persons (e.g. No. 15), or from a debt-clearance text such as No. 92. If it belonged to the latter category, then the restoration suggested for 1.7 would become possible, and the list of names will have ended in the preceding line.
No. ${ }^{70}$
Plate 35
ND 252(m)
IM, for study
$(6 \cdot 4) \times(2 \cdot 9) \times(2 \cdot 0)$
[ - ]

Governor's Palace, Room K/M.
Obv. (upper part broken)

$2^{\prime} \quad s u$-pur mrém-ni- $[x(x)] s: u-p u[r$
$3^{\prime} \quad[s] u-p[u r]{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{q}^{2}-x$ [
$4^{\prime} \quad[s] u-[p u] r \mathrm{~m} h a^{2}-s i^{2}-n\left[i^{2}\right.$
B.E. $5^{\prime}$ (undeciphered)

## Translation

$1^{\prime}$ : [Nail of] Ubru-[pal]il?, nail of [. . . .], nail of Rēmāni-[. . ...], nail of [. . . .], nail of . [. . . ., nail of . . . . .], nail of Hasin[i? . . . . . . . . .

## Commentary

This is a fragment from the bottom edge of a tablet, so that presumably the list of names constituting the superscription must have occupied the entire obverse of the tablet. As with No. 69, the nature of the transaction cannot be definitely established, but it seems very likely to have come from a debt-clearance.
No. 71
Plate 37
$(5 \cdot 1) \times(3 \cdot 6) \times 2 \cdot 2$
ND 252(a)
IM, for study
[-].v.[-]

Governor's Palace, Room K/M.
Obv. $1^{\prime}{ }_{x}$ (upper part broken)
$1^{\prime} x[$

Obv. 2' kás-p[u*
$3^{\prime} \quad i-t a-[$
$4^{\prime} \quad k i-i x$ [
B.E. $5^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{\text {m PAP }}$ - $k[i$
$6^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{\text {miniri-k }}$ [a-
Rev. 7' IGI m.dPA-rém-a[n-ni]
$8^{\prime}$ gal $u$-r-ra- $a-\left[t e^{?}\right]$
$9^{\prime}$ IGI $\left.{ }^{\text {m.d }}{ }_{\text {MAŠ-rém-[an-ni }}{ }^{?}\right]$
$10^{\prime}$ GAL $_{\llcorner } x x_{\lrcorner}$[
(remainder of rev. broken)
L.E. $1^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\mathrm{iti}_{\mathrm{NE}} \mathrm{U}[\mathrm{D}}$
$2^{\prime \prime}$ lim-mu ${ }^{\text {m.d?? }}[$

## Translation

$2^{\prime}$ : ] price? $[\ldots . . .]^{2}$ they have given? [. . . . . .] as [. . . . . .].
$5^{\prime}$ : Before Ahu-k[ēnu?], before Tirik[a...], before Nabû-rēma[nni], the chief of the teams?, before Ninurta-rēm[anni], the chief ...
L.E. : Month of Abu (V), [xth] day, limmu of [. . . . .].

## Commentary

The remains of the last three lines before the witnesses show that this was not a normal loan or sale; more than that we cannot say.
No. 72
Plate 37
ND 252(e)
IM, for study
[ - ]
$(4 \cdot 0) \times(3 \cdot 7) \times 3 \cdot 0$

Governor's Palace, Room K/M.

Obv. 1 [s]u-pur ${ }^{m}[$
2 [s]u-pur ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} d i[$
3 [s]u-pur ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} a$ [ (finger-nail impressions) (remainder of obv. broken)
Rev. 1 [ ] $x x$ [
$2^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{I}] \mathrm{GI}^{\mathrm{m}} e^{2}-\frac{s ̌}{} i-[$
T.E. $3^{\prime}[\mathrm{IGI}] \mathrm{m}_{s} i^{\text {P }}$
$4^{\prime}[1]^{\mathbf{t}_{1}^{1}} x$ [

## Commentary

This is probably from a sale document, with three sellers named in the superscription;
Rev. and T.E. have the remnants of the names of witnesses and of the date.

No. 73

## Plate 37

ND 252(1) IM, for study
$(4 \cdot 8) \times(4 \cdot 7) \times(2 \cdot 0)$
[ - ]
Governor's Palace, Room K/M
Obv. (entirely broken)

(remainder broken)

## Translation

1 : . . . . they are [mutually] acquitted, [no one] shall plead [against anyone,] there is no [(further) withdrawal, law]suit, (or) litigation.

4: [Before PN], the priest of $\mathrm{D}[\mathrm{N}$, before PN], the "canal-inspector ", [before PN], the merchant,

## Notes

5: A gugallu (spelt apparently GU.GAL) is found in $A D D 472 \mathrm{rev}$. 17.

## Commentary

The utturu issi aha' iš clause is common in Prozessurkunden, but it does also occur in debt-clearance notes, of which this may have been an example.

No. 74
$7 \cdot 2 \times(10 \cdot 5) \times(1 \cdot 7)$
Governor's Palace, Room K
(Obv. and beginning of rev. broken) ev. $1^{\prime} \quad[\quad] x[\quad] x[$
$2^{\prime} \quad[x$ MA.N $] A^{?}$ KÙ.babBar [

$4^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{GA}] \mathrm{L}$ É.GAL IGI[
$5^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{\text {m }} z i-z a-a-\left[a^{?}\right.$ (
$6^{\prime}$ IGI m̌̌ŭul-m[u-
$7^{\prime}$ IGI m m. HAL. $[(x)]$ IG $[\mathrm{I}$
$8^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{\text {m PAP.M[ }}$ ?
$\begin{array}{ll}8^{\prime} & \text { IGI }{ }^{\text {m }} \text { PAA } \\ 9^{\prime} & \text { IGI }{ }^{m} x[ \end{array}$

Plate 38
ND 236
IM, for study
[-. -]. 800 (?

$11^{\prime}-12^{\prime} \quad$ (entirely broken)
$13^{\prime} \quad\left[{ }^{1 \mathrm{ti}} x\right.$ UD. $\left.x . \mathrm{KA}\right] \mathrm{M}$
$14^{\prime} \quad\left[\text { limmu }{ }^{\left.\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{GI}}\right] \mathrm{R}[\mathrm{i}]}\right]^{\text {? }}$. 15
(sketch-map(?), see copy)

Translation
$2^{\prime}$ : . . . he shall pay(?) x minas of ] silver [ ].
$3^{\prime}:$ Before Ninurta-šalli $[m(a n n i)]$, palace overseer, before [. . . . .], before Zīzā[yu . . . . .], before Sulmu-[. . .], before Adad-išme[(anni)], before [. . . .], before Ahu-. .[. . .], [before $4+$ further witnesses].
$13^{\prime}$ : [Month of ....., xt]h [day, limmu of Sē]p?-ištar (800 в.c.).
Notes
14': A limmu's name is probable here, and the only two eponyms in roughly the right time bracket are Šèp-isistar ( 800 ), and Ṣil-istar (787); the traces seem to favour the former, but the dating must be considered uncertain

## Commentary

Although there is no criterion in the actual text which would allow us to assign this fragment to a particular category, Professor D. J. Wiseman was doubtless right to suggest that this was a land sale, on the basis of the sketch at the base of the reverse (see Iraq 12 (1950), 191).

No. ${ }^{75}$
$(4 \cdot 3) \times(6 \cdot 5) \times 3 \cdot 5$
Governor's Palace, Room K/M.
Obv. (beginning broken)
$1^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ (undeciphered traces)
$4^{\prime} \quad x[x(x)]$ sa $a\left[r^{?}\right.$
$5^{\prime}$ тА [m] $] 15 . \mathrm{KI}-i[a$
$6^{\prime} \mathrm{m}_{x}$ [
$7^{\prime}$ IGI m.d(?)[
B.E. $8^{\prime}$ Lú.ninda ša Lú.[

Rev. $9^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{\text {m }} g a-l u-l[u$
$10^{\prime}$ ša É Lư. [
11' IGI mšá-[
$12^{\prime}$ IGI [
$13^{\prime}$ IG[I
IG[I
(remainder broken)

## Commentary

Part of the end of a legal text, and the beginning of its list of witnesses ; it mentions a penalty (sartu(?), l. 4'), and perhaps a man called Ištar-issīya (l. 5').
No. 76
Plate 37
$(3 \cdot 3) \times(1 \cdot 8) \times 2 \cdot 3$
ND 275(h)
Inst. Arch. London
[ - ]

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. (beginning broken)
$1^{\prime} \quad$ [ $] x$ [
$2^{\prime}$ DUMU m.d
$3^{\prime}$ PaP 5 ERİN.[MEŠ
B.E. $4^{\prime}$ EN ŠU ${ }^{11}$.[MES

Rev. 5' ša 1 me(?)[
$6^{\prime} \quad x$ [
(remainder broken)
N.B.-cf. No. 124, which may be from the same tablet.

Translation
$\left.2^{\prime}: \ldots\right]$ son of $\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{N}(\quad)]$, in all 5 people $[(\quad)]$, guarantors $[(\quad)]$ of $100(?)$ [.........
No. $7 \%$
Plate 37
$(2 \cdot 1) \times(1 \cdot 6) \times(1 \cdot 3)$

## ND 275(i)

 Inst. Arch. London [ - ](Top right-hand corner of a sale(?)-text: s.upur-šú]-nu iš-ku-nu)
No. 78
Plate 38
$6 \cdot 5 \times(8 \cdot 3) \times(2 \cdot 1)$
Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. (entirely lost)
Rev. 1' [tu-a]-ru d[e-e-nu

$4^{\prime} \quad$ IG $G\left[\mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{m}} a s ̌\right]-$ šur-na-din-PAP.MEŠ $[(\quad)]$

IM, for study
[ - ]

$6^{\prime}$ IGI m.d 30.I LỨ.ASGAB
$7^{\prime}$ IGI m ${ }_{\text {SUBUUŠ. }{ }^{\text {d }} \text { PA LỨ.A.BA }}$
$8^{\prime}$ [ I$]$ बI $\mathrm{m}_{n a-n i-i}$ lúmu-tir-tè̀-me
$9^{\prime}$ [I]GI mib-ni-ia Lú.A.BA
$10^{\prime}[\mathrm{I}]_{\mathrm{GI}} \mathrm{m}_{u} u-l u-b a-a-a$ LỨ.A.BA
11' [ṣa]-bit tup-pi

$13^{\prime} \quad\left[\right.$ lim-me $\left.^{\mathrm{m}}\right] m u$-šal-lim- ${ }_{\mathrm{d}}{ }_{\text {MAS }}$

## Translation

$1^{\prime}$ : [There is no (further) withdraw]al?, lawsuit? [(or) litigation(?) . . . . .].
$2^{\prime}$ : Before Mardukiā, the [.....], before Hābil-kēni, the [. . . .], before [Aš]šur-nādinahhē, before Salamānu, son of $\operatorname{Lipū}[$ šu $]$, before Sinn-na'id the leather-worker, before Ubru-nabû, the scribe, before Nanī, the information-officer, before Ibniya, the scribe, before Ullubāyu, the scribe, writer of the tablet.
$12^{\prime}$ : [Month of Ad]dāru (XII), 24th day, [limmu of] Mušallim-ninurta (792 or 766 в.c.).

## No. 79

Plate 37
ND 230(b) Inst. Arch. London 13.viii/ix. 783

## No. 80

Plate 38
$6 \cdot 0 \times 7 \cdot 8 \times(2 \cdot 9)$
ND 269
IM 56835 29.vi.803/775

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. (entirely lost)
B.E. (blank except for ruling)

Rev. 1 IGI mìr. dinanna
2 IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} p u$-[s]i- $i$
3 IGI MDÙG.GA- $a-h u-n u$
4 IGI [m]ki-qi-la-a-nu
5 IGI m.d30.PAP.AŠ
6 IGI ${ }^{m} k[a]-b i-l u$
7 IGI MPAP-nu-ri
8 1tikIN UD.29.KÁm
$9 \quad$ lim-mu ${ }^{\text {m.d }}$ IGI.DU.KAM

## Translation

1: Before Urdu-ištar, before Puṣī, before TTāb-ahunu, before Kiqilānu, before Sîn-ahuiddina, before Kabilu, before Ahu-nūrī.

8: Month of Ulūlu (VI), 29th day, limmu of Palil-ēreš (803 or 775 в.c.).

No. 81
Plate 39
$6 \cdot 4 \times 8 \cdot 0 \times(2 \cdot 5)$
Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. (entirely lost)
Rev. 1 [IGI $\mathrm{m}(x) x-] x-r i-i$
2 [IGI $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{m}}\right] \cdot \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{PA}}-\hat{u}-$-sal $-l a$
3 [GA]L Lú.mu
4 [IGI m]mu-LÁ-aš-šur
5 [ì]R ša LÚ.AGRIG
6 IGI m.d[AMA]R.[U]TU.A.PAP
7 GaL [1] ${ }^{1}[k a l]-l a-b i$
8 IGI m.d ${ }^{\text {PA }}$-tàk-lak
9 IGI mhi ${ }^{2}-n i-b i-r a-a$
10 1t1 DUL UD.19.кÁM lim-mu
11 m.d ${ }_{\text {MAŠ-šese-zib-an-ni }}$
12 lúšá-kìn ururi-mu-si
T.E. 13 IGI m.d ${ }_{\text {PA-šal-lim-PAP.MEŠ LÚ.A. }}$ [BA]
$14[\mathrm{I}] \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{I}] \mathrm{m}_{i-q a^{2}-l a^{3}[\text { ] }}$

## Translation

1：［before ．．．］rī，［before］Nabû－uṣalla，［chief］cook，［before Mutaqqin－aššur］，before Marduk－aplu－uṣur，the chief kallābu，before Nabû－taklak，before Hinibirā．

10 ：Month of Tašrītu（VII），limmu of Ninurta－šēzibanni，the governor of Rimūsi？．
13：Before Nabû－šallim－ahhē，the scribe，before Iqala？［．．．．．］．

## Notes

4：After the name，an erased $\mathrm{i}[\mathrm{R}]$ ，which confirms the restoration at the beginning of 1.5 ．
12：The doubt about the well－known place name Rimusu is whether it should not rather be read tal－mu－su，as proposed by A．Goetze，I believe，although I cannot trace the reference

## No． 82

Plate 39
$8 \cdot 0 \times(9 \cdot 8) \times(2 \cdot 8)$
Governor＇s Palace，Room K
Obv．（entirely lost）
Rev． 1 ［IGI $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{m}}\right]^{\ulcorner } x^{\urcorner}-s i^{\ulcorner }-x^{\urcorner}$［
2 ［IGI m］ú－ra－ár－ta－a－a lúx［（
3 ［IG］I mha－di－li－pu－šúu DUMU $\mathrm{m}_{r a-u-{ }^{\ulcorner } z i^{\top}[(\quad)]}$
4 ［I］GI mna－si－＇$i$ lúh $h a-z a-n u$
5 IGI ${ }^{\text {Híd．NUN．NA－}}$－$a-a$ DUMU ${ }^{\text {m }} r u-u b-x[(x)]$
6 IGI man－nu－ki－U IGI m m $u b$－ru－te
IGI m．d MAŠ．I GAL $d a-a-a-l i$
8 IGI mha－an－za－ru
9 IGI ${ }^{m}$ man－an－ni－i lúqa－ti－en－nu
10 IGI ${ }^{m} h i-i s ̌-D A-n u$ IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{HI}-d a-a$
$11[\mathrm{IG}] \mathrm{I} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{EN}-l u \text {－TI }}$ lúha－za－nu ša uru $_{m}\left[{ }^{\text {？}}\right.$ ？
12 ［I］GI m ${ }^{\text {UD．7．KÁM－} a-a \text { IGI m }{ }^{m} p a-n a{ }^{\text {「LÚ }} \text { ，，}}$
13 ［ś］$a$ uru $m[u-(x)-x-] x$ IGI $\mathrm{m} x x(x) q i^{?}$
14 ［I］GI ${ }^{\text {PAP－la－「mur DUMU }}{ }^{\text {m }}$ ！$u$－u－u－a
15 ［ $s] a$ uru $\mathrm{im}_{\mathrm{m}}-b e-\mathrm{m}_{r a-h}$－$i-i$
16 ［IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}(x) x$－］muk ${ }^{?}$－tin LÚ． $3-s ̌ u \mathrm{U}_{5}$
17 ［IGI man－n］$u^{3}-k i-a-h i-i$
18 ［ 1］${ }^{\text {́mu－kil－KU［š．PA（．MEŠ）］}}$ （remainder broken）

## ND 238

 Inst．Arch．London ［－］
## Translation

1：［before］．．si．．［．．．．，before］Urarṭāyu，the［．．．．．］，before Hadi－lipūšu，son of Ra＇ūzu，before Nasi＇i，the mayor，before Nuhšāyu，son of Rub ．．．，before Mannu－kī－adad before Ubrūte，before Ninurta－na＇id，the chief runner，before Hanzāru，ditto，before Mannī，the qatinnu，before Hišdānu，before Hidā？，ditto，before Bēl－luballiṭ，the mayor
of $M[i ? . .$.$] ，before Sabāyu，before Pana（？），the ditto（i．e．mayor）of M[u \ldots$.$] ，before$ ．．qi，before Ahu－lāmur，son of Tīa，of the village of Imbe－rahī，［before ．．．］－muktīn？， the＂third rider＂，［before Mann］u－kī－ahī，ditto，［before ．．．．．］，the rein－holder，［．．．．．．．．．

## Notes

15：This reading of the place name seems best after collation，although it is tempting to read im－ba－ra－hi－i instead．
16：The PN is most uncertain，since this form of muk is rather Babylonian，and the participle muktīn is not easy to explain．However，even an emendation to $s a^{1}$－din would not assist．
The writing Lứ． $3-{ }^{-8} u \mathrm{U}_{5}$ is curious，since usually a syllabic complement of $-s=$ ，if it is used，is added to the second part of the logogram．Whether this new writing affects the now common identification of the logogram with tašlišu I leave undecided．

Commentary
The presence of mayors of different villages among the witnesses suggests that this comes from a land sale．

# No． 83 

Plate 39
ND 210（b）
IM，for study
$(5 \cdot 7) \times(5 \cdot 6) \times(0 \cdot 8)$
Governor＇s Palace，Room K
Obv．（entirely lost）
Rev．（beginning broken）

| $1^{\prime}$ | （beginning broken） ［IGI $\left.\mathrm{m}_{a s}\right]$－šur－［ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2^{\prime}$ | ［IGI m］DI．KUD．KAB．P［AP．MEŠ？］ |
| $3^{\prime}$ | ［IGI］${ }^{\text {m．d }} \mathrm{d}_{\text {MAŠ }}$［K］II－$a$ |
| $4^{\prime}$ | ［IG］I ${ }^{\text {m．d }}$ UTU．ASS |
| $5{ }^{\prime}$ | ［ I$]$ gi mkar－šááa－nu |
| 6 | Lú．A．bA |
| $7{ }^{\prime}$ | ［it］${ }^{\text {「 }}$ DUL ${ }^{\text { }}$ Ud．5．кÁM |
| $8^{\prime}$ | $]_{\llcorner } x \quad x_{\lrcorner} n i^{?}$ |

## Translation

$1^{\prime}$ ：［before Aš］šur－［．．．．，before］Dayān－kāmir？-a ［hhē？${ }^{?}$ ］，［before］Ninurta－issīya，［bef］ore Šamaš－iddina，before Karšānu，the scribe．
7＇：Month of Tašritu，5th day，［limmu of P］N．

## Notes

$2^{\prime}$ ：For the PN cf．on No． 42 rev． 14.
$5^{\prime}$ ：For this name cf．ND 2328 （Iraq 16 （1954），43）．
No. 84
Plate 39
ND $252(\mathrm{~g})$ IM, for study
[ — ]

Governor's Palace, Room K/M
Obv. (entirely lost)
Rev. (upper part broken)


## Translation

1': . . .] slave of the governor, [. . . . .]. . ., [. . . . .], the doorkeeper, [. . . . .], the smith, [. . . . .], ditto, [. . . . . slave(?)] of the governor [. . . . . . . . . .

Notes
$2^{\prime}$ : Hardly lúj.sANGA U(=Adad) !
No. 85
Plate 32
ND 252(b) IM, for study
$(3 \cdot 7) \times(3 \cdot 7) \times(1 \cdot 5)$

$$
[-]
$$

Governor's Palace, Room K/M.
Obv. (entirely lost)
Rev. (upper part broken)
1' [IGI m].d ${ }^{\text {MAS. }}{ }^{\ulcorner }{ }^{\ulcorner } x^{7}$ [


$4^{\prime}$ [IGI] m.dŠID.DÙ DUMU $\mathrm{m} g i[-$
$5^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{\text {sutu-ú-li-i }}$ Lú[
$6^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{AD}-s i-{ }^{\prime}$ L LÚ $[$
$7^{\prime}$ [IGI] m.d PA.PAP.MEŠ.S[U?
$8^{\prime}$
(remainder broken)

## Translation

$1^{\prime}$ : [Before] Ninurta-. .[. . ., before . . .]. . kaqa? ${ }^{2} . . .$. , before] Ahu-damqu?-. .., the [. . . . ., before] Marduk-ibni, son of Gi[. . . . .], before Sūlī, the [. . . . .], before Abu-si', the [. . . . ., before] Nabû-ahhē-(e)[rība?

## Notes

$7^{\prime}-8^{\prime}$ : If we compare No. 104, where the scribe is Nabû-ahhē-eriba, we see that we may have the same man here, and that $1.8^{\prime}$ could perhaps be restored $[s a]-b[i t ~ t u p p i]$.
No. 86
Plate 39
ND unn. IM, for study
$(4 \cdot 7) \times(4 \cdot 5) \times(0 \cdot 6)$
[ - ]

Governor's Palace, Room K/M.
Obv. (entirely lost)
Rev. (beginning broken)
$1^{\prime}$ [IGI $\left.{ }^{\text {m }} l i^{2}-m\right] u-r u-x[$
$2^{\prime} \quad$ [IGI] ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\text {ENN }}{ }^{?}{ }^{?} \cdot \mathrm{PAP} . \mathrm{ME}[\mathrm{S}(-s ̌ u ́ u)$
$3^{\prime}$ [IGI] m ${ }_{\text {SUHUŠ̌.DINGIR.MEŠ- } n i[~}^{\text {in }}$
$4^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{IGI}] \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Gİ}^{11}} .[(x)]$ GAL
$5^{\prime} \quad$ [IGI m].d MAŠ.I [ (remainder broken)

## Translation

$1^{\prime}$ : [before Līm]uru . .[. . ., before] Bēl?-ahhē[(šu), before] Ubru-ilāni, [before] S̄ēp-[. . .], chief? [. . . . ., before] Ninurta-na'id [. . . . . . . . . .

Notes

No. $8^{\prime \prime}$
Plate 39
ND unn.
IM, for study
[ - ]
$(2 \cdot 5) \times(2 \cdot 5) \times(1 \cdot 1)$

Governor's Palace, Room K/M.
Rev. 1' $\qquad$
$3^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{IGI}] \mathrm{m}_{\llcorner } x \quad x_{\lrcorner}$[ (remainder broken)
No. 88
Plate 32
ND unn.
IM, for study
[ - ]
$5 \cdot 7 \times(4 \cdot 9) \times 2 \cdot 7$
[Governor's Palace, Room K/M]
(Beginnings of 8 lines from rev. giving names of witnesses; in l. 5' : mŠe[. . . . .] in l. 8': Nabû-mu[?. . . . .].)
No. 89
Plate 39
$(2 \cdot 7) \times(3 \cdot 0) \times(1 \cdot 6)$

ND 406(a)
Inst. Arch. London [ - ]

Governor's Palace, Room S.
(Parts of 5 lines, including PNs; in I. $4^{\prime}$ : Ubru-[. . . .].)

No. 90
Plate 40
ND 216
Inst. Arch. London 26?.ii.803/775
$8 \cdot 0 \times 9.5 \times 3.5$
Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 1 ha-bu-le $s{ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{s a-m a-a^{?}-\left\ulcorner x(x)^{\top}\right.}$
2 「 $\check{2} a^{\urcorner}$mh $h a-n a-n a$

lú[šá-k]ìn uruk[al-ḩ]i ú-šal-lim-ú-n[i]
5 $20 \mathrm{MA.NA}$ ša m.d30.PAP.AŠ LÚ.UŠ.' ${ }^{\text {ANŠE }}$
615 MA.NA $\check{s} a$ mku-ma? $-a-a$
710 MA.NA ša mPAP-ṭu-ri ša $\left.a^{? ~ 「} x \quad x \quad x \quad x\right\urcorner$
82 MA.NA ša mPAP-le-i
9 LÚ.Ìr ša mli-pu-šú
$102 \mathrm{M}[\mathrm{A}]$. NA $\check{r} a \mathrm{~m} b a-h i-a-n u s ̌ a$ uru $d i-a-t\left[e^{?}\right]$
111 MA.NA ša ${ }^{\text {m }}$ si-li KUR $a r-m a-\ulcorner a-a\rceil$
$121 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\text {rMA }}{ }^{\top} . \mathrm{NA}$ ša $\mathrm{m}_{n a-n i-i}$ Lứ. $[(()]$
13 ša pa-an $\mathrm{m}_{\text {EN.IGI }}[(\quad)]$
$141^{?}$ ? $[\mathrm{M}]$ A.NA $\check{x} a$ mbar-' $a$-DINGIR[( )
B.E. $15[x]$ MA.NA ša mbar- ${ }^{-} x(x)^{\top} a[($

16 lúh $a^{?}-[z] a^{?}-n u[(\quad)]$
Rev. 17 [
18 PAP [
19 šá/4[x(x) $\ulcorner\downarrow\urcorner\urcorner![]$
$20 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {EN.LÁ-[si-DIN]GIR-m[a? }}$
T.E. 31
(finger-nail impressions)
L.E. 33 iti[G]UD UD 26?.KÁM

4 lim-me ${ }^{\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{IG}}[\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{D}] \mathrm{U}^{?} . \operatorname{KAM}[-(e s ̌)]}$
Translation
1: The debts of Samā[. . .] (and) of Hanāna, which Bēl-tarṣi-iluma, governor of Kalhu, paid off in full.
5: 20 minas of Sîn-ahu-iddina, the donkey-driver; 15 minas of Kumāyu? ; 10 minas of Ahu-ṭuri, of . . ...; 2 minas of Ahu-le'i, the slave of Lipūšu; 2 minas of Bahiānu of the village of Diāt[e?]; 1 mina of Sili, the Aramaean; $1 \frac{1}{2}$ minas of Nanī, the [....] who is under Bēl-lāmur(?); 1? mina of Bar'a?-ilu; [x] mina(s) of Bar?. ....., the mayor [. . . . . . . . .
$\qquad$ .. .] Bēl-tarsi-iluma [(governor of Kalhu) paid off in full]
21: Before Qu[. .]a, brother? [....], son of [PN], before Šamaš-[...], the cook of [PN(?)], before Šamaš-[. . . . ], before Man?[. . . . . . . . . . 33 : . .]slaves of F[PN].
34: Month of Ayāru (II), 26?th day, limmu of P[alil]-ēreš (803/775 в.c.).

## Notes

10: $t[x]$ also possible at the end of the line, but $-t[e]$ equally allowable (collated)
11: What exactly " an Aramaean" would mean at this date needs clarification.
18: This line will have given the total amount of the debts incurred by the two men, as in Nos. 93,17 and 91,27 . The total of the individual debts preserved is $52 \frac{1}{2}$ minas, and not more than two further debts are recorded, so the total will have been slightly under a talent; it is not known if the medium of payment was bronze or copper.

19: Perhaps to be med to $h[a!-b u(l)]-l e[$, after No. 91, 27
21: Perhaps just $\left.\mathrm{m}_{q u-[ } \hat{i}\right]-a$, cf. No. 24, 2.

## Commentary

This text records the payment by Bèl-tarsi-iluma of debts incurred (apparently jointly) by the two men, Samā . . ., and Hanāna. There were nine or ten creditors, and the sums lent ranged from 20 minas to just 1 (or less). No particular reason is given why the governor should have paid their debts, but by analogy with No. 91 , it is possible that they were members of his " household" or administration. Since the text is witnessed, it may be classed as a legal document; notice that as on No. 91, authentication is given in the form of finger-nail impressions, though here on the Top Edge.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { IGI }{ }^{\mathrm{m}} q u-[(x) x]-a \text { PAP? }[ \\
& \text { DUMU }{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \times[
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lư.MU-šu [ } \quad x \text { x } \\
& \text { IGI }{ }^{\text {m.dUTU }} x \text { [ } \\
& \text { [ } \\
& \text { IGI } \mathrm{m}_{m a^{3}-a\left[n^{?}-\right.} \\
& { }_{\text {IGI }}{ }^{\text {m }} \text { [ } \\
& \text { [ } \\
& \text { [ l] u ud } a
\end{aligned}
$$

No. 91
Plate 41
ND 261 IM 56831 27.xii. 797
$8.0 \times 11.2 \times 3.9$

Governor's Palace, Room K
Obv. 1 ha-bùl-le ša mìr. dinanna
2 Lú.UŠ.BAR bir-me ša ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {EN.LÁ.DINGIR- }}[a]}$
3 lúšá-kìn urukal-hi ú-šal-li-mu-ni
 (two rows of finger-nail impressions)
5 su-pur mha-li-mu-si m ${ }_{\mathrm{AD}-u l-\mathrm{zU}}$
6 mše- ${ }^{2} l u^{\urcorner}-b u{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ IGI-aš-šur-IGI mhani-n
7 mla-qi-pu ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} d \dot{a}-\mathrm{r} i$-AD A mšùl-mu-EN.IGI

$9 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {EN }}$ ?.PAP.MEŠ m.d30.I $\mathrm{m}_{\text {DINGIR-bu- }}$
$\left.10 \quad \mathrm{~m}_{\llcorner } x_{\lrcorner}-b u-k u \mathrm{~m}_{d u-l a-a} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \check{h} i\right\urcorner-n i-d u-t i$
$11 \mathrm{~m}_{\llcorner } b a_{\lrcorner}-n i-u u^{\mathrm{m}} b u-s a-s i-i \mathrm{~m}_{\text {GIŠ.MI.MAN }}$

$13 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {DINGIR- }}$ dà -ra-nu PAP 23 Lứ.MEŠ-e
14 EN [h]a-bu-ul-le ša ṣu-pár-šúu-nu
15 [i]š-ka-nu-ni
Rev. $162^{?}$ [MA.NA
$171 \mathrm{M}[\mathrm{A} . \mathrm{N}] \mathrm{A} \mathrm{m}[$
$181{ }^{\text {「MA.NA }}{ }^{7} \mathrm{ml}\left[a^{2}\right.$
$191[\mathrm{M}]$ A.NA $\mathrm{m}[$ $] a^{?}[$
20 1[MA].NA [m
$211 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{M}[\mathrm{A} . \mathrm{N}] \mathrm{A}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}[$
22 1 MA.NA [
232 MA ma.n]A? m $d u^{?}[-l a-a(?)]$
23 MA.NA m ${ }_{L} i-n i-d u_{\lrcorner}-[t i$
24 [x] MA.NA [
$25[x \mathrm{M}]$ A. NA ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}[$


$28 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {EN.LÁ-sí } i \text {-DINGIR-ma }}$ lúšá-kìn urukal-hi
29 ú-šal-li-mu-「ni` $[(x)] x{ }^{\mathrm{m}} x[x] x$ LÚ. $\mathrm{A}^{?}$ ? B[ $\left.\mathrm{A}^{?}\right]$
30 IGI ${ }^{\text {men.KAL-an }}$ lúśá UGU É ša É? lútur-ta-ni
31 IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {NUMUN.ZI.GI }}[\mathrm{s}]}$ DUMU $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ? $-i a-a$
32 IGI ${ }^{m}$ EN.KI- $a$ LÚ.UŠ.BAR $\check{s} a$ uru $_{\text {TAB.TAB.DINGIR }}$
T.E. 33 IGI mlid-bu-bu ša uruku-me

34 IGI m.dutu.pAP.AŠ LÚ.UŠ.BAR
35 IGI m ${ }_{\text {SUHUŠ̌. }}$ DINGIR.MEŠ-ni Lứ.UŠ.BAR ša É lútur-ta-ni
36 IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ EN- $\imath$-si-a
KIMIN

38 1tiše Ud.27.KÁM
$39 \lim -m[e]$ m[E]N.LÁ.DINGIR-ma

## Translation

1: The debts of Urdu-ištar, the weaver of coloured cloths, which Bēl-tarsii-iluma, the governor of Kalhu, paid off in full.
4: Instead of their seal(s they impressed) their nail(s). (Nail marks.) Nail of Halimūsu, Abu-ūl-īdi, Šēlubu, Pān?-aššur, Hanī, Laqīpu, Dari-abu, the son of Šulmu-bēl-lāmur, Bēl-emuqī, the son of La'īti-ilu, Bēl?-ahhē, Sîn-na'id, Ilubūa(?), . . buku, Dulā, Hinidūti, Bāniu, Busāsī, Ṣil-šarri, Adad?-[...]me?, Eți?? ištar, Hanbunu, Ilu-darānu-13: in all 23 men, creditors, who impressed their nail(s)

16-26: (List of sums owed with creditors' names, now lost.)
27 : In all, $53 \frac{1}{2}$ minas of bronze, debts which(?) Bēl-tarṣi-iluma, the governor of Kalhu, paid back in full.

29: [Before PN(?)], the scribe, before Bēl-dān, the household supervisor of the turtānu's household, before Zēr-kitti-lēšir, son of Aplāia(?), before Bēl-issīya, weaver of Arbailu, before Lidbubu of the town of Kumu, before Šamaš-qhu-uṣur, the weaver, before Ubruilāni, the weaver of the turtānu's household, before Bēl-issīya, ditto, before Kitti?-ili, the chariot-maker.

38 : Month of Addāru (XII), 27th day, limmu of Bēl-tarṣi-iluma (797 в.c.).

## Notes

7-8: In both these lines, one PN is separated from another by the sign $A$, "son" or "heir "; at first sight this would imply that the second man was father of the first, but as twenty-three creditors are mentioned in 1.13, the two men mentioned in second place must themselves be creditors. Consequently these two are not given their own names, but are identified by the names of their fathers a possible reason for this is that the two fathers were the original creditors, and that they are here represented after their death by their sons.

8: La ìtu-see AHw 529a s.v. la ium.
9: Also possible, m mab'.PAP.meš (cf. note on No. 42 rev. 14)
mza-ia-a, of. mza-a-a in ND 1120 (No. 246), Obv. 10 (G. van Driel, The Cult of Ašsur, p. 200).

37: The reading of this PN is problematical; my suggestion follows the pattern of Kitti-ilān (APN 116b). The name Kēni-ili which Tallqvist gives (APN 115b) does not in fact exist, since the passage concerned (ADD 676 rev .6 ) reads mke-ni-i id-[....].

## Commentary

Like No. 90, this tablet records debts paid off by Bēl-tarṣi-iluma on behalf of a third party, this time one man only, Urdu-ištar, who is a weaver. He has twenty-three creditors, and his total debts amount to $53 \frac{1}{2}$ minas of bronze ; since those individual debts for which figures are preserved are small, it may be that, as in No. 90, there were two or three main creditors, with many lesser ones.

Like No. 90 the transaction is not only witnessed, but is also given validity by the impression of the finger-nails of the creditors (or their sons). The document therefore seems to fulfil two functions: it is on the one hand a receipt signed by the creditors, acknowledging that they have received what was due to them, while on the other hand it transfers the credit to Bēl-tarsi-iluma, for whom this tablet becomes the proof of the debt henceforth owed to him.

Governor＇s Palace，Room M

2 Lú．ÌR ša m MAN．IGI－$a-n i$
31 Gú．un Ud．ka．bar．meš
4 sa－ar－tu－šu m MAN．IGI－$a-n i$ EN－šu
$5 a-n a \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{EN}-i-\mathrm{si}} \mathrm{-ia}$
6 ú－sa－li－im
7 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\text {man－nu－} a-k i-i-a s ̌-s ̌ u r ~}^{\text {ren }}$
8 LỨ．MU？${ }^{\text {？}}$ ša É lūtur－ta－ni
Rev． 9 IGI ${ }^{m} p u$－šáá－$a-a$
10 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{PA}}\left[\mathrm{P}^{?}\right]-q \dot{a} l-l u$
11 ［IG］I mba－la－ṭu
12 ［Lú．X ša］LLÚ．GAL」 É．GAL
13－16（traces only）
T．E． 17 「 $\check{a} a q \alpha-s \underset{i}{ }[-b i t-t i]-\left\ulcorner\check{s} u^{? 7}[(\quad)]\right.$
$\left.18 i s-b a-t u-{ }^{-} \dot{u}\right\urcorner-n i$
19 itišu Ud．9．KÁM lim－mu m．d［AMA］R．UTU．KUR－ni

## Translation

1：The fine of Uš̌̌̄ābu，slave of Šarru－amurāni？：Šarru－amurāni？his master has paid in full 1 talent of bronze，his fine，to Bēl－issīya．
7：Before Mannu－akī－aššur，the cook？of the turtānu＇s household，before Pušāyu，before Ahu？－qallu，［before］Balāṭu，［the ．．．］of the palace－overseer ．．．．．．．．．．
17 ：．．．．whom（？）they caught red－handed（？）．
19：Month of Du＇uzu（IV），9th day，limmu of Marduk－šadūni（795 в．c．）．

## Notes

1：For the PN see $C A D$ \＆Pt．2，460b，aššā $b u$ ．
10 ：Despite the copy，made after collation，the first sign is to be read Pap $=a h u$ by comparison with the name $a-h u-q a l-l u$ in JCS 7 （1953），170，No．72， 10 （coll．E．Leichty）．
17－18：For this phrase，cf．in this volume No．119，20－3．

## Commentary

This document records the payment of a fine by Šamaš－amurāni on behalf of his slave Uššābu．There is no sign in the main part of the text of how the man had incurred this penalty，but if the restoration of 1.17 is correct，then the reason，given after the witnesses＇ names，is that he was caught red－handed at some crime，very likely theft．However，if this was a criminal rather than a civil offence，it is not clear in what capacity Bēl－issiỳ was the recipient of the fine．

## No 93

Plate 42
$8 \cdot 2 \times 11 \cdot 2 \times 3 \cdot 7$
Governor＇s Palace，Room K

Obv． 1 ku－um $\mathrm{NA}_{4}$ ．KIšỉ－šu－nu［şu－pár－šúu］－nu （finger－nail impressions）
2 su－pur mk［i］－qi－la－nu m．u［rušà ］．URU－$a-a$
3 miku－me－tum m．dše－er－Lma？$\left.-a^{2}\right\lrcorner^{\prime} a-d$
4 m．d．aMAR．UTU－rém－ni m．dše－er－h $a-n a-\ulcorner n a\urcorner$
5 mka－bar mim－me－ra－a－nu mila－s［a－h］i－túu
6 Lú．ìr ša mi $[m] u-r a-a-a-i-t e$
7 m「ib｀－ba－＇a－a－mu LỨ．šÀM ša 「ŠU？m $x{ }^{\top}-r a-a$
8 PAP 11 Lúd．ERín．MEŠ EN ha－bu－「le $e^{\top}$

1022 MA．NA UD．KA．BAR．MEŠ m［k］i－qi－$l a_{\lrcorner}-n u$
1110 MA．NA m．uruŠ̀̀．URU－$a-a 12^{\text {？}}$ MA．NA ${ }^{\text { }}$［miku］－me－tum
1220 MA．NA m．dše－er－ma－＇a－di 2 「MA．NA ${ }^{7}$ m．d dMAR．UTU－ré $[m-n] i$

141 ma．NA mim－me－ra－nu 2 ［м］A．NA míla－sa－hi－tú
153 MA．NA LÚ．ìr ${ }^{「 \check{c}}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{7}$ míL $m u_{\lrcorner}-[r a-a]-a-i-t i$
162 MA．NA ${ }^{\text {m }} i b-b a-{ }^{\prime} a-a\left[\begin{array}{lllll}-m u & x & x & x & x\end{array}\right] x$
17 PAP 2 GÚ．UN 20 MA．NA［UD．KA．B］AR．MEŠ
$\left.18[\check{s} a] \mathrm{m}_{s a-b i-r i}\left[\begin{array}{lll}x & x & (x\end{array} x\right)\right] x-u ́-n i$
B．E． 19 ［m．den］．LÁ－ṣi－DINGIR－ma ${ }^{1[\text { úšáá－} k] i ̀ n ~ u r u k ~}[a l-h i]$
Rev．（upper part broken）
$1^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$（witnesses；names broken）

$7^{\prime}$ lúšá－kìn uru $[g] u-z a-[n] a$

## Translation

1：Instead of their seal（s they impressed）their［nail（s）］．（Nail marks．）Nail of Kiqilānu， Libbi－ālāyu，Kumītum，S̄ēr－mā’ādi，Marduk－rēmāni，Šēr－hanāna，Kabar，Immērānu， Laṣahītu，the slave of Murāyītu，Ibba＇āmu，the＂purchased man＂under（？）．．rā，in all 11 men，creditors of Sabiri，the merchant．

10： 22 minas of bronze－Kiqilānu； 10 minas－Libbi－ālāyu； 12 minas－［Ku］mītum 20 minas－Šèr－mā＇ādi； 2 minas－Marduk－rēmāni； 4 minas－Sēr－hanāna； 2 minas－ Kabar； 1 mina－Immērānu； 2 minas－Laṣahītu； 3 minas－the slave of Mu ［rayītu］； 2 minas－Ibba＇ām［u ．．．．．．］．
17：In all， 2 talents 20 minas of［bronze，which］Sabiri［owes（？），Bēl－］tarṣi－iluma， ［governor］of Kalhu［has paid off in full ．．．．．．．．．

Rev． $1^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ ：（witnesses）
$6^{\prime}$ ：Month of Simānu？（III），26th day，［limmu］of Mannu－kī－māt－aššur，governor of Guzāna（793 в．c．）

## Notes

5 : For Laṣahītu see K. Deller, OrNS 33 (1964), 91 (s.v. sähhittu).
6: "The slave of Murayitu", although not given a name of his own, is a creditor in his own right.
18: Restore perhaps [ha-ab-l]u-u-ni or similar.
17: By simple addition of the individual debts, it appears that the sum of 2 talents and 20 minas is equivalent to 80 and not 140 minas. Consequently the talent in use here has only 30 and not 60 minas. A " short talent " of 30 minas has already been shown to exist in $A D D 953$ and 954 , where it was used for wool (see Iraq 32 (1970), 15911), and we learn that it might also be used for silver from an inscribed sealing (Iraq 27 (1965), 16, No. 21, coll.), which records 1 Gớ ina Qàd-si sa MAN-" 1 talent in the small (talent) of the king ", (Qallu is used in Tell Halaf No. 67, 4 (AfO Beiheft 6, 42-3) : ina libbi 1 ma.NA qa-al-li; a short" mina is not otherwise attested to my knowledge.) The opposite of qallu is not ( ${ }^{2}$. ND 5457 (Iraq 10 (1057), Pl XXVII) 2 (for the sūtu). Rev. $6^{\prime}$ : The month might also be Ululu (VI).

## Commentary

This text belongs with Nos. 90 and 91 , in being a record of the payment of another person's debts by Bel-tarsi-iluma. Except for the absence of superscription such as that above the ruling in No. 91, this text is similar in structure to No. 91, and the missing parts should probably be restored accordingly.
No. 94
Plate 44
$5 \cdot 4 \times 4 \cdot 1 \times 2 \cdot 3$

ND 254
Inst. Arch. London 12.viii. 788

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 1 6? 4 MA.NA UD.KA.BAR.MEŠ
2 men.Ki-ia
3 a-na $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{DU}} l u^{?}[(x)]$ si? SUM-en
4 ha-bu-le x šu-nu
5 ú-sa-li-im
B.E. 6 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{s \text { Sa-pu-nu }}$

Rev. 7 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\text {DU-šal-lim LÚ.NI.DUH }}$
8 IGI m.dAMAR.UTU.TI.LA
9 ša pit-hal-lı
$10{ }^{1 t^{11}}$ APIN! UD.12.KAM
11 lim-mu ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}{ }_{\mathrm{U}-m u-s ̌ a m-m e r}$

## Translation

1: $6 ? 4$ minas of bronze Bēl-issiya gave to PN, and(?) he has paid in full their(?) debts.
6: Before Şapūnu, before Kēnu-šallim, the door-keeper, before Marduk-uballiṭ, the cavalryman.
10: Month of Arahsamna (VIII), 12th day, limmu of Adad-mušammer (788 в.c.).

## Notes

3: Instead of $l u$ perhaps $q i$; the use of $e n$ as a complement instead of $i n$ is perhaps for reasons of space.
4: The undeciphered sign cannot be $e$ or тA, nor is it obvious why the suffix is plural, since there can hardly be two PNs concealed in 1. 3.
an hardly be two PNs concealed in I. 3 .

No. 95
Plate 44
ND 219 BM 131990
$6 \cdot 0 \times 8 \cdot 9 \times 2 \cdot 5$
Governor's Palace, Room K

Obv. 1 mše-lu-[b]u DUMU-šúú
šal-lu-[un?]-tú-šúu 80 MA.NA URUDU.MEŠ
$\mathrm{m}_{a s ̌}$-šur-B[AD].PAP ina E LƯ.A.BA E.GAL
$u^{?}{ }^{?}$-[sal $\left.l^{?}\right]-l i-m e{ }^{\ulcorner } x \quad x(x) x \quad x \quad x$
5 I[G]I ${ }^{\text {m. }} \mathrm{a}_{\text {MAŠ.MAŠ.I Lú }}$ qur-bu-te
urupar- $\mathrm{HA}-a-a$
IGI m.d ${ }_{\text {PA.PAP- } i r ~ l u ́(!) ~ s ̌ a ́ ~ U G U ~ U R U ~}^{\text {U }}$
IGI ${ }^{\text {mìr }}$ - $\mathrm{d} a l-l a-a-a$
9 lúh̆ $a-z a-n u$
10 IGI ${ }^{\text {m.d }} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{PA}}-\hat{u}-a$
11 lúmu-tir-țè-me
12 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\text {KAM-eš́-DINGIR LÚ.GAL É.GAL! }}$
Rev. 13 IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} t i^{2}-n i$-DUे? ${ }^{2} i^{2}$
14 uruba-da-na-a-a
15 IGI m.a ${ }_{\text {PA-rem- }}$-ni
16 EN ÚŠ.MEŠ ša-du-ni
17 IGI ${ }^{\text {m.d }}$ PA- $u$-TI.LA
18 LỨ.A.BA ṣa-bit ṭup-pi
$\left.19{ }^{1}{ }^{\left[{ }^{[1}\right.}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}\right] \mathrm{B}$ UD. 27 .KÁM
20 lim-me [m].dPA.KAR-ir-a-ni
21 [L] Ú.GAL KAŠ.LUL
L.E. 22 IGI m.d ${ }_{\text {PA.S }}[\mathrm{U}]$ Lúv.GAL 「URU'.MEŠ-ni

23 [ša] LÚ.A.BA É.GAL

## Translation

1: (For) Šēlubu, his son, Aššur-dūru-uṣur has [paid in] full his payment (of) 80 minas of copper, in the house of the palace scribe .
5 : Before Nergal-na'id, the officer, of Parnunna, before Nabû-nāṣir, the house overseer before Urdu-allāya, the mayor, before Nabūa, the information-officer, before Ereš-ilu, the palace-overseer, before Tini ..., the Badanaean, before Nabû-rēmāni, owner of the blood(-money) being exacted, before Nabû-uballit, the scribe, writer of the tablet.

19: Month of Kanūnu (X), 27th day, limmu of Nabû-ēṭirāni, the chief butler.

22 : Before Nabû-(e)rïba, the village-inspector [of] the palace scribe.
Notes
16: EN úš.MEŠ šadduni is of course parallel in construction to the well-known phrase bēl eqli tadāni, "owner of the field being sold", or to bēl kaspi našé (No. 102, rev. 7'); the phrase should therefore be taken as a whole and does not contain the term bel damē, which (except in Hittite texts) means "the shedder of blood " (see CAD D 80a). Damē then here means " blood-money " as elsewhere in nA (again, $C A D$ o 79b). The use of the S stem of nadānu is presumably because the money is not paid (at least directly) to Nabû-rēmāni, but "in the house of the palace scribe ". Was this because it was necessary for the authority which imposed the penalty to check the payment ? Certainly we must expect that the eventual recipient of the money was the relative of the dead person, since this seems to be the case in other nA texts, and the use of šaddunu seems to imply that the authority is "causing " the man "to pay" the money (for a third party). See Introduction, p. 18

No. 96
Plate 45
ND 255 IM 56828 11.ii. 737

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 12 me $17 \frac{1}{2}$ MA.NA URUDU.MEŠ
2 m.d ${ }_{\text {MAŠ.KÀD-an-ni }}$
3 šal-lu-un-tu sa-ar-t[ $\left.e^{?}\right]$
4 ina UGU $\mathrm{m}_{s} a-{ }^{-} i-[x]$
5 ina UGU mhar-ma-ki-r 157
$6 \quad a-n a$ mšul-mì-i
$7 \quad a-n a{ }^{\text {m.d }}{ }_{\text {IM.EN }}$
Rev. 8 ú-sa-al-lam
9 IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{AK}} . M U . A S ̌ ~ L U U ́ U . D A M . G A ̀ R ~}$
10 IGI ${ }^{\text {m. }} \mathrm{d}_{\text {IM }}$-s̆al-lim KIMIN
11 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{EN}-}$ - $u$-TI.LA lúš $\alpha$ UGU $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{E}}$
12 ša É lûša IGI É,GAL
13 itigud UD.11.кÁM
14 [l]im-mu ${ }^{\text {m}}{ }^{\text {EN.IGI.LÁ-ni }}$

## Translation

1: $217 \frac{1}{2}$ minas of copper Ninurta-kuṣranni has paid to Sulmī and to Adad-bēl on behalf of Sa'i[. .] and on behalf of Harmāki-ištar, as full payment of the fine.
8: Before Nabû-šūmu-iddina, the merchant, before Adad-šallim, ditto, before Bēl-luballit, the household-overseer of the household of the palace-supervisor.

13 : Month of Ayāru (II), 11th day, limmu of Bēl-amuranni (737 в.c.).

## Notes

8: usallam appears to be a solecism for expected usallim; or is it usa'allam for Dtt *uštatallam (cf. ta'alka < tatalka in No 199, 4)?

14: Clearly in the context of this archive the date 737 is to be preferred to either of the two possible seventh-century dates for an eponym of this name.

## Commentary

A man called Ninurta-kuṣanni here pays a fine for two people, to two other persons; since none of the participants is given a title or profession, or is known from elsewhere, we cannot reconstruct the background to the text.
No. $9{ }^{\prime \prime}$
Plate 43
ND 227
IM 56821
$8.8 \times(8.9) \times 3.3$
16?.[—.-]

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. (entirely broken)
Rev. $1 \quad 1$ ma.nA $m[(x x) x x]$.PAP?.MEŠ? $x\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}()]\end{array}\right.\right.$
21 LMA.NA $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{m}}\right\lrcorner x(x) x$-bu Lú.UŠ.BAR

$43^{\text {? }}[\mathrm{M}] \mathrm{A} . \mathrm{NA}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}[(x)] x \quad x-\mathrm{SU}^{\text {? }} \mathrm{L}[\mathrm{U} .(x) x] x$

$61[\mathrm{MA}] . \mathrm{NA} \mathrm{m} b\left[u^{?}-n\right] i^{3}-i$ Lúd.NINDA
$71^{\text {? }}[\mathrm{M}]$ A.NA ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}[$
$83 \mathrm{~m}[\mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{N}] \mathrm{A}$ m[
$\stackrel{]}{s}] a^{?}$
9 1ti[ $x$ UD]. $16^{?}$.кА́м $l i[m-m] e^{\mathrm{m}}$ [
$10 \mathrm{IG}[\mathrm{I}$ (remainder broken)

## Translation

1: 1 mina [.....]-ahhē? $\ldots$. 1 mina [...]bbu, the weaver, $1^{?}$ mina [. . ]-mušallim, the [ditto?], $3^{?}$ minas ......, 1 mina Ahu-sīna, the deputy, 1 mina Bunī?, the baker, 1 mina . . . ., 3 minas . $\qquad$
9 : Month of [. . . .], $16^{\text {? }}$ th day, limmu of [PN], the vizier?
10 : (witnesses' names (?)).

## Notes

5: This title is usually written šaniu, but the signs seem clear.
10 : It is of course uncertain whether this sign is indeed ra[r, but it seems most likely

## Commentary

This tablet has been included here because it seems likely that it belongs with Nos. 90 , 91 , and 93 , and is a list of creditors. However, it may equally well have been an administrative text, and the only reason for placing it here rather than with the administrative texts, is the guess that a list of witnesses began in 1.10 . In either case, the position of the date is unusual.
$5 \cdot 0 \times 3 \cdot 8 \times 2 \cdot 3$
Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 121 ma.na URUDU.meš
2 mbar-ruq Lú.ìr
3 ša ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} d i-l i l-15$
4 uruša mlib-lut-a-a
5 L $k u_{\lrcorner}-u m$ ig-re-šu
6 [i]t-ti-š̌i ú-ṭu-ru
B.E. 7 mám-ma тА* mám-ma $l a \mathrm{~K}[\mathrm{~A}]$. KA

Rev. 8 IGI ${ }^{m} d a-d i-i$
9 LÚ.GAL kal-la-pi ša LÚ.EN.NAM
10 IGI m $\mathrm{u}-r a-a r-t a-a-a$
11 lúz $a-m a-r u$ ša LÚ.EN.NAM
12 IGI m muhuss. ${ }^{\text {a U.G[UR] }}$ ?
13 ša uru pu-rat-ta-a-a LÚ.GAL KAŠ.LUL
T.E. $14{ }^{\text {iti }}$ barag Ud.13.KÁm

15 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\text {AK.U LÚ.A.BA }}$
L.E. 16 [li]m-mu ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{U} . E N . D[U]}}$

17 [ina ${ }^{?}$ ] $2 p u-r i$

## Translation

Barruqu, the slave of Dilil-ištar of the village Š-libluṭ, has taken 21 minas of copper instead of his wages. 6: They are quit, no-one shall plead against anyone.
8: Before Dadī, the chief kallāpu of the governor, before Urarṭāyu, the singer of the governor, before Ubru-n[ergal ${ }^{?}$ ] of the village of Sa-puratti (of) the chief butler.
14: Month of Nīsānu (I), 13th day, 16 : limmu of Adad-bēlu-ukīn, [in] his second term (lit. lot) (738 в.c.).
15 : Before PN, the scribe.

## Notes

15: No obvious reading for the name AK.U presents itself; perhaps, since it is a scribe writing his wn name, we might consider reading $a k$-bür (for $a k b u r u$ ), which would yield a known name. However, the value bür is not admitted in W. von Soden, $A S^{2} 47,242$
17: Collation indicates that we should probably not restore a [ $\left.s^{\prime} \dot{u}\right]$ at the end of the line.

## Commentary

This is a receipt to show that Barruqu has received " 21 minas of copper instead of his wages ". The text does not state from whom he received this, but since he is called the slave of Dilil-ištar, it must presumably have been from him. There are two surprising features here: one is that a slave should be said to receive wages (igrē) from his master, since this word is usually associated with the hiring of a free man on a voluntary basis;
the other curious feature is that he is said to receive copper "instead of his wages" This seems to imply that his wages were normally paid in kind and that here he receives them in currency.

No. 99
Plate 45 ; Photo Plate 94a
ND 241(a) BM 131991
Tablet: $4.1 \times 2.6 \times 1.4$
Envelope: $4.9 \times 3 \cdot 6 \times 2.4$

## Governor's Palace, Room M.

N.B.-A copy is given of the envelope, since this has two extra lines; I did not think it necessary to copy the tablet as well.

2 ša LÚ.SAG $s a^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{EN}}}{ }^{\mathrm{K}}[\mathrm{KL}-a n]$
Obv. 3 Lú.en.nam $s a$ uru $[k a l-h i]$
4 ŠE.PAD.MEŠ LUGAL-e-šú
5 ma-hi-ir
6 mám-ma $i$-si-šúu
7 [l]a $i-d a-[b] u-b u$
8 qar-mat
B.E. 9 qar-ma

## Tablet

Obv. 1 maš-šur-bÀD.PAP Lđ̛.SAG
$2 s a^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{m}_{\text {EN.KAL-an }}$ LG̛.EN.NAM
3 ša urukal-hi
4 ŠE.PAD.MEŠ LUGAL-e-šú
5 ma-hi-ir
B.E. 6 mám-ma $i$-si-šú

7 la i-da-bu-bu
Rev. (uninscribed)

Rev. (cylinder-seal impression)

## Translation

1: Aššur-dūru-uṣur, eunuch of Bēl-dān, the governor of Kalhu, has received his " king's corn ". 6 : No-one shall plead against him. (Envelope only): It is covered.

## Notes

4: "King's corn" is also mentioned in two texts from Balawat, BT 116 and 117 (Iraq 25 (1963) $94-5$ ) ; the term will be discussed in my study on nA taxation, and for the moment I will merely quote my conclusion, that it seems reasonable to surmise here that this issue is the result of an order made by the king, that the eunuch should receive corn from official sources, for some reason we cannot hope o define.
8-9: qarmat, repeated twice, appears only on the envelope. Given the meaning of qarāmu, "überziehe it " $A H w 902 \mathrm{a}$ ), this remark must mean that "this is the envelope ". A similar comment is found on BT 124, for example (Iraq 25 (1963), 96), where the envelope has kisirtu (" envelope ').

## Commentary

The nature of this transaction has already been described in the note to 1.4 ; it may be useful to point out that it is scarcely an ordinary legal document, since it has no witnesses, superscript, or date, and no mention is made of the person from whom Aššur-dūru-uṣur received the corn. There is however a fine seal impression on the envelope, and it is possible that this was the seal of Bēl-dān himself, the governor. Otherwise, we
could perhaps expect that it was the seal of Aššur－dūru－uṣur，but because of the unusual nature of the document，which is clearly closely connected with the administration，we cannot be sure．

## No． 100

 Plate 47$6 \cdot 0 \times 5 \cdot 1 \times 2 \cdot 2$
Governor＇s Palace，Room M
Obv． 11 G［Ứ．U］N UD．KA．B［AR．MEŠ（ ）］
$2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{EN} . \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{I}] \mathrm{s}^{2} ? ~ s ̌ a \quad x}$［
3 ina Š̀̀ $\left\ulcorner 3^{? ?} \mathrm{MA}^{?}\right.$ ？$[$
3 ina SÀ 「3？｀MA？
5 IGI mš $u^{?}{ }^{\prime} a^{?} p i^{?}$ sil？$x[$ ］
6 IGI m．d UTU．［ $]_{\llcorner } x \quad x_{\lrcorner}$
7 DUMU ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} x$［
8 IGI maš－šur－DÙ［
B．E． 9 Lú sum kù．babbar．meš？
Rev． 10 SUM－in kás－pi mbar－ra－「ra－nu？
11 sa－bit ka－ni－ki mha－an－da－「šu？
12 ITI．NE UD．11．кÁM $l i$－mu m ${ }^{\text {GIR．MAN }}$

## Translation

1： 1 talent of bronze Bēl－lēšir of［．．．．．．．］in exchange for？［．．．．．．．］．
4：Before［．．．］－il［āya？］，before ．．．．．，before Samaš－［．．．．］，son of［．．．．．］，befor Aššur－bāni［？．．］，giver of the silver． 10 ：Giver of the money：Barrarānu？；writer of the document：Handašu？
12：Month of $\bar{A} b u(V), 11$ th day，limmu of Sēp－šarri（835 b．c．）．

## Notes

9：Although the sign SUM is not identical with that in 1.10 ，it can hardly be anything else．
10：Presumably the scribe is consciously making the usual distinction between кù．babbar $=$ silver and kaspu written syllabically＝price．Leaving aside the question of whether there should be a reading for кі̀．babbar different from kaspu，it is clear that kás－pi of 1.10 can only refer to the bronze mentioned in 1．1．This would mean that we have an exchange of bronze against silver，and indeed we may plausibly restore $3[+]$ minas of silver as the commodity in 1.3 for which the bronze is given．

12：There seems to be no doubt as to the reading，nor therefore as to the date of the tablet．

## Commentary

This text is really only classed with the loans because it cannot be considered a normal sale text．It appears to record the exchange of copper against silver，a transaction which would not under normal circumstances require any documentation at all，since neither substance requires documentary proof in order to establish ownership．It seems likely， therefore，that this is more in the way of an administrative record，but since it is also witnessed，I have classed it here under legal texts．

It will be noted that this is the earliest of our texts by some way，and this may in some degree account for its curious nature．
It is possible that the blank space on the reverse was intended for a seal impression， but if a seal was impressed，nothing is now discernible．
No． 101
Plate 46
ND 268 Inst．Arch．London ［－．－］．798？
$6.6 \times(8 \cdot 2) \times 3 \cdot 0$

Governor＇s Palace，Room K．
Obv． 1 s［u］－pur mm－ř̌al－lim－d ${ }_{\text {AMAR．UTU }}$
$2 s[u]$－pur $\mathrm{m}_{\text {PAP．AŠ }} s u-p u r \mathrm{~m}_{m a-n u-k i \text {－PAP }}$
（finger－nail impressions）
3 GỨ．UN UD．KA．BAR．MEŠ
4 m．d EN．PAP 「 ${ }^{\text {GAL }}$ ．URU．MEŠ
5 ina $\left[\mathrm{GI}^{?}\right]^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{EN}}} x$［
6 ku－ı $\left.m u^{?}\right\lrcorner x \times x$［
$7 a-n a^{? ?} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{PAP}}-x[\quad]^{\mathrm{uruk}}$ kal－ha－a－a
8 ［ina］SAG ITI！？
$\left.9{ }^{\circ} x x^{\prime}\right][$
$105^{\text {？}}$ MA．N［A
$11 \underbrace{}_{\llcorner } x x_{\lrcorner}$［
（remainder of obv．and upper part of rev．broken）
Rev． $1^{\prime}$
$\left.\begin{array}{lc}1^{\prime}[ & ] \mathrm{zU}^{?} \\ 2^{\prime} & {[ } \\ 3^{\prime} & {[ }\end{array}\right] x x \times x \times x$
$4^{\prime}[\mathrm{I}] \mathrm{GII}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{m}[h] a-b u-s u$
$5^{\prime}$［I］GI M EN．URU
$6^{\prime}$ IGI m ${ }_{\text {HÉ．DAR．}}{ }^{\text {d INANNA }}$
$7^{\prime}$ IGI $\mathrm{m}_{e-s ̧ i-d a-a-a}$
$8^{\prime} \quad \check{s} a$ urugab－ri－na
T．E． $9^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{L}]$ Ú．ÌR ša mà̀R ${ }^{\mathrm{ii} .1\left[5^{?}\right]}$
$10^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} q a$－la－li－$\hat{u}^{?}[(\quad)]$
$11^{\prime} \quad s ̌ a$ urušá－ášs－li－e？
L．E． $12^{\prime}{ }^{1 t i[ }$
$+1[\quad$ and
$13^{\prime}$ lim－me $\mathrm{m}_{m}\left[u^{2}-\operatorname{tak}^{?}-\right.$ ki $\left.]\right]^{2}$－damar．UTU

## Translation

1：Nail of Mušallim－marduk，nail of Ahu－iddina，nail of Mannu－ki－ahi．（Nail marks．）
3： 1 talent of bronze Bèl－ahi（？），the village inspector ．．．．（remainder of obv．too broken for translation）．
Rev． $1^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ：（too broken）．4＇：before Habusu，before Bēl－āli，before ．．．－ištar，before Esidāyu of Gabrīna，slave of Šēp－i［štar？］，before Qalaliu of Šašlie？
12＇：Month of［．．．．，xth day］，limmu of M［utakki］？＇－marduk（798 в．c．）．

## Notes

Rev. $6^{\prime}:$ For this name cf. note on No. 57, 17.
7': Professor Deller quotes me two PNs me-si-dà-a-a (VAT 10696, 6) and mše.kIN.KUD-a-a (VAT 9867,3 ), which he suggests are identical with one another. He also suggests that they are identical with m.tt $\mathrm{kIN}-a-a$, but this cannot yet be proved.
$9^{\prime}$ : The name could also of course be maik ${ }^{11} \cdot \mathrm{M}[\mathrm{AN}]$; if Šēp-ištar is the correct restoration, he might be the governor of Naşibīna, eponym for 800 b.c.

## Commentary

It is clear that this text is not a sale, and the opening line of the text proper (1. 3), which mentions a quantity of bronze, is reminiscent of loan texts. We should therefore expect that this was a loan from Bēl-ahi(?) to the three men whose nails are impressed above; but since the remainder of the obverse cannot be made out, this is very uncertain. We are probably justified, though, in classing this as a "loan-type" text, and it is interesting to note that instead of the usual practice of later nA texts of this kind, which is to impress the seal on an envelope, this tablet resembles rather a sale text, since the nail impressions are placed at the head of the single tablet, and no envelope is used; cf. on Nos. 106-7, and Introduction, pp. 18-9.
No. 102
Plate 45
$5 \cdot 1 \times 6.3 \times 2.4$
ND 477
Inst. Arch. London 9.x. 795

Governor's Palace, Room K
Obv. (a few indecipherable traces only)
Rev. 1 ša ${ }^{\text {m.d }}$ AMAR.UTU.EN.PAP
2 ša É lútur-ta-ni
3 IGI mle-še-ru
4 LÚ.KAš.LuL ša mdan?-ni-e
5 IGI ${ }^{m}$ DUMU. ${ }^{\text {dinANNA }}$ Lú.NINDA
6 IGI mha-ma-qa-ti Lú.NINDA
7 en kas-pi na-še-e
$8{ }^{\mathrm{iti}} \mathrm{AB}$ UD.9.кÁM
9 lim-mu ${ }^{\mathrm{m}\left[\cdot \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{AM}}\right] \text { AR.UTU.KUR- } n i}$

## Translation

[before PN, the . . . .] Rev. 1: of Marduk-bēlu-uṣur, of the household of the turtānu, before Lēšeru, the butler of Dannē? ${ }^{?}$, before Mār-ištar, the baker, before Hamaqāti, the baker, owner of the money being borrowed(?)
8: Month of Kanūnu (X), 9th day, limmu of Marduk-šadūni (795 b.c.).

## Notes

3: Although the name Lēšeru is not otherwise known, it is quite plausible and there is no justification for emending to $\mathrm{m} / i-m u^{\prime}-r u$. K. Deller quotes me a PN mle-se-r $i$ from VAT 9758 obv. $5^{\prime}$.

7: For the construction of this line cf. note on No. 96, 16; the association of kaspu with našu seems be sufficient grounds for classing the text as a loan, despite the absence of the main part of the text. However, the exact translation of this line must remain in doubt, especially since the principals in a transaction do not normally act as witnesses.

No. 103

Plate 47
$6.5 \times 5.0 \times 2.4$
Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 13 Lú.šám.MEŠ
210 MA.NA UD.KA.bAR.MES
$3 \check{s}^{a}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ EN.KI- $i a$
4 ina UGU ${ }^{\mathrm{m} k i-r i b-t i}$
5 ina UGU m ${ }^{\text {PAP.SUM- } n a}$
6 IGI mab-di-i
7 A mibi-ia-a
B.E. 8 IGI ${ }^{\text {m.d }}{ }_{\text {AMARAR.UTU-šal-lim-PAP.ME }}$ [š $]$

9 LÚ.SANGA ša ${ }^{\text {dimsis.MAS }}$
Rev. 10 IGI mi-ma-a-ru
11 A ${ }^{\text {m.d }} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{PA}}-i q-b i$
12 IGI ${ }^{m}$ DUMU. ${ }^{\text {d INANN }}$
13 LƯ.SANGA $\check{x} a$ d $_{\text {GAŠAN. }}$ KUR- $h a$
14 IGI m.d[AM]AR.UTU-na-din-PAP.MEŠ
15 a mbal-ar-DINGIR
T.E. $16{ }^{{ }^{1 t i}}{ }^{\text {barag }}$ Ud.18.KAM

17 lim-mu ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{U}}-m u-s \text { śá-mer }}$

## Translation

1: Three "bought men" (and) 10 minas of bronze, belonging to Bēl-issīya, owed by Kiribtu, owed by Ahu-iddina.
6: Before Abdī, son of Biyā, before Marduk-šallim-ahhē, priest of Nergal, before Imāru, son of Nabû-iqbi, before Mār-ištar, priest of Bēlat-nipha, before Marduk-nādin-ahhē, son of Bar-ili.
16: Month of Nissānu (I), 18th day, limmu of Adad-mušammer (788 в.c.).

## Notes

$15: b a^{\prime}$ is written over an erasure, perhaps of an original bar.

## Commentary

This is a simple note of debt, noteworthy because of the curious items owed-three men and 10 minas of bronze - and because of the two priests among the witnesses, high ranking men.

No. 104 Plate 47
$6.5 \times 3 \cdot 8 \times 2 \cdot 0$
Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 1 181 ma.na URUdU.meš
2 kas-pi ša UDU.NITÁ.MEŠ
3 ša ${ }^{\text {maš }} a \check{s}[u] r$-BÀD.PAP
4 ina IGI mhu-ra-pi
5 ina ${ }^{\text {itid }} \mathrm{DUL}$ SUM-an
E.E. 6 šum-ma la SUM-in

7 i-ra-bi-u
Rev. 8 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\text {sa-al-ti-DINGIR }}$
9 IGI mír-tú
10 IGI m.d PA.PAP.MEŠ.SU
11 sca-bit IM itišu
12 lim-m $[e]^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{U} . E N . D U}}$
Translation
1: $18 \frac{1}{2}$ minas of copper, the price of sheep, belonging to Aššur-dūru-ușur, owed by Hurāpu. He shall give (it) in the month of Tašrïtu (VII) ; if he does not give (it), it will accumulate (interest).

8: Before Salti-ili, before Girītu, before Nabû-ahhē-erība, writer of the tablet.
11: Month of Du'uzu (IV), limmu of Adad-bēlu-ukīn (748 в.c.).

## Notes

12: Although Adad-bēlu-ukīn was also eponym for 738 в.c., I assume that if our text came from that year it would be mentioned that it was his second eponymate, as it is elsewhere (e.g. No. 99).

## No. 105

Plate 90
$6.7 \times 4.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Aust. Inst. Arch. 24.i. 742

Governor's Palace, Room M
T.E. 110 Še.pad.meš ina UD? $x \times x\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & x\end{array}\right)\right]$

Obv. $2 \mathrm{~m}_{n a-i}$ LÚ.DUMU GEMÉ É.gAL [( )]
3 тA* msa-mi-i' ina pu-u-ȟi i-ti-š[i]
450 LỨ [ina(?)] UD KIN.KUD $i$-d[an?
5 ŠE.PAD.MEŠ $a-n a$ É lúrša $a^{? 7}$ IGI É S[UM?
6 Be-ma 50 Lú.ŠE. KiN.Kud la SUM-na
7 ŠE.PAD.MEŠ $a^{\prime}$-na mit-har t[a]-GAL-bi
8 iti barag ud.24.Kám lim-mu
$9 \quad$ m.d $d_{\text {PA.KAL- }}(x-) a-n i{ }^{\text {lút }} t u[r-t] a-[n u]$
N.B.-This tablet was collated for me by Professor D. J. Wiseman, and the copy given has been corrected by me from those collations.

## Translation

1: 10 (homers) of corn, . . . . . . . Nai, the " son of the palace servant-girl", has taken from Sami' as a loan. 4: [He shall give(?)] 50 men at harvest-time(?), (and) [he shall give(?)] the corn to the household of the palace-supervisor(?). 6: If he does not give 50 harvesters, the corn will accumulate (interest) equally (i.e. at 100 per cent).
8: Month of Nisānu (I), 24th day, limmu of Nabû-da'ināni, the turtānu (742 в.c.)

## Notes

1: Instead of ina UD, perhaps ina ŠÀ.
2: This curious designation, "son of the servant-girl of the palace", is also found in $A B L$ 91, 16 and 99 rev . 13, and in $A D D 675 \mathrm{rev} .23$ (coll. W. G. Lambert, CAD A Pt. 2, 29a), where the man bearing the title appears to be of some importance.
3: TA $*$ is needed, and although not shown in the copy, it is indicated in Professor D. J. Wiseman's ansliteration of the text which he made available to me
m: 6 it occur 5 : An altern realing woul be té ${ }^{\text {Donv }}$
, ${ }^{11}$, but this requires doing more violence to the text, and mí é.gal cannot without strong grounds be equated with gemé é.gat.
7: $a^{t}$ is written over an erasure.
9: The unwanted $n u$ between KAL and $-a-n i$ was not specially checked.

## Commentary

This appears to be a loan of 10 homers of corn from Sami' to Nai, with a clause for the provision of harvesters instead of interest. The text has some unusual features, but its poor condition makes it difficult to assess the certainty of the suggested restorations

## No. 106

Plate 48
ND 215
$4 \cdot 5 \times 3.5 \times 2 \cdot 3$
Governor's Palace, Room M.
Obv. 11 MA.NA KÙ.babbar ina! ša MAN
$2 \mathrm{~m}_{r a-p a-l a \text { TA* } \text { IGI }}$
3 miGI. ${ }^{\text {d }} 15-l a-m u r$
4 ina pu-hुi i-ti-ši
$5 \quad a-4-t u ́ \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{AL}]-b i$
$6[\mathrm{I}]$ GI ${ }^{\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{PA}} . \mathrm{NUMUN} \text { ? }}$ DÙ
Rev. 7 IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}[[a]-q i-p u$
8 IGI mbart-zi-i
9 IGI m KUR- $a-a$ (finger-nail impressions)
10 iti $_{\text {BARAG UD. }}$ 13.KÁm lim-mu
11 m.d ${ }_{\text {IM.EN.DU }}$
T.E. 12 ina 2 pu-ri-šúu
(There are meaningless scratches on the B.E., probably impressed after the clay had dried.)

## Translation

1：One mina of silver（measured）by（the mina）of the king，Rapala has taken as a loan from Pān－ištar－lāmur．It will accumulate（interest）at a quarter．

6 ：Before Nabû－zēru？－ibni，before Laqīpu，before Barzī，before Madāyu（？）．（Nail marks．）
10：Month of Nīsānu（I），13th day，limmu of Adad－bēlu－ukīn，in his second term （lit．lot）（738 в．c．）

## Notes

1：ina！is written over an erasure．
6： $\mathrm{MU}^{\prime}(=s \bar{u} \bar{m} u)$ is obviously possible here，but collation favoured $\mathrm{NUMUN}=z \bar{e} r u$ ．
8：For the name Barzī，cf．BT 106， 22 （Iraq 25 （1963）， 91 ）
9：Or rather Ǩadāyu？

## Commentary

A perfectly straightforward loan，but note that the nail marks are made directly on to the tablet，and there is no envelope（cf．commentary on No．101）．For the position of the nail marks，not（as in No．101）at the head of the tablet，but before the date on the reverse， compare the position of the seal impression on No．107，which also has no envelope．

## No． $10 \%$

Plate 47 ；Photo Plate 92c
$5 \cdot 4 \times 3 \cdot 6 \times 2 \cdot 1$
Governor＇s Palace，Room M．
Obv． 11 ma．na kù．babbar ina 1 ma．na ša LUgal
2 m．d MAŠ．EN．PAP TA＊$p a-a n$
$3 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {EN．KUR－} u-a}$ inal $p u-u-h i$
4 i－ti－ši $a-n a 4-t u-s ̌ u ́$
$5 \quad i-r a b-b i$
6 Itiše Ud．1．KÁM
Rev． 7 lim－mu ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {EN．IGI．LÁ－} a-n i}}$
（cylinder－seal impression）
8 lussáá－kìn KUR ra－［s］a－pa

## Translation

1：One mina of silver（measured）by the mina of the king，Ninurta－bēlu－uṣur has taken as a loan from Bēl－šadūa．It will accumulate（interest）at a quarter of it（the capital）．
6：Month of Addāru（XII），1st day，limmu of Bēl－am（u）rāni，（seal impression），governor of Raṣappa．

## Notes

3：ina！written over an erasure．

## Commentary

For the position of the seal impression，see the commentary to No． 106.

Governor＇s Palace，Room S
Obv． 130 MA．NA BÍL．EN．「ZU｀ 2 「 7 MA．NA 8 －tú AN．NA 3 ina Šà $a$－sa－li URUDU 4 Ľ̌a」 50 MA．NA URUDU
B．E． $5 \quad[\mathrm{~m}] \cdot \mathrm{d}^{\Gamma}{ }^{\text {PA }}{ }^{\text {？}}{ }^{7} \cdot$ DINGIR－$a-a$ $6 \quad[a]-n a$ m．dšid－rém－ni
Rev． 7 「Lư’．En．nam šá urukal－ha
8 SUM－an ším－mu UD．15．KAM
9 ［š］a ${ }^{1{ }^{11}}$ APIN $l a-a$ SUM－ni
102 ．TA SUM－an
11 ［IG］I $\mathrm{m}_{a s ̌}$－šur－KUR－k $a$－GUR IGI ${ }^{\text {m．d }} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{UTU}}$ ．KI－ia
T．E． 12 ［IG］I ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{n} a-d i n-e-a \operatorname{IGI}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} x[(x)]-a-a, ~}$
13 IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} a$ 多－šur－še－zib－$a-n i \mathrm{I}\left[\mathrm{GI}^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} x \quad x(x)\right]-a-a$
L．E． 14 ［ $\left.{ }^{1 t}\right]^{1}{ }^{1}$ APIN UD．11．K［AM $]$
$15\left[\right.$ lim－me］${ }^{\text {mà̀D－aš－šur }}$

## Translation

1： 30 minas of ．．．．－metal，（and） $7 \frac{1}{8}$ minas of tin，in exchange for a copper bowl of 50 minas of copper，Nabû？－ilāya shall give to Marduk－rēmāni，the governor of Kalhu． 8：If（by）the 15th of the month of Arahsamnu（VIII）he has not given（it），he shall give double．

11：Before Aššur－mātka－tirri，before Šamaš－issīya，before Nādinea，before ．．．āya， before Aššur－šězibāni，b［efore ．．．］āya．

14：［Month］of Arahsamnu（VIII），11th day，［limmu］of Dūr－aššur（728 в．c．）．

## Notes

1：BiL／BLL．EN．ZU is also attested with other metals in ND 2774 （Iraq 23 （1961），50，Pl．XXVI）， 1.1 （ 200 talents）and rev． $\mathbf{7}^{\prime}$（ $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ talents）．I know of no clue to the identity of the substance．
he copper bowl part of the loan to Nabû＇－ilāya；but comparison with No． 109 suggests the translation given above

5 ： $\mathrm{d}^{\top}$ MAŠ＇is perhaps also possible．
10：2．TA is perhaps to be read essip，for which see K．Deller，W ZKM 57 （1961），35－7．

## Commentary

Although this text uses the basic phraseology and structure of a loan，it is clear that it is not strictly speaking one．Apart from the absence of the phrase ina puuhi，which is almost invariably used for true loans，ll．3－4 show that some kind of exchange is involved， of which only one side has been completed．Further，the payment by Nabû？－ilāya is required within only four days．If we did not have $11.3-4$ ，or if they are rather to be understood as suggested in the note to 1.3 ，we could suppose that this is in fact a work
contract for the governor, or perhaps even a novation of a work contract; but we should need to know Nabû?-ilāya's profession to be more confident of this.

No. 109
Plate 48
$4 \cdot 8 \times 4 \cdot 0 \times 1 \cdot 6$
ND 275(c)
Inst. Arch. London [ - ]

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. $122^{\text {! Gúd.U[N] Ud.K[A.BAR(.MEŠ) }]}$
2 ina ŠÀ 7 ZI.MEŠ
$3 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {PAP.DU }}-k a \mathrm{~L}[\mathrm{U}] . x[$
4 ša uruim-gúr-d ${ }^{\text {BE }}$
5 Lx」 i-ti-ši
6 IGI ${ }^{\text {m.d }}$ UTU.DINGIR- $a-a$
IGI m.dMAS.ZU
B.E. 8 IGI ${ }^{\text {m DUMU-ba-nu-te? }}$

9 [ ] $x$ su $^{\text {? }}$
Rev. (almost entirely broken ; possibly finger-nail impressions on T.E.)
L.E. $1^{\prime} k i-m[a]{ }^{\ulcorner } \mathrm{NA}_{4}$.KIŠİB ${ }^{7}-$ š̌ú
$2^{\prime}$ ṣu-pár $r$-šu
$3^{\prime} \check{s}^{a} \mathrm{~m}_{\text {PAP.DU- }} k a$

## Translation

1: Two talents of bronze in exchange for 7 persons Ahu-illaka? ${ }^{?}$, the . . . . ., of Imgur-enlil, has taken.
6: Before Šamaš-lāya, before Ninurta-(i)le'i, before Mār-banūte, . . . . . . .
L.E. $1^{\prime}$ : Instead of his seal, the nail of Ahu-illaka?

## Notes

1: 2 ' is written over an erased " correcter" horizontal 2 sign.
4: Imgur-enlil is of course modern Balawat
5: The trace at the beginning of the line is probably an erased IGI.
L.E. $1^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ : It is possible that these lines are added as an afterthought, and should have been at the beginning of the text; but equally they may have been left intentionally to this late stage of the document, ef. the commentary to No. 106.

## Commentary

Again with this text the structure and phrasing are that of a loan, but the transaction cannot strictly be classed as one. The ina pühi phrase is absent, and there is no doubt that the sum " borrowed " is owed in exchange for the seven persons mentioned. It almost seems therefore as if this text is an incidental document to a sale text: the new owner of the seven persons is not named, but may perhaps be assumed to be a member of the administration. Ahu-illaka impresses his nail not only on the sale text which would attest his consent to the transfer of ownership, but also apparently to this small tablet which
gives confirmation to the purchasers that they have indeed paid the sale price to the previous owner. Why a text of this kind should have been required we cannot tell; possibly it would be made out when the two halves of the transaction-transfer of the purchased object and transfer of the purchase price-took place at different times.

No. 110
Plate 48
$5 \cdot 4 \times 7 \cdot 8 \times 2 \cdot 4$
Governor's Palace, Room M.
Obv. 1 ŠE.PAD.MEŠ ša $p u$ - $h i$
$2 \check{x} a$ m.dUTU.AD.PAP
310 ANŠE mhi-ni-im-me

5 IGI mbu-s $\left[i^{i}\right]-l u$ lúkal-la-pu
6 IGI mha-da ${ }^{2}-\alpha-a$ ša É lútar-ta-ni
7 IGI m ${ }_{L} b a^{2}{ }_{\lrcorner}-i a-a$
8 IGI ${ }^{\text {L }}[(x) x]-a-a$ lubh $a-z a-n u$
9 PAP [x] ša URU-šúu
1010 ANŠE $\mathrm{m} k a-k u-u$-u-su
11 [IG]I m.d ${ }_{\text {UTU.NIGIN }}$
Rev. 12 PAP 20 ANŠE 「ŠE ${ }^{\text {T. PAD.MEŠ }}$
13 sAG.DU
14 a-na 1 ANŠE 5-BÁN- $a-a$

## Translation

1: The corn borrowed by/from Šamaš-abu-usur :
3: 10 homers-Hinimme, before Ilā[ya?], slave of Ninurta-taklak, before Busilu?, the kalläpu, before Hadāya?, of the turtānu's household, before Bayā, before [...]āya, the mayor. In all [x (men)] of his village.
10: 10 homers-Kakūsu, before Samaš-(u)pahhir.
12: In all, 20 homers of corn, capital ; (it will accumulate interest) at 5 sūtu each per homer.

## Notes

1: Probably what is meant is the corn lent by Samaš-abu-usur, rather than the corn borrowed by him. 4-8: These names are probably those of the witnesses mentioned on the original loan text from which the details are taken.

## Commentary

This is probably not strictly a legal text at all, but is a simple note recording two debts,
no doubt copied from two separate loan texts. Note the absence of any nail marks or seal impressions, and of the date, besides the usual legal phraseology.

## No. 111

Plate 90
$4 \times 2 \cdot 7 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Governor's Palace, Room S
Obv. $1 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {PAP-la-maš-ši }}$
2 Lứ.uš kib-si
3 UD.3.KÁM ina U[GU?] NAM
B.E. 4 lú.en.nam

Rev. 5 ša uru ${ }_{\mathrm{RI}-m u-s i ?}{ }^{\text {? }}$
6 šá-pi-ir
N.B.-This tablet was assigned to the Expedition, but I have as yet been unable to trace it ; Professo D. J. Wiseman's copy is therefore given here.

## Translation

Ahu-lamašši, the tracker, was sent on the 3rd day (of the month?) to the province of the governor of Rimūsu?

## Notes

3: ina U[GU] NAM : NAM ( $=$ pāhutu) is probably not to be taken as "province ", in a geographical sense, but rather more vaguely as " responsibility, authority"

5: RI-mu-sul also possible; for the name of the town see note on No. 82, 12

## Commentary

This kind of text is perhaps best classed as a memorandum; for the phrasing, which uses the permansive, cf. No. 112.
No. 112
Plate 49
ND 442
IM 56852
$(4 \cdot 8) \times 3.4 \times 1.9$
[-].i?.[-]

Governor's Palace, Room S.
Obv. $1{ }^{\mathrm{m}} d a-a^{\prime}-z i-n[a(\quad)]$
2 KUR $\ddot{u}-r a-a ́ r-t a c-a[-a]$
3 pa-an ${ }^{\text {m.d }} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{PA}} . \operatorname{šU} \cdot \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{UR}]$

B.E. 5 pa-qi-di[( )]

Rev. $6{ }^{\mathrm{iti}_{\mathrm{BA}}[\mathrm{RAG} \text { ? UD. } x . \text { KÁm }]}$
7 lim-mu ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{EN} . x$ [

## Translation

1: $\operatorname{Da}$ 'zin[a], the Urartaean, has been appointed to be under Nabû-gimilli-t[irri], the captain of fifty of the $t\left[u r t \bar{a} n u^{?}\right]$.

6 : Month of Nī[sānu(?), xth day], limmu of Bēl-[. . . .].

No. 113
Plate 49
$4 \cdot 6 \times(4 \cdot 0) \times 1 \cdot 6$

Governor's Palace, Room S.

Obv. (beginning broken)
$\begin{array}{ll}1^{\prime} \\ 2^{\prime} & \text { DUM }] r a b-b a \text {-DING? Mí-šúu }\end{array}$
$3^{\prime}$ [mpab-ba-DINGIR D[UMU] 5 ru
3 [mDIN]gIR- $a-a-t a-k a$ - $[r] a^{2}$ DUMU 4
$4^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{m}] g a-m e-u \quad$ DUMU 4
$5^{\prime}[\mathrm{m}] r i-i b-s i-r u \quad$ DUMU ša GAB
$6^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{m}]\left\ulcorner{ }^{\top} e^{\urcorner}-b i-s u \quad\right.$ DUMU.MÍ $4 r u$
$7^{\prime}[\mathrm{m}] s a-i a-a$
GEMÉ-šúu 「 $x$ ’
B.E. $8^{\prime} \quad[(\quad)]$ PAP 8

Rev. $9^{\prime}[\quad]_{\llcorner } x$ mí Ét? $t a^{?}$ ša $a^{3}$

] 5 ru
DUMU.m]í $4 r u$
Dumu.m] 14
$-]_{\mathrm{L}} t^{2} a^{2}-l a-a$ MÍ-šúu ${ }_{\text {(remainder broken) }}^{-]_{L} t}$
N. B.-The original ND 451 has since been joined to an unnumbered fragment whioh constitutes the right edge ; it is possible that obverse and reverse should be exchanged, but not likely.

## Translation

1': [PN] his daughter? ; Rabba-il, s[on], 5 half-cubits; [I]lāya-takara? son, 4 (halfcubits) ; Gameu, son, 4 (half-cubits) ; Ribsiru, son, unweaned; Ebisu, daughter, 4 halfcubits; Sayā, his slave-girl, . . . In all, 8
$9^{\prime}$ : his [PN, ....], 5 half-cubits; [PN, girl], adolescent; [PN daugh]ter?, 4 half-cubits; [. . .]talā, his wife ; [........... .

## Notes

$2^{\prime}: r u$ is a known abbreviation for rūtu, for which see on No. 212, 2'
$5^{\prime}$. $s a \operatorname{aAB}$, literally " of the breast" ", here replaces the more frequent DUMU GA or DUMU $\check{z} a$ GA " milk child", and refers to a baby which has not yet been weaned.

## Commentary

Although the purpose of this list is lost, it is clearly similar in its phraseology to the " Harran census", published by C. H. W. Johns in $A D B$. It lists first boys according to their size, then girls-an observation which serves to justify the restorations in $11.2^{\prime}$ and $14^{\prime}$. Before each child there is a personal name, which presumably belongs to the father. This makes the total of eight in $1.8^{\prime}$ rather puzzling: if both parent and child are counted, then the total should be at least twelve, and it therefore seems clear that only one of each pair is counted. If it is the parents, there is no obvious reason why the children should be mentioned at all, and it is surprising that the list is arranged according to the sex and age of the children. It seems, therefore, more likely that the total of eight refers to the children, and that the list is devoted to them, and that the fathers' names were given only in order to identify the children.

## No. 11

$4 \cdot 8 \times(7 \cdot 2) \times 2 \cdot 5(\mathrm{ND} 471)$
$(3 \cdot 6) \times(3 \cdot 7) \times 2 \cdot 1$ (ND 431(a))

## Governor's Palace, Room S.

N.B.-The join has not been physically confirmed, but the juxtaposition of the two fragments, especially the interlocking of 1.11 , confirms it sufficiently.

Obv. $1 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {EN.DIN- } i t}{ }^{\text {「 }}$ LứSANGA? 4 DUMU.MEŠ-šu
2 ša ŠU ${ }^{11}$ LÚ.AGRIG
3 mSUHuŠ.KASKAL mh[a]-li-mu
4 PAP 2 LÚ.SIMUG KÙ.[GI? $\check{s}] a$ m $d a-d a-a$
5 mhat-ti-i-a-nu Lú.šim $\times$ NINDA
6 mman-nu-ki-i- $\mathrm{d}_{\text {IM }}$ DUMU-š
$7[\mathrm{P}]$ AP 3 urubi ${ }^{2}-h a-[(x-)] a-a$
8 m$m u$-LÁ.DINGIR LÚ.SIP[ $\mathrm{A}^{?}\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & x\end{array}\right)$ ì $] \mathrm{R}$ ?
$9 \quad \check{s} a{ }^{\text {m. }}{ }_{\mathrm{PAA}}$-šar-hi-DI[NGIR.MEŠ?]
$10[\mathrm{~m}] x(x)$-PAP.MEŠ LÚ.[
11 m DINGIR- $d a-l a-a$ L[Ú.
$12 \check{s} a$ m.d MAŠ diNGIR-[a-az ( )]
13 [
Rev. $14 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {DINGIR? }}$.AD.P[A]P? $x$
$\left.15 \mathrm{~m}^{\ulcorner } x \quad x \quad 15\right\urcorner t i^{?}$ [
16 PAP 5 ša Lú.EN.N[AM

18 (traces only)
(remainder of rev. uninscribed)

## Translation

1: Bēl-(l)uballiṭ, the priest(?), his 4 sons, in the charge of the steward; 3: Ubru-harrān,

Halimu, in all 2 [gold?-]smiths, of Dadā; 5: Hattiānu, the brewer, Mannu-kī-adad, (and) his son, in all 3 men of Bihu(?) ; 8: Mutaqqin-ilu, the shepherd? $[(. . .$.$) the] servant?$ of Nabû-šarhi-il[āni] ; . . . -ahhē, the [. . . .]; Ilu-dalā, the [. . . .] of Ninurta-il[āya? . . . .], Bēl-dūrī, Ubru-[. . .], Ilu-abu-uṣur(?) [. . .], . . .-ištar? . . .[. . . .], in all 5 of the governor ; 17 : Adad-ēpuš, [. . . . .].

## Notes

1: The restoration of the man's title as " priest" is doubtful, especially since we should not expect im then to be " under" the steward
4: The space rather favours к̀̀.[babbar], but since silver-smiths do not seem to have existed as such, they are almost certainly gold-smiths.

## No. 115

Plate 49
ND 456 Inst. Arch. London
$3 \cdot 4 \times(3 \cdot 9) \times 2 \cdot 1$
Governor's Palace, Room S.
Obv. (beginning broken)
$1^{\prime}$ PAP 3[
$2^{\prime} \mathrm{m}$ İR?. DINGIR? $x$ [
$3^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{m} t u^{?}-l i^{2}-[$
$4^{\prime} \quad$ PAP 2 Lữ? ša $a^{?}$ [
$\begin{array}{llll}5^{\prime} & \text { m.d. } & x & x\end{array} x^{\urcorner}$
$6^{\prime} \quad$ mšùll- $m u-\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{N}]$
$7^{\prime}$ PAP 2 LÚ $x$
Rev. (space)
$8^{\prime} 6$ Lứ?.ME
$9^{\prime} \quad[\quad] x(x)$ MEŠ

## No. 116

$4 \cdot 8 \times 3 \cdot 0 \times 1 \cdot 8$
Governor's Palace, Room S.
Obv. $1 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{PAP}-l[e]-\ulcorner i}{ }^{\top}$
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{PAP}}-l[L]-\imath \\ 2 & \text { śa uruza-li-qi-e }\end{array}$

4 ša urusu-ni-gi-e

## Translation

Ahu-le'i of Zaliqē?, Kuwāri of Sunigē?

## No. $11 \%$

## Plate 50

$(2 \cdot 4) \times 2.5 \times 1.4$
Governor's Palace, Room S
Obv. 1 mhal-di-E[n
$2 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {man-nu-ki-d }}$
3 mha-an-t $u-[$
4 mDINGIR-ia-
5 PAP $4^{?}{ }_{\llcorner } x_{\perp}$ MEŠ? [
B.E. (broken; perhaps uninscribed)

Rev. 6 m.dnin?-
7 Lú.ì̀R
(remainder broken)
Translation
Haldi-bē[l], Mannu-kī-[. . .], Hanṭu[. . .], Ilu-ia[. . .], in all 4..... 6: Nin[.....], slave [. . . . . . .

ND 470
IM, for study

Notes
10: Also possible : mud.mu[ ].

## Commentary

A list of eight persons, two of whom are given professions, and two of whom are identified only by their fathers' names.

## No. 119

Plate 51
$7.5 \times 9.9 \times 3.2$
Governor's Palace, Room K
Obv. $1 \mathrm{~m}_{s a-[a] b-h a r-r u}$
mid-na-a-ni
$\mathrm{m}_{\text {DINGIR- }}{ }^{-} x^{7}-\mathrm{QI}$
$4 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {nap- }}$ ' $a-d[u]-l u$
${ }^{5}$ m $m u-d a-d a$
$6 \quad \mathbf{m}_{m e-}-i-i-\left\ulcorner s u^{\top}\right.$
7 mha-ra-a-nu
8 PAP 7 lúru-qa-ha-a-a
9 ša ŠU mia-da-a'-DINGIR
10 lúna-si-ku
11 ša lún $a-q i-r a-a-a$
12 m. uru $_{\text {KASKAL- }}-a-a$
13 mha-ra-a-nu
Rev. $14 \mathrm{~m}_{u b-r u-\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{U}] \mathrm{TU} \text { ? }}$
$15 \quad$ a ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} d a-d i-i a-a$
16 m.d UTU.PAP.PAP A mbu-si-
17 PAP $4 \check{s} a$ uru $i t-i^{\prime}-a l^{2}-t a^{2}-r u$
18 PAP-ma 11 lús $s a-r u-t t^{\text {meš }}$
1970 UdU.MEŠ $i g-d a-a z^{2}-l u$ (two lines erased)
20 UdU.M[Eš] $q[a-a] t$ si-bit-ti-šúu
21 ŠU mia-da-a'-DINGIR
22 DUMU mú-ka-tur²-me
23 sab-tu

## Translation

1: Sabharru, Idnāni, Ilu-. ..., Nap’adulu, Mudāda, Me'īsu, Harānu-in all 7 Ruqahaeans, in the charge of Iada'-ilu, the sheikh of the Naqiraeans. 12: Harrānāyu, Harānu, Ubru-šamaš?, son of Dadiyā, Samaš-ahu-ușur, son of Busī-in all 4 men of Si'altāru?.
18: All in all, 11 criminals-they carried off 70 sheep. 20: The sheep were taken in the hands of Iada'-ilu son of Uka . . me, red-handed.

## Notes

3: cf. perhaps Ilu-mu-ki-in (APN 98b)
10: For nasiku see J. A. Brinkman, An.Or. 43, 274-5.
18: For the use of sarru in nA administrative texts, see K. Deller, OrNS 30 (1961), 255-7.
19: The verb here posited, *gazälu, is not attested in Akkadian; however, the general meaning is clear, and my translation can seek support in the west Semitic root $g z l$, well attested in Biblical Hebrew and occurring in Aramaic.
20 : For $q \bar{a} t$-sibitti see most recently $\operatorname{Iraq} 32$ (1970), 133, note to 1. 4, with references. Here also the akadian idiom, though clear, is difficult to render both accurately and grammatically in English.
21 : Trace at end of line probably erased (coll.).

## Commentary

The text lists eleven Aramaeans who had undertaken a raid and carried off seventy sheep. One group of Ruqahaeans, a tribe which is usually associated with the area on the Tigris near Assur (see E. O. Forrer, Provinzeinteilung, p. 12), is said to be "in the charge of " Iada'-ilu who is surprisingly then described as a "sheikh of the Naqiraeans", a tribe which is otherwise unknown; possibly it was a sub-division of the larger group called Ruqahaeans. This Iada'-ilu is probably the same man as that in l. 21, who was actually caught with the stolen sheep, but curiously he is not counted in the total of " 11 criminals". It is interesting that of the four men coming from a village, two have Assyrian names (but fathers with probably Aramaic ones), whereas all (except perhaps the second) of the Ruqahaeans have uncompromisingly Aramaic names.

No. 120
Plate 51
$6 \cdot 7 \times 9 \cdot 9 \times 3 \cdot 2$
ND 213 Inst. Arch. London

Governor's Palace, Room K.

Obv. $1 \quad[\mathrm{~m}]_{\llcorner } x_{\lrcorner}-l i d^{3}-p i-$ SI.SÁ
$2 \mathrm{~m}_{m u-\text { šal-lim-DINGIE }}$
$3 \quad \mathrm{~m}_{a b} b-d i-i$
$4 \mathrm{~m} k a-b i-l u$
5 mha-ma-a-ni
$6 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {DINGIR-i }} a-a-a b$
7 maš-šur-Aš
8 ma-du-ru
$9 \mathrm{~m}_{s i-i}-r a-m u$
$10 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {EN.IGILLÁ- }} \mathrm{ni}$
$11 \mathrm{~m}_{p a-r i-s u}$
$12{ }^{\mathrm{m}} q a$-ri-DINGIR
13 miq-bi-DINGIR
$14 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {tar- }}$ di-t $\left.\tau i\right]-\ulcorner 15$
Rev. 15 mia-ta-'[a] ŠEš-šúu
$16[\mathrm{~m}] s a-l a-m a-n u$
$17 \mathrm{~m}_{e-d} d a-a$

```
\(\mathrm{m}_{s a-p u-n u}\)
\(\mathrm{m} \dot{i}\left[q^{?}-b\right] i^{?}\)-DINGIR
A \({ }^{\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{d}[x . \mathrm{PA}] \text { P? } \text {.SUM }-n a ~}\)
\(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{?}[-x(x x)]-a-n u\)
\(\left.\mathrm{m}_{s i-[l i m}{ }^{?}-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{M}}\right] \mathrm{AS}^{2}\). MA
\(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{a}\) - \([x(x)] x\)-DIR?
\([\mathrm{m} \quad] a\)
\(-b] u\)
    ]x-nu
    \(] d a^{?}\) ŠEŠ-šúu
    ]x-a-nu
    ] \(x\)
    ]erín.m[e]š
\([(x) x]^{\top} x \quad x \quad x \quad x^{\top}-b i-n a-a-a\)
```

1: ...-lēšir?, Mušallim-ilu, Abdī, Kābilu, Hamāni, Ilu-ia'ab, Aššur-iddina, Aduru Si'ramu, Bēl-amurāni?, Parisu, Qari-ilu, Iqbi-ilu, Tardīti-ištar, Iata'a, his brother, Salamānu, Edā, Ṣapūnu, I[qb]i-ilu(?), son of [. . .-ah]u?-iddina, I[d?. . .]ānu, Si[lim-ne]rgal? Ga[. . .]. . . . . . . . . . . his brother,
30 : [In all . . . .]people, [. .]. . . binaeans.
Notes
1 : Copy accurate (coll.); perhaps [m]šat ${ }^{\prime}$-lit-pi-kitti, or similar
19-20: Presumably the man's father is specified to distinguish him from the other Iqbi-ilu mentione in 1. 13; this confirms the restoration here
31: Consultation of the Reverse Index in S. Parpola, AOAT 6, shows that Nür-abinu is the only suitable toponym; this could be accommodated here by restoring $\rceil\ulcorner x$ KUR ZALAG- $a$ - $-b i$-na- $a-a$, but it not likely, since some of the PNs are characteristically Assyrian, and could not come from the south

Plate 90
$4 \cdot 2 \times 6 \cdot 8 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Governor's Palace, Room M.
N.B.-The tablet was collated for me by Professor D. J. Wiseman, and any deviations from the copy re the result of this collation.

Obv. $1 \quad \mathrm{~m}_{a} a-b u-u ́-a[(\quad)]$
$2 k u$-um 2 GUD.MEŠ
3 m $\operatorname{si-na-a}$ lúha-za-nu
4 m $u$-ru ${ }^{?}-k u-n a$
5 uruia-lu-na-a-[a]
$6 \mathrm{~m}_{a-q a-t a}$ : Lú.DUMU-[š] $\bar{u}$ PAP 2
$7 \mathrm{u}[\mathrm{ru}] a-r i-r a-a-a$
$8{ }^{\text {mi }} a$-bu-ta

Obv. $9 \quad{ }^{m i}\ulcorner x\urcorner-s i-a-n a-a-a$
10 misisi-ri-a-na
11 LÚ.DUMU.Mí-sa
12 PAP 4 uruia-lu-na-a-a
B.E. $13{ }^{\text {mía }} a-n i-n i-a$

14 URU.ŠE mba-bu-u
Rev. (space of five lines)
15 PAP 9 LÚ.ZI.MEŠ
16 ša URU.MEŠ

## Translation

1: Abūa, instead of 2 oxen, Sinā, the mayor, Urukuna?, the Ialūnaean, Aqata, his son, in all 2 Ariraeans. 8: Abuta, ...șianāyu, Ṣiriāna, her daughter, in all 4 Ialunaeans. Aniniya, (of) the village of Babū.

15: In all 9 persons of the villages

## Notes

15: Lú.zI is to be taken simply as "soul" (*napištu?), cf. E. Ebeling, SVAT, p. 4, and has no connection with nasābu " deport "; it is used where a total includes different sexes.
16: It is perhaps a little unfair to describe Ialūna as a mere village, but the other places named probably are such

## Commentary

This motley collection of people has no obvious unifying characteristic. Perhaps this was a note of persons asking for audience with the governor, or with some equally coincidental factor in common.
No. 122
Plate 50
ND 241(b)
Inst. Arch. London
$(5 \cdot 2) \times 3 \cdot 7 \times 2 \cdot 0$

## Governor's Palace, Room M(?)

N.B.-The number of $241(\mathrm{~b})$ seems to have been assigned to this tablet after the compilation of the dig catalogue, and its provenance is therefore not necessarily the same as that of No. 100 (ND 241(a)).

Obv. 1 [ $\left.\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{PAP}}{ }^{?}\right]-u-a$-SU
$2\left[{ }^{m} x\right]-l u-[d] i-e$
[ m ] $a \check{s}$-šur-KAR-ir
$\mathrm{m}_{\text {ša-la-ma-ši } i-e ~}^{\text {en }}$
$\mathrm{m}_{n a-g a-h a}$
[m]a-gu-su
B.E. 7
[ $\quad] x-a$
(remainder of B.E. and all of rev. broken ; trace of one sign only on T.E.)

## Translation

[Ah]ūa-erība, [. .]ludie, Aššur-ēṭir, Ša-lamašie, Nagaha, Agūsu, . . . . . . . . .

No. 123
Plate 52

## ND 488

Inst. Arch. London
$5 \cdot 6 \times 7 \cdot 5 \times 2 \cdot 6$
Governor's Palace, Room M.

$2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{EN} \text { ? }}$ - $n i^{? 7} x[\quad] b i$
$3 \mathrm{D}[\mathrm{UM}] \mathrm{U}$ ? mšà? . [
4 m ${ }_{\text {mu-šal-lim- }}[(x) x]$

$\left.6 \quad \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{UD}}{ }^{?}{ }^{\ulcorner } x(x) z a^{\ell\urcorner} \mathrm{m}{ }^{2} x(x) x\right\urcorner$
7 m na-ni-i di? te? DINGIR
8 m.damar.UTU.EN.PAP
$9 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {DINGIR- } i i^{?} \text { - } \text { te? }{ }^{?} \text {-APIN-eš }}$
$10 \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{r}} x \quad x{ }^{7}$ sal m man-nu-a-ki-MAN
11 mina-IM.EN.DU KUR?
12 m.d MAŠ.MAŠ.MU.A.̆
13 la mah-ru-ti
(rev. uninscribed)

## Translation

1-3: (broken). 4: Mušallim-[. . .], Kūmāyu, Ur . . ā, . . . . . . ., Nanī, . . . . ., Marduk bēlu-usur, Ilu-irte?-ēreš, . . . . ., Mannu-akī-šarri, Ina-šār-bēli-allak ..., Nergal-šūmu-iddina-not received

Notes
11: No obvious way of incorporating the sign at the end of the line, KUR or man, into the PN presents itself.
13: mahrüti probably from mahru, not mahrû ; I am tempted to suggest that mahru here means "present", so that $l \bar{a}$ mahrūti means " absent, missing ". Mahru also occurs in 79-7-8, 200, a piece of a historical or possibly administrative text with a list of various professions, where 1.1 reads 3 dumu.meš mah-ru-u-te ...., but the context is not sufficient to determine the meaning.
No. 124
Plate 50
ND 275(j) Inst. Arch. London
$(1 \cdot 5) \times(2 \cdot 0) \times 2 \cdot 0$
[Governor's Palace, Room K.]
(Top left-hand corner with the beginning of 3 PNs ; rev. of fragment uninscribed Possibly part of ND $275(\mathrm{~h})=$ No. 76.)

## No. 125

Plate 52
ND 445
Inst. Arch. London 16. [-].-
$5 \cdot 4 \times 3.5 \times 1 \cdot 8$

Governor's Palace, Room S.
Obv. 11 anše.kur.RA GÙN.MEŠ
22 2
$\begin{array}{llll}2 & 2 & " & \mathrm{SA}_{5} . \mathrm{MES} \\ 3 & 8 & 1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}3 & 8\end{array} \quad$ " $\quad i r$-gi-nu
$\begin{array}{llll}4 & 1 & " & \mathrm{SA}_{5} m e-s i-r a-n u \\ 5 & 1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}5 & 1 & \text { ", } \quad i r-g i-n u ~ m e-s[i-r a-n u(?)]\end{array}$
7 PAP 13 ANŠE.KUR.RA.ME[S]
B.E. 8 sa m.dš[ID].P[AP?].AS̆
[LÚ.GAL] LURU? 」.MEŠ
9 [( )] ${ }^{\text {it }}{ }^{\text {it }} x$ U]D.16.кÁm
Translation
1: 1 roan horse, 2 bay horses, 8 grey? horses, 1 banded? bay horse, 1 banded? grey? horse. 6: In all, 13 horses, of $\operatorname{Mar}[d u k]$-ahu? -iddina, [the] village-[inspector?].
9 : Month of [. . . .], 16th day.
Notes
1: For the reading of GÙN in this context, K. Deller refers me to añ̌e.kUr.ra bar-ru-mu in a nA list of various animals (Ass. Fd. Nr. 10804 obv. 14); for the logogram GÙN.A = barmu see CAD в 112b. The meaning is "spotted" or " multi-coloured"
Roan is defined (Chambers's Twentieth Century Dictionary, 1959) as " bay or dark, with spots of grey or white: of a mixed colour, with a decided shade of red

號
2: $\mathrm{SA}_{5}=s \bar{a} m u$ ? Bay is defined (ibid.) as "reddish brown inclining to chestnut"
3: The colour of irginu is still unknown, see commentary below.
4: meserānu is presumably an adjective from mis $(i) r u$, a " band " or "stripe ".
Commentary
The colours of horses in nA terminology have not been fully studied, and here is not the place to start. However, that terminology is fairly consistent, in that bay ( $\mathrm{SA}_{5}$ ), black $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{6}\right)$, and irginu are much the commonest terms used. Comparison with the coloured wall-paintings from Til-barsip (e.g. A. Parrot, Nineveh and Babylon (London, 1961), pp. 268 ff .) shows that there the horses are most commonly black, red, or blue in colour. It is therefore easy-perhaps too easy-to identify the blue horses with those called irginu, and assume that the blue is used to render grey, which is quite plausible.
No. 126
Plate 52
ND 446
IM 56854
$(5 \cdot 1) \times 3 \cdot 0 \times 2 \cdot 1$
15.[-].-

2 [ $x$ ]ANŠE.KUR SA . MEŠ
3 [ $x$ ]ANŠE.KUR HAR.MES
4 [PAP ]9? ANŠE.KUR ša LÚ. ${ }^{\text {LDAM.GÀR }}{ }^{2}$
B.E. $5\left[\check{s} a^{2}\right.$ m] EN.IGI.LÁ- $[n \imath]$

Rev. 6 [( )] UD.15.к[ÁM]
Translation
$1:[\mathrm{x}]$ black horses, $[\mathrm{x}]$ bay horses, $[\mathrm{x}] \ldots$ horses, [in all $] ?$ horses of the merchant(?) of] Bēl-am(u)r[āni?].
6 : [(Month of . . . .)] 15th day.

## Notes

3: har.meš is probably a typical nA abbreviation for the word elsewhere written out har-ša-a-a ( $A B L$ 466, 9 ; $A D D 1103,2$; and $A D D 988,12:$ har-s $a!$ (copy* RA)-a-a). There is a toponym Haršu associated with Namri, of which this could be the gentilic (see S. Parpola, AOAT 6, 154).
5 : The restoration of a PN seems necessary; it is probably mere coincidence that $A D D 1103,5$, mentions a PN ]-am(u)rāni.

## Commentary

For the colours see No. 125 ; this text may come from the day previous to that text, each being a daily report

No. $12{ }^{n}$
Plate 52
$(4 \cdot 5) \times 3 \cdot 2 \times 2 \cdot 1$
Governor's Palace, Room S

Obv. 1 [ $x$ ANŠE.KUR.(RA.)]MEŠ ANŠE.NUN.NA TU.MEŠ

| [ | ] $\mathrm{x}^{\text {? }}$ ? 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| [ | $]^{\mathrm{m}}$ man-nu-ki-DINGIR |
| [ | ) Lứga]L URU.MEŠ-ni |
| [ | ] $r a-d a-n i$ |
| [ | Lư.E]N?.NAM ša uruar-zu-ȟi-na |
| [ | AN]šE.KUR.MEŠ |
| [ | ]-si-im-ti |
| [ | $] x$ URU.MEŠ |
| [ | š] $a^{?} \mathrm{~m} a \check{s}$-šur-U.PAP |
| [ |  |

## Translation

1: [Horses] and mules which have come in.
2 : . . . .[. . . . .] Mannu-kī-ili, the village-inspector, [. . . . .]radāni, [. . . . . the] governor
of Arzuhīna, [.....] horses, [. . . . ]-simti, [. . . . .] villages [. . . . o]f? Aššur-bēlu-uṣur, [.....]. . $10^{\text {? }}$ of Ninurta-ilàya.

## Notes

2: Possibly four (horses) one (mule), although it is unclear what would then have occupied the beginning of the line; alternatively, we might consider four (mules), with the drs wedge indicating a repetition of the logogram from the line above.
5: With the mention of Arzuhina in the line below, it is possible that this is the river Radānu.
6: This governor of Arzuhina must be the same as Ninurta-ilayya mentioned in I. 11, who was eponym for 802 в.с.
10 : Aššur-bēlu-uṣur is probably the governor of Kalhu who functioned as eponym for 772 b.c., although a man of the same name was governor of Kirruri and eponym for 797 в.c.

## No. 128

Plate 53
$3.9 \times 2.4 \times 1.5$

Governor's Palace, Room S.
Obv. 111 anše.kUR.RA.MEŠ
2 ša LÚ.EN.NAM
3 [š]a uruhal-su
4 [ $\quad$ [ $] a z\left[i^{i}\right]$
5 [ mex[
(broken; perhaps uninscribed)
B.E.

Rev. 6 [ $\quad] x d[a x]$
7 [ ] x $x$ ki rim?
8 [( ) š] $a$ LÚ.EN.NAM
9 [( ) š] $]$ uruta-ma $a^{3}-n u-n\left[i^{i}\right]$
10 [( ) m]šùl-mu-PAP.MEŠ
11 [( )] LỨ.GAL URU.MEŠ-ni-šú-nu
T.E. (crossed lines ; significance unknown)

## Translation

1: 11 horses of the governor of Halṣu, ....... 8: of the governor [of] Tamanūni?, [(. .)]Šulmu-ahhē, their village-inspector.

## Notes

3: Halsu is probably the province on the north-eastern borders of Assyria, see E. O. Forrer, Provinzeinteilung, 113.
9: Tamanūni, if that is the correct form of the name, is unknown. However, since in the next two ines one man is said to be "their village-inspector", Tamanūni may be close to Halṣu, which would allow one official to act for both provinces.

## No. 129

$4 \cdot 6 \times 3 \cdot 2 \times 1.5$

Governor's Palace, Room S
Obv. 1 [()]32 anše.kUR.RA.meŠ
$2 \mathrm{~m}_{a s ̌ \text { š-šur-NUMUN?.PAP }}$
3 цš $a^{?}$, $m a-h a-z a-a-n i$ (rev. damaged, but probably uninscribed)

## Translation

$32^{?}$ horses-Aššur-zēru?-uṣur, the village-inspector(??).

## Notes

3: The fact that mähāzu does occasionally occur spelt syllabically in nA texts (see e.g. L. Waterman, RCAE IV, 80 b ) makes one wonder whether the gal Urv.mes (-ni) should not be read rab or $s a$ mähäzän This would be convenient in that we already have the village-inspector in Nos. 125(?), 127, and 128 Otherwise we must fall back on the infinitive of hasānu, which is unlikely.

## No. 130

Plate 53
ND 452 Inst. Arch. London

ND 447
Inst. Arch. London

Plate 52

Obv. 1 [ ] $x[$ ]
2 「ša LƯ? $x(x)$ GUD.MEŠ
3 13 ANŠE.KUR.RA
4 「3 ANŠE.GÌR`.NUN.NA
5 4 ANŠE.NITÁ.MEŠ
62 GUD.MEŠ PAP 22 :
7 ša ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} i a-\left\llcorner a^{2}{ }_{\lrcorner}-d i\right.$
$8 \overline{10 \text { ANŠE.KUR.MEŠ } \check{s ̌ a} \text { m mar-d }^{\text {d }} 15}$
9 9: ssa ${ }^{\text {m }} \uparrow$-na- $a$-DINGIR
10 [2] ANŠE.KUR.MEŠ 4 ANŠE.NITÁ.MEŠ
11 [PAP ]6: mbal-ti-nu-ri
Rev. (space)
12 PAP 34 ANŠE. KUR.MEŠ
133 ANŠE.GÌR.NUN.NA
$3.2 \times 5.7 \times 2 \cdot 2$

Governor's Palace, Room S.
$\begin{array}{rl}\text { Rev. } & 14 \\ 15 & 8 \text { anše.nitá.meš } \\ 15 & 2 \text { GUd.meš }\end{array}$

## Translation

...... . and oxen.
3: 13 horses, 3 mules, 4 donkeys, 2 oxen, in all 22 : of Ianādi.
8: 10 horses of Mār-ištar, 9 (horses) : of Sina'-ilu.
$10:$ [2] horses, 4 donkeys, [in all] 6 : of Balṭi-nūrī.
12: In all 34 horses, 3 mules, 8 donkeys, 2 oxen.

## Notes



| No. 131 | Plate 53 | ND 278 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $2.9 \times 1.8 \times 1.3$ |  | Inst. Arch. London |

$2.9 \times 1.8 \times 1.3$

Governor's Palace, Room B.

Obv. 11 KAL
$\begin{array}{lll}2 & 1 & 3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}3 & 2 & 2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}4 & 17 & \mathrm{U}_{8} \\ 5 & \text { PAP } 10 \mathrm{NI}[\mathrm{M}]\end{array}$
B.E. $61^{!} \quad \mathrm{MA}[$ [š $]$
$\begin{array}{lll}7 & 1 & 3\end{array}$
822
Rev. $\begin{array}{llll}9 & 15 & \text { Ù }[z]\end{array}$
10 PAP 8 MÁS̆.TUR
11 PAP ÁB? (erased ?)
12 m $\quad$ ri-' $a-a-n u$

Translation
1: 1 full-grown (sheep), 13 -(year-old sheep), 22 -(year-old sheep), 17 ewes, a total of 10 lambs.
6 : $1^{\text {? }}$ he-goat, 13 -(year-old goat), 22 -(year-old goats), 15 she-goats, a total of 8 kids. 11: In all (. . .) ; Ri'ānu.

## Commentary

This laconic text is a brother to No. 233, which uses the same terminology and is similar in script and general appearance.

## No. 132

Plate 54 ; Photo Plate 96c-d, f
$6.7 \times 5 \cdot 5 \times 2.2$ (greatest thickness at centre)
Governor's Palace, Room M.
1 [( )] UDU.U ${ }_{8}$.MEŠ [
2 [()]ša IGI mba-ni-i LÚ.SI[PA
3 [()] ša uru ${ }_{s} a-m e-[$

## Translation

[(...)] flocks [(....)] which are under Banĭ, the shepherd [(...)] of the village of Same[. . .].

Commentary
For both the text, and the docket per se, see the discussion in the Introduction

$$
\text { pp. 20-1) ; for the seal inscription see on No. } 172 .
$$ (pp. 20-1); for the seal inscription see on No. 172.

No. 133
$(4 \cdot 9) \times(4 \cdot 7) \times(2 \cdot 4)$
Governor's Palace, room uncertain.

## Commentary

Like No. 132, a docket recording the sheep (here male sheep) under the charge of shepherds (here two of them); for further discussion of this sort of docket, see Introduction (pp. 20-1).

ND 494
Plate 54

ND unn. IM, for study [ - ]

No. 134
Plate 54
$6 \cdot 3 \times 4 \cdot 8 \times 2 \cdot 4$
Governor's Palace, Room M.
Obv. 160 AMA.MEŠ MUŠEN.MEŠ GAL.MEŠ
210 NITÁ.MEŠ

ND 497 Inst. Arch. London 1.viii. 733

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { [( ) UD]U.NITÁ.MEŠ á[s? }{ }^{2}- \\
& 2 \text { [( ) š]a ina IGI [ } \\
& 3 \text { [( ) ]ša ina IGI I } \\
& \text { (seal impression) } \\
& \text { (remainder broken) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Obv. 3 pap 70 mba-su-su
$4 \mathrm{iti}_{\text {APIN UD.1.KÁM }}$
lim-mu ${ }^{\mathrm{m}_{a s ̌-s ̌ u r-K A L-a n-n i ~}^{c}}$
(rev. broken, but presumably uninscribed)

## Translation

1: 60 mother ducks, 10 drakes, in all, 70, Basusu.
4: Month of Arahsamnu (VIII), 1st day, limmu of Aššur-da'inanni (733 в.c.).

Notes
1: For mušen.gal = duck, see B. Landsberger, Die Welt des Orients 3 (1966), p. 260, and CAD I/J 214 b .
No. 135
Plate 54
$(4.4) \times 2.9 \times 1.6$

Governor's Palace, Room K.

Obv. 1 ina lìb-bi 4 pa-da-ka-ti
m]e ${ }^{?}$ ina lib-bi 10 kar-me
72 lim ina URU. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{IM}^{2}$
$x \operatorname{ljim} 1$ me ina urukap-ri
$)]_{\llcorner } \stackrel{s}{s} a_{\lrcorner}$GAL URU
(rev. damaged but probably uninscribed)

## Translation

1 : [ . . . . homers] in 4 (grain-)bins(?), $[x+] 100$ (homers) in 10 (grain-)heaps, $[x+] 2,000$ (homers) in $\overline{\mathrm{A}}$ l-adad, $[\mathrm{x}+] 1,100$ (homers) in the village of the town commandant?

## Notes

1: padakku: (AHw 807b) : some kind of building or container in which grain could be stored, and which could be closed, because in $A B L 1070$ (the only other occurrence), the writer's complaint is that the padakkus have been opened and grain removed from them.
2: karmu, a grain heap, is of frequent occurrence in nA ; it does have the plural karmāni, as in the title rab karmāni, but it is probably not necessary here to read $\operatorname{KAR} \cdot \mathrm{ME}(\mathbf{s})=k a r m a \bar{a} i$

## Commentary

This is a note of grain stored in various ways and places ; cf. No. 136 and e.g. ND 2791 (Iraq 23 (1961), 54)

## No. 136

Plate 55
ND 416
Inst. Arch. London
$4.3 \times 3.0 \times 1.8$
Governor's Palace, Room S.

$2 z a-r i-u ́ u$
334 Še pa-ru-ga-ni re-hu
46 še pa-ru-ga-ni
5 ša ŠE.PAD. MEŠ BABBAR.MEŠ re-hu
B.E. $6 \quad 5$ GÌR $^{11}$ GUD $\check{s} a_{\perp}$ ŠE.PAD.MEŠ

7 BABBAR.MEŠ re-hu[( )]
 (space)
9 РАР $a n-n i-u$
10 ina šà ú-šal-li

## Translation

1: 9 piles(?) sown (out), 34 piles(?) remaining. 6 piles(?) of white corn remaining $6: 5$ " ox-feet(?)" of white corn remaining, $9 \ldots . .[$ " ox (?)]-feet(?)"
9: All this in the valley.

## Notes

1: parū̆qu: see $A H w 837 \mathrm{~b}$ " ein Saatgetreidebehälter ?", obviously on the basis of this passage However, the lexical parallels and equivalences of the word (e.g. rahīsu, tara(m)mu) suggest that it means rather "a pile (of corn)", and this is not impossible, since the corn could be stored in this way, and taken straight from the pile to be sown.
8: pinigu is an uncertain restoration; the word is connected by R. Borger (Asarhaddon 94, 26 ) with pannigu, and he is followed by $A H w 818 \mathrm{~b}$. This is not, however, necessarily correct, and W . von Soden is probably nearer the truth when he separates off the Esarhaddon reference and translates "eine Art Mehl"; add also an occurrence in the ritual text published in G. Van Driel, The Cult of Aššur (see W. G. Lambert, OrNS 40 (1971), 91, on Col. IX 1).
Note that if the restoration is correct, some kind of measure has to be supplied.
No. 137
Plate 54
$3.7 \times 7 \cdot 1 \times 2 \cdot 8$
Governor's Palace, Room S.
Obv. 1 [1?] me 2 ANŠE 1-bÁN! 7
2 m.dPA. ${ }^{\text {BÀD.PAP」 }}$
31 me 24 anše ${ }^{\text {m.d }}$ [
4 1? m[e] 10 ANŠE mdan-nu[ ]
 (space)

Obv. $6 \quad[\mathrm{P}] \mathrm{AP}(x+) 4^{?}$ me 50 ANše 1 -bán $7 q a$
$7 \quad[(x)]$ SUM $-t u ́ u$
(rev. largely damaged, but probably uninscribed)

## Translation

1 : [1]02 homers 1 sūtu 7 (qa)-Nabû-dūru-uṣur ; 3: 124 homers [PN]; 1?10 homers Dannu[?(. . .)]; 1?14 homers, Sa-aššur-dubbu.
6 : In all, ( $\mathrm{x}+$ ) 450 homers, 1 sūtu, $7 q a(\ldots)$ issue(d).

## Notes

6: For sum-tú cf. ND 2451 (Iraq 23 (1961), 28-30), 23, rev. 3'.

## Commentary

A list of dry quantities, probably grain. Land is excluded because of the mention of qa, which is not used for surface measurement. It may list contributions from high officials, even governors, since Ša-aššur-dubbu was governor of Tušhān and eponym for 707 b.c., while a Nabû-dūru-uṣur was governor of Parnunna, and eponym for 697 b.c.

No. 138
Plate 54
ND 434
$(3 \cdot 2) \times 2.3 \times 1 \cdot 4$
Governor's Palace, Room S
Obv. 1 60 ŠE.GIš.IÀ.MEŠ [
$2 \mathrm{~m}_{a} a b-d a$-U.U [
366 ANŠE ŠE.GIŠ.[IÀ.MEŠ
44 ANŠE 1-bÁN IÀ.[MEŠ?
B.E. 5 Lứ.uŠ ANŠE.ntTÁ.[MEŠ

Rev. (space)
6 PAP 1 me 26 ANŠE Š[E.GIŠ.IÀ.MEŠ]
74 ANŠE 1-BÁN IÀ.M[EŠ?
Translation
1: 60 (homers) of sesame, Abda-adad-milki [( )], 66 homers of ses[ame (and)] 4 homers 1 sūtu of oil [( )], the donkey driver.

6 : In all, 126 homers of [sesame (and)] 4 homers 1 sūtu of oil.

## No. 139

Plate 55
ND 419 IM 56841

3 7? $\qquad$
47 ${ }^{m}$ DÙ.PAP.MEŠ

5 Pap $1 \lim 2$ meziq-pu
6 ina šU ${ }^{\text {1i }}$ m.d ${ }_{\text {UTU.KAL- } i n-a n-n i}$
7 [i]na urukal-ḩa UD.5.KÁm ša itiŠE
Rev. 83 me 50 ! $z i q-p u$ GIŠ.NU.ÚR.MA
94 me $\quad z i q-p u$ GIŠ.PÈŠ
104 me 50 ziq-pu GIš.ŠENNUR
11 Pap 1 lim 2 me ziq-pu

## Translation

1: 7 talents to Hūlāyu? 7 (talents to) Bābilāyu, 7 (talents to) Bān?-ahhē, 7 (talents to) Ēsidāyu.
5: A total of 1,200 saplings in the charge of Šamaš-da'inanni, in Kalhu, 5th day of the month of Addāru (XII).
8: 350 pomegranate saplings, 400 fig saplings, 450 medlar saplings. In all, 1,200 saplings.

Notes
1: The $a-n a$ is written small, and is presumably a later addition; the $n a$ lacks its final vertical.
8: Although there are seven wedges in the 50 sign, the addition shows that 50 was intended.

## Commentary

This tablet seems to record two entirely separate matters. In the second part there is a note of some fruit-tree saplings, but above the ruling four persons are named who receive(?) 7 talents each. Since it is not stated what the substance so measured is, it is impossible to tell whether this might have been connected with the second part.

No. 140
$3.7 \times 2.3 \times 1.5$

Governor's Palace, Room S.

Obv. 1 [1]/2 MA.NA gišeri-nu

| Obv. | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & {[1] / 2 \text { MA.NA }} \\ & \left\ulcorner 1 / 2^{\urcorner}\right. \text {MA.NA } \end{aligned}$ | giserri-nu GIŠ.šUR.MAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | ," | gišdáp-ra-nu |
|  | 4 | ", | GIŠ.ŠESŠ |
| B.E. | 5 | ", | GIŠ.MAN.DU |
| Rev. | 6 | ," | GIš.LI |
|  | 7 |  | GI.DÙG.GA |

7 ,
GI.DÙG.GA

Governor's Palace, Room S.
Obv. 17 GÚ.UN $a-n a$ m$_{\text {KASKAL- }} a-a$

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 7 \\
2 & 7 & \text { GUU.UN } a-n a & \text { HKASKAL- } a-a \\
\text { m.uru[KÁ].DINGIR- } a-a
\end{array}
$$

ND 428
BM 131998

## Translation

$1: \frac{1}{2}$ mina of cedar，$\frac{1}{2}$ mina of cypress，ditto of juniper，ditto of myrrh，ditto of elder， ditto of juniper，ditto of＂sweet reed＂．

## Notes

1，6：For these two trees，daprānu and burās̄u，see $C A D$ d 190a and $C A D$ в 328b；in the earlie volume daprānu is identified as Juniperus drupacea，and burās̄u as Juniperus oxycedrus，but CAD is more cautious．
is more cautious． Assyrian botany．

## Commentary

A list of amounts of essences derived from the trees named．

## No． 141

Plate 55
$2 \cdot 7 \times 4.9 \times 1.9$
Governor＇s Palace，Room S．
Obv． 1 ［i］l－k［u
2 ［ $x$ D］UG．ŠAB［š］$a^{?}$ Geš［TIN］．MEŠ
3 「2？？ANŠE 1 －［bÁN？］NINDA．MEŠ
4 2？ANŠE KAŠ．MEŠ
5 ［5］－вÁN še ki－su－tú ša UD－me
$6 \quad 2^{?}$ MA．NA URUDU $\check{s} a$ IÀ．MEŠ
7 ša gišbu－ṣi－ni
8 PAP an－ni－u ša ana KASK［AL］－šúu
$990 \mathrm{MA} . \mathrm{NA}$ URUDU．MEŠ
10 ［š］$a^{?} 30$ LÚ ku－tal ERín．MAN
11 ［ $x \mathrm{~A}$ ］$]$ NŠE ŠE．PAD．MEŠ
$12 \quad$ 「5｀ANŠE ŠE $k i-{ }^{-} x \quad x_{\perp}\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}()\end{array}\right]\right.$
13 ina MU．AN．NA［ $\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & x\end{array}\right)\right]$
B．E． $14 i-m a-h[\operatorname{ar}(\quad)]$

## Rev．15－19（traces only）

（remainder uninscribed）

## Translation

1：Ilku［of ．．．．］：［x］bowl（s）of wine， $2^{?}$ homers 1 sūtu of bread， $2^{?}$ homers of beer， 5 sütu of fodder－per day．6： 2 minas of copper for oil for the lamp（s）．All this for his expedition．
9： 90 minas of copper for 30 reserves of the＂king＇s men＂，［ x ho］mers of corn， 5 homers of ．．．－corn，he shall receive in the year $[(\ldots)$.$] ．．．．．．．．．．．$

## Notes

1：The restoration of ilku，which fits the traces，is based on a comparison with ND 3467 （for which see commentary below）．
7：${ }^{115} b u-s i$－ni ：although this should strictly mean a plant of some kind（CAD：mullein）or the wick made from it，I think there can be no doubt here that this is an abbreviation for bēt businni，a lamp The bēt is also omitted in $A D D 964$ rev． 15.
8：That this is approximately the correct rendering of the line is shown by a comparison with ND 3467，23－4：PAP an－nu－u ša ina KASKAL－šúu．Strictly it may be that ša ana huūli－šu should be read as a single unit，meaning roughly＂expedition－materials＂，since otherwise the use of both ša and ana 10．Fems ša
10：For ša kutalli＂a reserve＂（not＂substitute＂），and for säb šarri（soldier），I refer to my study f nA taxation and conscription．

## Commentary

That portion of the tablet which is preserved lists two groups of contributions：in ll．1－8 there are items＂for his expedition＂，mostly qưoted per day，but in the case of the oil，a once－for－all amount．In ll． $9-14$ ，the man is said to receive 90 minas of copper and two amounts of corn per annum．
The background to this is too complex to be elucidated in full here，and it will be dealt with in my study of nA taxation and conscription．It will be shown there that this is probably a list of ilku contributions to be paid to a rab kissi or similar officer，for the maintenance of his regular corps and his reserves during the course of a year．Similar texts are ND 3467 （Iraq 15 （1953），Pl．XIII）and ADD 1095.
No． 142
Plate 56
ND 271

IM，for study
$7 \cdot 2 \times(4 \cdot 9) \times(2 \cdot 6)$
Governor＇s Palace，Room K．

Obv．（entirely lost）
B．E． $1^{\prime} \quad \frac{5}{6}$ MA．NA $a^{「} x^{\top}\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & x\end{array}\right)\right]$
Rev． $2^{\prime} \quad$ pap 8 ma．nA 3 －su $x$［
$3^{\prime}$ ša 14 ú－di－e
4＇$\quad 1[(+x)$ M $]$ A．NA 5 －su AN．NA－šúu－nu
$5^{\prime} \quad[(x) x \mathrm{MA}] . \mathrm{NA}$ ša AN．NA $a-n a 4$－šú
$6^{\prime} \quad[(x) x(x+)] 1$ MA．NA $3-s u$ URUDU
$7^{\prime}$［ $\qquad$ （remainder of rev．broken）

Translation
$1^{\prime}: \frac{5}{8}$ mina ．．．．In all $8 \frac{1}{3}$ minas［．．．．．］，of 14 vessels，their tin being $1^{? \frac{1}{5}}$ minas； ［x min］as？of tin 4 times，$[\ldots \ldots] 1(+x) \frac{1}{3}$ minas of copper，［．．．．．］their ．．．［．．．．．．．．．

## Notes

$1^{\prime}$ : A possible restoration is a.barag (lead)
$7^{\prime}$ : Also. N$]_{\mathrm{A}}$ could be restored after the break; if UD is right, however, then a word ending in $-t$ is probably required, e.g. šaqultu (unless K $\grave{\text { ù }}]$.BABBAR).

## Commentary

The breaks in the text make it impossible to restore the sense of the original, which is particularly aggravating, since the juxtaposition of copper and tin suggests that the scribe was noting the relative quantities of these metals in the fourteen vessels he mentions.

## No. 143

Plate 56
$(5 \cdot 7) \times 3 \cdot 3 \times(4 \cdot 5)$

Governor's Palace, Room M
1 KAK.ME

## Translation

Nails (or : arrows)
Notes
The docket shows string impressions, and probably came from the neck of a large jar in which the nails or arrows were stored

## No. 144

Plate 57
$3.7 \times 2.6 \times 1.5$
IM 56818
30.[-]. 711

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 1 3-su guškin
$2 \frac{5}{6}$ MA.NA ša 1 GÍN LÁ
$3 \mathrm{~m}_{\llcorner } b a_{\lrcorner}-s i-i d-q i-i$
$4 a-n a{ }_{\llcorner } k i_{\lrcorner}-i r-k i$
Rev. 5 iti $[x]$ UD. 30 .KÁM
6 lim- $m[u \mathrm{~m}] \cdot \mathrm{d}_{\text {MAš.DU.IG }}$
Translation
$1: \frac{1}{3}$ (mina) of gold, $\frac{5}{6}$ minas minus 1 shekel-Basidqī, for rolls.
5: Month of [. . . .], 30th day, limmu of Ninurta-ālik-pāni (711 в.c.).

## Notes

1-2: It is hard to see why these two amounts are not totalled (presumably to 1 mina $\frac{1}{6}$ minus 1 shekel) They may be from separate sources as in No. 148.

4: kirku is also found in No. 145; elsewhere it is used to describe rolls of papyrus or cloth (see AHw 468a), and possibly gold-leaf, which is almost unmanageable, was rolled to make it easier to control.

## Commentary

This small " cigar-shaped " tablet, together with at least the next five, comes from the transactions of a certain Baṣidqī, who may well have been a goldsmith, but was certainly in charge of the operations of the goldsmiths. The texts probably all record the transfer of gold from one division of the administration to another

## No. 145

$3.9 \times 2.2 \times 1.8$

## Plate 57

ND 221
Inst. Arch. London 17?.iii. 710

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 12 GÍN Guškin
2 тА* Šì gi-za-a-ti
3 a-na ki-ir-ki
B.E. 4 ša tam-lit

Rev. 5 ša ur-ki ša-ku²-ri
$6 \quad\left[{ }^{1 t}\right]^{1} \mathrm{SIG}_{4}$ [UD]. $17^{?}$.[KA]M
7 「lim-mu m.d dTU.U.PAP

## Translation

1: 2 shekels of gold, out of the clippings, for rolls for the inlay of the back of the ....
6 : Month of Sīmānu (III), 17th [day], limmu of Šamaš-bēlu-uṣur (710 в.c.).

## Notes

2: gizzäti : also in No. 148, 2, and, also clearly meaning gold "clippings" or scraps, in ABL 1458 ev. 5 and $A D D 676$ rev. 11 (both singular, gizzutu).
5. For the on No. 144, 4

解 unclear, perhaps $r i$ or $t i$; if $\check{s} a-k u-r i$ is correct, this is nA for $\check{s} u k u r r u$, and must refer to a spear emblem.

## No. 146

## No copy

$2 \cdot 6 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 12 Gín $a$-na tam-lit
2 mba-si-id-qi-i
3 itipIRIG.ŠE
B.E. 4 lim-mu

Rev. 5 m.d UTU.U.PAP
N.B.-This tablet was assigned to the Expedition, but I have as yet been unable to trace it; fortunately, however, Professor D. J. Wiseman's rough copy shows all that is needed, and has been used to provide the transliteration given above.

## Translation

1: 2 shekels for inlay-Baṣidqī
3 : Month of intercalary Addāru (XII+I), limmu of Šamaš-bēlu-uṣur (710 b.c.).
No. 147
Plate 57
ND 252(n)
$3.0 \times 2.0 \times 1.3$
M, for study

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. (broken, except for final wedge of last line)
Rev. 1' ${ }^{\prime}$ iti ${ }^{\text {barag? }}$ ? ${ }^{\prime}$ Ud.11.kam
$2^{\prime}$ lim-mu m.d

## Commentary

Both from its characteristic cigar shape, and from its date, this clearly belongs with the other gold dockets.

## No. 148

Plate 57
ND 252(i) IM, for study [ - ]
$3 \cdot 9 \times(2 \cdot 2) \times(1 \cdot 4)$
Governor's Palace, Room K.


34 Gín.meš TA* IGI maš-šur-「Dù?
4 PAP 6-su KÙ.GI
Rev. (entirely broken)
Translation
1: [ 6 shekels] of gold, from out of the clippings, 4 shekels from Ǎšur-bā[ni(?)]. In all $\frac{1}{6}$ (mina) of gold.

## Notes

1: The restoration of 6 shekels is based on the assumption that the one-sixth mina of 1.4 is equivalent 1: The restoration of 6 shekels is based on the assumption that the one-sixth mina of 1.4 is equivalent
to 10 shekels. If the mina in use were of 30 shekels only, we should have to restore here 1 shekel instead,
but as yet I know of only the Tell Halaf passage (quoted above, note to No. 94, 17) which would lead us to posit the existence of a short mina.
. For gizzäti see on No. 145, 2 . of that name, eponym for 713 в.c.

## No. 149

Plate 57
$3 \cdot 7 \times 2 \cdot 3 \times 1 \cdot 5$
Governor's Palace, Room K.
Obv. 1 4-tú gUškin TA* É ${ }^{「}(x) x^{\top}$-si ${ }^{2}$
2 a-na tam-lit ša pu-u-te
3 ša qi-im-me
4 ša né-me-di
Rev. $510^{?} \frac{1}{2}$ Gín ta* šà $q i$-za-ti
6 a-na tam-lit
7 PAP $\frac{1}{2}$ MA.NA 1? $\frac{1}{2}$ GÍN LÁ
$8 \mathrm{~m} b i-s i-i d-q i-i$

## Translation

1: $\frac{1}{4}$ (mina) of gold from the house of . . . ., for the inlay of the front of the . . . of the throne? $; 10^{?} \frac{1}{2}$ shekels from out of the clippings for inlay.
7 : In all, $\frac{1}{2}$ mina minus $1 \frac{1}{2}$ shekels-Biṣidqī.

## Notes

2: pütu, "front": cf. urku"back" in No. 145, 5 , occurrence would be futile, though one may suspect a relationship with qimmatu.
4: nēmedu (Ass. nēmudu) can be a throne or altar-base.
6: There are erasures at the end of this line.
7: This total does not agree with that expected, since a quarter mina should be 15 , added to $10 \frac{1}{2}$ making $25 \frac{1}{2}$ shekels. The only way of juggling the figures to fit seems to be to read in $1.74 \frac{1}{2}$ gín LÁ, which is just possible (collated)

## No. 150

$3 \cdot 6 \times 2 \cdot 0 \times 1.5$
Governor's Palace, Room K.

Obv. 11 me kam-ma-t $[e]$
$230 i a-r i^{3}-x^{\wedge}$
35 sa-'u-ra-te

B．E． 4 dal－lul－te
Rev． 5 anše．kur．［R］A

## Notes

1：The word whose plural form is kammäte is given under $A H w 433 \mathrm{a}, \operatorname{kammu}(m) \mathrm{I}$ ，and translated Schmuckplättchen＂．However，in ND 11，305（unpub．，courtesy P Hulin），the first line reads ku $a$－ri－tú sa kam－me－te，which suggests that the nA singular form may rather be kammutu．
2：The shape of the sign after $i a$ favours $r i$ ，but I cannot detect the extra vertical required．One naturally thinks of the word（a）iaru（ $C A D$ a Pt．1，229），but I cannot restore the final sign satisfactorily 3：This word is found elsewhere in a list of booty taken by Assur－nāsir－apli（sa－＇u－ru（var．－ri） Guškin ša tam－li－te，AKA 365），and in texts from Nineveh dealing with gold（ADDIV 317b），but as far as I know its exact meaning has not been established．
4：dallulūtu：here I think we must have the abstract noun＂trappings＂

## Commentary

The note lists three types of decoration for horses，presumably of gold，as it seems more than likely that it belongs with No． 151 in particular，and Nos．144－9 as well．
No． 151
Plate 57
ND 225
$3.5 \times 1.7 \times 1.2$

Governor＇s Palace，Room K
Obv． 137 ka－ma－te！
B．E． 3 ša SAG．DU ANŠE．＿KUR」 （rev．uninscribed）

Translation
37 discs（？）of gold，for the head of a horse．
Notes
1：For kammu or kammutu see note on No．150， 1.
No． 152
Plate 58
ND 245
Inst．Arch．London
$4.8 \times 8.1 \times 2.3$

Governor＇s Palace，Room K
Obv． 16 ［T］ÚG．GÚ．LÁ．M［EŠ］
25 tús $_{\text {ma－ak－lil．}}$
3 qàl－pu－te
$4 \quad\left\llcorner^{6}\right.$ qu $l^{?}-p a^{?}-n i^{2}$ KAD？$^{?}$ （space）

51 túg dáp－pa－as－tú LÁ－e
61 túg $m a-a k-l u l$ LÁ－e
72 qiri－me LÁ－e
8 ［1］qiri－mu GIBIL LÁ－e
B．E． $9 \quad 2^{?} k\left[i^{2}-\right]^{\top} x^{\top}-p a-a-t e$ LÁ－e
10 「2？${ }^{2}$ qiri－me LÁ－e KAD
Rev． 11 túgma－［ak－lul（？）］L［Á］－e
12 ［qذ $] l^{2}-p u$
（remainder of rev．（uninscribed）shows textile impressions）

## Translation

1： 6 wraps（？）， 5 worn？shawls（？）， 6 linen？．．s
$5: 1$ rug，missing； 1 shawl（？），missing； $2 \ldots . . \mathrm{s}$ ，missing； 1 new
，missing；
$2 \ldots . \mathrm{s}$ ，missing ； $2^{?}$ linen ．．．s，missing；（1）sh［awl（？）］，missing，［wor］n？

## Notes

1：тúq．Gú．LÁ is not listed in the usual sources，but is found in PVA $236=h u-l a-n u$ ；it is referred to by A．Deimel，$S L$ 106，34，but I cannot find his other references to it．The logogram，which is not listed，except for the $P V A$ passage，under hullanu by the dictionaries，is also found in $A D D 957,6$ （ 2 cú．Lú su－pu）；the word itself is quite well attested
2：maklelē is the plural of maklulu already in mA （see $A H w 590 \mathrm{a}$ ）；the form of the LiL is slightly， but not very，abnormal．
3 ：qalpu，literally＂peeled＂is well known as applied to textiles（AHw 895a），although not as yet in nA．My translation＂worn＂is an attempt to allow for the apparent contrast with esšu＂new＂in No．155，col．v，16－17．This contrast between GIBLL and qalpu is also found in VAT 8659 （K．Deller）． 5：The ming of LA－e missing in this adiective，sine it may a a plual；hence I would sugest the word mut $\overline{\bar{u}} \bar{u}\left(A H_{w} 691 \mathrm{~b}\right)$ as a candidate so that the $\bar{i} \dot{e}$ in each case would stand in apposition to the object missing．I believe that $m u t \bar{a} \bar{u} \bar{u}$ is spelt logographically already in middle Assyrian times．Lí weš in $K A J 112$ ，1．In nA S．Parpola refers me to the writinge $m u$－te－e in $L A S 35,11$ and 58，19，r． 4.

No． 153
$2 \cdot 2 \times 4 \cdot 0 \times 1 \cdot 7$
Plate 58
ND 277
IM 56839

Governor＇s Palace，Room C．
Obv．（one line erased）
$1{ }^{2}{ }^{4} 40{ }^{?}[+x] \quad x \quad \mathrm{SA}_{5} \mathrm{KUR}$
232 ša Iš su－「ni
35 ，，NU $s u-n i$
$4 \begin{array}{lll}4 & 3 & \text { IB．MEŠ GÙN }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}5 & 45 & \text { an．ta．［（ ）］}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}6 & 12 & \text { IB } \mathrm{SA}_{5}\end{array}$
B．E． $711 \quad[\mathrm{~K}] \mathrm{r}$ hal
8 ［ ］

Rev. $92[$ GÙn?
$103[\quad \check{s}] a d u k^{2}-d i$
11 3[ $] x$
12 1 [
]
$132 \mathrm{am}^{?}[(x)] x$
14 ina IGI $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{PA}} \cdot{ }^{\ulcorner } \cdot{ }^{?} \cdot{ }^{?} \cdot \mathrm{PAP}$

## Translation

1: $40[+\mathrm{x}]$ red .......s; $32 \ldots .$. s, with breast-piece; $5 \ldots .$. s, without breastpiece; 3 multi-coloured sashes(?); 45 " upper " garments; 12 red sashes(?); 11 " lower " garments, rolled :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hes(?) ; 45 "upper" garments ; } \\
& \text {. } 2 \text { multi-coloured .....s }
\end{aligned}
$$

14 : - at the disposal of Nabû-aplu? ${ }^{?}$-usur.

## Notes

 of telling whether Is is too.
4: (TƯG).IB( = DARÁ) is known from PVA 264 to be a writing for nēbettu ( $A H w 774 \mathrm{a}$ ); the logographic writing is also found in $A f O 17$ (1954-6), p. 8, 1. 18 ( 60 тúc.IB.MEŠ ša bi-ir-mu), among a list of gifts (nämurāti) sent to the king of Elam. This suggests that the GÙv here might well be read ša birme, a phrase which is used with other textiles in nA (see PVA 222, 266). тúc.IB is presumably not to be confused with túg.íb.LÁ ( $=$ nēbehu), but confusion is introduced by the occurrence of a túa.Ib.LÁ in $A D D 953 . \mathrm{ii} 16$ (coll.).
5: (TỨG).AN.TA.(MEŠ) are quite well known (see $C A D$ e 114a (s.v. el̂̂ B), and add $A D D 683,4$ );
 to the king of Elam (AfO 17 (1954-6), p. 8, 1. 16).
 a frequently occurring item. For the singular, see тúc.ki.ta hal-lu-up-túu (ADD 1095, 12) and 1 тúa.ki hal-up-tu (ADD 956,7 , for the plural, see 2 тטя.K.TA.Mes hal-lu-pat (ADD 1040, 4) and various stages $973 . \mathrm{iii} .3,5$ (singular or plural ?) ; ND 2687 (Iraq 23 (1961), PI. XXIII), 9 (TǴG.KI.TA hal- $x-x$ ). Clearly
 ADD 758, 8 (coll.), cf. 3) and white (Iraq 32 (1970), 154, No. 20, 1); cf. also 4 тúd.Ki.TA.MEŠ, VAT 8657 (quoted by K. Deller, W ZKM 57 (1961) 37) quoted by K. Delle, 2 KM 57 (1961), 37 )
No. 154
Plate 56
ND $461+u n n$. Inst. Arch. London
$5 \cdot 1 \times(4 \cdot 0) \times 2 \cdot 5$
Governor's Palace, Room S.
N.B.-ND 461 has been joined to an unnumbered fragment in the Iraq Museum (IM, for study); the join has been confirmed with a cast of the Iraq Museum fragment, and the dimensions given above apply to the resulting piece.

Obv. 112 MA.NA [( )] KÙ̀.babbar
260 anše $[(x x)] x$ an gi

350 anše [ ].meš
4 PAP 1 me 10 ANŠ̌E $1-\mathrm{BÁ}\left[\mathrm{~N}^{?}\right]-a-a[(\quad)]$
5 ina ŠÀ kás-pi $x\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & (x)\end{array} x\right.$
6 [ $] 4^{?}{ }^{?}, \mathrm{AN}[\mathrm{ŠE}$
(remainder of obv. and upper part of rev. broken)
Rev. $1^{\prime}[] 52$ ? 「TúG??.[
$2^{\prime}[x]$ Túa $g u-l[i]-\ulcorner n a-a-t e$
$3^{\prime}[x]$ TÚG dá $p-p a-[s] a-a-t e$
$4^{\prime}[x]$ TÚG bur? $-\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & x] \text { HुE.MED }\end{array}\right.$
$5^{\prime} \quad x_{\perp}[\mathrm{T} ̛ ́] G q a-[()]-r a-n i$
T.E. $\left.6^{\prime}{ }^{[\mathrm{P}}\right]_{\mathrm{AP}} a n-n i-u$
$7^{\prime} a-n u-u t$ É
$8^{\prime}$ ša urukal-ha
Translation
1: 12 minas [( )] of silver, 60 homers of . . . . . 50 homers of [. . . .] in all 110 homers,
1 sūtu each(?), in exchange for money [........].
Rev. $1^{\prime}$ : [ ] 52 [....]-cloths, [x] cloaks(?), [x] rugs, [x] ....cloths, of red wool, x ....-cloths-all this (is) the domestic equipment, of Kalhu.

## Notes

2: The sign before $a n-g i$ is either $a\rceil r$ or $r i$; neither seems very helpful, and the obvious restoration kurangu is excluded.
Rev. $4^{\prime}$ : For нé.med ( $^{\text {5 }}$ tabribu) see note on No. 1,6 .
$5^{\prime}$ : The only textile which might fit seems to be qarräru (AHw 905b), but there does not appear to be space for the extra sign; however, the space available may be deceptive, since no actual join has been made.

## Commentary

This is obviously an inventory of some kind, but the long break prevents a more accurate classification. On the obverse there are details of silver and land, and on the reverse the items listed are textiles, qualified as the equipment of a house in Kalhu, which clearly does not apply also to the items on the obverse.
No. 155
Plates 59-60; Photo Plate 91a
ND $459+450$
BM 132012 (ND 459)
$14 \cdot 2 \times(9 \cdot 3) \times 3 \cdot 2($ ND 459)
IM, for study (ND 450)
$(3 \cdot 4) \times(3 \cdot 8) \times 2 \cdot 3($ ND 450$)$

## Governor's Palace, Room S.

N.B.-The join has been checked and the copies united by means of a cast of ND 450 made for me by the Iraq Museum.

## Obverse

Col. I


## Obverse

Col．I
[Gis kap-pu?]

Col．II


Col．III

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [( )] LÚ.ìR.É.GAL } \\
& {[(x) x) x^{\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{P}] \mathrm{A}^{?} \text { ? }{ }^{\text {s. }} \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{il}} \text {.DIB-bat }}} \\
& \text { ] } \times \text { kap-ru } \\
& \text { ] } x \times n a^{2} \text { - } b a \\
& \left.\begin{array}{llll}
x & x & x & x
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { ]-mal?-ka LỨ.TÚG.S̆E [R] } \\
\text {.B]ÀD? }{ }^{?} \text {.EN- } i a \text { LÚ.ASGAB }
\end{array} \\
& \text { ] } \mathrm{m}_{m e^{2}-n i-i} \\
& ] x \text { 「DUMU UD?.MEŠ̌ } 6 \text { MÍ.MEŠ } \\
& {[(x) x] \text { LÚ.ZI.MEŠ }} \\
& \text { [ } \quad 5-\mathrm{B}] \operatorname{ÁN}(?) \text { ěa GIŠ̌.ŠE har-ṣu-te } \\
& ] 2^{?} \text { ša „, } 1 \text { ša KASKAL? }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ]_{L} x_{\lrcorner} \text {šá }[(x)] \\
& \text { (remainder of column (? 3-4 lines) broken) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$52 a-g a-\left\ulcorner n a^{\urcorner}-t e\right.$ URUDU
ša maš－qi－te
$2 d a-a$－le URUDU
83 na－ha－bi－＿$e_{\lrcorner}$URUDU
$91 m u-s a-l[i-i] h^{2}-[t] u^{2}$ URUDU
103 áš－he－l［e $(x)]$ URUDU
111 qa－ab－hu $[(x)]$ URUDU
$121 m u-s a^{2}-h i-n u$［U］RUDU
131 za．hum［U］RU［DU］
143 šá A．MEŠ ŠU ${ }^{1 i}$［URU］DU
$152 q a-\left\ulcorner b u^{\urcorner}-a-t e\right.$ URUDU
161 ，，ša é ra－ma－［k］
17 1 É bu－şi－ni URUDU
$181 d u-u-d u$ URUDU
195 NAGAR．MEŠ［U］RUDU
$201^{1 ?} m u_{\lrcorner}-l i-\left\ulcorner x^{\urcorner}\right.$URU［DU］
21 ［ $\qquad$
（remainder of column（？ 2 lines）broken）
Col．IV 1 ［ $\quad$ qa $a^{?}-t u ́ a$ A［N．BAR $]$
2 ［ ］．MEŠ AN．B［AR］
$4 k[a] l-l a-p e$ an．b［AR］
410 ！ha－［d］$a^{?}-n i \operatorname{AD[N.BAR(?)]}$
$53 m a-s\left[a^{2}-n\right] i^{?} \mathrm{~A}[\operatorname{N} \cdot \operatorname{BAR}(?)]$
$6 \quad$ ša Lú．S［TMUG（？？）］
73 KAK an．ba［R（ ）］
$8 \quad 3$ ši－$a^{?}-r\left[e^{?}(x)\right.$ AN．BAR $]$
93 up sa ša $x$［
$101 k a-\left\llcorner s i^{3}{ }_{\lrcorner}-m u[\right.$
11 1？$] x-n u$［AN．BA］R？
$123[(x)] x z i[\mathrm{AN} . \mathrm{B}] \mathrm{AR}$

$143^{「} m u^{\top}$－tir－re an．bar
155 qa－az－pe an．bar
161 me 3 gab．gur an．bar
17 6－šú a－ru－ut－he AN．BAR
18 5？in－gal－a－te AN．BAR
19 2－te sib－ta－「te ${ }^{\top}$ AN．BAR．KAL．ME
20 3－šú ，，QÀL．M［E］Š̆
21 ［ ］ ［
（remainder of column（？ 1 line）broken）

## Revers

Col．V（upper part broken）
$1^{\prime}$［
$2^{\prime}$［
］－te？
x x te

Reverse
Col. V


Col. VI (traces only ; insufficient survives even to determine the type of object listed)

Col. VII (3-5 lines broken)
$1^{\prime}{ }^{\text {「2 }}$ GIŠ[?
$2^{\prime} 2$ [G]ıš[?
$3^{\prime} 3$ GIš $x$ [
$4^{\prime} 24^{?} x$ [
$\check{s}[a$
$2 \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{A}] \mathrm{G}^{?}$. MEš $[$
$x \times[$
$1[(x) x] s ̌ a$ GIŠ MAN? $x[(\quad)]$
$] 3 \mathrm{ki}$-sir ${ }^{\text {meš }}$
r2?า GIš É $k[i-$
ša $[x]$ ERÍN $[$
$3^{\prime}[(x)]$ šu$^{?} n\left[a^{?}\right.$
$14^{\prime}-16^{\prime}$ (broken)
17' [ ].MEŠ
Col. VIII $\quad 1^{\prime}[\quad$ GIŠ.ŠAR(?) $]$ Ú.SAR
$2^{\prime}[\quad$ GIŠ.ŠAR(?) $\quad$ ur $]$ úkal-hi
$\begin{array}{lc}3^{\prime} & {\left[\begin{array}{c}\text { [ } \\ 4^{\prime} \\ {[ }\end{array}\right] \text { UUD BE A KU? }}\end{array}$
$5^{\prime}{ }^{\text {r }} 4$ MÍ.MEŠ7 ${ }^{\prime} 1$ DUMU」 1 DUMU.MÍ

$7^{\prime}$ GIš.ŠAR ša gišstil-lit
$8^{\prime} \quad$ ina $\operatorname{URU}(?)$ É? $x x x$
(space)
$9^{\prime}$ (undeciphered)

## Translation

(Since so much of the text consists of untranslateable items, those lines which can be translated are included in the notes below.)

## Notes

i.2: Probably the end of a PN.

3-4: Nabû (or less likely : Ninurta-)nūr-ka-lāmur, palace slave,
5: Nabû-qātā-sabat.
6: It is unclear whether ]kapru belongs to Nabû-qātā-şabat's title, or is part of another PN.
9: PN : ]ahūni(?).
10: PN: ]-rēmāni, the herald.
11: PN -malka?, the tailor(?) ; instead of mal also epigraphically possible is $u s$.
12: 15 :
14-10 6 . [x] weaned boys, 6 women, [in all, x] persons. DUMU.UD ( $=$ pir $)$ is a common abbreviation for pirsu weaned chil ${ }^{\circ}$.

16: 1 can only interpret this as GIŠ.šE $=$ ŠE.GIŠ.IÀ and harsūte $=$ halsūte.
17: Kaskal possibly rather bi ( $=$ kaš, beer).
ii.6: " (with) lion's feet "-clearly the object listed in ii. 5 is a piece of furniture with legs.
 the translation.

8: Presumably an oil container of some kind.
9: " 4 large chairs ", if giš.gu is an abbreviation for giš.Gu.za.
11-12: "4 shields, (which are) behind the fortified(?) house "; dannutu (*dannatu) is used in neo-Assyrian times for a fortress, but as far as I know, the compound bét danniti is not known.
13-15: 12 large eating bowls, 14 small ditto ".
see recently K. Deller, WZKM 57 (1961), 34
bowls ".
iii.4 : suppu: see K. Deller, OrNS 33 (1964), 95 ; probably "polished" or " smoothed "

5-6: " 2 copper drinking basins ".
7: " 2 copper buckets".
8: " 3 copper pails".
9: " 1 copper sprinkling vessel ",
10: " 3 copper boxes(?)"; the word ašhulu (*ašhalu) has a long history, but is also found in PVA 447 (of bronze). The possibility of reading ma-he-le (from mahhalu ( $A H w 582 \mathrm{~b}$ ) was considered but rejected. 11: $q a b / p h u$ : this reference is quoted $A H w 886 \mathrm{~b}$. The word is almost certainly found also in PVA 45 ( $x$-ap-hu), where the initial sign can very well be a $[q] a$.

13: 7 HMM : ff PVA
13: ZA.HUM : cf. PVA 451: [z]A.HUM ,, (= UD.кA.BAR) $=\check{s} \dot{s}-a-h u$; see also for $n A$ B. Parker traq 23 (1961), 34 (also Alalakh references), and for the earlier periods A. Deimel, $\breve{S} L 586.122$ and H. Limet, Mêtal, p. 233, No. 153. The reading ZA.HUN is indicated by the entry dug.za.hu.um (MSL VII, 200.41), and it is possible that the word is an Akkadian loan into Sumerian, and the same word that became šá- $-\frac{h}{-h} u$ in nA.

14: " 3 water-vessels (with) copper handles ".
15-16: " 2 copper beakers, 1 ditto for the bathroom"
17-18: " 1 copper lamp, 1 copper kettle".
19: " 5 copper carpenters"; there does not appear to be any suitable meaning or reading of nagar.
20: A possible, though not probable, restoration is 1 mu-li-lum URUDU ; cf. for mullilu( $m$ ) " cleaner " AHw 670 b (mainly cultic).
iv.3: kalappu is not usually spelt with a double $l$, but the meaning " pick(-axe) " is suitable.

4: 10! is written over an erased 9 ; for the object cf. perhaps hadānu ("ein Gerät", $A H w 307 \mathrm{a}$ ). 5-6: The object, if correctly restored, is unknown; the reading of s[IMUG] " smith " is of course a mere guess.

7: " 3 iron nails/arrows ",
14: " 3 iron fire-rakes(?) " (so $A H w$ 688a " eine Schürstange ")
15: qas/zpu: $A H w 906 a$ quotes only this instance
16: The identity of gab.gur is unknown to me; it is less likely but possible that we should read mu.gur.
17: A third instance of the word aruthu; the writing 6 -šu is probably intended to convey a multiplica tive ( W . von Soden, $G A G \S 71 \mathrm{a}$ ), and indicates that aruthe is used in the plural, which explains why the final sign is still - $h i$ when only one is mentioned (ef. $C A D$ A Pt. 2, 324a). We should therefore render " 6 sets/pairs of aruthe" " (cf. note on iv.19-20).
18: " 5 iron tablets" ( $(=$ ingots $)$.
19-20: " 2 sets of large iron chains(?), 3 sets of small ditto "; sibtäti is probably the nA for the known BB sibtettu (CAD ṣ 158a). This may support the interpretation of sib/ptu (written MÁŠ) as a "chain" and not a "setting" (see Iraq 32 (1970), 157 ad 1. 7).
v.10'-11': " 1 (or 4) 'upper garments', with arms [red(?)]"; for túq.an.ta see note on No. 153, 5 $\mathrm{zAG}=a h u$ " arm or sleeve of a garment ", and is most often followed by DIR ("red ", so $\mathrm{SA}_{5}$ ) which i often misunderstood as KAL (e.g. by me, Iraq 32 (1970), 154); see references CAD A Pt. 1, 209a Occasionally, however, it is qualified by GI $_{6}$ (" black "), e.g. $A D D 682,3 ; 684,1-2$.
$12^{\prime}-13^{\prime}$ : " 5 linen cloths, 5 linen $\ldots \ldots$ s"; šaddinu is of course well known, but its exact meaning is not yet established.
15 "
$15^{\prime}-16^{\prime}$ : " $[\mathrm{x}]$ new $[\ldots .] \mathrm{s},$.10 worn(?) ditto "; this seems to give the contrasting pair " new " and worn" (qalpu), cf. note on No. 152, 3.
vii : (wooden items; none clearly identifiable).
viii. $1^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ : ". . . . a vegetable-[garden(?) in (?)] Kalhu ".
$3^{\prime}-4^{\prime}$ : Traces perhaps in 1. $4^{\prime}$ of a PN.
$5^{\prime}-\mathbf{6}^{\prime}$ : " 4 women, 1 son, 1 daughter, in all 6 persons of Nusku(?)-rēmāni(?) ".
$7^{\prime}-8^{\prime}$ : " a vineyard in the village? of .....".
$9^{\prime}$ : It would be possible to read the beginning of a date in this line: \& ${ }^{\text {UD. }} 16^{?}{ }^{?}$.KAM $\lrcorner$, but I can still not interpret the ensuing traces.

## Commentary

This long inventory is regrettably much less useful than it would have been complete, since it has lost any introduction it may have had, while the final lines are also unclear The items listed are quite logically arranged, each major category seeming to occupy about one column: people (i) and edibles(?), also (i); wooden tools and vessels (ii); "copper" vessels, etc. (iii) ; iron objects (iv) ; textiles (v) ; Col. vi is lost; more wooden items of uncertain character (vii) ; and then perhaps again persons, and land (viii).

## No. 156

Plate 58
ND 422
$4.2 \times 2.6 \times 1.9$
IM 56844

2 qa-qu-ru ra-qu
3 qa-ab-si URU
(rev. uninscribed)
Translation
An estate of $15^{?}$ homers 3 sütu of arable land, empty ground within the town. Notes

2: qaqquru raqu presumably means " bare, unbuilt on, ground ".
No. 15 ${ }^{7}$
Plate 58
ND 458

IM, for study
$(3 \cdot 3) \times(1 \cdot 7) \times 1 \cdot 6$
Governor's Palace, Room S.
Obv. (beginning broken)


## Notes

$3^{\prime}$ : Possibly Arrakdi (in the Sulaimaniyah area).
$7^{\prime}$ : For place names beginning Harbe- or Harbat-, see S. Parpola, AOAT 6, 149-50, and RGD No. 27, 8, and 19-20

## Commentary

A note, probably not much bigger when complete than the surviving fragment, listing areas of land and persons associated with them in some way.
No. 158
Plate 56
ND 256 Inst. Arch. London
$4 \cdot 9 \times 3 \cdot 1 \times 2 \cdot 4$

Governor's Palace, Room K
Obv. $113 x$ [
$2 x$ [
(remainder of obv. broken)

Rev. 16 be? ma? lul
2 ša $60^{\text {? }}$ KUŠ.MEŠ
3 a-na $\left[(x) x\right.$ B] $\mathrm{AR}^{?} . \mathrm{RA}$
4 IGI mla $a^{?-「 x(x)^{\urcorner}-a}$
T.E. $5{ }^{\text {iti }}{ }_{\text {BARAG }}$

6 m.d[

## Commentary

Note apparently concerned with leather skins (rev. 2), and possibly even witnessed (rev. 4).
No. 159
Plate 61
ND unn.
IM, for study
$(2 \cdot 1) \times(2 \cdot 8) \times 1 \cdot 6$
Governor's Palace, room uncertain.
Obv. (beginning broken)
$1^{\prime}{ }^{\ulcorner } x$ [
$2^{\prime}$ ŠE $k[i-$
$3^{\prime} \quad s ̌ a{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ [
$4^{\prime} l u^{?}$ [
E. $5^{\prime}$ [
$6^{\prime}$ hi-x[
Rev. $7^{\prime} \mathrm{m}_{15}[$ ?
$8^{\prime} 2 q a$ [
$9^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} x^{\top}$ [
$10^{\prime} 50$ [
$11^{\prime}$ [PAP?
(remainder broken)

## Commentary

Presumably an administrative note concerning issues or receipts of corn and perhaps other commodities.
No. 160
Plate 56
ND 449 IM, for study
$(4 \cdot 6) \times(5 \cdot 0) \times(2 \cdot 5)$

Governor's Palace, Room S.
Obv.(?) (entirely lost)
Rev.(?) $1^{\prime}[] x[$ ]
$2^{\prime} \quad[(x) x$. ME $]$ Š? $\check{s} a$ ANŠE.NITÁ (space)
$3^{\prime} \quad$ PAP 24 UD LÁ ${ }_{\llcorner } x x_{\lrcorner}[(x)]$
25 MA.NA $x\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & x\end{array}\right)$
(remainder blank to break)
Commentary
Nature of text quite uncertain
No. 161
Plate 61
ND 457 IM, for study
$(3 \cdot 6) \times(4 \cdot 9) \times 2.5$
Governor's Palace, Room S
(Surface cracked and worn; mention of "purchased men" (Lư.šám.meš) in A. 3 and B. $5^{\prime}$, but nature of text uncertain.)

No. 162
Plate 56
ND 412
Inst. Arch. London
$(3 \cdot 6) \times(3 \cdot 7) \times 2 \cdot 1$
Governor's Palace, Room S.
(Note with the beginnings of four PNs preserved; reverse uninscribed.)
No. 163
Plate 61
ND 224
$3 \cdot 6 \times 2 \cdot 0 \times 1 \cdot 4$
IM 56819

Governor's Palace, Room K.
(Obverse destroyed except for end of one line; B.E.: $\ulcorner a t\urcorner-t a-n u$; reverse blank. The size, and ND number of this piece suggest that it may belong with Nos. 144-51.)
No. 164
Plate 61
ND 226
$3.4 \times(2 \cdot 0) \times 1.5$
IM, for study

Governor's Palace, Room K.
(Obverse remains of three lines (l. $3^{\prime}$ : ta-ad-ni) ; reverse uninscribed. Like No. 163 this may belong with Nos. 144-51.)
No. 165
Plate 25
ND unn.
IM, for study
$(3 \cdot 0) \times(5 \cdot 4) \times(2 \cdot 2)$
(Fragment from right of ? administrative text; provenance uncertain.)
No. 166
Plate 61
$(1 \cdot 9) \times(2 \cdot 7) \times(1 \cdot 1)$

Governor's Palace, Room S.
(Fragment from centre of an administrative or epistolary text ?)
No. $16{ }^{7}$
Plate 6
ND unn.
IM, for study
$(1 \cdot 7) \times(1 \cdot 2) \times(0 \cdot 6)$
(Fragment from centre of an administrative or epistolary text; cf. in 1. 2': e]-gir-t $[i$. .)
No. 168
Plate 61
$(2 \cdot 0) \times(1 \cdot 9) \times(1 \cdot 3)$

Governor's Palace, Room S.
(Fragment from B.E.; found with ND 403-5 (Nos. 208-9, 212) ; type uncertain.)
No. 169
Plate 61
ND 406(c) Inst. Arch. London
$(1 \cdot 9) \times(1 \cdot 2) \times(1 \cdot 8)$

Governor's Palace, Room S.
(As No. 168.)

## No. 170

Plate 62 ; Photo Plate 95 c
ND 252(k) IM, for study
[—.—].808?
$(4 \cdot 2) \times(3 \cdot 8)$

Governor's Palace, Room K/M

One large and one small fragment of a sealing, with inscribed cylinder and stamp seal impressions, and cuneiform text. For the seal impressions see Appendix

## Inscribed text

UD. $x+] 4$. KÁM $[l] i m-m e e^{\text {m(!) })} \mathrm{d}_{\text {MAŠ.M }}[\mathrm{AŠ} . \mathrm{DI}]$ NGIR- $a\left[{ }^{[ }-a\right]$

Seal inscription
1 [( ) K]Iš̌ib [m]EN.LÁ.DINGIR-m[a]
$2[()]$ šá $\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{AG}]$ šá ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{U}$.[ER]íN.GA[B]
3 [MAN ŠÁR šá-kin] urukal-[hi]
4-5 (broken)
6 [
$-k] a$
7 [
] $p a^{?}$
Notes
For the name of the limmu no other reading seems possible; Nergal-ilāya was eponym for 817 and again in 808, which is clearly to be preferred here.
The seal inscription is to be restored after No. 66 and No. 171 q.v.
No. ${ }^{171} 1$
Plate 62 ; Photo Plate 95a-b
ND 240(b)
Inst. Arch. London 13?.[-.-]
$(4 \cdot 7) \times(5 \cdot 9) \times(2 \cdot 2)$

Governor's Palace, Room K
One large and one small ( $1.7 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$.) fragment of a sealing, impression on back, of object sealed, broken away. As with No. 170, the clay bears the impression of both an inscribed cylinder seal (three or more times), and a stamp seal. Presumably it was also inscribed similarly, as the remains of a day date survive: UD.]13?.KÁм [.

## Seal inscription

The seal is the same as that impressed on No. 170 and No. 66 (see p. 248 for the design) Three different parts of the inscription survive here (ll. 3-5, 6-7, and 1.1), while No. 170 has $11.1-3$ and $6-7$, and No. 66 has parts of all seven lines. Making use of the combined evidence of all these, the reconstructed inscription runs:

|  | KIŠIB ${ }^{\text {m }}$ EN.LÁ.DINGIR-ma | Seal of Bēl-tarssi-iluma, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | šá SAG šá ${ }^{\text {m}}$ U.ERín.gAB | eunuch of Adad-nirārī, |
| 3 | mAN ŠÁr šá-kìn urukal-hi | king of the world, governor of Kalhu, |
| 4 | [ S]AG? ${ }^{\text {KUR }}$ te-me-ni | [. . .]. . of māt Temeni, |
| 5 | [KUR i]a-lu-na | (and) Ialūna; |
| 6 | at-kal-ka | I have trusted on you, |
| 7 | $a[-a-b a(?)]-a s^{s}{ }^{\mathrm{d}_{P A}}$ | may I not be put to shame, o Nabû. |

In 1.4 the traces at the beginning of the line as preserved resemble the end of a di or a SAG (i.e. a pa preceded by a vertical); traces are also visible on No. 171 of 1.7 which
may confirm the suggested restoration. Similar phrases are found elsewhere, but not, as far as I can see, this exact one.

It may cause surprise that this inscription only associates Bēl-tarsii-iluma with Kalhu, $m \bar{a} t$ Temeni, and Ialūna, whereas his Nabû temple statue inscriptions mention also Hamedi and Sirgāna (I $R 35.2$ ). However, if the date of 808 b.c. for No. 170 is correct, the seal was already in use very early in Adad-nirārı’'s reign, and Bēl-tarṣi-iluma's provincial domain may have been much smaller than it later became.
No. 172
Plate 62 ; Photo Plate 96e
ND 275(a) Inst. Arch. London
$(5 \cdot 0) \times(5 \cdot 0) \times 2 \cdot 8$
[ — ]

Governor's Palace, Room K.
Fragment of a sealing with cylinder seal impression ; the upper side is gently rounded and the underside flat, probably with wood impressions where preserved. There is nothing to show whether the label bore an inscribed text like No. 173, which is similar and has the same seal.

Seal inscription
 of Sarru-dūrī, on whom see above, p. 11. The restoration of the inscription is confirmed by the impression of the same seal on No. 132, which has the text: 1: [š]á m[ ]; 2 : [1] ${ }_{s}^{s}[\dot{\alpha}-k i n] ; 3:[u] r u k a l-[h i]$. For the seal design, see Appendix on No. 173.

No. 173
Plate 62 ; Photo Plate 96a-b
$(7 \cdot 0) \times(4 \cdot 0) \times(2 \cdot 2)$
ND 275(k) Inst. Arch. London [ - ]
[Governor's Palace, Room K.]
Fragment of a clay label with the impression of the same cylinder seal as is found on No. 172. No part of the inscription of the seal here survives, but at the edge of the fragment there are the traces of two inscribed signs which show that the label bore a cuneiform inscription. On the underside of the fragment there is the impression of a flat surface rising at the centre to a knob or neck (see Photo Plate 96b). Another fragment is stored with this one (dimensions $(3 \cdot 3) \times(2 \cdot 9) \times(2 \cdot 3))$, since it carries the same impression on the underside ; no impression or inscription survives on its upper surface.
No. 174
Plate 63
ND 479(c)
IM, for study
IM, for study
[-.-].733?
c. $(4 \cdot 0) \times(2 \cdot 1)$

Governor's Palace, Room K.

Fragment from the end of a legal(?) text, with the remains of the date only: [limmu

No. ${ }^{175}$
Plate 63
ND 252(q)
$(6 \cdot 0) \times(3 \cdot 9) \times(2 \cdot 3)$
IM, for study

Governor's Palace, Room K/M.
As with No. 174, fragment with remains of date only:
$1^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{it}]{ }^{\prime} \upharpoonright_{\mathrm{BARAG}}{ }^{\prime}$ UD.26.KÁm [(, )]
$2^{\prime} \quad \lim _{\lrcorner}-m u$ m.d[šú].EN.PAP Lú.[

No. 176
Plate 63
ND 252(o)
$(3 \cdot 7) \times(3 \cdot 2) \times(0 \cdot 9)$ [ - ]

Governor's Palace, Room K/M.
Fragment with parts of two lines; the script, which is large and clear, and the flatness of the surface, mark it out as probably a fragment from an early eighth century legal text, similar e.g. to No. 65 (in appearance).

| No. 179y | Plate 63 | ND unn. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(2 \cdot 8) \times(2 \cdot 6) \times(0 \cdot 8)$ |  | IM, for study |
|  | $[-]$ |  |

(2.8) $\times(2 \cdot 6) \times(0 \cdot 8)$
[ — ]
Small fragment, possibly from a legal document concerning a house ; it comes from the top of the tablet's reverse, but the signs preserved cannot be easily fitted into the normal legal formulae.

$$
[-]
$$

Fragment from the same box as No. 92 (IM 56831, with which it is now), but not part of the same tablet; probably legal (i-da]-bu-u[b).
No. 179
Plate 63
$(2 \cdot 0) \times(1 \cdot 8) \times(1 \cdot 0)$
ND 275(1)
Inst. Arch. London [ - ]
[Governor's Palace, Room K.]
Perhaps a fragment from a legal text.

No. 180
Plate 64
$4 \cdot 0 \times(4 \cdot 0) \times 1 \cdot 5$ (main fragment)

ND unn. IM, for study
[Governor's Palace, Room S.]
Obv. $1 a-n a$ LUGAL EN- $i a ́ a$
2 ㄴํ, $-k a$ mhanu-na-a-nu
3 [ $a \check{s}-s$-š]ur duTU $a-n a$ [LUGAL] EN-iáa $l i k-r u-b u$
4 [ š] $] a^{?}$ Е́ $[(x) x] e^{?}$ - $r i$-š̌i
5 [tè̀ $\left.e^{-}\right] e^{?}-m u a-r a a^{7}$-ši $i-m a$
6 [ ] $\quad[\quad]_{\llcorner } x(x) x_{\perp} \mathrm{S}[\mathrm{I}] G_{5} a$-dan-niš
7 [
8-10 (traces at end of lines only) (remainder of obv. broken)
Rev. $1^{\prime}[$ ta?
$2^{\prime}[\quad] a l-k\left[a n^{2}\right]-n i$
$3^{\prime}[\quad] x-n i:$ šá LUGAL 「EN?
$4^{\prime}[i]^{s}{ }^{\frac{s}{2}}-p u r-a-n i$
$5^{\prime} \quad a-n a-k u$ šu ${ }^{\mathrm{ii}}-a-a$
$6^{\prime} \quad i$-se-šú-nu $a-t a-l a-k a$
$7^{\prime}$ 自 $i$-[b]a-šu-u-ni
$8^{\prime} i$-su-[u]k SUM-u-ni
$9^{\prime}$ ŠE.AL šá LUGAL EN $i$-di-nu-ni
T.E. $10^{\prime}$ É: $i$ - $b a$-šu-ni la SUM

11' É $l a-s ̌ u-u-n i a-t i-d i-n i$
Unplaced fragment $\left.\mathrm{a}^{\prime} \quad i \check{s}\right]-{ }^{-} p u r-a^{7}-[n i$
$\left.\mathrm{b}^{\prime} \quad\right] \mathrm{kal}^{?}: a-t\left[i^{?}-\right.$
$\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ ] $a-t\left[i^{\text {? }}\right.$
Translation
1: To the king, my lord, your servant Hunānu; may Assur (and) Samaš bless [the king] my lord.
4: [.....].... is cultivated? ; I shall take a dec[ision?] . . . . . . . . . . is very good. [. . . . . . .] he has taken [. . . . . . .

Rev. $3^{\prime}$ : . . . . the . . . .] of which the king my lord wrote to me-I went along with them in person, (and) wherever there was (any) they would assign and give (it) to me, (and as for) the ....corn which the king my lord had given me-wherever there was (some already) I did not give (any out), (but) wherever there was none, I gave (some out).

## Notes

2: For the PN cf. Billa No. 72, 24 (JCS 7 (1953), 170) mhu-na-a-ni.
Rev. $5^{\prime}$ : qātāya is used in this way in NL 31 (Iraq 18 (1956), 47), 9-10: a-na-ku ŠU ${ }^{\mathrm{II}}-a-a$ ina UGU íd Rev. $5^{\prime}$ ' qätāya is used in this way in NL 31 (Iraq 18 (1956), 47), 9-10: a-na-ku šiila-a ina UGU íd
$a t$-tu-rid, doubtless correctly rendered by Saggs "I personally ..."; so also in a similar context $q a-t a-a-a$, ABL 138 rev. 8, 638, 3 (ŠU.MEŠ- $a-a$ ).
$8^{\prime}$ : For issuku iddunūni (ventive, not subjunctive); present, since preterite is excluded in a main clause (S. Parpola).
$9^{\prime}$ : The logogram šm.aL is not found in the lists, but does occur in TH No. 58 (AfO Beiheft 6, 38-9), where quite large quantities are recorded. That text and the occurrence here suggest that the logogram does not so much describe a rare and exotic type of grain, as define the use to which it was put, just as ŠE.PAD.MEŠ is used to indicate that the corn is for eating. še.AL may therefore be a typical nA abbreviation for the word aldû, attested so far only in old Babylonian and meaning corn set aside for seed and other purposes. Also possible (coll.): sis tab+ku (S. Parpola).
$\mathrm{a}^{\prime}-\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ : Although this fragment does not actually join, it is certainly from the same tablet, probably from the upper part of the reverse.

## Commentary

This is the only letter addressed to the king, and as it is written very well on fine clay it should possibly be kept separate from the rest of the archive. Hunānu, the writer although otherwise unknown, is clearly an official, and since he writes to the king on at least two different subjects, we are justified in assuming that he was quite highly placed in the administration.

## No. 181

Plate 64
$2.9 \times 4.5 \times 2.0$
Governor's Palace, Room S.
Obv. $1 \quad\ulcorner a\urcorner$-bat LUGAL
$2 a-n a{ }^{\text {lú }}\left[u m^{?}\right]-\_m a-\_n i$
3 ša urukal-ḩi
$4 \times x \quad x \quad x$
$5 \quad[x] x$ ka
$6 \quad[(x) x] k a$
7 [t]a-dan
$\left.8 \quad 8^{2}\right\lrcorner q a$ ŠE $k i-s u-{ }^{-} t u{ }^{\top}$
$\left.9 \underset{\llcorner }{ } a-n a^{2}\right\lrcorner 1-a\left[{ }^{2}-a^{2}\right]$
B.E. 10-11 (broken)

Rev. 12-13 (broken)
$14 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{UD}}$ ?
$15\left\ulcorner s \check{a} a^{?} x(x)^{\urcorner}\right.$be ši

Rev. $\quad 16 \quad s ̌ a \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{~A}] \mathrm{L}$ ?
17 ta-d[a]-na
18 bi-ti-[i]q-ti
19 [ša(?)] Ú.ME
20 [la(?)] ta-da-na

## Translation

1: The king's command to the scribe? of Kalhu :
5: Your [.....] your? [....] give! [Give(?)] 8? $q a$ of fodder [to each] one? [. . . .
15: Give . . . for . . . . . (and?) for a woman? ; [(but) do not(?)] give the loss on plants(?) !
Notes
2: If ummanu is correctly restored, it must be used here for "scribe ", and we should compare the well-known " city-scribe " (Lú.A.BA URU). Whether this allows us to identify the logogram A.BA with ummānu cannot be discussed here, though it seems distinctly possible
 collation does not favour $i a-s{ }^{2} i$ in 1. 4 .
18: bitiqtu is probably also to be recognized for nA in ND $2386+$ (Iraq 23 (1961), Pl. XI), iii.24'; commoner in nA (though perhaps in fact the same word) is batiqtu " losses " (e.g. in battle).
 study on nA taxation; it is hardly necessary to stress that the restoration and consequently the translation are exceedingly hypothetical.

## No. 182

Plate 65

Notes
8: Possibly, with S. Parpola, a form of mahāru-" pay out (and) receive ", like nadānu + mahāru. 9: "your lord " is probably the governor of Kalhu, as whose deputy Qāt-ili-gabbu was acting in his absence.

## No. 183

Plate 65 ; Photo Plate $92 e$
ND 440
$3 \cdot 4 \times 6 \cdot 1 \times 2 \cdot 0$
Governor's Palace, Room S
Obv. 1 a-bat Lugal
$2 a-n a \mathrm{~m}_{\text {EN.KAL- }} a n$
3 Ú.ZÀ.HI.LI.SAR
4 SIG ${ }_{5}$. MEŠ
5 ša uruza-ban
6 ina uruši-iž-li
7 ina uruhar-ham-ba
8 lu ma-a'-da
9 le-ru-šu
10 ki ${ }^{?}[x] x$-an-ni
$11\left[\begin{array}{lll}x & x & x\end{array}\right]-m a r$
Rev. $12[(x) x m a]-a^{\prime}(?)-d a^{?}$
13 [ $\qquad$
Translation
1: The king's command to Bēl-dān :
3: Let them cultivate good Zabban cress? in Šišlu and in Harhumba, much of it . . . . (remainder too broken for translation).

## Notes

3: S. Parpola tells me that this writing of sahlu (with both $\dot{U}$ as determinative and sar as postdeterminative) is regular.
6: For the was established in AOAT 6, 151

## No. 184

Plate 65
ND 444
$3 \cdot 7 \times 5 \cdot 9 \times 2 \cdot 3$
Governor's Palace, Room S
Obv. 1 「a-bat LUGAL ${ }^{7}$
$2 a-n a$ m $_{\text {EN.KAL- }} a n$

Obv． 3 šùl－mu ia－a－š
4 lìb－ba－ka
5 lu ta－ab－ka
6 šu－uh UN．MEŠ
7 ［š］a taš－pur－an－ni
8 ［g］ab－bu $a-n\left[a^{2}-k a^{2}\right]$
（one to two lines broken）
Rev．1＇［ ］${ }^{\ulcorner } a^{?} a^{? 7}[(\quad)]$
$\left.2^{\prime}[\mathrm{TA}(?)]\right]^{\ulcorner } \mathrm{uru}$ ši／pi－ku－ki？$[(\quad)$
$3^{\prime}[\hat{u}]-s[u]-n i-n\left[i^{3}\right]$
$4^{\prime} \quad[g a b]-b u$ šu－tur
$5^{\prime}$ 「ša ${ }^{\prime}[p a]-n e ́-e-k a(!)$
$6^{\prime}$ lu šá KI－ia
$7^{\prime} \quad\left[k i^{2}-\right] m a^{2}$ at－tal－ka（！）
$8^{\prime}$［lu（？）ina p］a－né－e－a （remainder broken ；perhaps uninscribed）

## Translation

1：The king＇s command to Bēl－dān；I am well，may your heart be glad．
6 ：About the people of whom you wrote to me，all（of them）．．．．．here？
Those（？）］Rev． $1^{\prime}$ ：who？came［out of］the town of ．．．．－write（them）all down， $5^{\prime}$ ：（both）those under you and those with me． $7^{\prime}$ ：［When？］I come［let them（？）］be under me［．．．．．．．．．．

## Notes

Rev．8＇：An alternative restoration is［ša ina p］anēya，＂［those］under me＂，
Commentary
Clearly the background to this letter is the matter of the distribution of captives from a military campaign who had＂come out＂of a besieged settlement（cf．the use of＊ws＇in No．195，and perhaps also No． 187 A Rev． $3^{\prime}$ ）．It is particularly sad therefore that the name of the place is lost．

## No． 185

Plate 66
$3 \cdot 6 \times 7 \cdot 0 \times 2 \cdot 2$
Governor＇s Palace，Room S．
Obv． 1 「a－bat LUGAL ${ }^{`}$
$2 a-n a$ man．bàd $_{\text {MA }}$
3 šul－mu ia－a－ši
4 ŠÀ－ka 「lu｀DÙ̀G．GA－ka
5 am－mar ERÍN．MEŠ．MAN－ni
6 gab－bu ša ina IGI－ka

71 ANŠE－$\alpha-a$ ŠE．PAD．MEŠ
8 di－na－áš－šút－nu
9 3－bÁN－$a-a$ a－na zíd．KASKAL．MEŠ－šúu－nu
10 ᄂliš－sti－u」
B．E．（broken；but probably uninscribed）
Rev． 11 「7－bÁN－$a-a$
12 ina IGI UN．MEŠ－šúti－nu
13 lu－ra－am－me－u

## Translation

1：The king＇s command to Šarru－dūrī ；I am well，may your heart be glad：
5：Give to all the＂king＇s－troops＂who are under you 1 homer of corn each．9：Let them take 3 sūtu each as their campaign－flour，（and）leave 7 sütu each at the disposal of their families．

## Notes

5：ERív．meš．mav－ni indicates the plural sāb－šarrāni，analogous in formation to e．g．rādli－kibsiäni ＂trans＂For this tring＂，and for＂c campaign－flour＂，cf．note on No． 141 10 （referring to my study of nA taxation and conscription）
9：For zíd．KASKAL．MEŠ cf．K．Deller，OrNS 33 （1964）， 94 s．v．sididtu；the correct reading of the sign kASKAL was suggested to me by S．Parpola．

## No． 186

$3.3 \times 7 \cdot 0 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．
Governor＇s Palace，Room S．
Obv． 1 ［a－bat LUGAL］$\left.{ }^{[ } a-n a\right\urcorner$ m［MAN］．${ }^{\circ}$ BÀD？$?$
2 ［šùl－$m] u$ i $a-a-s ̌ i$
3 ［ $\quad \imath \imath b-b a-k] a[l u]$ DÙG．GA－k
4 ［ $\quad]^{1 u ́ r}\left\ulcorner e^{7}-[m] u-q e-k a\right.$
5 ［ša taš］－pu－ra－an－ni［（ ）］
6 ［ma－］a？$[m] i-i-n u$
7 ［i（l）－l］u－ku－u－ni
8 ［ki－i］an－ni－i？$a-n a{ }^{\mathrm{m}}\left\ulcorner x x^{\urcorner}\right.$
$9 a-[n] a{ }^{\text {m}}{ }_{\text {EN．MU．KÁM？}}$ ？$a-s a-p[a r]$
$10 \quad n u-u[k] e-[m] u-q e$ ša É É．EN．MEš－š $[u ́-n] u$
$\left.11 \begin{array}{cc}x & x\end{array}\right] x$ ša urukal－$h[a]$
12 ［ $\quad x x$ ina uruhal $-z\left[i^{2}\right]$
13 ［p］u－uh－ru nu－u［k］
$14[x] x$ x 1－en lu［la i－ma－$t]$ ］
$15[n u-u] k^{?} a-d u b i-i\left[t^{?}\right.$（
B．E．（uninscribed）
Rev． 16 ［ $] a^{2}-s a p-r a k k^{\prime}-k[u-m u-n i(?)]$

Rev． 17 u［D？${ }^{?}-m u(?)$（ ）］an－ni－u
ina uru［šá－b］i－ri－šú $i-d a-t u-u-\left[a^{2}\right]$
$i$－sa＇－pa $a^{2}-r u-u-[n i]^{r} m a-a^{I I}$ LÚ．EN．N［AM］
$i$－sa－ap－ra ma－a e－mu－q［e］
$\left[l i l^{2}-l\right] i-k u-u-n i a-s \check{a}[p-p a-r a(?)]$
$x$－ni ša 「bi？ sal $[$
$n] a^{2}$－ni ina UG［U
］
$-t] i^{?}$ ma－a $i-d a-a-[t] e$
［i－sa－ap］－ra ma－a a－na me－i－ni
［e－m］u－qe it－la－ku－u－ni
［e－m］$u-q e ~ e[-t a] r-b a-n i$
$[m] a^{2}-s i-n i$

## Translation

1：［The king＇s command］to［Šarru］－dūrī？；I am［well］，may your［heart］be glad：
4：［About］your troops［of which］you wrote to me［saying ：］＂What（troops）［are］ coming ？＂It is［like］this，I wrote to［PN］（and）to Bēl－šūmu－ēreš？，saying：＂The troops belonging to their superior departments ．．．．．of Kalhu ．．．．．in Halzi？．．．．．．．are assembled＂，and saying：＂Let one［．．．］not be missing ．．．．．．． 17 ：Today？from Sabirěšu they wrote after me，saying：The governor has written，saying：＂［Let］the troops come＂，（and）I sent［．．．．．．］．．．．．24：saying：＂［He sent］afterwards to me saying：＇Why are the troops coming？The troops have arrived（？），and are sufficient for me（？）．＇＂

Notes
10：－kunu（＂your＂）also possible（S．Parpola）；bēt bētē＂master＇s house＂is a phrase used（with suitable change of the suffix），to refer to the administrative department under which a person works． For some references，see CAD в 195 a and K．Deller，OrNS $35(1966), 312$ ，although in neither works． For some references，see CAD в 195a and K．Deller，OrNS 35 （1966），312，although in neither place is 13：puhru is stative ：see $A H w 810 \mathrm{~b}$ s．ve pahāru（ $m$ ）
25 ：It is unclear if $m \bar{a}$ introduces a new speech or continues the old．
27－8：If the restorations are correct，emuqe here appears to have become singular ！

## No． 18

Plate 67
A：$(2 \cdot 7) \times(2 \cdot 7) \times 1 \cdot 7$

B ：$(2 \cdot 1) \times(1 \cdot 9) \times 1 \cdot 7$
［Governor＇s Palace，Room S．］
A：Obv． 1 a－bat［
$2 a-n a{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{MAN} .[\mathrm{BÀD}(?)]$
$3 \mathrm{mlu}-\mathrm{D}\left[\mathrm{I}^{\text {？}}\right.$
4 ša uru［ （remainder of obv．of A broken）
B：Obv． $\left.1^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{PA}] \mathrm{P}^{\ulcorner } 40\right\urcorner$［
$2^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{L}]$ ć． $\mathrm{GA}[\mathrm{L}$ ？
$3^{\prime} \check{s} a$ uru［
$4^{\prime} s ̌ a$ Lú．EN．［
Rev． $5^{\prime} \quad[3] 8$ anšE？［
$6^{\prime} \quad[(\quad)]$ ša la
$7^{\prime} \quad$ 「47 anše．nu［n
$8^{\prime} \quad[\operatorname{PAP}(?)] 72^{?}[$
（remainder of B broken）
A：Rev． $1^{\prime}[\check{s}] a^{2}[$
$2^{\prime}[\dot{s}] a^{3} \mathrm{ur}[\mathrm{u}(?)$
$3^{\prime}$ se－s $\bar{i}-a \quad a$－
$4^{\prime}$ iná UGU KÁ［
$5^{\prime} \quad$ lil－li－k［ $u$

## Commentary

Although these two fragments do not join，they are so alike both in physical appearance and in their content to justify placing them under one number．Insufficient remains to give a translation，but we can say that this was an order of the king to Sarru－［dūri］（l．2）， on the subject of mules（B． $7^{\prime}$ ；and horses？），and their towns or villages．Instructions regarding their movements are given in A Rev．

## No． 188

Plate 67
$3.4 \times 6.0 \times 2.5$

Governor＇s Palace，Room S．

Obv． 1 「IM LÚ‥GAR KUR
$2 a$－na m ${ }^{\mathrm{m} U G A L . B A ̀ D}$
3 lu šùl－mu a－na ŠEŠ－ia
4 IZI？LỨ．İR．MEŠ－ka
5 ina $m[u]$－da－bir
6 ik－tar－ru
$7 a-d i \operatorname{UGU}$ KUR $s[u-h] i$
$8 a-d i \mathrm{UGU} \mathrm{KU}[\mathrm{R}] ~ h a-d[a] l-l i$
9 mu－da－bur gab－bu
10 ta－ta－kal
11 šá－＿ᄂa＇－la ú－ṣi－si」
B．E． $12{ }^{\circ} x \times x \times x$ 13 ša $\mathbf{1 z I}$ ？
Rev． $14 x\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & x\end{array}\right] x n i^{2}$
15 la－a i－šá－mu－u－n
$16 a-s a-[p a] r-k a$

## Translation

1: Tablet of the governor of (Assur) province to Šarru-dūrī ; health to my brother :
4: Your subjects have set the desert on fire, and as far as the land of Sūhu and as far as the land of Hadallu, it has eaten up the whole desert. 11: Make enquiries .... 13: Who [ ] the fire? . . . they will not obey, I am writing to you.

Notes
4, 13 : IZI seems the best reading of the sign in these two lines, as suggested to me by S. Parpola; I had originally read $b i$-is, but reading IZI provides an object for karāru, and a subject for tatakal. The only slight awkwardness is the word order which is unusual for nA.

## Commentary

A letter from the governor of Assur province to his counterpart in Kalhu province, complaining that the subjects of the province of Kalhu have set fire to the desert and thus destroyed the desert (i.e. presumably the grazing) in his own area. This shows that the land between Assur and the Euphrates was under him, as we might have deduced from the correspondence of another(?) governor of Assur, Täb-sil-ešarra, which concerns affairs in Hindānu ( $A B L 93$; 547 ; 1433).

## No. 189

Plate 67
$2.9 \times 4.7 \times 1.7$
Governor's Palace, Room S.
Obv. 1 im lú.gar kur
$2 a-n a$ man.Bàd $^{\text {MA }}$ )
3 lu šùl-mu
$4 a-n a$ ŠEš-iá
5 ina UGU GIŠ.MES!.MÁ.KAN.NA
6 ša ŠEŠ-u-iú
7 iš-pur-a-ni
$8 k i-m a{ }^{「} x(x)^{\top}$
B.E. 9 [ ] $x[$

Rev. 10 i[na U]Geviá
11 e-te-li
$12 m a-a$ '- $d a$
13 a-na-ku a-na
14 ŠEš-ia
$15 u$-še-ba-la

## Translation

1: Tablet of the governor of (Assur) province to Šarru-dūrī; health to my brother :
5: About the sissoo-wood of which my brother wrote to me-when it has come up to me, I shall send a large quantity to my brother.

Notes
2: Since the recipient of the letter is shown by the author's use of " my brother" to be his equal in rank, and since the letter was found at Nimrud, we need not doubt that the emendation of the PN is correct.
9: The B.E. may in fact be uninscribed, and the apparent wedges mere scratches; another line is ot needed for the translation.
13: Is the use of anāku here intended to make it clear that the verb is 1st and not 3rd person?

## No. 190

$2.5 \times 4.4 \times 1 \cdot 6$

## Plate 68

ND 418 BM 131995

Governor's Palace, Room S.
Obv. 1 IM LÚ.EN.NAM
$2 a-n a{ }^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {sid }}} \mathbf{i l - \mathrm { LUGAL }}$
3 UD. 15 ša ITI.še
$4 a t-t a$ EN Lứ.ERÍN.MEš-ka
5 ina uruši-šil
6 lu-u at-ta
7 1-en lu-u la-a
B.E. 8 i-ma-ti
(rev. uninscribed, but has the impression of a stamp seal, diameter $c .2 \cdot 0 \mathrm{~cm}$., very faint)

## Translation

1: Tablet of the governor to Șil-šarri :
3: On the 15th day of the month of Addāru (XII), you, together with your troops, are to be in Sišil. Let not one be lacking.

## Notes

4: ev for adi, unusual in this sort of text.
5 : For Sisill, see note on No. 183, 6.

## No. 191

Plate 68
ND 421
IM 56843
$3 \cdot 0 \times 5 \cdot 0 \times 2 \cdot 0$
Governor's Palace, Room S.
Obv. 1 「 $a$ ’-na Lú.E[N.N]AM
2 [I]M lúšá IGI É.gAL
3 gis̆m $m u-g i r-r i$
$4 \quad[\check{s}] a$ gisšs $\dot{d}-d a-d i$
5 am-mi-u

Obv． 6 ár－hiš
7 Kù．babbar
8 li－il－bi－u
B．E．（two faint seal impressions or thumb marks）

## Translation

1：To the governor－tablet of the palace supervisor：
3 ：Let them quickly cover that drawing－chariot with silver．

## Notes

1－2：Note that when an equal writes，his name or title is put first，but that when writing to a higher authority，it is obviously etiquette to place his name or title first by reversing the order of the first two lines（cf．No．192）．
3－5：It is perhaps probable that the correcter translation for these lines is＂the chariots（and）that drawing－chariot＂；for it is hard to see how the two items，mugirru and ša šad $\bar{d} d i$ could be combined into one．For the ša šadd $\bar{a} d i$ ，a light chariot drawn by men，cf．A．Salonen，Landfahrzeuge， 64 ff ．Perhaps， with S．Parpola，mugirru here means a wheel．

## No． 192

Plate 68

## Notes

1－2：From the order of these lines（see note on No．191，1－2），and of course from the use of bēli＂my lord＂，it is clear that the rab muggi，a military official of some kind，is a subordinate of the governor．

## Commentary

There is a bare possibility that this fragment should be joined with No．209，but it does not seem likely．

## No． 193

Plate 69
$3.8 \times 6.3 \times 2.0$

Governor＇s Palace，Room S．

Obv． $1 a-n a$ Lú．EN．NAM EN－$i a$
2 ÌR－$k a a^{\text {m．} d_{\text {MAŠ．DINGIR }}-a-a}$
3 ud．20．кÁm ša tti．barag
4 lúú－ra－se it－tal－ku－ni
a－na pa－an Ka．gal
6 ša KUR hal－zi 「i｀－sak－nu
71 me LÚU．ERÍN．MEŠ ŠU $\mathrm{m}_{\text {EN．TI }}$
8 ina uru $_{\text {NINA }} i$－tú－bíl
9 ú－sa－áš－kit－in
$10 \quad m a-a$ m DINGIR－$a-a$－EN
$11 i q-t i ́-b i-a$
$12[m] u^{2}-u k^{?} n u$ SIG $_{4}$
13 ［i］na KAR？URU ni－šá－ha－a
Rev． 14 ［］］${ }^{\text {án }}{ }^{\prime}-r a^{\prime}-s e^{!} l i-s ̌ i-\left\ulcorner u^{?}\right.$
15 ŠE－út té－「mu｀i－tú－qut
16 「īt－tal－ku ŠE－ú
17 ú－še－lu－úu
18 lûû́－ra－se ša mki－ṣir－aš－šur
19 it－tal－ku－ú－ni
20 a－na ŠÀ 「dib－bi｀［a］m－mu－ti
$21 s{ }^{2} a$ MURUB $_{4}$ URU $l u-s$－sa－$a s$ st－kt－in
22 Ud．26．кÁm lú．dumu šip－ri
23 UGU EN－ia $i-\ulcorner t u ́\urcorner-s i-a$

## Translation

1：To the governor，my lord，your servant Ninurta－ilāya：
3 ：On the 20th day of Nīsānu（I），the building－overseers arrived（and）they set（to work）on the front of the gate of the land of Halzu．
7 ：Bēl－（l）uballit has brought his 100 men from Nineveh，（and）has set（them to work）， saying：＂Ilāya－bēl gave me（these）instructions＂，（to which I replied）saying：＂We
shall mould the bricks on the city quay，（and）the building－overseers will take（them from there）．＂
15：The corn－a message arrived（and）they have gone（and）are removing the corn． The building－overseers of Kiṣir－aššur have come，（and）I shall set（them to work）on those affairs inside the city．

22 ：On the 26 th day the messenger left（the city）to（go to）my lord．

## Notes

2：A Ninurta－ilāya was author also of NL 15；34；and 37 （Iraq 17 （1955），133，and 18 （1956），49，52） a man of this name was also governor of Naṣibina and eponym for 736，and again eponym for 722. Here the writer is clearly not a governor，and unfortunately the other Nimrud letters（all from the North－west Palace）do not show clearly whether their author held the rank of a governor or not．
4：urāsu（plural regularly urāsḕ），see K．Deller，OrNS 35 （1966），194，on ND 2705．Some connection with the building trade is certain，but possibly＂（mud－brick）masons＂would be closer than＂building－ overseers＂．I suspect that urasu $=$＊warrasus，and is connected with ursütu＂a deposit＂．
12：The restoration of $[m] u-u k$ is is und h． is Porsibly
＝＂glaze＂according to A．Salonen， BiOr 28 （1971）， 24
14－16：ร̌E（－ú）probably refers to the straw needed for the bricks，the writer talking loosely of＂corn＂ not＂straw＂．

## Commentary

This is a report from a subordinate to the governor（presumably of Kalhu），on the subject of building operations．The work is on a town of considerable size－it has a gateway， a quay，and a＂centre＂－and presumably is therefore at Kalhu itself．This means that the governor must be absent from his capital，and this letter must have been brought back with him after his absence．

## No． 194

Plate 69
ND 424 BM 131996
$2 \cdot 8 \times 5 \cdot 1 \times 2 \cdot 6$ $\qquad$

Governor＇s Palace，Room S．
Obv． 1 ［a］－「na Lú．en．nam En－ia
2 İR－$k a$ m．dU．GUR．KAR－ir
3 lu－u 「š̀̀ $C_{-}-m u_{\lrcorner} a-n a$ LÚU．EN．NAM EN－$i a$
4 「šùl－mu｀$a-n a \operatorname{a}$－［s］$a$－pe
5 a－na LứİR．MEŠ－ni
6 s $a$ LÚU．EN．NAM EN－$i a$
7 та＊lìb－bi šal－le－te
8 ša tu－sa－an－ni

10 ［ina lib－b］i a－ta－mar

11 「ina pa｀－an lútur－ta－ni
$12 a-t i-i t-z i$
13 i－ta－an－na
Rev． 14 та＊ŠÀ šal－le－te
15 ša šà 「urura－pi－q
16 ša tu－ṣa－an－ni
1710 Lư．zI．MEŠ ina lìb－bi－šúu－nu
18 a－ta－mar lib－bu
19 š［a $\left.{ }^{1}\right]^{\text {ut }}$ ur－ta－ni la $\mathrm{D}[\mathrm{U} G]$ ］．GA
20 ina pa－ni－šúú la $a-z i-z i$
21 ki－i ina égal
22 i－lak－an－ni
23 be－lí li－qi－ba－áš－šúu

## Translation

1：To the governor，my lord，your servant Nergal－ētir；health to the governor my lord；the animals and the servants of the governor my lord are well：
7：Out of the captives who came out I have searched and chosen 30 persons［from］them I applied to the turtānu，（and）he has given（them）to me．14：Out of the captives who were inside Rapïqu，who came out，I have chosen 10 persons from them，（but）the turtānu was not in a good mood，（and）I did not apply to him．When he comes into the palace may my lord speak to him

## Notes

2：Nergal－ettir is too common a name for us to identify the author；note that a man of this name is to be found in NL 35 （Iraq 18 （1956），PI．XI）， 8.
7，14：sallutu＂booty＂clearly refers here specifically to the human part of the spoils（cf．－šunu
．17）；for the verb＊ws＇＂see No． 184 rev． $3^{\prime}$ and perhaps No． 187 A rev． $3^{\prime}$ ．
11－12 ：Here and in 1.20 the Assyrian is literally＂I stood before＂．
15：Rapïqu is the well－known Babylonian border town on the Euphrates；no direct military activity against it seems to be attested under Tiglath－pileser III or Sargon，although it is named among Aramaean tribes by Tiglath－pileser（cf．J．A．Brinkman，An．Or．43，270－1）．

## Commentary

The officer entrusted with the military contingent of the governor of Kalhu here report back to him on his success in securing for the governor a share of the booty resulting from the campaign led by the turtänu．

No． 195
Plate 68
ND 462
IM 56858
$2 \cdot 7 \times 4 \cdot 1 \times 1 \cdot 7$
Governor＇s Palace，Room S
Obv． 1 「 $a-n a{ }^{7}$ L［Ú．EN．NAM E］N－$i a$
2 ìR－ka m． $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{I}}[\mathrm{M}$ ？$]$ ．KAR－$i r$

Obv. 3 lu-u šùl-mu a-na Lú.EN.NAM EN-ia
$i$-na KUR $d a-u$-na-ni
5 šak-na-a-ni
6 ŠE.IN.NU.MEŠ
7 ŠE $k i$-su-túu
8 ma-a'-da
9 nu-šá-dan
Rev. 10 [š]ūl-mu a-na a-s.sap-pe
11 ša EN-ia

## Translation

1: To [the governor], my lord, your servant Adad?-ēṭir ; health to the governor my lord :
4: We are situated in the land? of Da'unāni, (and) we are collecting much straw and fodder. The animals of my lord are well

## Notes

2: Šamaš $\left.{ }^{(d)}[\mathrm{TV}]\right)$-etirir is also possible.
4: Da'unāni, whether land or mountain, is unknown; it does not seem to improve the sense if we take $d a^{\prime}$ unāni as a D stative from *dnn.
 to occur in nA with its common earlier meaning "to collect (taxes) ", I have preferred this reading because it does not seem likely that the only purpose of the writer's visit to Da'unāni, wherever it may be, was to feed the animals, nor that he would be feeding them both on straw and on "fodder ".

## Commentary

If my interpretation of 1.9 is correct, this is a report to the provincial governor from one of his officials who has been sent out to undertake the collection of agricultural taxes. This suggests that the unknown place, Da'unāni, is within the province of Kalhu, and is probably therefore a mountain rather than a land.

No. 196
Plate 70; Photo Plate 93d
$3.5 \times 6 \cdot 3 \times 2 \cdot 1$

Governor's Palace, Room S.
Obv. $1 a-n a$ Lứ.EN.NAM
2 EN-ia
3 Ìr-ka ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ aš-šur-tak-lak
4 ši-pir-tu ša Lú.EN.NAM
5 ša uruar-zu-hi-na
6 ina UGU ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} n a-n i-i$
7 「ta`-tal-ka
$8 m a-a$ še $n u-s a-h e^{\text {meš }}$

9 [š] $a$ LÚ.EN.NAM
10 [ $\left.{ }^{s}\right] a$ urukal-hi $u$-su-hbu
B.E. 11 [m]a-a šum-「ma

12 [̌̌E $n u-]_{L} s a_{\lrcorner}-h e^{\text {meš }}$
Rev. 13 [
$14[x(x)]$.MEŠ
15 [ina ${ }^{2}$ П
5 [ina $\left.{ }^{2} l\right]$ ìb-bi URU.MEŠ.ŠE-ia
16 [LỨ.E]NGAR.MEŠ
17 [a]-se-me
18 [m. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ UTU.EN.PAP LÚ.EN.NAM
$\left.19{ }^{\ulcorner } x(x) x\right\urcorner i$-ssa-bat
20 ŠE $n u-\ulcorner s a\urcorner-h e^{\text {meš-}}-n i$
21 la na-as-h̆u
$a$-ta-a ina MU.AN.NA
23 an-ni-tú šE $n u$-sa-he-ni
T.E. $24 i-n a-s u-h u$
L.E. 25 [
$\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { L }] \text { Ú.ERÍN.MEŠ-š } \\ {\left[a n^{2}\right]-n a-k[a]}\end{array}\right.$
$\left[a n^{7}\right]-n a-k[a]$ ša $\left\ulcorner\mathrm{UD}^{\urcorner}-m e ~ s ̌ a ~ U D-m e ~\right.$
27 [( )]URU?'. ME $i-l a-b i$

## Translation

1: To the governor, my lord, your servant Aššur-taklak:
4: A message of the governor of Arzuhīna has come for Nanī, saying: "Levy the corn taxes of the governor of Kalhu", (and) saying: "If the [corn-]taxes . . . . . . . 15 : [In] my villages [I] have heard the farmers (say): "Samaš-bēlu-usur, the governor, is taking ....." 20: Our corn-taxes are not levied-why are they levying our corn-taxes this year ? [. . . . . .] his troops, here? day by day(?) . . . . are going round the villages(?).

## Notes

8: The corn tax nusāhē is discussed in my study of nA taxation.
10: $\dot{\text { u }}$-su-hu for $u$ suh.
18-24: There is a little doubt as to who is speaking in these lines: if 11. 18-19 are the words of the farmers, as I have suggested, a $m \bar{a}$ would be expected. If the $m \bar{a}$ is not needed, however, then it would be possible to interpret the following lines also as part of the farmers' speech. On the other hand, we could take aseme as referring back to something lost in the preceding break, and dissociate 11.18 if. 17. Possibly [ú] sesib " he has apointed"; if so [GAL mN] Gur wes should be conidered for ll 16
18. Šamǎ bēlu usur is known as eponym for 710 BC. and governor of Arzehina (A Ungnad RLA

II, 455). 19 : $\ulcorner x$. MĚ̌ $i$-sa-bat possible (coll.) ; be-qu not possible
20 : i.e. "corn-taxes are not (usually) levied from us"
 unpub., courtesy S. Parpola).

## Commentary

At first sight this letter described a dispute as to which provincial authority was entitled to exact taxes in certain villages - the governor of Kalhu or the governor of Arzuhīna. However, it is hard to conceive any way in which these two provinces could have bordered
on one another，and a closer examination of the wording of the letter shows that the governor of Arzuhīna is instructing his subordinate to collect the taxes not of the province of Kalhu， but of the governor of that province．Since we have other instances where one provincial governor owns considerable estates in a different province（cf．$R G D, \mathrm{pp} .58-9$ ），the solution seems to be that the governor of Kalhu has private property in the province of Arzuhina， and that the governor of that province has suddenly decided to levy taxes on that property whereas it had previously been exempt．The author of the letter is probably therefore the governor＇s private＂estate agent＂，perhaps the abarakku．

## No． $19{ }^{7}$

Plate 70
$2 \cdot 7 \times(5 \cdot 2) \times 2 \cdot 0$
Governor＇s Palace，Room S．
Obv． $1 a-n a$ Lú．en．nam en－iá
2 ìR－ka m ${ }_{\text {DUMU．}}{ }^{\mathrm{d}} 15$
3 Lú．ià．SUR．MEŠ
4 an－nu－te a－na me－i－ni
5 lúšá UGU URU
6 ú－「bar？－a－šúu－nu
7 ina UGU GUD
8 an－nu－te－ma
9 GIŠ．ÙR．MEŠ
10 Li」－zi－bi－lu
$11[(x) x x] d u l-[l] u^{3}$－šú－nu
B．E．（broken；up to 4 lines missing）
Rev．1＇$\left.[x]^{\top} x n i^{2\urcorner}\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & x\end{array}\right)\right]$
$2^{\prime}$ ina muh－ḩi－šú－nu
$3^{\prime} m a-a-d a \quad a-d a-n i-i s ̌$
$4^{\prime}$ ina UGU lưšá UGU URU
$5^{\prime}$ li－iš－pur－u－n
$6^{\prime}$ i－se－šú－nu
7＇「lu｀la al－ka

## Translation

1：To the governor，my lord，your servant Mār－ištar
3：These oil－pressers－what does the town overseer want them for？Let them transport these beams also on oxen（？）．．．their work［．
Rev． $2^{\prime}$ ：．．．］about them very much．Let them write to the town overseer（but）let ne not come with them．

## Notes

7：The mEš is omitted；in 8 it is not clear to what annūte－ma refers．
Rev． $3^{\prime}$ ：It is possible that ma＇da adanniš qualifies lisspurūni，and that the break does not come at he end of this line
$7^{\prime}$ ：For lu la allaka．Also possible is lu la $\mathrm{KA}^{\prime}$ ．KA

No． 198
$3 \cdot 3 \times 5 \cdot 6 \times 1 \cdot 8$

Governor＇s Palace，Room S
Obv． 1 ［ $a-n a$ LÚ．E］N．NAM EN－$i a$
2 ［ìR－ka］ $\mathrm{m}_{\text {SUHUUŠ．}}{ }^{\text {d }}$ PA（？）
3 ［lu］šùl－mu a－na be－lí－i［á］
4 ［i］na UGU glězziq－pe
5 ［ $\left.{ }^{5}\right]$ ］be－lı iš－pur－an－ni
6 gišziq－pu dan－「nu－ti ${ }^{\text {r }}$
7 ša mar mU．AN．NA－šú［（－nu）］
$8 i-n a-s^{2} u^{\prime}-u^{2}-n i^{\prime}$
9 ina UGU ：KA－e
10 ša be－lí－ia
11 ú－se－bi－la
$12[x+] 20$ L GIŠ̌．$^{2}$ ．ŠENNUR
B．E． $13{ }^{\circ} x \quad x \quad x \quad x(x)$
Rev． 14 GIŠ？$]$ ］．MES
$15 m a^{2}[\quad] \stackrel{c^{2}}{ }{ }^{2}[()]$
（up to 3 lines broken；remainder of rev．blank）

## Translation

1：［To the］governor，my lord，［your servant］Ubru－nabû（？）；health to my lord ：
4：About the saplings of which my lord wrote to me．I have sent big saplings which ．．．a year（in age），according to my lord＇s instructions． $12:[x+] 20$ medlars ．

Notes
2：The divine name in the PN is written over an erasure，and perhaps also itself partly erased
6：$z i q$－$p u$ would appear to be plural！
6：ziq－pu would appear to be plural！
8－10：These lines are written over erasures，and in 1.8 in particular it is difficult to tell what is erased and what not；the verb might be inašsūni but a present tense followed at once by a perfect creates suspicion．

12：For medlar（and other）saplings in an administrative text，of．No． 139.

## No． 199

$3.6 \times 7.8 \times 1.8$

Governor＇s Palace，Room K．

Obv． 1 a－na LÚ．EN．NAM 「EN－iá
2 ìr－ka mu．PAP．AŠ
3 lu－ka s sul－mu a－na $\begin{aligned} & \text { EN－} i\end{aligned}$

Obv． $4 u$ úqu LUGAL
5 ina UGU－a ta－a－a［l］－ka
6 UGU ${ }_{\llcorner } k i_{-}-{ }^{-} i-t i-k i-t e^{\urcorner}$
7 ［š］$a^{?}$ É？！lútar－ta－a－ni
8 1 Lứ．mu 1 ［L］Ú．ninda．SUM
91 Lú．ninda $m a-a$
10 re－su－nu $i$－ši
11 ma－a bi－su－n［u］
Rev． $12 m a-a p a^{?}-a n \mathrm{~m}[x x(x)]$
13 ša－a－zi－i－［zi］
14 ú－ma－a la－［x $x(x x)]$
15 a－na ka－na－［̌̌̌í－nu］
$16 \quad\ulcorner a\urcorner$－sa－par ${ }^{「} x \quad x \quad x(x)^{\top}$
$17 m a-\left\llcorner a_{\lrcorner} l i \grave{b}-b i-s ̌ u-n u\right.$
18 UGU ANŠ［E］$]^{\ulcorner } u^{\text {？}}$－$-r a^{\text {meš－te }}$
$19 \check{a} a$ KUR URI ${ }^{\text {ki（！}}$ ）［（）］
20 「 $x^{\urcorner}$ še－bi－la－「ni？
21 la i－ma－gúr－ru
22 la i－ma－hu－ru
$23 m a-[a] a-n a{ }^{\text {uru }}$ NINA
$24[x]-d a$ SUM $x(x) x$
T．E． 25 「 $i^{\imath}-q a-\ulcorner b i\urcorner[]$
$26\left[7\right.$ ̈s $\left.^{2}-d\right] u-d u$

## Translation

1：To the governor，my lord，your servant Adad－ahu－iddina；health to my lord：
4：A royal document has come to me about employees of the house of the turtānu－ 1 cook， 1 victualler， 1 baker－saying：＂Take them under your command，（and）assign their families to［PN］．＂ 14 ：Now I am writing to you，．．．．．．．，saying：＂Send？（some？） of them on the horse teams（？）of Akkad＂－but they will not consent and do not present themselves，saying：＇．．．．．．．to Nineveh ．．．．．．．．．．he will say ．．．let them haul．

## Notes

4：$\dot{u}$－qu ：the sign is definitely $\dot{u}$ and not $u n$ ．This form is comparable to daqu for damqu in the PN Sābu－damqu．Unqu means a ring，then the seal on a ring，and then a document stamped with that seal； here it refers（as elsewhere）to a royal letter（rather than a sealed decree），and the letter itself may not even have been sealed at all，to judge from other surviving royal letters．The word unqu is feminine－ cf．$u n-q \alpha-a-t e$ in ND 2307 （Iraq 16 （1954），37），12，and for the singular，$A B L 486$ rev．14－15；533，8－11． Ta－a－al－ka＝ta＇alka for tatalka？
6：It appears from this line that kitkitú includes domestic or culinary professions． 10：For rēšu našũ see $A H w 763$ a s．v．našư（ $(m)$ II，G I 3）d）$\gamma$ ）．
16－17：The $m \bar{a}$ of 1.17 shows that the undeciphered phrase at the end of 1.16 must have introduced an instruction from the king or another person，and not an utterance of the writer＇s．
19：URI could also of course refer to Urartu．
25－6：Restorations proposed by K．Deller．

## Commentary

The writer，who has received instructions from the king，is unable to get co－operation from the men of whom he has been ordered to take charge，and he therefore writes for assistance to the governor，who is presumably his immediate superior，rather than admit failure to the king．
No． 200
Plate 70
ND unn．
for study
$3.4 \times(2.2) \times 1.9$
［Governor＇s Palace，Room S．］
Obv． 1 「 $a\urcorner-n a$ Lú．en．Nam［E］N－$i \omega$
2 ［ìR］－k［ $a$
remainder broken）
The beginning of a letter to the governor．

No． 201
Plate 72
ND 415 BM 131994
$4 \cdot 0 \times 6 \cdot 3 \times 2 \cdot 0$

Governor＇s Palace，Room S．
Obv． $1 a-n a{ }^{\text {m．d }}{ }^{\text {EN }}$－d $a-a-n i$
2 qi－bi－ma um－ma
3 m．dmi－šar－rum－šEš－ir ${ }^{\ulcorner } x(x) x^{\top}$
4 a－na ka－a－šá lu－ú šul－mu
5 um－ma－a a－na AD－ià－a－ma
6 i－na šar－re－e
7 uruka－làh É－ni šú－ú
8 am－me－ni en－na
$9 \mathrm{AD}-u ́-a$
10 ú－maš－sěr－an－na－ši
$11 a^{3}$－na UGU mi－ni－i
12 hix AD－ú－a
$13[i] m^{2}-m a s ̌-x \quad x\left[\begin{array}{ll}x(x) & x\end{array}\right]-r a^{?}$ （1－2 lines broken）
Rev．1＇šáa $x[x(x)] x$ ša
$2^{\prime} \operatorname{PAP}\left\ulcorner x \quad x(x)^{\top} a\right.$
3＇ $\bar{u}^{?}[x] x \quad x \quad x$
$4^{\prime}$ PAP 「en－na－$a$ AD－$u$－$a$
$5^{\prime} l u$－še－bi－la

## Translation

1：To Bēl－dān say，thus Mišārum－nāṣir ．．．．．；health to you；saying to my father ：
6：Our family is from the kings of Kalhu－（so）why has my father now deserted me ？
To what purpose ．．．．．my father ．．．．．．．
Rev． $4^{\prime}$ ：Let my father send all（this）to me now．

## Notes

3：Here and in No．202，3，there are two or three signs after Mišārum－nāṣir which I cannot decipher ； they must be either his title or description（＂your son＂or similar），or the end of the PN（Misārum－ nāsir－．．．．．．）．
6－7：The precise implications of the phrase＂kings of Kalhu＂are not clear to me；it is obviously uite possible that Bēl－dān was connected to the Assyrian royal house．
12：The word at the beginning of the line may be identical with that in No．202，8，but the second sign here does not closely resemble the apparent rat there．

## Commentary

See on No． 202.

## No． 202

Plate 72
$3 \cdot 6 \times 6 \cdot 1 \times 1 \cdot 9$
Governor＇s Palace，Room S．
Obv． $1 \quad a-n a a^{\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{EN}}-d a-\left\ulcorner a^{\urcorner}-[n i]\right.}$
2 qi－bi－ma um－ma
3 m．d $m i$－šar－rum－šEŠ－ir $\ulcorner x(x) x$
4 a－na ka－a－s̆á lu－u šùl－mu
5 um－ma－a $a-n a \mathrm{AD}-i a \dot{a}-a-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{L}} m a_{\perp}$
6 áš－šúu și－bu－t［i］
7 šá ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{AD}^{\urcorner}-u ́ u-a ~ i \check{s}-p u-[r] a^{2}$
8 hi rat ${ }^{3}[(x) x] x$
9 AD－ú－a la áš－bu
$10 \quad a-n a \mathrm{AD}-i a$
11 la ú－še－bi－la
12 en－na ki－i
13 ［A］D－ú－$a$
Rev． 14 ih－hi－sa AD－ú－a
15 lĭs－pu－ram－ma
16 a－na AD－ia lu－še－bi－la

## Translation

1：To Bēl－dān say，thus Mišārum－nāṣir ．．．．．；health to you ；saying to my father ：
6 ：About the requirement of which my father wrote to me－．．．．［．．．］my father was not residing，I did not send（it）to my father．12：Now，when my father has got back， let him write to me，and I will send（it）to my father．

## Notes

3 ：For the name cf．note on No．201， 3
8 ：Cf．No．201， 12
Commentary
These two letters（Nos．201－2）were sent to Bēl－dān（clearly，in view of their provenance， he governor of that name），by Mišārum－nāsir（－．．．），who addresses him as his father． Each is concerned with sending things，once to and once from the writer，and both are written in Babylonian script and dialect．Clearly，therefore，the son must have been
 a scribal education there is uncertain．Note in passing that the divine name Mišārum is uncommon（if not actually unattested）in both nA and contemporary Babylonian names．

No． 203
Plate 73
$3 \cdot 9 \times(4 \cdot 5) \times 2 \cdot 4$
Governor＇s Palace，Room S．
Obv．（beginning broken）
$[(x) x] x-a-\alpha$ 「Lú ERíN？$[(x)]$

$2^{\prime} \quad[r e-e s ̌(?)]$ giśs． $3_{\text {BE－}}^{\prime}$ le－šu－nu

$4^{\prime} \quad\left\ulcorner\quad 7{ }^{\prime}\right.$ tar－ṣa Lú．SAG．meš－ka
$5^{\prime}$ ina muhh－hi－šúz－nu pi－qi－di
$6^{\prime} \mathrm{m}_{\text {LUGAL．BÀD LÚ }}$ ．E［N．N］AM
$7^{\prime} \quad[\check{s}] a$ urukal－hl ina qa－「an｀－ni
$8^{\prime} \quad[a] s-s[a]-p a[r]-s s^{\prime} u$
$9^{\prime}[i s-s e]-$＇̌̌́ $\left.\mathfrak{u}\right] i l-l u-k u$
Rev． $10^{\prime} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}(x) & x\end{array}\right]$ UD－mu
11＇［ša L］Ú．A．KIN－šú ta－am－ma－ru－「ni
12＇［l］u－nam－mi－šúu is－se－šúu
13＇lil－li－ku a－na
$4^{\prime}$ Lư．SAG．MEš－ka ša is－se－šúu－nu
［i］l－la－ku－ni tè̀e－mu
$6^{\prime}$［ta－］šak－kan ma－a，ka－a－a－ma－ni
$\left.17^{\prime}[a-n a(?) \mathrm{m}]_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{LUGAL}\right\lrcorner$ ．BÀD
$8^{\prime} \quad[t a-$ šam－m $] a^{?}-a$
$19^{\prime}$［
$t a]-s ̌ a k-k a-n[a]$
（remainder broken）
Translation
$1^{\prime}: \ldots$. troops？，［let them take charge of（？）］their equipment，and see that their ＂campaion－flour＂is good．Appoint your eunuchs over them．6＇：Šarru－dūri，the governor of Kalhu，（is？）in the area（？）；I have written to him and they will go with him［．．．；］the
day［that］you see his messenger，they should get moving and go with him．13＇：You should give instructions to your eunuchs who are going with them，that they should constantly［obey（？）］Šarru－dūrī［．．．．．．．．．．

## Notes

$2^{\prime}$ ：According to $C A D$ в 199a，the word should be $\operatorname{till\overline {u}}$（connected with alālu Gt）．
$3^{\prime}$ ：For＂campaign－flour＂see on No．185，9；note that the word is here construed as feminine plural．
$16^{\prime}-17^{\prime}$ ：The writer is probably asking his correspondent to ensure that his subordinates will obey Šarru－dūrī＇s command；11．17 $7^{\prime} 18^{\prime}$ are restored on this assumption．

## Commentary

The general tone of the letter suggests that it was written by the king．The recipient is being told to send troops to Šarru－dūrī，but the way in which the title of＂governor of Kalhu＂is specified in full seems to show that the recipient of the letter is not a member of Šarru－dūrī＇s own administration．Since the man also has charge of several eunuchs， he was probably a man of some importance，perhaps another provincial governor，but there is no reason why this letter should have been found at Kalhu unless the recipient forwarded it together with the troops to Šarru－dūrī．

No． 204
Plate 74
$4 \cdot 6 \times(7 \cdot 8) \times 3 \cdot 0$
［Governor＇s Palace，Room S．］
Obv．
（beginning broken）
$\begin{array}{lll}1^{\prime} & {[x p] a^{2} m\left[u^{2}\right] n a \mathrm{UD}[ } \\ 9^{\prime} & \ulcorner & \end{array}$
「ma｀－a 「ki｀－ix［
ma－hi－ru ša ma－「ti？－－［ia（？）
「ma－a’ ša GUD ki－i［
$m[a-] a$ ša UDU $k i-i[$
$m[a-] a$ ša KUR．MEŠ $k u-d i n\ulcorner x\urcorner$
［m］a－a Lú－ti ，，，，
$[m] a-a$ GIŠ．ME BUL + BUL $"$ in－ta－har？
［ $m] a-a$ GIŠ．ME BUL＋BUL $\hat{u}-m a-\ulcorner x \quad x$
［ $] d i^{2}$ ina IGI $m a^{?}[x] x$
$11^{\prime}$［
］šáá IGI É．GAL
$] b i l^{?}$ in－bu ${ }^{?}[(x)]$
B．E． $13^{\prime} \quad$［
B．E． $13^{\prime}$
$14^{\prime}$
$15^{\prime}$
$15^{\prime}$［
$16^{\prime}$［
$17^{\prime}$［
（remainder of rev．blank to break）

## Notes

$3^{\prime}: m a h \bar{h} r u \check{r} a$ sā̃t $[i y a]$ ：for a report on prices（or rates of exchange）for different commodities， cf．NL 52 （Iraq 21 （1959），162）；prices were fixed，according to that letter，for different towns or provinces，and other references make it clear that each province might have a different rate of exchange． $4^{\prime}-9^{\prime}$ ：These lines presumably gave the situation with regard to differing commodities，viz．oxen $\left(1.4^{\prime}\right)$ ，sheep（ $1.5^{\prime}$ ），horses and mules $\left(1.6^{\prime}\right)$ ，slaves（ameluti or corresponding nA form，cf．ARU 658 ， 1．7），and woods（1．8－9）；however the ends of the lis in literary texts，means＂such and such＂，and 8－9 ：I can only＂ her woods（did something else）＂．
17＇：＂has gone well＂（suggestion of K．Deller）．

## Commentary

Regrettably the text is too broken for translation，but it does reveal the existence of recognized rates for various commodities．
No． 205
Plate 73
ND unn．

IM，for study
［Governor＇s Palace，Room S．］
Obv． 1 「a－na｀［

$$
\begin{gathered}
a-n a \\
\text { İR-[ } k a
\end{gathered}
$$

lu－u šùl－［mu
$i a-u-t i$ LÚ $\left.{ }^{\text {「 }} x\right\urcorner[$
$[(x) x] \mathrm{m}_{\text {ERÍN．}}$ 「MEŠ？$? .15$ 「 $\left.\mathrm{s} a^{?\urcorner}\right]$
$\left[(x) s{ }_{s}\right] a^{?}\left\ulcorner x \quad x \quad x \quad x x^{7}[()]\right.$
$[(x) x]$ 「ša $a^{?\urcorner}$ NAM $^{?}[(x)$ M $]$ EŠ？${ }^{?}-s ̌ u$
$\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & x) \\ x\end{array}\right]\right.$ šáa $l i-d i-n a$
［ ］na？$[i] a-u-t i$

1 ［l］i？－ma－x Lứ．NIMGIR？$a-l i-a / e$
$12[(x) x$ B $]$ ÀD？$n i$ lu ìr．ME－ma？$[(\quad)]$
13
B．E． 14 ［ $] l a a^{2}-z a[(-x)]$
15 ［
$1 \quad]^{\ulcorner } x x x$
Rev． 17 ［ $-\dot{s}] \mathfrak{k}-n u d u l-l u[($
18 「šu$u^{2}-a^{2}-t u^{\top} \quad u r-t a-m e-\left[u^{2}\right]$
19 me－me－ni $\mathrm{SIG}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{ME}[\check{\mathrm{S}}(-\stackrel{s}{ } u$ ú）］
20 la и́－qa－ra－ba $[x(x)]$
$21 a$－na 6 －šú $r i-s{ }_{2} p^{?}-x[$
22 ［ina $\left.{ }^{2}\right]$ UGU mu－kar－r $\left[i^{2}-s i(?)\right.$
$23[(x) x] n a^{2}$ ur ${ }^{\ulcorner } x^{7} \mathrm{NA}_{4}[$
24 ［ $\check{s}] a^{\text {uru }}{ }_{\text {TAB．TAB－}-h a-a[-a(?)]}$
25 ina pa－ni－ia aq－qur？［］

Rev． $26 \quad a-n a-k u$ KASKAL？$a-[$
$27 \quad\ulcorner x \quad x \quad x\urcorner d i^{?}$［
28 dul－lu $x$
$29 z[a$
（remainder of rev．broken）
L．E． $1^{\prime}[\quad] m u^{?} s ̣ a l i^{?} x[$
Translation
1：To［．．．．．．your］servant［PN］；health to［．．．．．］：
4：My［．．．．．］－men［．．．．．．．］Ṣābu－ištar？．
8
：May he give me $\qquad$
17：．．．．．they have abandoned that work，（and）no one is bringing up［his？］bricks； 6 times the masonry（？）［．．．．．．．．．．（remainder too broken for translation）．

## Note

5：The restoration of the PN is made likely by 1.13 ，where it probably recurs．

## Commentary

This was clearly a report on building activities，perhaps once similar to No．193，but since both the addressee and the writer are lost，it is practically useless．

## No． 206

Plate 74
ND 443
IM，for study
$3 \cdot 6 \times 6 \cdot 1 \times(2 \cdot 4)$
Governor＇s Palace，Room S．
Obv．（beginning broken）
$1^{\prime}$ 「ina muh－h $i$ šE？ $\mathrm{I}[$ Ú
$2^{\prime} \quad \check{s} a$ uru ur ${ }^{?}-b i\left[l^{?}\right.$
3＇$a$－sa－pár－ka： $7 a^{?\urcorner}$ ta－pa $a^{?}-q\left[i \hat{i}-d i^{2}\right]$


$6^{\prime} \quad\ulcorner a\urcorner-k i$ ina IGI－ma ina ${ }^{〔}$ Šì ${ }^{\top}[(x)]\ulcorner x \quad x\urcorner$
$7^{\prime} \quad t u-r u^{2}-u-n i: \mathrm{m}^{「} x \quad x^{\urcorner}$
$8^{\prime}$ 「ša？$a^{? 7}[x]$ ru $x$ x
（remainder almost entirely broken）

## Translation

$1^{\prime}$ ：about the corn（？）of the［．．．－men］of the city of Urbi［1．．．］－I wrote to you（but） you did not make an appointment ．．．．corn？． $5^{\prime}$ ：this land is returned into ．．．． as it was in the past ． ．corn？； $5^{\prime}$ ：this land is returned into

## Note

$6^{\prime}$ ：ina IGI－ma probably ina pānīte－ma

No． $20 \%$
$(3 \cdot 9) \times(4 \cdot 6) \times 2 \cdot 2$

ND 425
nst．Arch．London

Governor＇s Palace，Room S．

Obv．（beginning broken）
$1^{\prime}$ ih－［tal－qu？（
$2^{\prime} \quad a-n a-k[u] s \check{s} a\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{ru} & x\end{array} x(x)\right]$
$3^{\prime}$ ar－ti－di－pi
$\left.4^{\prime} \quad u^{\prime}-s a-h i-r a{ }^{\mathrm{m}_{x}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & (x)\end{array}\right)\right]$
$5^{\prime} \quad s ̌ a \quad$ URU．šE $s\left[i^{2}\right]-i d-[q] i^{2}-\left[i^{?}\right]$
$6^{\prime} \quad$ ir－ti－di－p $\left[i^{?} i\right] h^{?}-[t a l-q u(?)]$
$7^{\prime} \quad$ la－áš－šú $l a$ 「i$\urcorner-[m a-g u ́ r(?)]$
$8^{\prime}$ la ú－šu－bu［（ ）］
B．E． $9^{\prime} \quad$［š］E．NUMUN－šú－nu la $i$－「ma－gúr $10^{\prime}$ la $i-r u$－šu
Rev．11＇ŠE kur－an－gu ša $i-z a-r\left[u^{2}-u-n i\right]$
12 ur－ta－me－ú e－ta－x［（x）x］

$14^{\prime}$ be－lí e－mar te－lit ad－r［i］
$15^{\prime}$ a－mar и́－še－rab－u－n［i］
$16^{\prime} \quad s[u ́] m-m a$ be－lí $i-s a-a p^{?}-r[a(?)]$
17＇ina UGU घišza－qi－pe［（ ）］
$18^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{A}^{*}$ lìb－bil！－šúv－nu $i_{-\llcorner } x_{\lrcorner}-[x(\quad)$ （remainder of rev．broken）
L．E． $1^{\prime \prime} \quad i n a \operatorname{UG}[\mathrm{U} \mathrm{E}] \mathrm{N}-i a \operatorname{i}-t a-\left\ulcorner a a^{7}-\left[s a^{3}\right.\right.$ $2^{\prime \prime}$ be－$l[\hat{i}] l u-s{ }^{\prime \prime}$－bu－la－šu UD［
$3^{\prime \prime}$ ina šuii＿šúu lu－ha［l？－

## Translation

$1^{\prime}$ ：．．．．］they［fled？（．．．．．）］；I chased（those）of the village？［of ．．．．．］（and）brought （them）back；［PN］chased（those）of the village of Ṣidqi，（but）［they escaped］．None of them［agree］to stay，（and）they will not agree to cultivate their sown corn；they have abandoned the rice they were sow［ing？］，and have［．．．．］．13＇：My lord will see the yield of the threshing－floor of the cooks（and）of［．．．．．］，how much they bring in．If my lord writes？about the stakes［．．．．．．．
L．E．：It was unpleasing to my lord［．．．．．］let my lord send it［．．．．．．．］let him destroy？（it）from his hands．

## Notes

$11^{\prime}$ ：$-r[u$（suggested by S．Parpola）is admissible（collated）．
$2^{\prime}$ ：The final sign is accurately copied，and no obvious restoration suggests itself．
L．E． $\mathbf{1}^{\prime \prime}$ ：Assuming that the verb is from marāsu，in its sense of＂be displeasing to，annoy＂．

## Commentary

The surviving part of the letter seems to cover two topics: in $11.1^{\prime}-12^{\prime}$ it is a question of some villagers who abandoned their homes and crops to flee authority, and possibly 11. $13^{\prime}-15^{\prime}$ belong with this incident as well. From l. $16^{\prime}$ onwards the writer is concerned with stakes (or less likely, saplings). The L.E. is too broken to assess.

## No. 208

Plate 74
$(3 \cdot 3) \times(3 \cdot 9) \times(2 \cdot 0)$
Governor's Palace, Room S.

| $1^{\prime}$ | lqar $\ulcorner x \quad x\urcorner$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2^{\prime}$ | $-] s ̌ i-i b-a n-n i$ |
| $3^{\prime}$ | AN]š̌E.KUR.RA.ME |
| $4^{\prime}$ | $-q] i$ lu la $i-l a-q i$ |

## Translation

$2^{\prime}$ : . . . has] appointed me(?), [. . . . . . ] horses, [. . . . . . ] let him not take.
Note
$\prime^{\prime}$ : Presumably a form of šešsubu " to appoint (to a post) ".

## Commentary

This is the bottom right-hand corner of a tablet, but there is no way even of telling whether the face that survives is obverse or reverse.
No. 209
Plate 75

## ND 403

Inst. Arch. London
$3 \cdot 2 \times(2 \cdot 9) \times 1 \cdot 9$

Governor's Palace, Room U.
Obv.
$\begin{array}{ll} & (\text { beginning broken } \\ 1^{\prime} & a-k\left[i^{i}\right]^{\top} x\end{array} x \quad x \quad x^{\top}\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & (x\end{array}\right)$
$2^{\prime} \quad$ is-se-niš $k i-i a\left[t^{3}-t a(?)\right]$
$3^{\prime}$ taq-ban-ni ma-a LERIN」 $^{\prime}$.[MEŠ]
$4^{\prime} \quad$ ú-šal-lam $a-d a-n[a]$
$5^{\prime}$ ina E.GAL $a q-t \underline{t}-b[i]$
$6^{\prime} \quad u ́$-ma- $a$ ERÍN.MEŠ la-á[š-šúu]
$7^{\prime}$ be-lí ki-i šá e-pa-šú-ni
B.E. $8^{\prime} l e-p u-u s ̌$
$9^{\prime}$ lúha-za-nu-ma

10' la a-mur
Rev. 11' LÚ.GAL URU.MEŠ-ni-ma
12' la a-mur ${ }_{\text {GİR }}{ }^{\mathrm{ii}} .15$
$13^{\prime}$ šu-u i-se-ia am-me-ni
$14^{\prime} \quad a-n a$ EN-ia $i$-sa- $-i^{?}-u[(-n i)]$
$15^{\prime}$ at-ta ina É.gAL
$16^{\prime} \quad t a q-t \underline{i}-b i \quad m a-a$ ERÍN.ME[̌̌̌]
$17^{\prime}$ L と́-šal $a l-l a m_{\perp}[i-d a-n a]$ (remainder broken)

## Translation

$2^{\prime}$ : . . . . just as [you?] told me that you would give the full complement of troops, so I told the palace. $6^{\prime}$ : Now there are no troops, and my lord may do as he will. I have not seen even a/the mayor or a/the village-inspector. Sēp-istar (remonstrates?) with me: " Why did they lie? to my lord, and why did you tell the palace that [he would give?] the full complement of troops ? [. . . . . . . . . .

## Notes

$2^{\prime}$ : issēniš $k i \bar{c}$ obviously means " equally as, just as " here.
$7^{\prime}-8^{\prime}$ : The exact connotation of " my lord will do as he will do " is probably " he will be justified in taking any action against me as he pleases "-in other words " you " (the recipient) " have exposed me to his wrath ".
$13^{\prime}$ : The interpretation of $\check{s} \bar{u} \bar{i}$ issēya as "he (remonstrates) with me" is of course open to doubt. It is prompted by the fact that the atta of $1.15^{\prime}$ is performing the same actions as (and is therefore the same person as) the man who is speaking in the 1st person in $1.5^{\prime}$. This person is the author of the letter, and if he refers to himself in the 2 nd person in 1. 15', we must suppose he is quoting another person's speech.
$14^{\prime}$ : The subject of isaliū(ni) must be a 3rd person plural, referring to people in general, if the reconstruction just made is correct. Salä'u" to tell lies " is fairly common in nA letters.

## Commentary

The letter seems to be from one official to another, and blames the recipient for not living up to his word and having failed to provide the troops which he had promised to the writer, and which the writer in turn had promised to the palace. The immediate source of wrath would seem to be the person referred to as "my lord", who is probably the provincial governor; a man called S̄ēp-ištar who intervenes is probably an intermediary between the guilty officials and the governor.
No. 210
Plate 75
ND 431(b)
Inst. Arch. London
$(2 \cdot 3) \times(3 \cdot 0) \times(1 \cdot 7)$

Governor's Palace, Room S.
A small fragment, presumably from a letter because of the EN-ia in $1.3^{\prime}$.
No． 211
Plate 75
ND unn．
IM，for study
$(1 \cdot 8) \times(3 \cdot 8) \times(1 \cdot 4)$
［Governor＇s Palace，Room S．］
A small fragment from the left edge of a tablet，probably a letter．

No． 212
Plate 76
$(3 \cdot 7) \times(5 \cdot 5) \times(1 \cdot 7)$
Governor＇s Palace，Room S．
Obv．？（beginning broken）
$1^{\prime} \quad[x] 2^{?}-\left[u^{?}\right]-t e^{?}[$
$2^{\prime}[x r] u-t u \quad[$
$3^{\prime} \quad[a-n] a$ É giššurur－mi－n $n i$
$4^{\prime} \quad 2$ 2－u－te 2 ina 1 KÙŠ $p u-u\left[s^{?}\right.$ ？$-k u^{?}$
$5^{\prime} \quad a-n a$ KÁ $z i-\left\ulcorner q i\right.$ ša É $^{\prime}[$
$6^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{A}] \mathrm{P} 10 s ̌ a$ モ́ $\operatorname{hb} i-l\left[a^{2}-n i\right.$
2 「KAL｀．MEŠ $a-n a$ KÁ $x$
$8^{\prime}$＿ša」 É GIŠ．KAL 3 ina 1 K［Ùš
$9^{\prime} \quad[1 r] u-t-u p u-u s ̌-k u 2\left[^{?}\right.$
$0^{\prime} \quad 2^{2}$ 」 2 －u－te $a-n a$ Е́［ （rev．？broken）
$9^{\prime}$ ：pušku（also in 1．4＇）has previously been identified only in neo－Babylonian texts；it is identified by W．von Soden as an Aramaic loan word meaning＂one－sixth of a cubit＂（OrNS 37 （1968），263 No．116）．

## Commentary

This appears to be a list of beams，with their sizes and lengths，which were required for the building of doors and windows in a palace．It is probably hopeless to attempt to identify the palace concerned，although the occurrence of $\overline{\bar{u}} \bar{t}$ hilāni and the phrase $b \bar{a} b z \bar{i} q i$ make us think inevitably of Sargon，and the text＇s discovery at Nimrud suggest that this might be for a reconstruction of the North－west Palace of Assur－nāsir－apli，which had different＂houses＂of different woods．

The tablet would naturally have been classed as＂administrative＂but for the text＇s physical appearance：it is very well written，on a finely made and possibly baked tablet with a flat surface and the general look of a library text．

## No． 213

 Plate 77$5 \cdot 2 \times 3.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．
Governor＇s Palace，Room S．
A rough copy of this text by Professor D．J．Wiseman is given，since I cannot at the moment trace the tablet itself．The lines copied do not give much clue to the text＇s identity；according to Professor Wiseman＇s notes，the tablet had $12+[\mathrm{x}]+13+1$（left edge）lines．

## No． 214

Plate 76
ND 411
$(6 \cdot 2) \times(6 \cdot 0) \times 2 \cdot 9$
Governor＇s Palace，Room S．

$2{ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{UTU}$ mi－gir ${ }^{\text {d }}$ a－nim mi－na（！）kit？［ ］
3 DUMU．UŠ ${ }^{\text {d }}{ }^{\text {EN．LÍL LUGAL }}$ kiš－šat UN．MEŠ mi－na $x$［
4 LUGAL šá－ma－mi LUGAL sip－parki LUGAL $a-x$［
5 mun－nar－bu mu－zak－ru LUGAL gi－mir［

$7 \quad[(x) x \quad x]-a-n u$ iš－ku－nu tu－q［u－un－tu
8 ［（x）$x$ x $x]$－at－ka iš－ku－nu pi－［
$9 \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}(x) & x & x\end{array}\right]$ UN．MEš sa－pah ma－a－ti iš－k［u－nu
$10[(x) x$ š］$]$－a－te i－hi－il－lu ki－ma $z[a-$
11 ［ $\mathrm{i} i s ̌$－$] \operatorname{tar} s{ }^{2} a r-r a-t u ́ u ́ u ́-s ̌ a r-r i-i\left[r^{?}\right.$
12 ［GIŠ．M］I？EDIN it－ta－ra－aṣ $a$－［na？
（remainder of obv．and upper part of rev．broken）
Rev．1＇$[(x) x] x$ ir－tak $k^{?}-k a^{3}-\ulcorner b u\urcorner$［
$2^{\prime}[x]-\left\ulcorner x-r u^{?} ?-m a\right.$ UR．SAG．MEŠ ina bi－［r］i－ší－n $n\left[u^{?}\right]$
$3^{\prime} \quad m u-\hat{u}[$ ）］
$4^{\prime}$ ul ú－ad－di ina SUH ŠEŠ $x$［
5＇ù šá u－vr－tu $\begin{array}{r}\text {－pu－ŝu ul } \\ \text {－}\end{array}$
$6^{\prime} \quad 40$ MU．MEŠ NUN？$e$［

## Notes

5：muzzakru（i．e．N Participle of zakāru）appears to be unique．
Rev． $6^{\prime}$ ：The subscript informs us that the tablet had forty lines，so that over half is lost；the remainder of this line is unclear，but it obviously does not quote the text＇s opening words．

## Commentar

A literary text consisting of an address to the gods Samaš and Adad，invoked in divination texts and tamìtu texts．However，it does not fall into either of those categories，and after the introduction describes wars and disaster．Professor W．G．Lambert informs me that he knows of no parallel texts．

## No． 215

Plate 77
$(8 \cdot 2) \times(15 \cdot 1) \times 3 \cdot 0$（main piece）
$(4 \cdot 2) \times(4 \cdot 1) \times(1 \cdot 7)$（lower left－hand corner）
Governor＇s Palace，Room S．

Obv．（only the ends of a few lines survive，together with some very worn signs on the obverse proper rather than the right edge．Enough remains to show that the obverse contained similar material to the reverse）
Rev．1＇ $\begin{array}{ccc}1 & {[ } \\ 2^{\prime} & {[ } \\ 3^{\prime} & {[ } \\ 4^{\prime} & {[ } \\ 5^{\prime} & {[ } \\ 6^{\prime} & {[ } \\ 7^{\prime} & {[ } \\ 8^{\prime} & {[ } \\ 9^{\prime} & {[ } \\ 10^{\prime} & {[ } \\ 11^{\prime} & {[ } \\ 12^{\prime} & {[ } \\ 13^{\prime} & {[ }\end{array}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ]x [ ] } \\
& a-n a b[a-m a-t e] \\
& ] \text { Dub- } a[k(\mathrm{l})] \\
& \text { ]a-na ba-m[a-te } \\
& \text { sa }{ }^{\text {' } a t\left[i^{?}\right.} \text { ( } \\
& \text { ] zad }[u( \\
& \text { ]KI.LÁ ša Š[I]M.ŠE } \\
& ](x) x \text { ina } p\left[a-a n^{2}\right] \text { LUGAL }
\end{aligned}
$$


B］ABBAR．meŠ in［a］「UGU？’ gištal－tal－li－šu［ta？${ }^{?}$－šá－「kan
$] x$ ina bat－te－šu ta－ha－ra－as i［na？A．MEŠ
］a－na 10 UD．ME－šu A．MEŠ ša gištal－t［al］－l
$] x-e$ DUB－$a k 2 q a$ IÀ．MEŠ Šim．GÍR TA＊gištal－tal－li－šúu

ina muh－h］$i^{i}$ NÍG．NA ${ }^{\text {sim }} q u-n u-b u$ tu－lab－bak ina muh－hi ta－za－ri $[q]$
ina $m u-k] a^{2}$－ri－si $5 / 6^{?}$ MA．NA ŠIM．šEŠ $t a-m a-r a q$
］x ta－ga－mar a－na 2－šúu ta－bat－taq
$t] a$－Kar－ra－ár－šúu 10 GÍn．meš ina ša KUR gar－ga－miš
$7 x$－qu ta－ma－raq a－na 2－šúu－ma ta－bat－taq
］ta－kar－ra－ár i－bi－ia－da
］．me－šúu ta－ṣa－ha－at tu－ul－ $7 a\urcorner$

## $[x]^{\ulcorner }{ }^{\text {DUG }}{ }^{7}$［

［a－n］a $2-e[$
（remainder of rev．blank）

## Commentary

This is a fragment from a long text giving recipes for the preparation of perfumes from spices and aromatic woods．Similar texts may be found in e．g．E．Ebeling，Parfümrezepte und kultische Texte aus Assur，from the middle Assyrian period．

No． 216
Plate 77
$(4 \cdot 0) \times(3 \cdot 8) \times 2 \cdot 4$
Governor＇s Palace，Room H．
Right－hand edge fragment，probably from a lexical text．

## No． $21 \%$

Plate 74
$(10 \cdot 5) \times(9 \cdot 2) \times 6.7$
Outside Governor＇s Palace（see below）．
Obv．（beginning broken）
1＇ku－dúr－ru e－me－［su－nu－ti
$2^{\prime}$ ú－šúúm－gal－lu ek－［du
3＇mu－la－it ek－［su－te
$4^{\prime}$ man kàl mal－ke ${ }^{\text {meš }}$［
$\left.5^{\prime} \quad{ }^{\prime} k a^{3}\right\lrcorner$［
（remainder of obv．and upper part of rev．broken）
Rev．1＇DÙ．A．BI GE［ŠTIN．MEŠ
$2^{\prime}$ dul la－be－ru［
$3^{\prime} \quad$ lu $u$－šáá－pí［
$4^{\prime}$ BÀD－šú ana eš－šúu－［te
$5^{\prime} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & x\end{array}\right] r i\left[\begin{array}{l}? \\ \end{array}\right.$

ND 201
IM，for study
－

ND 279
IM，for study

## Commentary

This seems to be a fragment from a duplicate of the＂inscription from the wall of Calah＂ （ $A K A 177 \mathrm{ff}$ ．）；our Obv．1＇－5＇correspond to Obv．31－6（AKA 181－2），our Rev．1＇－5＇to Rev．14－18（AKA 185－6）．
The piece was＂found in ash rubbish outside southern external wall of bathroom＂ （of Governor＇s Palace）＂about 2.00 m ．above the level of the floor，possibly from the ruins of Shalmaneser＇s＂（＝Governor＇s ？）＂palace，but may have been thrown out from elsewhere＂（quotation from excavation catalogue）．
No． 218
Plate 78
ND 202
Inst．Arch．London
$(3 \cdot 0) \times 3 \cdot 6 \times 2 \cdot 0$
A 49 ．
Docket with five lines on obverse，two on reverse；ends of lines only preserved， not adequate to warrant transliteration or to permit classification，but presumably administrative．

## No． 219

Plate 78；Photo Plate 94c
$4.7 \times(6 \cdot 2) \times 2 \cdot 1$
A 50，Room 7 ．
Obv． $1 \quad\left[{ }^{2} A_{4} \cdot \operatorname{KIŠIBB}^{\mathrm{m}}(x)\right]-x-a d-d u$
2 ［EN DUMU．M］İ－śú ta－「da｀－a－ni
(stamp-seal impressions)
$3 \overline{\text { mídba－ni－tum－［̌̌ar－ra］t } t^{?} \text { DUMU．Mí－su }}$
$44 r u-\hat{u}-\underline{-} u \quad \hat{u}^{3}[-p i s ̌-] m a$
5 m man－nu－ki－d MAŠ［ina lib－b］i
65 Gín．meš kù．babbar $x[x]_{L} x x_{\perp}$
7 ina kal－la－ú－t［i il－qi（？）］
8 kás－pu ga－mur t［a－din］
9 「 $\mathrm{MI}^{\mathrm{I}}{ }^{7}$ šu ${ }^{\prime}-a-t e e_{\mathrm{L}} z a_{\lrcorner}-a[r-p a t]$
10 ［la（q）］－qi－at tu－a［－ru（dēnu）］
11 ［KА．К］A la－áš－šúú man－n［u $\left.\begin{array}{llll}u & x & x\end{array}\right]$
2 ［ina ma－te－］$m a^{2} i-z a-q u-[p a-n i]$
13 ［
KÙ］．${ }_{\llcorner } \mathrm{BABBAR}^{\text {？}}$ ］
（remainder of obv．and beginning of rev．（c． 5 lines in all），broken）
Rev．1＇${ }^{\text {IGII }}{ }^{\text {m．d．d．}}$ PA．$[$
$2^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{\text {m．d．dUTU．［ }}$
$3^{\prime}{ }_{\text {LIGI }}{ }^{\mathrm{I}}$ 」 $k e^{?}-e^{?}[-$
$4^{\prime}$ IGI $\left[\mathrm{m}_{\text {Gİ }}\right] \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{ii}}$ ． $\mathrm{d} 15[($

## ND 409

Inst．Arch．London Inst．Arch．London
$[x+] 4 . x . p o s t-c a n$.

Rev. 1' [ ]me UD! MEŠ $^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} s a^{2 ?}$ ? $-a[r-t u ́ u(\quad)]$
$2^{\prime} \quad[a-n] a \quad x \quad x \quad$ MU.AN.N[A?
$3^{\prime}-4^{\prime} \quad$ (undeciphered)
$5^{\prime} \quad[$ KА.к $] \mathrm{A} l a \mathrm{~T}\left[\mathrm{I}^{\prime}(\quad)\right]$
$6^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{IGI}]$ mš̀̀ $\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{mu}$-[x $\left.x(x)\right] x[$
$7^{\prime}[\text { [IGI }]^{\mathrm{m}} x \quad x \quad x \quad[$
$8^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{IGI}] \mathrm{mgi}$-da ${ }^{2}-a\left[{ }^{2}-a^{2}\right.$
$9^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{IGI}] \mathrm{m}_{a b-d a-a\left[{ }^{2}-a^{2}\right.}$
$10^{\prime}[$ IGI $] \mathrm{m}_{\text {PAP }}{ }^{\text {ii }}-s u^{2} u^{?}-x[$
11' [IGI] mrém-a? ${ }^{\text {? }}$-x
$12^{\prime}[\mathrm{IGI}] \mathrm{m}_{\text {NUMUN }}$ ? $-u-a \mathrm{~L}\left[\hat{U}^{?}\right.$ ?
$13^{\prime} \quad[\mathrm{IGI}] \mathrm{m}_{z a-a-a}[($
$14^{\prime}$ [ITIT.] $x$ UD. $1^{1}{ }^{\prime}$.KÁ[m]
15' [ ] DINGIR E[( )] (remainder broken but probably uninscribed)

## Translation

$\ldots$. (is guaranteed against) sickness and seizure. $1^{\prime}$ : for] 100 days (and against) criminal [charges for] all? years? [. . . . . . . . . ; ] he shall plead [in his lawsuit (but)] not suc[ceed].
$6^{\prime}$ : Before Šulmu-[...., before] ......, [before] Gidāya?, [..., before] Abda[. ..., before] Ahu-šu?-[. . . ., before] Rēmā[. . . ., before] Zērūa? ${ }^{\text {, the? }}$ ? . . . ., before] Zāya [. . . . .]. 14' : [Month of . . . .], 1st? day, [. . . . .] Ilu-iqbi(?).

## Note

$15^{\prime}$ : Ilu-iqbi, or . . .]-šamê is presumably the name of the eponym or part of it, but I cannot find a limmu who would fit these traces.

## Commentary

This fragment must be the remains of a slave sale, if the very broken lines at the beginning are correctly restored. In view of the date of No. 219 , with which it was found, it is probably seventh century in date.
No. 221
Plate 78
$(3 \cdot 3) \times 3 \cdot 7 \times 1 \cdot 8$
ND 407
IM, for study
20.i.[ — ]

A 50, Room 7.
Obv. 1-3 (broken)
$4 \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}x & x & x\end{array}\right]$ pu u $x[$
(stamp-seal impressions)
5 m man-nu-ki- $\boldsymbol{i}^{\top}-\mathrm{d}$ [
B.E. $6{ }_{\llcorner } k a ́ s-p u_{\perp}[g a m]-m u r[t a-d i n]$

7 tú-a-ru [к]А. [КА laššu]
Rev. 8 mám-ma тА* mám-[ma
9 man-nu ša GI[L-u-ni
10 EN $u{ }^{\text {d }}$ MAŠ $a-\left[d i^{?}-e(?)\right.$
11 「 $7 u-u^{7}-b a-’ u$-śú [
12 itt.barag Ud.20.к[Ám
13 IGI ${ }^{\text {m }}$ KUR.DINGIR- $a-x$ [
$14 \mathrm{I}[\mathrm{GI}] \mathrm{m} / a\ulcorner x\urcorner \operatorname{man}^{?}[$
T.E. 15 IGI ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ qur-di-a[̌̌-š̄]ur? ${ }^{2}$.

16 [
]
L.E. 17 IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\llcorner } x \quad x \quad x_{\lrcorner}$

18 IGI $x$ [
]

## Translation

5 : . . .] Mannu-kī-[. . has taken]. 6: The price [has been paid] in toto, [there is no (further)] withdrawal, lawsuit, [(or) litigation]. No one [shall plead] with anyone. Whoever contra[venes (the agreement)], may Bēl and Ninurta (and) the [oaths? of the king (and king's son)] seek (requital) [from his hands].

12 : Month of Nīsānu (I), 20th day, [limmu of . . . . .].
13: ( 6 witnesses, including Qurdī-aššur, 1. 15).

## Note

10-11 : For this sort of clause, see K. Deller, W ZKM 57 (1961), 29 ff.
13: To be read Sadu-ilāya ? (assuming kUR.DINGIR- $a-i[a]$ ).

## Commentary

Although outwardly the tablet has the appearance of a loan text, ll. 6-9 cannot belong to a loan transaction, and II. 8-9 in particular point to a receipt of some kind ; in this case Mannu-ki-[. . .] must have been the payer, and the name of the recipient is lost. Although the limmu name is broken, script and general size and appearance of the text suggest seventh century, which agrees with No. 219 from the same provenance, post-canonical in date.

## No. 222

Plate 80
$7 \cdot 1-7 \cdot 6 \times(3 \cdot 7) \times 3 \cdot 0$
B 50, Room vii.

A $1^{\prime}$ [
[ $\quad 7 x 1$ GUD ${ }^{「} \mathrm{MI}^{?!7} x[$
$2^{\prime}\ulcorner 1\urcorner$ GUD 25 gi-zi m munuš. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ [
$3^{\prime} 1$ ANŠE $20 \mathrm{gi} i-z i{ }^{\text {m.d }} \mathrm{d}_{\text {PA.PAP- }}\left[\operatorname{sal} l^{2}-\lim (?)\right]$

ND 465
IM, for study

A $\quad 4^{\prime} \quad 1$ GUD $k u$－um $x[x] x$ m．dšùul－ma－nu－PAP
$5^{\prime} 1$ MÍ．húb ${ }^{\text {m．d }}$ AMAR．UTU－［ $\left.(x)-x-\right]$ lu LÚ．MU
$6^{\prime}$
［ $\quad] x_{\text {L UD．KA．BAR．} M_{\lrcorner}[\mathrm{EKŠ}]}$
（remainder of this face broken）

「É 20 ？${ }^{\text {？URU } 2} 2$ LÚ．EN［GAR（．MEŠ）］「É $\left.60^{\text {？}\urcorner ~ u r u ~}{ }_{\text {DUL－「 }} x(x) x\right\urcorner$
1 GIŠ．ŠAR ina KUR－e 1 ina uruné－med－${ }^{\text {INANNA }}$
${ }^{\prime}$ mim－ma an－ni－úu $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{EN}^{2}$ ．ERÍN－š $u^{?}$
$2^{?}$ GÚ．UN URUDU［ $]_{\llcorner } x x_{\lrcorner} \cdot$ MES （remainder of this face broken）
L．E． $1 \quad[\quad] a n-n i-u{ }^{\mathrm{m}} d a-x[$
2 ［ i］na lìb－bi Lư．ì［R？

## Translation

A 1＇：．．．．．］ 1 ox，．．［．．．．．］； 1 ox， 25 goats，Ubru－［．．．．．］； 1 donkey， 20 goats， Nabû－ahu－š［allim？］； 1 ox instead of ．．．．．．，Şlmānu－nāṣir ； 1 mare，Marduk－［．．．］lu，the cook；［．．．．．．］bronze［．．
B $1^{\prime}$ ：an estate of $40\left[(+x)\right.$（homers）．．．．．．．］；an estate of $20^{?}$（homers in）the town（？）， 2 farmers；an estate of $60^{\text {？}}$（homers）in Til－．．．．； 1 orchard in the hills， 1 （orchard）in Nēmed－ištar－all this，Bēl？－ṣābi－šu．
$5^{\prime}: 2^{?}$ talents of copper［．
LE 1：all］this，Da［．．．．．．．．．．．．．

## Notes

A $2^{\prime}$ ：For gizzu，here clearly a kind of goat，and not＂shearing＂，see，besides the dictionaries， B．Landsberger，MSL VIII／1，pp．31，59．Gizzu or kizzu，＂a shearling（goat）＂is apparently not attested B．Landsberger，MSL VIII／1，pp．31，59．Gizzu or kizzu，
in nA before（unless in Iraq 25 （1963），99，BT 131，6）．
B 2＇：The only known place name which might fit the traces is Til－lini（DUL－$\left\ulcorner i^{\prime}-n i^{\top}\right.$ ），see S．Parpola， AOAT 6， 357.

## Commentary

This text，listing animals，land，and amounts of metals，can hardly be a normal census of any kind．In A 4＇an ox is said to be＂instead of＂something else（unfortunately lost）， but this hints that perhaps we have a list of contributions paid．Admittedly it is a little surprising to find land among the other items，but this does not disprove the idea．We may note the similarity to $A D D 942$ ，which also has rulings to separate the contributions of the different people（who are in that case provincial governors）．

## No． 223

$7 \cdot 6 \times 6 \cdot 7 \times 3 \cdot$
Plate 80

B 50，Room vii．
Obv． 1 ［
$] x$ síg．M［E］š

3 L $2\left[(()]\right.$ GÚU．UN $\mathrm{m}_{x}[(x)]-r i-i$
4 ［（ ）L］Ú．ASGAB
5 ［ $x$ GÚU．U］N L［Ú］．SAG
6 ［ša（LÚ）．GA］L？．E．GAL
Rev． $7[(x+)] 20$ ma．NA ${ }^{\text {m }} g a b$－bu－IGI

## Translation

1：［x talents ．．．］wool－the eunuch of the＂mistress of the house＂； 2 talents（of wool） $-[\ldots .] r$.$i ，the leather－worker；［x tal］ents（of wool）－the eunuch of the palace－［overseer（？）］；$ $[(x+)] 20$ minas（of wool）－Gabbu－āmur．

## Note

6：Perhaps rather ša m］f $\frac{1}{\text { n．gaL＿－＂of the queen＂；if so，the identity of the＂mistress of the house＂}}$ becomes problematical．

## Commentary

This administrative list of issues of wool looks very much as though it comes from an official archive，since the recipients may all be members of the palace staff．

## No． 224

Plate 80
ND 469 IM，for study
$5 \cdot 8 \times 4 \cdot 7 \mathrm{~cm}$.
－

## B 50，Room vii．

Obv． 12 túg．AN．TA．MEŠ
25 túg $m a-a q-l u l$
3 ［i］na šì ša la ZAG
$4[(x) x) x]_{\llcorner } \mathrm{TAR}^{?}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{LA}$
$\begin{array}{ll}4 & \left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & x\end{array}\right) x\right]_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{TAR}^{2}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{2} \\ 5 & 2[(x) x) x\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}5 & 2\left[\begin{array}{lll}(x) & x & x\end{array}\right] . \mathrm{M} \\ 6 & \ulcorner x & x\end{array} x^{\top}$ sÍG
$7 x n a^{?}$ L Ú？$^{?}[h] a r^{2} r[a()]$
B．E． 8 1－te $[(x)] u d u d[\quad]$
Rev．（blank space）


## Translation

1： 2 ＂upper＂garments， 5 shawls（？），among（them？some？）without arms，．．．．．．．．．． 10：．．．．at the disposal of Ușammir－akšudu．

Note
10：The final sign is uncertain；$a k$－šu－ud ${ }^{\prime}$ might also be considered

## Commentary

An administrative note about textiles，perhaps，in view of their common provenance， from the same office as No． 223.

No． 225
Plate 79

## ND 468

IM，for study
$6 \cdot 4 \times(3 \cdot 9) \times 2 \cdot 5$

B 50，Room vii．
Obv． $1 \quad[(x)] x[G] A L ?$ s ši BÀ $[\mathrm{D}$
$[x \mathrm{~m}]$ A．NA KÙ．GI 2 GỨ．UN［（ ）］
［ $x$ GÚ．U］N AN．NA．MEŠ
$4 \quad[(x) x x l] i 1$ me 70 kap－pe UR［UDU？$\left.{ }^{?}\right]$
［ UD．K］A．BAR
（remainder of obv．broken；rev．uninscribed as far as preserved）
An administrative note concerned with quantities of gold（1．2），tin（1．3），copper bowls （l．4， 170 of them），and bronze（1．5）．
No． 226
Plate 81
ND 464（a） Inst．Arch．London
$(5 \cdot 0) \times(5 \cdot 0) \times 3 \cdot 2$
B 50，Room vii．
Fragment from near bottom right－hand corner of an unusually thick tablet ；script coarse，three lines on Face A and five（one erased）on Face B．
No． 222
Plate 81
$(3 \cdot 4) \times(4 \cdot 2) \times(1 \cdot 8)$
Inst．Arch．London

B 50，Room vii．
Fragment from left－hand side of large tablet，perhaps a list of objects；beginnings of three lines only survive．

No． 228
Plate 81
$(3 \cdot 6) \times(2 \cdot 6) \times(2 \cdot 2)$
B 50，Room vii．

Fragment from bottom left－hand corner of a large，coarsely written tablet；what survive other face uninscribed．Parts of two lines only

## No． 229

Photo Plate 98f－g
$(4 \cdot 6) \times(3 \cdot 5) \times(0 \cdot 7)($ Frag．a）
$(2 \cdot 0) \times(3 \cdot 1) \times(0 \cdot 6)($ Frag．b）
B 50，Room vii．
Two fragments of one or more clay strips bearing the impression of a cylinder sea （see p．251）；this was probably a test strip with no other function，cf．No． 264.
No． 230
Plate 79

## ND 466

Inst．Arch．London
$3 \cdot 9 \times(3 \cdot 3) \times 2 \cdot 2$
B 50，Room ix．
Obv． 1 ［ $a-n a$ Lú］．EN．NAM E［N－ia］
2 「ÌR－ka｀m．d．d．${ }^{\text {PASIG }}{ }_{5}^{「-e q} q^{?\urcorner}$
3 lu šùl－mu a－na EN－a
4 LÚ．GAL SUM．GAR $i-d a-b u-u b$
5 ［m］a－a ana－ku ${ }^{\text {mil．EN－} l\left[a-m u r^{?}\right]}$
6 ［at－ta $\left.a^{?}\right]$－har $a-n a$ uru［

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { ner par } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$

（remainder of obv．and upper part of rev．broken）
Rev．1＇「pi $i^{?^{7}}$［ $] x$
$2^{\prime}$ ša $x[\quad] m a^{\text {？}}$
$3^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{lll}x & x & x\end{array}\right]$ ina UGU－i $\left[a^{?}\right]$
T．E． $4^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{lll}(x) & x & x\end{array}\right] i$－tal－k $[u]$
L．E． $5^{\prime}[$ ］ú［ ］
$6^{\prime}[\quad] p a-n a-t u ́[$ ］
Translation
1：［To the］governor，［my］lord，your servant，Nabû－deiq；health to my lord ：
4：The chief victualler says：＂I［appl］ied to Sulmu－bēl－l［āmur＂．．．．．．．］，to the town［．．．．．（remainder too broken for translation）．

## Commentary

Since this letter is addressed to the provincial governor，it is tempting to suppose that it belongs with the archive of the Governor＇s Palace，which includes several letters to the governors（Nos．183－200）．The tablet＇s provenance is given as B 50，which would dissociate it from the others，but I note that there was some slight doubt as to the provenance，since in the site register of tablets Professor D．J．Wiseman at one point assigned the tablet to
the Governor's Palace, Room S. However, this is corrected both in the register and in the published catalogue (Iraq 13 (1951), 113), and this corrected provenance should certainly be accepted.
No. 231
Plate 63
ND 1114
Inst. Arch. London
$(4 \cdot 8) \times(3 \cdot 1) \times 2 \cdot 2$
Burnt Palace, Long Room.
Obv. 1 diš Lú.MEŠ LuL DI[Š
2 DIŠ Lứ.MEŠ UD.LUL? D[IŠ
3-5 DIŠ LỨ.MEŠ [
(remainder of obv. and upper part of rev. broken)
Rev. (what survives is uninscribed; possibly a ruling at break)
T.E. $\quad$ su?

It is uncertain if this text is administrative and not rather vaguely " literary"; the initial vertical wedges are hard to explain in an administrative context, and doubts are further enhanced because the script is Babylonian.

## No. 232

Plate 82
ND 1116 IM 56879
$2 \cdot 0 \times 1 \cdot 7 \times 1 \cdot 1$
Burnt Palace, Room viii
Obv. 13 KAL
23
$\begin{array}{lll}3 & 4 & 2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}4 & 19 & U_{8}\end{array}$
5 9 NIM
B.E. $\begin{array}{ll}6 & 3\end{array}$ MÁš

73
Rev. $811 \quad 2$
$\begin{array}{ll}9 & 4 \\ 10 & \text { ÙZ }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}10 & 12\end{array}$
11 PAP
12 mhal-bu-u-ri

## Translation

1: 3 full-grown (sheep), 03 (-year-old sheep), 42 (-year-old sheep), 19 ewes, 9 lambs. $6: 3$ he-goats, 03 (-year-old goats), 12 (-year-old goat), 4 she-goats, 12 kids.

11: All-Halbūri.

## Commentary

The classification of the animals, and the order in which they are listed, are identical with those of No. 131, which was found in Room B of the Governor's Palace, at the south west end of the building and apart from the main Governor's Palace archive. Both dockets agree in dividing both sheep and goats into males, females, and young animals, and the males further into fully-grown, 3 -year-old, and 2 -year-old animals. Each then has a personal name, perhaps that of the shepherd-boy to whom they were assigned. It therefore seems likely that the two dockets belong together, and that No. 232 was found closer to their original home, while No. 131 had somehow strayed across. Cf. a similar note ND 3418 (Iraq 15 (1953), Pl. XI).

## No. 233

Plate 81
ND 1117 Inst. Arch. London
$6 \cdot 1 \times 7 \cdot 1 \times 2 \cdot 0$
Burnt Palace, Room viii

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
1 & \mathrm{~m}_{\text {sam-si-im-me }}[(\quad)] \\
2 & \text { šu mingir-a-a-ra-me }[( \\
\text { (roval stamp-seal impression) }
\end{array}
$$

## Note

The reading of $\dot{u}$ as sam follows the excellent suggestion of $K$. Deller.
The reverse bears the impression of a jar-neck, very similar to that on the reverse of No. 234 ; a sketch to show how the clay must have been applied, reconstructed from the impression of the reverse of this sealing, is given on Plate 82. The diameter of the cylindrical jar-neck must have been $c .6 \cdot 0 \mathrm{~cm}$.
For discussion of the text, and of this type of sealing, see on No. 239.

No. 234
Plate 81 ; Photo Plate 98a-b
ND 1118
Inst. Arch. London
$6.7 \times(7 \cdot 3) \times 1.5$
Burnt Palace, Room viii.
$1{ }^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\ulcorner } x(x)^{7}-a-a$
2 ŠU $\mathrm{m}_{s a-a-\mathrm{MAŠ}}$
(royal stamp-seal impression)
On the reverse there is the impression of the neck of a jar-like object, which shows clear fibrous or wooden impressions ; the diameter of the cylindrical jar-neck? must have been $c .5 \cdot 0 \mathrm{~cm}$.

For discussion of the text, and of the type of sealing, see on No. 239
No. 235
Plate 81 ; Photo Plate 98c-d
ND 1119
$(4 \cdot 9) \times(5 \cdot 3) \times 2 \cdot 3$
Burnt Palace, Room viii.
$\left.1 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{TI}-x[ }\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & x\end{array}\right)\right]$
2 ŠU ${ }^{\text {ii } m[~}$
(royal stamp-seal impression)
The reverse bears impressions similar to those of Nos. 233-4; for discussion of the text and of the type of sealing, see on No. 239.
No. 236
Plate 81 ; Photo Plate 98 e
ND 1124
$6.0 \times 5.8 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Burnt Palace, Room viii.
$\left.\begin{array}{ccc}1 & \mathrm{~m} k[u- & ] \\ 2 & \text { su } & {[ }\end{array}\right]$
(royal stamp-seal impression) The sealing resembles Nos. 233-5 ; see on No. 239.

## No. 23 ²

Plate 82 ; Photo Plate 97a-b
$(4 \cdot 5) \times(2 \cdot 5) \times 1 \cdot 8$
[Burnt Palace, Room viii.]
$1 \quad[(\quad)]^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {DINGIR- }} d a-n a-n i \text { ŠU }^{\mathrm{ii}} m[ }$ (royal stamp-seal impression)

The sealing resembles Nos. 233-6; see on No. 239.

## No. 238

Plate 82 ; Photo Plate 97d-e

## $(3 \cdot 5) \times(3 \cdot 5) \times 2 \cdot 0$

Burnt Palace, Room viii
$\begin{array}{lll}1 & {[(1)]^{\text {m.d.d }}{ }_{\text {EN.LÍL.GA }}\left[\mathrm{R}^{?}( \right.} & )] \\ 2 & {\left[\begin{array}{ll}\left(\mathrm{SU}^{\mathrm{Hi}} \mathrm{m}(\quad)\right] k a^{?}-d u-x[ & \\ & \text { (royal stamp-seal impression) }\end{array}\right]}\end{array}$

The reverse of the sealing is smooth and flat, giving it the shape of a half-olive; it bears the impression of string along its longer axis, as does No. 239, but there is no way of telling to what the clay was attached.

For the text, see on No. 239.

## No. 239

Plate 81 ; Photo Plate 97 g -h
ND 1125(b)
$(4 \cdot 5) \times(3 \cdot 3) \times 2 \cdot 5$
Inst. Arch. London
[Burnt Palace, Room viii.]
$1[(\quad)] 1$ QAR ${ }^{\text {maLALAG. }}$. ${ }^{\text {d.d }}$ EN.[LíL ( ) $]$
2 [šUii m( )]ku-šal-mu-la-[ ] (royal stamp-seal impression)

The reverse is smooth and flat, with string impressions, like No. 238, and the sealing is half-olive shaped like that number.

## Note

1: It is hard to see how 1 QAR can be incorporated into the following signs to make a single PN Here, as in No. 238, the scribe has written the dingir before EN twice: once normally, and once in ligature with EN in the Babylonian fashion. The same scribe doubtless wrote both sealings.

## Commentary

This is the last of a group of sealings from the Burnt Palace, all of which name a man who is said to be "in the hand of " ( $q \bar{a} t$; šu, šu ${ }^{11}$ ) another person; except perhaps for No. 239, that is the entire inscription, and the sealings have only a royal stamp-seal impression in addition on their obverse.

In his publication of the text of the sealings (Iraq 14 (1952), 65-6), Professor D. J. Wiseman suggested that the clay had been attached to a papyrus scroll, and that the inscriptions gave the name of first the addressee, and second, the name of the messenger in whose hand ( $q \bar{a} t)$ the letter was sent. However, an examination of the reverse of al these sealings shows that they were applied to an object which had the shape of a jar-neck swelling out below into a spherical body (see sketch, Plate 82) ; usually, if not always, the part of the sealing which was attached to the cylindrical neck shows impressions of a fibrous material, or possibly of wood, since the grain runs in one direction only. Each sealing also had string between it and the jar-neck?, and sometimes actually within the body of the sealing itself, and they were therefore certainly used to seal up the contents of the jars which had previously been covered with a cloth(?) and secured by string Whether the fibrous impressions can have been caused by a textile of some kind, which is the simplest answer, or whether the jars themselves were in wood, can presumably be determined by scientific examination. In either case, we know that the diameter of the jars' necks was in the region of 5 to 6 cm . (see Nos. 233-4).
Nos. 238-9, which are both " half-olive " shaped, with a flat impression on their reverse do not give us any clue as to the shape or size of the objects to which they were applied.

It thus appears that the impressions on the reverse of these sealings do not support the idea that they were attached to papyrus scrolls, and it is therefore preferable to try and explain the $q \bar{a} t$ in its usual nA sense of " in the charge of ", "under the command of ". This can be done if we assume that the men first mentioned in each case are the recipients of the jar (with its contents), while they are identified as being " under" the officer or official with whom they serve. Since the sealings bear the "royal" seal, which identifies items as "palace" or government property, the issues were presumably made and authorized by the palace itself, perhaps in the Burnt Palace, where the sealings were found. As to the jars' contents, we are in the dark, but oil is a likely candidate, since we know that it might be issued to soldiers going on campaign.

No. 240
Plate 83
ND 1111
$3 \cdot 9 \times(6 \cdot 1) \times(1 \cdot 7)$
BM 132008

Burnt Palace, Room vii.
Obv. $1 a-n a$ LUGAL EN- $i a$
2 ìr-ka ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ DÙ̀.GA-sil-é-šár-ra
3 lu šùl-mu a-na LUGAL EN-ia
$4 a s ̌$-šur ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ NIN.LíL $a$-na LUGAL EN- $i a$
5 lik-ru-bu ša LUGAL EN $i s ̌-p u r-n i$
6 ma-a ina UGU BURU ${ }_{5}$ du- $a-k i$
7 ma-a $a-n a$ uru $_{\text {A.MEŠ }}$ DÙG.GA.MEŠ
8 a-na uruam-an-te
9 ma-a a-du uruka-sa-pa šá-lik
10 BURU $_{5}{ }^{\text {mušen }} a n-n a-\_k a_{\perp}[($ )ina $l] i b-b i$ KUR
11 bir-Le」-[ $] x$ KUR GAL-e
$12 x$ [
] $u^{\frac{\beta}{}-t a-l i}$
13 [
]da
(remainder of obv. broken)

Rev. (broken, except for the ends of several lines written round on to right edge, including one ending ur]ušì̀.URU)

## Translation

1: To the king, my lord, your servant Tāb-ṣil-ešarra; health to the king my lord, may Assur and Ninlil bless the king my lord :
5: As to what the king (my) lord wrote to me, saying: "With reference to killing the locusts, have them(?) go to Mê-ṭābūte (and) to Amantu (and) as far as Kasapa "-10: the locusts (are? ) here in the centre of the land within [. . . . . .] great mountain(?) [. . . . . . . I have removed [. . . . . . . . . .

## Notes

2: Tāb-sil-ešarra, governor of the province of Assur, is (as noted already by Professor D. J. Wiseman) the author of other letters known from the Kouyunjik collection and published in $A B L$.

4: For the possibility that $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{NLN} . L i ́ l}$ should be read muli(s)su, see BSOAS 34 (1971), 390a with note 1 6: $d u-a-k i$ could also be interpreted as DU- $a-k i=$ alāki (so Professor D. J. Wiseman, Iraq 14 (1952), 64), but the sense of the letter seems to favour $d u \bar{a} k u$; this verb is also used in $A B L 910,6$, of killing locusts (am-mar ta-du-ka-ni).
9: Kasappa is known otherwise (S. Parpola, AOAT 6, 202-3), and has been plausibly identified with Tell Keshef (Kashaf, Kushaf), on the Upper Zab almost due south of Nimrud, but I cannot trace the originator of the suggestion.
šālik presumably means " make (the men) go ", rather than " make (the locusts) go ", since the latter is impossible.

No. 241
Plate 83
ND 1113
$3 \cdot 8 \times(4 \cdot 7) \times 2 \cdot 1$
Burnt Palace, Room viii.
Obv. $1 a-n a$ LUGAL EN-ia

lu šìl-mu a-na LUGAL EN-ia
[ina] UgU É tu-'i-in-te
[ša ki]-sa-al-li £́ ra-ma-ki
[ša LUG]AL be-lí iš-pur-an-ni [ma-a k]a-ri-in-tu-úu siti
$\left.l \bar{a}^{2} k\right] a-[r] i-i n-t u r a-s i p$
$k a-r] i^{?}-i n-t u-m a$

$$
\begin{gathered}
] x \\
-r] u^{?}-u-n i \\
-k] i s^{?}
\end{gathered}
$$

(remainder of obv. and upper part of rev. broken)
Rev. 1' [šulmu ana (?)] 「ma-a-ti’ (remainder of rev. uninscribed)

## Translation

1: To the king, my lord, your servant Aššur-bāni ; health to the king my lord :
4: About the gate-house(?) of the [court]yard of the bath-house, of which [the] king my lord wrote to me, [(asking)]: "Is it behindhand ? "-8: [it is certainly not?] behindhand, it is built. [ $\mathrm{If}(?)]$ it is [behind]hand,
Rev. 1': The land (i.e. province) [is well].

## Notes

2: Assur-bāni was governor of Kalhu under Sargon, and eponym for 713 b.c.
4: bēt tu'inte is clearly connected with the root t'm, "twin", for which see for the time being F. Delitzsch, $H w b$. 697b, and (referring to doors) $A D D 756,3,5$. In view of the root's constant association with double doors, it is possible that the bēt tu'inte was a structure accommodating double doors or gates, here leading into a courtyard through its surrounding wall.
7: It is hardly necessary to point out that the plene writing here indicates a question.
8: Is rasip here an error for raspat ?

No． 242
Plate 83
ND 1108
$3.4 \times(3 \cdot 0) \times 1.7$
M 132007

Burnt Palace，Room viii．
Obv． $1[a-n] a \operatorname{LU}[\mathrm{GAL}]$ en－ia
2 ［ìr－k］a m man．IG［I］．LÁ－an－ni
3 ［lu šu $] l-m u a-n a{ }^{\circ}{ }_{\text {LUGAL }}{ }^{\text {EN－}}$－$a$
4 「ša’ LUGAL EN $i s ̌-p u r-a n-n[i]$
5 ma－a ma－＇a－at man．igI．Lé－［an－ni（？）］
6 il－la－ka i－qa－［bi（
）］
$\left.\begin{array}{l}7 \\ 8 \\ x \\ x\end{array}\right] \quad$ BÀD $s s^{\prime}$ uru $_{\text {BÀ }}[\mathrm{D}$.
（remainder of obv．（more than half）and upper part of rev．broken）
Rev． $1^{\prime}$ m．ašid－「rém－ni $\mathrm{L}\left[\dot{U}^{\text {？}}\right.$
$2^{\prime}$ ana－ku ina š̀̀̀－ma ana－ku š［a ］

$4^{\prime}$ ša is－se－š̌úu－$a-n a^{7}-k u^{2} a\left[h^{?}\right.$－
$5^{\prime} a$－du me－ni ša LUGAL $i$－šá $a^{2}-m a^{2}-\left[n i^{2}\right]$
$6^{\prime} a$－se－me ma－a man．IGI．LÁ－${ }^{-} a n^{`}-n i$
$7^{\prime}[x]$－tal－ka ma－a ina uru$\left.{ }^{\ulcorner } x\right\urcorner[$
T．E．$\quad 8^{\prime}[(x)] x$ ma $\left[n^{?}\right] m a-a d u[l-l u]$
$9^{\prime}[()] i-b a-s ̌ i \check{s}^{s} s a k^{?}-x[\quad]$
Translation
1：［To］the king，my lord，［your servant］Šarru－am（u）ranni；［health］to the king my lord ：
4：As to what the king（my）lord wrote to me，saying：＂Who（do you think）you are ？ Sarru－am（u）ranni＂is coming to me and say［ing to me？］：＂The wall of the town of Dūr－［．．．．．＇．．．．．．＂
Rev．1＇：Marduk－rēmāni，the［．．．．．］，I am in it too（？），I［．．．．．］of（I）qiša－marduk， ［．．．．．］who（is？）with him ．．．．．I have heard whatever the king hears－that Sarru－ am（u）ranni has come，that ．．．．in the town of［．．．．］，that there is work ．．．．

## Notes

2：A man named Šarru－am（u）ranni is known as author of letters to Sargon－e．g．ABL 310－21， 757－63－and there is obviously a good chance that this is the same man
5：The form ma－＇a－at has to be compared with $A B L$ 196， 23 ，ma－＇a－at－tú－nu，which has been recognized by W．von Soden（ $A H w 603 \mathrm{a}$ ）as nA for＊mannu－attūnu，＂who are you？＂；ma－＇a－at here is thus for mann－äta，a 2 nd sing．permansive，and this form allows us to see in the $A B L$ passage not ma＇u attunu （ $A H w$ ）but rather mannätunu，2nd pl．permansive．
Rev． $5^{\prime}$ ：The combination adu mēni ša．．．．．does not appear to be common；the implication of this and the ensuing lines seems to be that Šarru－am（u）ranni has heard all the rumours about himself that have reached the king，some of which he quotes in $11.6^{\prime}-9^{\prime}$ ．If he is quoting accusations made against him，it explains the otherwise curious fact，that he refers to himself by his own name，and not in the first person．

No． 243
Plate 84
ND 1107
$(2 \cdot 9) \times(4 \cdot 4) \times 2 \cdot 2$
Burnt Palace，Room viii．
Obv．（beginning broken）
$1^{\prime} \quad\left\ulcorner x \quad x^{\urcorner}\right.$［
$2^{\prime}$ šul－mu $a-\ulcorner n a\urcorner$［
$3^{\prime}$ šul－mu $a-n a$ u $\left[{ }^{r u}\right.$
$4^{\prime}$ ina UGU tè̀－e－me $a\left[m^{p-}\right]$
$5^{\prime} \quad s ̌ a$ LUGAL be－lí i［š－pur－an－ni］
$6^{\prime} \quad$ ma－a tèे－mu hur－s $a^{?}$ ？
$7^{\prime}$ KUR $g[i]-\left\llcorner\right.$ mir $_{\lrcorner}-[a-a$
$8^{\prime} \quad m\left[a^{?}-a\right.$
（remainder of obv．and upper part of rev．broken）
Rev．1＇［

$1^{\prime}[$
$2^{\prime}$
$3^{\prime}$
$2^{\prime}$
$3^{\prime}$
$4^{\prime}$
「
$4^{\prime} \quad$ 「x｀ma＇a－gu－ru ni（－）ir－t $[u-b u(?)]$
$5^{\prime}$ KUR gi－mir－a－a ina muh－hi $x[$
$6^{\prime}$ ina ŠÀ KUR $u$－su－su－na－li［
$7^{\prime}$ i－sa－kan tè－e－mu［
$7^{\prime} i$－sa－kan ṭ̀̀－e－mu［
］

TA＊KUR h ha－ub－bušs ${ }_{x}$－［ka
$9^{\prime}$ UGU ${ }^{\text {m }}$ ur－za－na $a-[$
$10^{\prime} \quad m u-u k$ tè̀－e［－mu
11＇hur－ṣa［
（remainder of rev．（a few lines）broken）
L．E． $1^{\prime}$
$a-d] i^{i} l a-a a-s{ }^{2} a ́-m e[-$
$l] i^{2}-i s$ scpa－ru－u－ni［（ ）］
Translation
$2^{\prime}: \ldots$ ．．it is well with［．．．．．］，it is well with［the fortresses（？）．．．．．］．
$4^{\prime}$ ：As to that？report of which the king my lord［wrote to me］，saying：＂Make the report exactly［．．．．．．．］，the Cimmerians［．．．．．．．．．．．

Rev． $\left.4^{\prime}:\right] \ldots$. w $^{2}$ e trembled（？）；the Cimmerians［．．．．．］against［．．．．．］，within the land？of Ușunāli he camped？．A report［．．．．．．］from the land of Hubuš［kia？］I［sent？？ to Urzāna，saying：＂Make an exact report［．．．

L．E． $1^{\prime}$ ：］until I hear［？．．．．．let］them send［．．．．．
Notes
$3^{\prime}$ ：Perhaps to restore is ${ }^{\text {u }}$［ruhal．su $u^{\text {meè }] ~ o r ~ s i m i l a r . ~}$
4＇：Probably a form of ammiu＂that＂to be restored．
Rev． $4^{\prime}$ ：I cannot do anything with the beginning of the line－perhaps the first sign is $[\check{s} u] m$ or $[\mathrm{mi}] m$ ； for $r u \bar{a} b u$ in letters，cf．$A B L$ 128， 19
$8^{\prime}$ ：For kaskal＝buš see W．von Soden，An．Or．42，p．21；here the sign is followed by an apparent A， which must，however，be a dual sign，occasionally found in nA texts after kaskal when used as a logogram．

## Commentary

This fragment clearly belongs with the several letters of the Kouyunjik archive which refer to events on the Assyrian-Urartian frontier during the reign of Sargon.

No. 244
$(1 \cdot 4) \times(2 \cdot 4) \times 2 \cdot 2$
Plate 83
ND 1112
IM, for study

Burnt Palace, Room xii
Obv. (beginning broken)
$1^{\prime} \quad\ulcorner x(x)\urcorner[$
$2^{\prime} \quad i-l a-k\left[a^{3}\right.$
$3^{\prime}$ ina Šà KUR[
$4^{\prime} \quad$ KUR-e $i$ - $[$
$5^{\prime}$ SAG.DU GIB[IL
$6^{\prime}$ ina KUR $m u-s a^{?}[-$
$7^{\prime} e[-$
(remainder of obv. broken; rev. blank as far as preserved)
Perhaps part of a letter, but uncertain.

No. 245
Plate 90
ND 1109
$6 \cdot 3 \times 2 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Burnt Palace, Room viii.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1^{\prime} \quad[()]^{r} \tilde{s}^{2} a^{?} \text { LUGAL? } i q^{? ?-}-[b u-u-n i(?)] \\
& \begin{array}{lll}
2^{\prime} & {[() m] a-a a-t a-[a} & ] \\
3^{\prime} & {[()] \text { NA }_{4} \text { AN.KAL } \times \text { BAD } t i[\quad]}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

A fragment from the bottom edge of a letter, which seems to be addressed to the king, on the subject of stone winged genii (šēdu, l. $3^{\prime}$ ); similar letters are known from the Kouyunjik archive, and there is one on this subject from Nimrud (NL 16 (Iraq 17, Pl. XXXIII))

## No. 246

ND 1120
$(10 \cdot 4) \times(9 \cdot 9) \times 3 \cdot 0$
c. 10 m . east of Burnt Palace, Room viii. (Square D 12.)

A copy of this tablet is to be found in Iraq 14 (1952), Pl. XXIII, and it seems pointless to repeat it here. The text has recently been edited by G. van Driel (The Cult of Aššur, $\mathrm{pp} .198-205$ ), and I therefore give below the results of a collation of the tablet whenever it proved possible to confirm an emendation or improve his edition:

Obv. 1: ina t]ar-şi....
4: $i$-šát $-d a-d u-n i$ (with copy)
7: last sign is $s u$
10: tablet has -šal-l]im-š̌̌-nu, emendation not therefore necessary; tablet has LUGAL (not LÚ as copied)
11: né-pa-šu-ni' (verb !)
12: up-ta-titi-ru(not ši)
13: .M]EŠ GEŠTIN.MEŠ . . .
14: -lu at end correct
16: BAHAR ${ }_{2}$ probably correct
19: the sign after TUR, given by van Driel as $r i^{\prime}$, appears to be TUK
23: probably K]AL.MEŠ
25: ]e-si-_ih」-ti-š̌úuu; at end, L[ÀLLMEŠ]
27: perhaps ]ú-sa-ši i-ri . . . . .
Rev. 3': ]-šú-ri probable
$6^{\prime}$ : ] $x$ DIR (rather than KAL)
7': G]UD.MAH
$18^{\prime}: \quad \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{A} . \mathrm{LA}} \mathrm{L}+\mathrm{SAR}(=a s ̌ s ̌ u r)-k i-n a-n i$
19': -kab!-tu correct

With regard to van Driel's remarks (ibid., p. 199) about the amount of space missing from the beginning of each line, by observing the curvature of the surface I estimate the original width of the tablet as $c .16 \cdot 0 \mathrm{~cm}$. (surviving max. width 10.2 cm .), and its original height as $c .12 \cdot 0 \mathrm{~cm}$. (surviving max. height 10.0 cm .) ; but it must be stressed that these proportions cannot be relied on too heavily when making restorations.
No. $24{ }^{17}$
Plate 84
ND 815 IM 56876
$4 \cdot 7 \times 8 \cdot 0 \times 2 \cdot 1$
$\left[\begin{array}{lll}{[ }\end{array}\right]$

North-west Palace, Room DD.
Obv.
(beginning broken)
$1^{\prime}$ mí[?
$2^{\prime} \quad a-n[a$
$3^{\prime} \quad n a-s\left[u^{3}-\right.$
$4^{\prime} \quad i l-\left\ulcorner q^{\prime ?}{ }^{i ?}[\right.$ šum-ma]
$5^{\prime} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{m}} a-t[a]-r a-x[-x]$
$6^{\prime}$ мÍ ina muh-hi-「ža?
B.E. $7^{\prime} \quad e-t a-h a-a-z a$

Rev． $8^{\prime}$ am－mar ša qa－nu－u－ni
$9^{\prime}$ ta－na－áš－ši tal－lak
$10^{\prime} \quad t u-u ́$－ș $a$
$11^{\prime}$ šum－ma ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} a-t a-r a-\left\ulcorner x-x^{\urcorner}\right.$
$12^{\prime}$ KÙ．BABBAR ina pu－u－hii i－na－áš－ši
13＇mí ina lìb－bi la tu－ra－ta
$14^{\prime}$ IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{KA}} .{ }^{\mathrm{d}} 1\left[5\right.$ ？$\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{PA}\right]$ ？ ？
$15^{\prime}$ IGI mia－t $\left[a^{?}\right]-\left\ulcorner a(-x)^{\urcorner}\right.$
$16^{\prime}$ IGI mše－「ka｀－a－nu
17＇IGI ${ }^{\text {m }}$ si－li－mu
18＇［ ］Lša $a^{?} x_{\perp}-i$ LÚ́．A．BA （remainder broken）

## Translation

$1^{\prime}: \ldots$. ．$]$ woman［．．．．．．］to ．．．．．．he took．4＇：［If］Atara［．．］takes a woman in addition to her，she may take away whatever has been acquired，（and）may go and leave． 11＇：If Atara ．．borrows money as a loan，the woman shall not be concerned（？）in（the affair）．
$14^{\prime}$ ：Before Abat－i［štar？－lās］ur？，before Iata＇a？，before Šekānu，before Silimu，［before？ ．．．］i，the scribe［．．．．．．．．．

## Note

$13^{\prime}$ ：tu－ra－ta ：the signs，and the general sense，are clear，but the word＇s derivation is less so．Unless it comes from an unrecognized root，it must be a 3 fem．permansive of tuār $u \mathrm{G}$ ，with an＂otiose＂final $a$ ， but I cannot quote any instance of tuāru used in the sense proposed．

Commentary
Clearly the main part of this text consisted of a marriage contract，although all we have left are two clauses defining the wife＇s rights．Similarly in ND 2307 （Iraq 16 （1954），37－8） a marriage contract（largely consisting of a list of the dowry）is concluded with certain conditions in the form of s summa clauses（ll．41－50），although their content is very different from those we have here．However，in ND 2316 （Iraq 16 （1954），40），11．7－13，we have a clause which excludes the wife from responsibility for the husband＇s eventual debts，such as here $11.11^{\prime}-13^{\prime}$ ，although the phrasing is very different．The clause here concerning the taking of a second wife（ll． $5^{\prime}-10^{\prime}$ ）cannot be paralleled from the very scant material available on marriage in nA times．

No． 248
Plate 85 ；Photo Plate 93 c
ND 487 BM 132001
$7 \cdot 5 \times(7 \cdot 2) \times 3 \cdot 2$
［－］

North－west Palace，Room 00
Obv．（beginning broken）
$1^{\prime} \quad{ }^{m}$ PAP ${ }^{\ulcorner } x \quad x \quad[(x)]{ }^{\left\ulcorner\mathrm{m}_{\text {DINGIR－}} m u-s ̌ a b-s ̌ i\right.}{ }^{\top}$

$3^{\prime}$ míha－di－AD－ša míri－šu－tú
4 PAP 6 ZI．MEŠ ša ${ }^{\text {m}}$ EN．URU
$5^{\prime}$ DUMU ${ }^{\prime} m u$－še－zibib－DINGIR Lú．A．BA
$6^{\prime}$ É．DINGIR ša uruŠÀ．URU
7＇up－piš－ma ina lib－bi 2 MA．NA KÙ．babbar
$8^{\prime}$ ina Šì 1 MA．NA ša LÚ．DAM．GÀR
$9^{\prime} \quad a-n a^{\text {m．d }}{ }^{\text {PA－tuk－lu－tu－「 }}$－
B．E． $10^{\prime}$ Lú．dUb．SAR ÉGAL $\ulcorner\mathfrak{i}-d[i n]$
11＇kás－pu ga－mur sum－n［i］
$12^{\prime}$ UN．MEŠ ${ }_{\llcorner } z a-a r_{\lrcorner}-p u l a-[q i-u]$
Rev． $13^{\prime}$ šúm－mu U［N］．「MEŠ ša－tu－nu？
$14^{\prime}$ ina du－ra－ri $u$－su－ú
$15^{\prime} \mathrm{m}_{\text {EN．URU }} k a ́ s-p u a$－na EN．MEŠ－šúu
$16^{\prime} \quad u-t a-a-r a$

$18^{\prime}$ IGI ${ }^{m} i s ̌-m a-n i-\mathrm{d}_{\text {INANNA }}$ IGI $\mathrm{m}_{\text {AD．DỪG．GA }}$
$19^{\prime}$ PAP 4 DUMU．MEŠ m．$d_{\text {MAŠ．UN．MEŠ－}}$－$k$ a－PAP
$20^{\prime}$ ŠEŠ．MEŠ－šúu mŠEŠ．DINGIR－$a-a$
$21^{\prime}$ IGI m ${ }^{\text {DINGIR．ZU Lú．A．bA } k i-s i-t ~}$
 （remainder broken）

## Translation

1＇：Ahu－．．．．，Ilu－mušabši，Še＇i－ištar，Uburtu－ahhē－ša，Hadi－abu－ša，Rīšūtu－4＇：in all 6 persons belonging to Bēl－āli，son of Mušēzib－ilu，the temple scribe of the inner city （＝Assur），he（Bēl－āli）contracted and gave to Nabû－tuklātu－uṣalli，the palace scribe，in exchange for 2 minas of silver（measured）with the mina of the merchant（s）．11＇：The price has been paid in toto，the people are legally acq［uired］． $13^{\prime}$ ：If［those］people leave （their condition of slavery）in an＂amnesty＂，Bēl－āli will return the money to its owners．
$17^{\prime}$ ：Before Mušēzib－aššur，before Dūr－aššur，before Išmāni－ištar，before Abu－ṭāb，in all 4 sons of Ninurta－nišē－ka－uṣur，the brothers（of？）Ahu－ilāya．21＇：Before Ilu－le＇i，the family scribe，before Mušēzib－aššur，the palace door－keeper［．

## Notes

$2^{\prime}$ ：The name Uburtu－ahhē－ša is clearly the fem．counterpart of the male name written suhuš－ahhē－šu （K．Tallqvist，$A P N$ 103b），and this provides further support for reading suquš as $u b r u$（see on No．30，7）．
$3^{\prime}-16^{\prime}$ ．Thi
13－16＂This clause evidently provides for the contingency that the sale might be effectively cancelled because of an（an）duraru．Evidence for the operation of this sort of economic＂amnesty＂or seisachtheia
is scarce in nA times（see J．Lewy，Eretz Israel 5 （1958）， $21^{*} \mathrm{ff}$. ，esp． $31 *$ on this particular text），but it is is scarce in nA times（see J．Lewy，Eretz 1srael 5（1958），21＊ff．，esp．31＊on this particular text），but it is ment for debt．Thus in $A B L 387$ rev．17－21（CAD A Pt．2， 116 h ），the Babylonians are said to have complained to the king（ittalküni ana mahirte）that＂when the amnesty was imposed by the king，he caused（or ：it caused）many people to leave their possession＂（issu päni－šunu ．．．．．usḕsia）．See also No． 10.
20＇：For ahhhēšu 〈ša $a$ PN ？

## Commentary

This text is basically a slave sale，transferring the possession of three men and three women from Bēl－āli（whose father is the temple scribe at Assur），to Nabû－tuklātu－uṣalli （who is described as＂the／a palace scribe＂，and who probably was resident at Kalhu）． The clause in $11.16^{\prime}-20^{\prime}$ ，discussed above，provides that if an amnesty was passed，the effects of the sale would be annulled．This clearly does not mean that the people would return to the possession of Bēl－āli，the previous owner，but rather that the＂slaves＂ are released absolutely from the debts which must have been the cause of their enslavement in the first instance．Thus the six people would no longer be slaves，and Nabû－tuklātu－uṣalli is refunded the price he gave for them．
No． 249
Plate 85
ND 1110
Inst．Arch．London
［－］．vii． 718
$4.8 \times 3.6 \times 1.6$

North－west Palace，Room AH．
Obv． $1 \quad[x \mathrm{MA}] . \Gamma^{\text {NA }}$ URUDU ${ }^{7}$ ．［MEš？${ }^{?}$ ］SAG．DU

3 m gab－bu－a－mur L［ ${ }^{\text {U }}$
4 i－ti－ši ina ITI．$x$［
5 ［šú］m－ma la i－din［ ］x
6 ［i］－rab－「bi｀－u
Rev． 7 ［IGI］msa－ni－i
$8{ }^{\text {「IGI }}{ }^{\text {m }} p u$－ṣa－nu
9 IGI m．dAMAR．UTU．A．［ $x(x)$ ］
10 ITI．DUL UD． $10 \_[(+x) \text { ．KAM }]$
11 ［l］im－me ${ }^{\text {m }}$ NUMUN．DÜ
12 ［1］ª ${ }^{\text {sidá－kìn }}$ urura－ṣa－pa

## Translation

1：［x mi］nas of copper，capital，belonging to Ubru－．．．．．，Gabbu－āmur，the［．．．．．］ has taken［as a loan（？）．He shall（re）pay it］in the month of［．．．．．］；if he does not pay it ［the copper］shall increase［（x－fold）］．
7：［Before］Sanī，before Pussānu，before Marduk－aplu－［．．．］．
10：Month of Tašritu（VII），［xth］day，limmu of Zēru－ibni，governor of Raṣappa （718 в．с．）．
No． 250
Plate 85
$2.7 \times 1.7 \times 1.2$

## ND 805

Inst．Arch．London一．ii． 717

North－west Palace，Room HH．
Obv． $15{ }^{\text {mi }} a$－na－qa－te
$2[s ̌] a$ ANŠE．A．AB．BA
3 ina IGI m man－nu－ki－i－DINGIR
B．E． 4 itigud lim－mu
Rev． 5 m$_{\text {DÙG．GA．IM－aš－šur }}$

## Translation

1： 5 she－camels，under Mannu－kī－ili．4：Month of Ayāru（II），limmu of Ṭāb－šār－aššur （717 в．с．）．

## Note

2：There is some doubt as to the first sign of this line；Professor D．J．Wiseman read it 32，but repeated collation leads me to prefer $s$ sa；presumably the scribe is defining the type of camel involved， ANŠE．A．AB．BA $\left(=i b i u^{2}\right)$ ，as opposed to gammālu，where anaqāte does not specify which．
No． 251
Plate 85
$2 \cdot 8 \times 2 \cdot 1 \times 1.5$
North－west Palace，Room HH．
Obv． 13 me 15 anše
2 ŠE．PAD．MEŠ
33 me 15 anše
4 ŠE．GIG．MEŠ
510 ANŠE IÀ．MEŠ
B．E． $610[$
Rev． 7 šá ${ }^{?} n a^{?}$ sall tú $[(\quad)]$


Note
8：cf．on No．256， 2

## Translation

315 homers of barley， 315 homers of wheat， 10 homers of oil， 10 ［．．．．］．．．．，accounts not done．

No． 252
$(3 \cdot 0) \times 2 \cdot 3 \times 1 \cdot 5$
North－west Palace，Room HH．


ND 499 IM 56870

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
3 & \text { GÚ.UN SÍG?? } \\
\text { (rev. uninscribed) }
\end{array}
$$

A note concerning quantities of wool.

## No. 253

Plate 85
$2.8 \times 1.6 \times 1.1$
Text: túg.an.TA.meš " Upper garments" (cf. on No. 153, Б).

## No. 254

Plate 85
$2.7 \times 1.5 \times 1.8$
North-west Palace, Room HH
Obv. $11 \lim 2$ me 50? мa
2 SÍG.meš
31 me [( ) $] 90$ MA.NA
B.E. 4 síg.Ùz.meš

Rev. 5 (1 erased(?) wedge only) (remainder uninscribed)

Translation
1,250 minas of wool, 190 minas of goats' hair.

## No. 255

Plate 85
$2.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.5$

## ND 486

Inst. Arch. London -.i. 715

North-west Palace, Room HH
Obv. $156^{?}$ ? Gú.un URUDU?
2 IGI m.d ${ }_{\text {MAŠ }}-k u^{?}-\left\ulcorner x^{7}\right.$
B.E. 3 iti.barag

Rev. 4 lim-mu
5 mak-lak-ana-EN

## Translation

56 talents of copper, at the disposal of Ninurta-. . . . 3: Month of Nisānu(I), limmu of Taklak-ana-bēl (715 b.c.).

## No. 256

Plate 86 ; Photo Plate 97f
ND 806
$(5 \cdot 0) \times 3 \cdot 2 \times 1 \cdot 4$
North-west Palace, Room HH.
1 [x] me 40 UDU.NITÁ.me(Š) MÁš.MEŠ
(stamp-seal impression)
2 [ ] $x$ NíG.KAg.MEŠ la ep-šúu

## Translation

[x] hundred and 40 sheep (and) goats, [....] the accounts not done.
Note
2: For the "accounts not done" cf. No. 251, 8; the reverse is noted in $A D D 993 . \mathrm{iii} .27$ (coll.) NíG.KA, ep-šúu

The sealing is half-olive shaped, with a flat reverse which shows the marks of string and perhaps of some textile.

No. $25^{7 \%}$
Plate 86 ; Photo Plate 97 k
$6.5 \times 4.8 \times 1.6$
North-west Palace, Room HH.
N.B.-This sealing was originally assigned the number ND 807 (so in the excavation catalogue and Iraq 13 (1951), 118) ; in Iraq 17 (1955), Pl. XXII, etc., the number ND 807 is ascribed to an uninscribed sealing (No. 263 below), while the present sealing is given as ND 808. It seems preferable, despite the risk of confusion, to retain the original numbering

1 [ ]35 UDU.meš ṣib-ti
2 [ ] LứSIPA.MEŠ ša m.dPA.BÀD.EN-iá
(stamp-seal impression)
3 tti.barag ud.16.кÁm
4 lim-mu $^{m_{D U ̀ ~}^{\prime}}$-sil-É.ŠÁA

## Translation

1: $[(\mathrm{x}+)] 35$ sheep, șibtu, [under?? the shepherds of Nabû-dūr-bēlīya. 3: Month of Nīsānu (I), limmu of Ṭāb-ṣil-ešarra (716 b.c.).

## Notes

1: For the difficult word sibtu, I refer to my treatment of it in my study of $n A$ taxation; here it must mean either " stock, holding " or " tax (on increase)"
2: We should perhaps restore [ša (ina) IGI] or similar, following Nos. 132-3, of which at least the former comes from the Governor's Palace.

The sealing is roughly half-olive shaped, and shows on the reverse not the usual flat surface, but the impression of a jar neck, with a small part of the rounded jar body at the base of the sealing. It therefore seems (like Nos. 233 ff .) to have been applied to a small jar, sealing the string with which the jar was closed, but I am unable to suggest what purpose a jar could have served in this case.
No. 258
Plate 86
$(2 \cdot 8) \times(3 \cdot 6) \times 2 \cdot 0$

## ND 809

Inst. Arch. London
[ - ]

North-west Palace, Room HH.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1 & {[ } \\
2 & {\left[\begin{array}{c}
\text { li ma } \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\text { (stamp-seal impression) }
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array}
$$

Inscription useless; the sealing is half-olive shaped, with the reverse roughly flattened, but with only the impression of string clear to see.
No. 259
Plate 86 ; Photo Plate 97 c
ND 1115
IM, for study
$(6 \cdot 4) \times(3 \cdot 1) \times 2 \cdot 2$
North-west Palace, Room HH.
Fragment of a half-olive shaped sealing, with originally one or more lines of inscription, now useless. The reverse is smooth and flat, string holes are visible within the body of the clay; stamp-seal impression below the first line of the inscription.
No. 260
Plate 86 ; Photo Plate 97 j
ND 1106(a)
IM, for study [-].iv.[ - ]
$(5 \cdot 5) \times(4 \cdot 3) \times 1 \cdot 8$

North-west Palace, Room HH.
1 ITI.ŠU U[D.
(stamp-seal impression)
(uncertain whether further lines of inscription)
This sealing belongs with Nos. 261-2, which bear the same fine oval stamp-seal impression, and are presumably from the same provenance. Here the inscription may have given nothing more than the date.
All these three sealings (260-2) are roughly circular, and have string holes and the
impression of a jar neck on the reverse (cf. No. 257, 233 ff .). They very likely all date from 719 b.c., like No. 261 ; there is no clue as to their purpose.
No. 261
Plate 86 ; Photo Plate 97 i
ND 1106(b)
$4 \cdot 9 \times(4 \cdot 2) \times 2 \cdot 8$
IM, for study
-.iv. 719
[North-west Palace, Room HH.]
$1[(\quad)]$ ITI.šU $l\left[i m^{?}-\right] m u{ }^{\text {m}_{\text {MAN.GIN }}}$ (stamp-seal impression) (uncertain whether further lines of inscription)

See on No. 260 ; the provenance is taken to be the same, although the catalogues do not mention more than one fragment under ND 1106.
No. 262
Plate 86
ND 1106(c)
$(2 \cdot 9) \times(4 \cdot 0) \times 3 \cdot 6$
IM, for study
[North-west Palace, Room HH.]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1^{\prime} {\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { [ } \\
2^{\prime} \\
{[ }
\end{array}\right] } \\
& \\
& \text { (stamp-seal impression) } \\
& \text { (probably no further inscription) }
\end{aligned}
$$

See on No. 260-1 ; probably l. 1' here was indeed the first line and contained the date. I cannot interpret the surviving signs here in a satisfactory way; it does not seem very likely that we have a reference to Babylon at the end of the line, more probable is a PN.

## No. 263

## ND 808

 Inst. Arch. London$4.3 \times 2.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. -

North-west Palace, Room HH.
Small sealing, uninscribed, with the same seal impressed as ND 802, photo published in Iraq 17 (1955), Pl. XXII. 1 (under the wrong number ND 807, see on No. 257).

## No． 264

$8 \cdot 6 \times 4 \cdot 6 \times 2 \cdot 2$
North－west Palace，Room FF．
Rough strip of clay with stamp－seal impressions，design indecipherable．
No． 265
Plate 86
ND 818
Inst．Arch．London
$(2 \cdot 2) \times(2 \cdot 4) \times 1 \cdot 2$
North－west Palace，Room QQ．
Top left－hand corner of a letter in Babylonian script ；parts of five lines on obverse and three lines on reverse

## No． 266

## ND 1104

Mosul Museum 3
－
$104 \cdot 5 \times 128 \times 57 \mathrm{~cm}$.

North－west Palace，Room EA．
This is the inscribed stele of Assur－nāṣir－apli published by Professor D．J．Wiseman in Iraq 14 （1952），23－39．It is now on display in the Mosul Museum，and by kind permission of the Director of the Museum I was allowed to collate the stele．This was particularly useful for the damaged lower right－hand corner of the obverse，where some improvement could be made to the published copy which was prepared in difficult conditions．Apart from minor details，where doubtful signs were confirmed，the following corrections can ． discrepancies between copy and transliteration（such as $-t i$ for－te or a wrong accent）are not listed；see also R．Borger，HKL I，638，and J．A．Brinkman，An．Or．43，p． 391 note 2188.

3：$d[a n-n] i^{I}$ and dan－ni！（with copy）
5：$\quad a-d i-r u^{!}$（with copy）
10：insert MAN（＂king＂）between meš and šá（with copy）
12：$a-d i$ is not（！）repeated ！
14：KUR $u$－ra－ar－$t \underset{c}{ }$ ŠU－su
17：read ha！（not har）－ru－tu（with copy）
$21: \operatorname{read}$ ̀̀－$a$（i．e．ušéṣ（i）a

23：read kal－hu＇（with copy）
24：read dul la－be－ru（with copy；no－šu）
27：insert $u$－si－im after $a d-d i$（with copy）
31 ：at end read $e$－sir（not sir）（with copy）
33：at end uru $\ulcorner k a p\urcorner-r a-b i$（so S．Parpola，AOAT 6，195，confirmed）
34：insert a šá between gimri－ša and Kur（with copy）；read KUR šup－ri－e（and not KUR［kir］－ru－ri－e）
35：read mlu－bar－na（with copy ；BAR is in fact written PA）
36 ：íd－tu probably not hirītu but nartu；aN．TA（not elīta ${ }^{\text {ta }}$ ）
37 ：better Patti－nuȟ̌i（S．Parpola，AOAT 6，276）
39：read in centre áš－hu－ut SAG．MEš，and translate＂I drew wine and libated the ＇first－fruits＇to Assur ．．．．＂（see CAD \＄̣ 60b）
11：a－tam－ma－r［u＇
47：gišzIB！（not HI）－b／pu－tu；at end，gišh ha－am－［bu］－qu－qu
48－52：I give a new transliteration and translation of this passage，with all due reserve，since it obviously contains some unusual topoi：
48：giš̌nu－HAR－tu gišur zi nu $u$ GIŠ．ŠIM．GIG ÍD－tu iš－［tu］e－le－na 「a－na GIŠ．ŠAR．MEŠ
49：tu－ug－da－ša－ra i－ri－šu ma－la－ka－ni i－x［（x）］－lu na－at－「ba－ka｀－ni ${ }_{\text {LA．MEŠ }}$ ki－ma
 śá ki－［ma］GIš．KIN．GEStTIN
51：sa－an－bu－ku lab－šu LíL ina GIš．šAR si－haª（／［p］iš）－te 「tu｀－lal－la

52：ri－šá－te u＇－ta－nab ki pi－ia－z［ỉ $\ldots \ldots$ ．．
（End of list of trees）．The canal comes rushing（？）from above to the orchards；the paths［are full（？）］of scent；the channels of water flow like the stars of heaven in the garden of pleasure ；the pomegranate－tree（s），which are clothed with clusters（？）like vines， make the wind rich in the garden of ．．．．．［I］，Assur－nāṣir－apli，gather fruit in the garden of delights like a mouse［．．．．．．．

Notes
49：See the dictionaries for other instances of $g$ šr Dt ；the verb after mälakāni is uncertain，the second sign is not $h[a l]$（so $C A D_{\text {H }} 54 \mathrm{~b}$ ），as the second horizontal wedge is higher than the first ；perhaps $k[a]$ or similar．

50 ：hanānu is given $C A D$ н 76 b as＂to flow＂；the dictionaries restore here $i$－ha－nu－b［a，but－nu seems better after collation．
51 ：sanbuku is found in ADD 1031 rev．2，where the meaning＂bunch＂or＂cluster＂is not unlikely tu－lal－la is derived from the denominative verb $7 u l l \hat{\hat{n}}(A H w 562 \mathrm{~b})$ ，the fem．form is hard to explain in view of the masc．labšu．
52：A denominative（＇）unnubu from inbu is known in lexical lists（see $A H w 217 \mathrm{a}$ ）；here the meaning ＂to gather in fruit constantly＂（u＇tannab for u＇tanannab ？）is imposed by the context．

55 ：Read dé－a－man（with copy）；for Ea－šarru see R．Frankena，Tākultu， 86
57：Read Bēlat－кur－hi（＝niphi or nathi）
58：Read，with copy，é dkad－mu－ri（no Ištar）
59 ：Read šu－bat（with copy）instead of ma－mit

70 : There is no $-u$ complement to za. Gìn
73-5: To be understood thus: "I established its festivals in Šabāțu and Ulūlu, the name of its Sabātu festival (mu i-si-ni-šúu ša iti.zíz) I called its name (MU-šáa ab-bi-ma') rejoicing (tašriḩtu), and I established lustration (sir-qu) and incense for them."
78: Read na-t $u^{\prime}$-te (taken by $A H w 768$ a to mean " suitable "; not clear to me, perhaps rather from naṭ̂̂ II (ibid.) " geschlagen ")
90: $\quad$ u-na-pi! ${ }^{\prime}$ is ; šub-ti (not miqti), " a trap"
93-4: Read...[TA KUR]-e. 94: u GIš.tIR.[MEŠ $i$-n] $a^{?}$ Š Š[U? $\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & x\end{array}\right)\right] x$ ú-sab-bi-ta (cf. $A K A$ 202, 25-7)
101: UN.meš $a-n a-s a\left[h^{\prime}\right]$ úrad-di
107: At end, UDU.ZEH is indeed written DAM.GÀr, as in copy!
109: si-sir!-hu (with copy); presumably the same word as sisalhu (AfO 18 (1957-8), 340 IIIa 11) used of sheep and oxen (in $A D D$ )
112: 1 lim me-su-kimušen
118: 3 me IÀ +GIŠ!. MEŠ
119: 3 me ú ra-qu-tú
134: At end, ha-ba-qu-qi! (copy -ku)
138: g1šha-še $e^{\prime}-e$
139: 10 ANŠE BÍL.LI.MEŠ sic ! (not BÍL.SAR)
145: With copy, KUR sur ${ }^{\prime}-$-ra-a-a
148: With copy, za-ri-qi ${ }^{\text {mess }}$
153: With copy, ha-de-e a-na....

No. $26{ }^{7}$ ND 1122
$247 \times 336 \times 36.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.

## North-west Palace, Room B.

Throne base slab uncovered by Layard and now moved to the museum at Mosul (see D. J. Wiseman, Iraq 14 (1952), 66) ; inscription partially published by Layard (in ICC, Pls. 43-5), but it seems worthwhile to give the whole text here. Lines 1-43 are entirely on the upper step, ll. 44-9 are partly on the lower step, with a central portion inscribed on the projecting part of the upper step, and ll. 50-62 occupy most of the remaining space on the lower step; Layard's line numbering in ICC, Pls. 43-5, begins with 1.21 of the text.
 dingir.meš Gal.meš man dan-nu man šú man kur aš-šur
2 DUMU IGI + DUB. ${ }^{\text {d } n i n \text {-urta(wr.UR!) MAN GAL-e MAN dan-ni MAN ŠÚ MAN KUR } a s ̌-s ̌ u r ~ D U M U ~}$ U.ERÍN.GAB MAN ŠÚ MAN KUR aš-šur-ma eṭ-lu qar-du šá ina GIŠ.KU-ti aš-šur EN-šu DU.DU-ku-ma
3 ina mal-ke meš šá kib-rat 4-ta šá-nin-šú la-a TUK-ú Lú.SIPA tab-ra-te la a-di-ru GIš.LÁ $e-d u-u ́ u$ gap-šúu
4 šá ma-hi-ra la-a тUK-и́ mAN mu-šak-niš la kan-šu-te-šúu šá nap-har kiš-šat UN.MEŠ
i-pe-lu NITÁ dan-nu mu-kab-bi-is
5 GÚ $a-a$-bi-šúu da-a-iš kul-lat KÚR.MEŠ mu-pa-ri-ru ki-iṣ-ri mul-tar-hi MAN šá ina GIš.KU-ti DINGIR.MEŠ
6 GAL.MEŠ EN.MEŠ-šúu DU.DU-ku-ma KUR.KUR.MEŠ DÙ-ši-na ŠU-su kUR-ud hhur-šá-ni DÙ-šu-nu i-pe-lu-ma
7 bi-lat-su-nu im-h̆u-ru ṣa-bit li-i-ṭi šá-kín li-i-te UGU DÙ-ši-na KUR.kUR.meš e-nu-ma aš-šur EN
8 na-bu-úu мU-ia mu-šar-bu-ú MAN-ti-ia GIš.KU-šú la pa-da-a a-na i-da-at EN-ti-ia
9 lu-úu it-muh ERÍN.HI.A.MEŠ KUR lu-ul-lu-me-e DAGAL.meš ina qí-rib tam-ha-ri ina GIŠ.kU.meš lu ú-s̆am-qit
10 ina re-ssu-te šáa dšá-maš $u{ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ IM DINGIR.MEš tik-li-ia ERÍN.HI.A.MEŠ KUR.KUR na-i-ri KUR habb-ḩi KUR šu-ba-re-e
$11 u$ KUR né-reb GIM ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{IM}$ ra-hí-ṣi UGU-šúu-nu áš-gu-um MAN šá TA $e$-bir-tan Íd.IDIGNA $a$-di kUR lab-na-na
12 u A.AB.bA GAL-te KUR la-qe-e ana si-ȟir-ti-šá KUR su-hi $a$-di urura-pi-qi ana GìR ${ }^{\mathrm{Hi}-s ̌ u ́ u}$ ú-šik-ni-šá
13 TA SAG $e-n i$ íd $s u-u b-n a-a t ~ a-d i$ KUR $u ́$-ra-ar-ṭí ŠU-su KUR-ud TA KUR né-reb šá KUR kír-ru-ri a-di KUR gíl-za-ni
14 TA $e$-bir-tan ÍD $z a-b a$ KI.TA $a-d i$ uru $_{\text {DUL- }} b a-a-r i$ šá el-la-an KUR $z a$-ban TA uru ${ }_{\text {DUL- }}$ ša-za$a b-d a-n i$
$15 u$ uru $_{\text {DUL-šá-ab-ta-a-ni }}$ uruhhi-ri-mu uruha-ru-tu KUR bi-ra-a-te šá KUR kar-du-ni-áš ana $m i-i s-r i$ KUR-ia ú-te-ri
16 TA KUR ni-ir-bi šá KUR ba-bi-te $a$-di KUR hal-mar a-na UN.MEŠ KUR-ia am-nu ina KUR.KUR.MEŠ šá a-pe-lu-ši-na-ni LÚ.GAR-nu-te-ia al-ta-kan
$17 u r$-du-ti út-pu-uš maš-šur-PAP.A NUN-úu na-a-du pa-líḩ DINGIR.MEŠ GAL.MEŠ $u$-́š̌ím-gal-lu $e k-d u k a$-šid URU.DIDLI $u$ hhur-šá-ni
18 pat gim-ri-šú〈-nu〉 ina MAN EN.MEŠ-e mu-la-iṭ ek-ṣu-te a-pi-ir šá-lum-ma-te la-di-ru GIŠ.LÁ ur-šá-nu la pa-du-й
19 mu-rib a-nun-te mAN ta-na-da-a-te LÚ.SIPA ṣa-lu-ul UB.meš man šá ina qú-bit KA-šúu uš-har-ma-ṭu KUR.MEŠ-e
$20 u$ a.AB.bA.meš šá ina qi-it-ru-ub en-ti-šúu man.meš-ni ek-du-te la pa-du-te ta ṣi-it dšam-ši $a-d i$
21 e-reb dšam-ši pa-a 1-en ú-šá-áš-kín e-ta-tiq Kur.meš-e dan-nu-te a-tam-mar du-rug šap-šá-qi šá DÙ-ši-na UB.MES
22 ú-šá-az-ni-ni nab-li mul-mul-li UGU mal-ke meš šá nap-har DÛ̀ URU.DIDLI si-qir KA-ia e-ta-nam-da-ru
23 ú-sa-pu-úu EN-ti ana-ku maš-šur-PAP.A er-šu mu-du-úu ḩa-si-su pe-et uz-ni né-me-qi

24 DINGIR.MEŠ GAL.MEŠ šá AN-e ̀̀ KI-tim ina ke-e-ni ŠÀ-šúú-nu ú-du-ni-ma MAN-ti EN-ti $k i s ̌-s ̌ u-t i$ ina $a$ KA-šúu-nu KÙ ú-ṣa-a KUR.KUR.MEŠ
25 u ḩur-šá-ni dan-nu-te ana pe-e-líšuk-nu-še ú šá-pa-ri a-gi-iš ú-ma-'i-ru-ni ina qí-bit aš-sur EN GAL-e EN-za
$26 u$ dnin-urta(wr.UR!) ÁG SANGA-ti-ia a-na kUR lab-na-na lu-úi a-lik ana A.AB.BA GAL-te lu-úu e-li ina A.AB.BA gAL-te
27 GIŠ.KU.MEŠ-ia lu ul-lil UDU.SIZKUR.MEŠ $a$-na DINGIR.MEŠ-ni-ia lu as-bat ina UD-me-šú-ma ma-da-túu šá MAN.MEŠ-ni šá ši-di tam-di

28 šá KUR ṣur-ra-a-ia KUR ṣi-du-na-a-ia KUR $a-m u r-r a-a-a$ KUR gu-bal-a-ia KUR ma-hal-la$t a-a-a$ KUR $k a-i-s a-a-a$
29 KUR ma-i-ZA- $a-a$ uruar-ma-da šá MURUB ${ }_{4}$ tam-di KÙ.BABBAR.MEŠ GUŠKIN.MEŠ AN.NA.MEŠ UD.KA.BAR.MEŠ UTÚL.MEŠ UD.KA.BAR
30 TÚG lu-búl-ti bir-me TÚG.KAD.MEŠ ZÚ.MEŠ na-hir-ri bi-nu-ut tam-di am-hur ina UD-me-su-ma pa-ga-a-te gAL.meš
31 pa-ga-a-te ${ }^{\text {meš }}$ TUR.MEŠ it-ti ma-da-ti-šúti-nu am-h̆ur-šu-nu a-na KUR-ia aš-šur lu ub-la-ši-na $i$-na urukal-hi
32 mar-ši-si-na ana ma-a'-diš $\dot{u}$-šá-li-di UN.MEŠ KUR-ia DÙ-ši-na ú-šab-ri ina ti-ri-ṣi šU-ia
33 ú šu-uš-mur ŠÀ-ia 15 UR.MAH.MEŠ KAL.MEŠ TA KUR.MEŠ-e $̀$ u GIš.TIR.MEŠ ina ŠU-te DIB-bat 50 mu-ra-ni
34 UR.mah.meš lu áš-šá-a ina urukal-hi ù é.gal.meš kur-ia ina É e-sir lu ad-di-šú-nu $m u$-ra-ni-šu-nu
35 a-na ma-a'-diš $u$-šá-li-di UR mi-in-di-na-áš TI-LA.MEŠ «MEŠ» ina ŠU.MEŠ ú-ṣab-bi-ta su-gul-lat GUD.AM.MEŠ
36 AM.SI.MEŠ UR.MAH.MEŠ GÁ.NU $($ ŠIR ). MUŠEN.MEŠ pa-ge-e pa-ga-a-te ANŠE.EDIN.NA.MEŠ MAŠ.DÀ.MEŠ DARA 3 .BAR.MEŠ $a-s a-a-t e^{\text {meš }}$
$37 n i$-im-remeš se-en-kur-rimeš $\begin{aligned} & \text { í-ma-am EDIN KUR-e DÙ-šíu-nu ina URU-ia urukal-hुi } l u-u ́ u\end{aligned}$ $a k$-ṣur UN.MEŠ
38 KUR-ia DÙ-šút-nu ú-šab-ri NUN-úu EGIR-úu ina MAN.MEŠ-ni DUMU.MEŠ-ia ša aš-šur $i-n a-b u-s ̌ u ~ l u-u ́ u$ UN.MEŠ
39 egir.meš lu-ú lûum-ma-an man lu-úu lư.gal-úu lu-úu lúšáa sag la ta-ta-púl ina pa-an $a s ̌-s ̌ u r ~ Z I ~ s ̌ i-i-t i$
40 dnin-urta(wr. UR!) ù dIGI.DU ša SANGA-ti ÁG-mu MÁŠ.ANŠE EDIN $u$-śsat-li-mu-ni e-peš $b a-' u-r i \quad i q-b u-n i$
4130 am.sI.meš ina šub-ti $a-d u k 2$ me 57 GUd.AM.meš kal.meš ina giš.gigir.meš-ia pa-tu-te ina qi-it-ru-ub
42 en-ti-ia ina giš.ku.meš ú-šam-qit 3 me 70 UR.MAH.MEŠ KAL.MEŠ GIM MUŠEn.MEŠ $q u-u p-p i$ ina ${ }^{\text {sišs }} p u$ - $a \check{s}-h i a \quad a-d u k$
43 ina ITI.GUD UD.8.KÁM TA urukal-hi at-tu-muš Íd.HAL.HAL $e$-te-bir a-na urugar-ga-miš ša KUR hat-te
 KUR.KUR.MEŠ DÙ-šú-nu
45 ana muh-hi-ia DU-ku-ni GÌR ${ }^{\text {ii }}$-a $i s-$-sab-tu li-ṭ́-šú-nu aṣ-bat pa-na-tu-ia uk-ti-lu a-na KUR lab-na-na DU-ku
46 TA urugar-ga-miš at-tu-muš ina bi-rit KUR mun-zi-ga-ni KUR ha-mur-ga a-ṣ-bat KUR $a$-h̆a-nu a-na KAB-ia ú-ta-šèr
$47 a-n a$ uruhha-za-zi ša mlu-bar-na KUR hat-ti-na-a-a aq-ṭírib KÙ.BABBAR GUŠKIN TÚG lu-búl-tic тÚG.KAD.meš at-ta-h̆ar e-te-tiq ÍD ap-re-e
48 e-te-bìr a-sa-kan be-dak TA UGU íd ap-re-e at-tu-muš a-na uruku-nu-lu-a URU mAN-ti-šúú ša mlu-bar-na KUR hat-ti-na-a-a aq-tit-rib
49 TA IGI GIŠ.KU.MEŠ-a ez-zu-te mè-ia šit-mu-ri ip-láḩ-ma a-na šu-zu-ub zI.MEš-šúu GÌRii.MEŠ-a iṣ-bat 20 Gú.UN KÙ.bABBAR.MEŠ 1 GÚU.UN GUŠKIN.MEŠ 1 me GÚ.UN aN.NA.MEŠ
501 me GÚ.un an.bar.meš 1 lim qud.meš 10 lim UdU.meš 1 lim túg lu-búl-ti bir-me TÚG.KAD.MEŠ gišné-mat-ti GIŠ.KÚ.MEŠ ša tam-li-te uh-hu-za-te

51 GIš.NÁ.MEŠ GIŠ.KU.MEŠ GIš.NÁ.MEŠ zÚ.MEŠ ša tam-li-te GAR.RA.MEŠ ú-nu-tú É.GAL-šú HI.A.MEŠ ša KI.LÁ-šá NU ṣab-ta-at am-hुur-šú
52 urukal-hu mahh-ra-a šá m.ȧ́sull-ma-nu-SAG MAN KUR aš-šur NUN a-lik pa-ni-ia DÙ-uš URU šu-ú e-na-ah-ma iṣ-lal
53 URU šu-úl ana eš-šú-te ab-ni UN.meš ki-šit-tí šU-ia šá KUR.KUR.MEŠ šáa a-pe-lu-ši-na-ni šá KUR su-hhi
54 KUR la-qe-e ana si-hír-ti-šá urusir-qu šá né-bir-ti íd pu-rat-te KUR za-mu-a ana pat gim-ri-šáá
55 KUR É- $a$-di-ni u KUR hat-te paṭ(/u šá?) mlu-bar-na KUR hat-ti-na-a-a al-qa-a ina lib-bi ú-šá-aṣ-bit DUL la-be-ru
56 ú-na-kir ${ }_{7} a$-di UGU A.meš lu ú-šá-píl 1 me 20 tik-pe ina muš-pa-li lu ú-ṭa-bi é.gal GIŠ.ERIN.NA
 É.GAL gišbu-ut-ni u gištar-pi-'i
58 a-na šu-bat MAN-ti-ia ana mul-ta-'i-it EN-ti-a šá dä-ra-a-te ina lib-bi ad-di í-ma-am KUR.MEŠ-e
$59 u$ A.AB.BA.MEŠ šá $\mathrm{NA}_{4}$ pe-li BABBAR-e $u \mathrm{NA}_{4}$ pa-ru-te DỪ-uš ina KÁ.MEŠ-šáa ú-še-zi-iz ú-si-im-ši ú-šar-rihh-ši
60 si-kat kar-ri Ud.KA.bAR al-me-ši GIŠ.IG.meš giše-re-ni giš.šur.man gišdáp-ra-ni GIŠ.MES.KAN-ni ina KÁ.MEŠ-šá ú-re-tı
61 KÙ.bABBAR.MEŠ GUŠKIN.MEŠ AN.NA.MEŠ UD.KA.BAR.MEŠ $k i$-šit-ti ŠU-ia šá KUR.KUR.MEŠ šá $a-p e-l u-s ̌ i-n a-n i$
62 a-na ma-a'-di-iš al-qa-a ina lì-bi ú-kín
No. 268
Plate 87
ND 812(a) IM, for study
$(6 \cdot 7) \times(7 \cdot 8) \times 2 \cdot 6$
North-west Palace, Room FF.
Obv
(entirely broken, except for the traces of single signs in three of four lines)
Rev.


]
EŠ LAD.MEŠ」-šúu šu-nu e[?-


$l a-a ́] s ̌-s ̌ u ́ u[s ̌] a^{2}\left\ulcorner 7 a^{7} \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{N}(\quad)] t a-s a-b\left[u-u^{\prime}\right]\right.$
$b a-k] i-s u$ ši- $i$ [TA] URU $t a-[l a-b i-a]$
]e-tar-ba giš.IG [ina pāni]-šúu e-[te-di-li]
$t u] p-p u a[n-n i-] u^{2} e-m a[r-r a-q u-u-n i$
]ù em-mar-u-ni a-[na ša la úu-du-ni


| A. 74 | $13^{\prime}$ | KUR $a$ š-šur $\left.{ }^{\text {ki }}\right]$ | ka-li-[̌̌u-nu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. 76 | $14^{\prime}$ | š]a lab-bi [liškunu] |  |

$15^{\prime}-16^{\prime} / 17^{\prime}$ (undeciphered traces; presumably colophon)
This text is the duplicate of the Assur version of the "Marduk Ordeal" composition, to which I referred in $Z A 60$ (1969), 127. The lines of our text are given above their corresponding line numbers in the Assur (A.) text as edited by W. von Soden ( $Z A 51$ (1955), 132-41) ; for the later additions to the Ninevite recension of the composition see W. von Soden, $Z A 52$ (1957), 222-34 (esp. ll. 33-4, for l. $4^{\prime}$ here), and J. N. Postgate, $Z A 60$ (1969), 124-7.
Unfortunately the tablet is so badly preserved that only those lines with clear duplicates have been satisfactorily deciphered; particularly galling is the loss of the colophon.
No. 269
Plate 88
ND 812(b) IM, for study
$(7 \cdot 2) \times(8 \cdot 7) \times 2 \cdot 6$
North-west Palace, Room FF.
Part of a presumably " literary" text in Babylonian script, perhaps the end of obverse and upper part of reverse; it seems worth while to give a copy in the hope that someone will be able to identify the text, even if that hope is distant.

## No. 270

Plate 89
ND 821(a) BM 132004
$(7 \cdot 4) \times(6 \cdot 6) \times 2 \cdot 9$
North-west Palace, Room FF
Central part of the right-hand side of an unidentified incantation and ritual text; on face A incantation (ll. $1^{\prime}-6^{\prime}$ ) and ritual instructions ( $7^{\prime}-12^{\prime}$ ), contents of the six lines partly surviving on face $B$ unclear.

## No. 271

Plate 87
ND 821(b) BM 132005
$(6 \cdot 4) \times(8 \cdot 9) \times(3 \cdot 5)$


North-west Palace, Room FF.
A thick fragment in small script, in at least two columns, and probably from a lexical text.

No. 272
Plate 87
ND 821(c) BM 132006
$(4 \cdot 1) \times(4 \cdot 3) \times 2 \cdot 0$
North-west Palace, Room FF.
Fragment from centre of bottom (or top) edge of a tablet in script similar to that of No. 271 ; probably four columns, presumably lexical, but it cannot form part of the same tablet as No. 271 because it is much less thick. Face B (not copied) does show traces of cuneiform signs, but is extremely badly worn.

## APPENDIX: SEAL IMPRESSIONS (Plates 91-8)

The major seal impressions from this group of tablets have already been published by B. Parker (Iraq 17 (1955), 110-14; Pls. XX-XXII). However, since it seemed worth while to illustrate some of those not dealt with there, I have taken this opportunity to give new photographs of most of the seal impressions from the first three seasons, as well as some illustrations of characteristic or noteworthy tablets. Not illustrated are Nos. 133 $233,258,262$, and 263 , either because adequately illustrated in Iraq, or because they are so similar to others ; in addition, Nos. 1, 10, 100, 191, and 221 once had seal impressions which are now lost. Below I give what details may be helpful, but it is not intended to give a full publication, for which I have not hesitated to refer back to B. Parker's original article where relevant. Seal inscriptions have been dealt with in the main body of the edition; the list is in the order of the texts' numbers. -

No. 13 (ND 257)
Plate 92a
Stamp seal, circular (dia. $\pm 1.3 \mathrm{~cm}$.) ; impressed probably three times
Design: Two, or more likely three, hollow lozenge-shaped motifs, placed horizontally one above the other (length of central lozenge 0.9 cm ., breadth 0.3 cm .). The motif is hardly intended for lips, possibly they are stylized eyes; for a discussion of this lozenge motif, cf. B. Parker, Iraq 17 (1955), 106.

No. 18 (ND 209)
Plate 94a
Cylinder seal (ht. very roughly 4.0 cm . (est. from photograph) ; circ. not estimated) impression fair but badly broken and encrusted.
Design (taken from photograph) : Goddess seated on throne, facing right, behind her two standards of which the right-hand one is surmounted by a plain disc. Remainder of design could perhaps be discerned from the tablet; on the extreme right the base of the standards may be repeated on the extension of the impression, but this is uncertain.

The seal belonged to Aššur-aplu-uṣur, son of . . . . ni (l. 1).
Date: 778 в.с.

No. 44 (ND 258(a))
Cylinder seal, impression extending beyond ruled space above and below; ht. of ruled space 2.65 cm . ; min. ht. of seal 2.95 cm .; est. circ. of seal $\pm 4.7 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Design: A four-winged human figure, wearing a plain head-dress with narrow band round forehead, confronts a rearing, two-winged unicorn, whose right fore-hoof he grasps in his left hand (note the stylized mane represented by drill holes). With his right hand he holds the fore-paw of a winged lion, who rears up on the other side. Between the backs of the two animals there is a meaningless cuneiform sign, like an incorrect NU or PAP Miss E. Porada informs me that this is a known kind of filling motif in nA glyptic.
To judge from the remainder of the archive, and more specifically from the size and ductus of the script, the text belongs roughly to the time of Tiglath-pileser III.
The seal was the property of Samaš-iddina, mayor of a village whose name is lost for discussion of why his seal was impressed, see commentary on the text.

No. 64 (ND 231) [Iraq 17, 110; Pl. XX. 2$]$ Plate 91b
Cylinder seal, impression extending beyond ruled space; min. ht. of seal 2.4 cm ., circ. $\pm 5 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Design: See Iraq 17, 110; note that the figure to the right in the impression is unbearded and probably therefore a eunuch. The object between the two figures seems to be an offering stand or brazier rather than a cult symbol.
The seal inscription is unclear, but according to my reconstruction of the transaction, the seal should have belonged to Sîn-ētir, brother of Bēl-tarși-iluma.

No. 66 (ND 476) [Iraq 17, 110-11; Pl. XXI.1]
Plate 95d
Cylinder seal, overall ht. 3.55 cm ., ht. of impression without borders 2.7 cm . (i.e. metal caps 0.4 .0 .45 cm . each); est. circ. $\pm 5.0 \mathrm{~cm}$. (width of this impression $5 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~cm}$.). The main part of the seal is occupied by the inscription ( $c .3 .0 \mathrm{~cm}$.), while the design has but c. 2.0 cm .

Design: See Iraq 17, 110-11; since other instances of this seal are found below, it seems worth while to quote the description given there at some length: "The impression shows a god mounted on a horned lion, which may have a scorpion tail as on the Maltai reliefs ..... The god holds an axe and a ring in his lowered hand and the other is raised in acknowledgment of devotions rendered by a worshipper, probably the owner of the seal, who stands before him. The god wears a sword, the point of which is marked by five small stars; there are stars on either side of his head, which probably mark the end of bow and quiver slung over his shoulders. The worshipper standing before him wears the usual Assyrian dress of which no details can be seen; he appears to be beardless. ... In the field above is an unusual emblem comprising a crescent on a staff which is encircled by a ring; is this an attempt to represent both the crescent and the full moon?"
The new impressions (Nos. 170-1) alter the picture very little; it seems to me that the animal is not a horned lion, but a unicorn or possibly bull, and that the "staff" bearing the crescent and ring is rather a short spear-like object with a leaf-shaped blade suspended point downwards. It is certain that the worshipper is beardless, and he must surely be, as B. Parker suggests, the owner of the seal, who we know to have been Bel-tarsi-iluma, and who is expressly described as a eunuch in the seal inseription. The worshipper appears as a eunuch also in Nos. 64, 132, and 172-3.
Date: Since the seal is found on No. 170, it must date to or before 808 b.c., assuming the limmu date is correctly restored there. In any case it belongs to the governorship of Bèl-tarsịi-iluma, viz. between 808 and 772 b.c.

No. 99 (ND 241(a))
Plate 94b
Cylinder seal, dimensions not preserved, rolled twice but faintly on the uninscribed reverse of the envelope which measures $4 \cdot 9 \times 3.6 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Design: Bearded, winged, figure facing left, hand raised to acknowledge gesture from a second, unbearded figure, who is probably the seal's owner. Behind him, to the left, another bearded and winged figure, facing right, visible on lower impression. In field above " eunuch " a winged dise, above first bearded figure, crescent moon and probably stars. Line of cap or rim at top of impression.
Date: During the governorship of Bēl-dān, to whom the seal might belong, although it could also belong to Ašsur-dūru-uṣur.

No. 107 (ND 489)
Plate 92c
Cylinder seal (ht. $2.3 \mathrm{~cm} .+$; circ. $4.8 \mathrm{~cm} .+$ ); the impression is extremely faint and damaged in its lower part.
Design: A human figure, standing full-face, holds in one hand a horse's foreleg, and in the other probably the front leg of a winged figure visible on the right of the impression. Between the backs of the horse and the winged figure (which may be a female centaur), there is a symbol in the shape of a pillar(?), or tapering offering stand, with a star-like shape above it.
Date: 737 в.c.
The seal probably belonged to Ninurta-bēlu-ușur ; for the position of the impressionon the reverse of the tablet cf. commentary to No. 106.
No. 132 (ND 494) [Iraq 17, 111; Pl. XXI.2; Fig. 1 (p. 111)]
Plate 96c, df,
(1) Cylinder seal (ht. $2.5 \mathrm{~cm} .+$; circ. $\pm 6.0 \mathrm{~cm}$.) ; top and bottom of impression missing because of curvature of clay.
Design: See Iraq 17, 111; as indicated there in Fig. 1, there appears to be the back of a third figure directly to the right of the god, of which no further detail can be made out. However, when the inscription of this impression is compared with that of the seal used on Nos. 172-3, it becomes apparent that this is the same seal, that of Sarru-dūrī, and that the indistinct object to the god's right is in fact the staff(?) held by the worshipper, with part of his hand perhaps accounting for one of the bulges. The design is described below, under No. 173.
(2) Stamp seal, circular or slightly elliptical ; max. dia. 1.9 cm .; impression good but missing at sides.
Design : A mace or sceptre, across the diameter of the circle
A similar seal is found on Nos. 170 and 171 q.v., but that stamp seal was smaller, and its design is carved in reverse, so that it is not the identical seal. This raises an interesting point: both here, and on Nos. 170 and 171, the sealing has the impression of an inscribed cylinder seal of the governor of Kalhu (Bēl-tarṣi-iluma or Šarru-dūrī), but in each case there is also on one side a single impression of a stamp seal, which, although portraying a sceptre, is different for the two different governors. Did they both have an official cylinder seal and stamp seal, and if so, were both needed to lend validity to certain transactions? And is there any special symbolism behind the use of a sceptre as the design for the stamp seals? cf. No. 133

No. 133 (ND unn.)
Not illustrated
Stamp seal, only about one-quarter survives, dia. c. $2 \cdot 0 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Design: In centre of field probably a single sceptre or similar symbol, details broken. The dimensions of this seal, and what remains of the design, make it probable that it was the same as that impressed on No. 132, which like this sealing dealt with sheep. It is not possible to tell if No. 133 also had a cylinder seal impression, since the greater part of the sealing is now lost.

No. 170 (ND 252(k))
Plate 95c
(1) Cylinder seal, inscribed with the name and titles of Bēl-tarṣi-iluma, and evidently the same as that impressed on No. 66 q.v. ; this particular impression adds little to Nos. 66 and 171.
(2) (On separate small fragment, not photographed ; cf. sketch on Pl. 62) : Stamp seal, circular, dia. $\pm 1 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~cm}$. (also on No. 171).
Design: A mace or sceptre, across the diameter of the circle; the impression of the sceptre is sunk into the clay, hence on the seal itself the background must have been cut away to make the design, leaving the sceptre in relief. For the use of this stamp seal in conjunction with the cylinder seal, see my comments on No. 132.

Date: Possibly 808 b.c
No. 171 (ND 240(b))
Plate 95a-b
(1) Cylinder seal, impressed at least three times in different parts. Inscription and design show that this is the same seal as that on Nos. 66 and 170 qq.v. The main impression on this sealing shows clearly that the god is standing not upon a lion, but on a unicorn ; it also confirms that the worshipper is indeed beardless (i.e. a eunuch), and shows that animal's tail rather more clearly.
(2) Stamp seal, circular, slightly over half preserved; identical with the stamp seal on No. 170 q.v.

No. 172 (ND 275(a))
Cylinder seal; inscription and design identify this seal with that found on Nos. 132 and 173 q.v.

No. 173 (ND 275(k))
Plate 96a-b
Cylinder seal, from its design identical with that on Nos. 132 and 172 ; for a description of part of the design see No. 132. The combination of evidence from all three impressions gives further information : min. ht. $3 \cdot 4(+) \mathrm{cm}$., + border (metal cap) ( 2 x ) 0.5 cm . (i.e. total height $c .4 .5 \mathrm{~cm}$. or a little more) ; circ. $c .6 \cdot 0 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Design: The god (see Iraq 17, 111) is now seen to be standing on a winged animal, possibly a lion, with up-curving tail done in drill-holes, perhaps intended as that of a scorpion. Before him is the robed figure of a eunuch (seen best on No. 132, Pl. 96c, but also appearing on Nos. 172-3), who is probably holding a staff (see No. 173) ; the detail of the upper part of the staff is lost. The remainder of the field, between the backs of the god and the worshipper, is partly occupied by a three-line inscription and partly blank. The inscription identifies the seal as that of Sarru-dūrī, governor of Kalhu; it is possible that the blank space was once devoted to further titles which were later erased (cf. the Introduction, p. 11).
Date: Since there is no fixed date in the governorship of Sarru-dūrī, the seal cannot be dated closer than $c$. the third quarter of the eighth century (see the Introduction, p. 11).

No. 219 (ND 409)
Plate 94 c
Stamp seal, dia. $\pm 1 \cdot 0 \mathrm{~cm}$.; impressed faintly three times.
Design: Geometrical pattern in the form of a wheel with eleven spokes and a reserved central hub. Probably the design is a stylized floral motif with the petals in negative (on the impression); cf. the stamp seal on BM 121043 (Iraq 32 (1970), Pl. XXXIb), where the petals do not appear in negative.
Date: Late seventh century (according to M. Falkner's scheme for the post-canonical eponyms, 641 b.c.)

No. 229 (ND 483)
Plate $98 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{g}$
Cylinder seal, impressed on two non-joining fragments of clay; ht. of larger piece 3.5 cm ., dimensions of seal not reconstructible
Design: A bearded figure, probably the king, shoots from chariot at enemy whose head is turned back over his shoulder. To right of larger fragment, the heads of the horse(s) and chariot driver; "Pleiades" in field above, and unidentified lozenge-shaped object between back of chariot and falling enemy. For similar scenes of battle, of. E. Porada, Corpus, Nos. 659-63.

No. 233 (ND 1117)
Not illustrated
Royal stamp seal ; impression obliterated. See on No. 239.
No. 234 (ND 1118)
Plate 98a-b
Royal stamp seal; see on No. 239.
No. 235 (ND 1119)
Plate 98c-d
Royal stamp seal ; left side of impression only. See on No. 239.
No. 236 (ND 1124)
Plate 98 e
Royal stamp seal ; dia. 3.0 cm . See on No. 239.
No. 237 (ND 1125(c))
Plate 97a-b
Royal stamp seal; upper left-hand corner only, with clear guilloche border. See on No. 239.

No. 238 (ND 1125(a))
Plate $97 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{e}$
Royal stamp seal; part of upper half only. See on No. 239.
No. 239 (ND 1125(b))
Plate $97 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{h}$
Royal stamp seal ; (?) part of lower half only.
Nos. 233-9: All of these sealings have the impression of the royal stamp seal (in varying degrees of certainty), on which see A. J. Sachs, Iraq 15 (1953), 167-70, and A. R. Millard, Iraq 27 (1965), 12-16. Because of the variation in the way in which the seal was impressed, and the varying states of preservation of the sealings themselves, it is impossible to be certain if the identical seal was used on each occasion. Even the apparent absence of a guilloche border (clearly visible on No. 237, for example) is not decisive, since close inspection of No. 234 shows that the seal used there also had a guilloche border, although it scarcely shows in the impression.
Date: This group of sealings comes from the same room in the Burnt Palace as some letters from the reign of Sargon, and they are therefore probably likewise from his reign. This agrees well with the date of K 3781a (Iraq 15 (1953), Pl. XIX.5), which is dated to 719 в.c. and is similar to our examples in general appearance and size ( $1 \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{in} .=3.2 \mathrm{~cm}$.).

No. 256 (ND 806) [Iraq 17, 112-13; Pl. XXII.2 ; Fig. 3, p. 112]
Stamp seal, elliptical ( $2 \cdot 2 \times c .1 \cdot 9 \mathrm{~cm}$.). See the better preserved impression of the same seal on No. 258.

No. 257 (ND 807!) [Iraq 17, 114; Pl. XXII.5-" ND 808 "]
Stamp seal, elliptical; $3.5 \times 2 \cdot 8(+) \mathrm{cm}$. ; with raised rim
See Iraq 17, 114; a very similar, if not identical, seal was impressed on Nos. 260-2. For the ND number, see the main text on No. 257.

No. 258 (ND 809) [Iraq 17, 112-13 ; Pl. XXII.4; Fig. 3, p. 112] Not illustrated Stamp seal, as on No. 256. See Iraq 17, 112-13.

No. 259 (ND 1115)
Plate 97c
Stamp seal; small part only survives, possibly showing the legs of the figure of the king from a royal stamp seal (cf. No. 239).

No. 260 (ND 1106(a))
Plate 97 j
Stamp seal, elliptical; $3.8 \times \pm 2.9 \mathrm{~cm}$., with raised rim.
Design : The design on this unusually large stamp seal is extremely similar to that on No. 257, and I cannot determine with certainty whether they are the same seal or not The greatest apparent difference between the two impressions seems to be in the king's head-dress, which looks tiered and conical on No. 257, whereas here it is the usual fez shape with the streamer behind ; there also seem to be differences in the shape and position of the king's beard. In general the figures in the impression on No. 257 are more elongated than here, and this impression is marginally larger than that on No. 257.

It is hard to attribute all these differences to different impressions of the same seal, and I therefore incline to the view that on Nos. 260-2 (from which the above details are drawn) we have a different seal to that on No. 257, although the two seals must have been extremely similar
Details on Nos. 260-2 which would not in any case have been discernible on No. 257 because of its poor preservation are the head of the attendant (best seen on No. 261), who is clearly unbearded and therefore a eunuch, and the presence of a scorpion in the field above the goddess (on the unillustrated part of No. 261).

No. 261 (ND 1106(b))
The photo shows only the top left-hand corner of the sealing: the right half (as shown in the copy, Pl. 86) was only joined to this piece subsequently.

No. 262 (ND 1106(c))
Not illustrated
Stamp seal, as No. 260 ; right half only.
No. 263 (ND 808!) [Iraq 17, 111-12 ; Pl. XXII.1; Fig. 2, p. 111-" ND 807 '’]
Not illustrated
Stamp seal, impressed three times on uninscribed sealing ; see Iraq 17, 111-12; for the ND number see the main text on No. 257.

No. 264 (ND 813)
Plate 95e
Stamp seal, roughly elliptical $(2.5 \times 1.65 \mathrm{~cm}$.) ; impressed twice on a rough strip of clay. No details of the design can be made out.

## NOTES TO CATALOGUE

Column: ND number : ND 201-287 are from first season (1949)
ND 400-499, 800-831 are from second season (1950)
ND 1101-1131 are from third season ( 1951 )
have used square brackets thus: $464[a]$, to indicate that the letters identifying separate pieces Thave used square brackets thus: 4buca], toen assigned by me, for convenience of identification Letters in round brackets, e.g. 230(a), were already assigned at the time of excavation (in the catalogue or field notes).
Unnumbered pieces : a considerable number of fragments from the first two seasons were not assigned ndividual ND numbers. These fragments were assigned to the Iraq Museum, and I have placed them last in the catalogue above. From their character, and because some of them have been joined to numbered pieces, it is obvious that they come from Rooms K, M, and S, with the rest of the archive. Those of a legal nature probably belong under the number ND 252, which included thirty-seven small fragments of which eighteen only have been identified. Some of the unnumbered pieces were from letters, and these must belong to the archive found in the second season in Room S, which contained he other letters; they may well belong under ND 802, a number assigned to miscellaneous fragments from Room S, of which only the sealing, which bears that number in the catalogue, has been identified

2nd Column: either number of text in the present edition, or, where the item is not included here, primary place of publication.
rd Column : present location of piece, and museum number where relevant.
Austr. Inst. Arch. $=$ The Australian Institute of Archaeology, Melbourne
$\mathrm{M}=$ British Museum, Department of Western Asiatic Antiquities
$[\mathrm{E}]=$ piece assigned to the Expedition when division was made, not located by me.

$$
\mathrm{M}=\text { Iraq nuseum, Dagudaa, ror stuay }
$$

31 Gordon Square, London, W. C.
th Column : either details of joins:

+ = physical join made
+ ) = either physical join presumed, but not made, or no physical join, presumed to be from same tablet.
Or, where item is not included in this edition, a brief description
5th Column : provenances, details taken from catalogues both published and unpublished ; for further information on the find spots, see in the Introduction, p. 3 ff.


| $N D$ | No. | Museum | Notes | Provenance | $N D$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 231 | 64 | IM 56822 |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 231 |
| 232 | 42 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 232 |
| 233 | 31 | IM 56823 |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 233 |
| 234 | 52 | IM 56824 |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 234 |
| 235 | 53 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 235 |
| 236 | 74 | IM, for study |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 236 |
| 237 | 78 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 237 |
| 238 | 82 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 238 |
| 239 | 55 | IM 56825 |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 239 |
| 240(a) | 63 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | $240(\mathrm{a})$ |
| (b) | 171 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | (b) |
| 241[a] | 99 | BM 131991 |  | Governor's Palace, Room M | 241[a] |
| [b] | 122 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room M | ${ }^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ |
| 242 | 7 | IM, for study |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 242 |
| 243 | 10 | IM 56826 |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 243 |
| 244 | 12 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 244 |
| 245 | 152 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 245 |
| 246 | 11 | IM 56827 |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 246 |
| 247 | 16 | Inst. Arch. London | $(+) 275[\mathrm{~m}]$ | Governor's Palace, Room K | 247 |
| 248 | 56 | IM, for study |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 248 |
| 249 | 32 | TM, for study | + 252(j) $(+) 275[\mathrm{~g}]$ | Governor's Palace, Room K | 249 |
| 250 | 67 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 250 |
| 251 | 6 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room M | $251$ |
| 252 |  |  |  | "Collection of fragments which may join with pieces from Rooms M and K" | 252 |
| (a) | 71 | IM, for study |  |  | (a) |
| (b) | 85 | IM, for study |  |  | (b) |
| (c) | - | - | (descr. in Iraq 12 ; exact fragment not identified |  | (c) |
| (d) | 69 | TM, for study |  |  | (d) |
| (e) | 72 | IM, for study |  |  | (e) |
| (f) | 58 | TM, for study | + unn. frgt. |  | (f) |
| (g) | 84 | TM, for study |  |  | (g) |
| (h) | 21 | TM, for study |  |  | (h) |
| (i) | 148 | IM, for study |  |  | (i) |
| (j) | 32 | IM, for study | $+249(+) 275[\mathrm{~g}]$ |  | (j) |
| [k] | 170 | TM, for study |  |  | [k] |


| $N D$ | No. | Museum | Notes | Provenance | $N D$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [1] | 73 | IM, for study |  |  | [1] |
| [m] | 70 | IM, for study |  |  | [m] |
| [ n ] | 147 | IM, for study |  |  | [n] |
| [o] | 176 | IM, for study |  |  | [o] |
| [p] | 65 | IM, for study |  |  | [p] |
| [q] | 175 | IM, for study |  |  | [q] |
| [r] | 75 | IM, for study |  |  | [r] |
| 253 | 3 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 253 |
| 254 | 94 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 254 |
| 255 | 96 | IM 56828 |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 255 |
| 256 | 158 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 256 |
| 257 | 13 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room M | 257 |
| 258[a] | 44 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room M | 258[a] |
| [b] | 143 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room M | [b] |
| 259 | 119 | IM 56829 |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 259 |
| 260 | 33 | IM 56830 |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 260 |
| 261 | 91 | IM 56831 |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 261 |
| 262 | 68 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 262 |
| 263 | 51 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 263 |
| 264 | 35 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 264 |
| 265 | 4 | IM 56832 |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 265 |
| 266 | 34 | IM 56833 |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 266 |
| 267 | 1 | IM 56834 |  | Governor's Palace, Room ${ } \mathrm{K}$ | 267 |
| 268 | 101 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 268 |
| 269 | 80 | IM 56835 |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 269 |
| 270 | 81 | TM 56836 |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 270 |
| 271 | 142 | IM, for study |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 271 |
| 272 | 38 | IM, for study |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 272 |
| 273 | 135 | IM 56837 |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 273 |
| 274 | 150 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room K | 274 |
| 275 |  |  |  | Collection of 12 fragments of tablets; may join some of the tablets ND 259-74. All these fragments found in south-west corner of Room K. [Some useless fragments | 275 |


| (a) | 172 | Inst. Arch. London |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| (b) | 59 | Inst. Arch. London |
| (c) | 109 | Inst. Arch. London | south-west corner of Room K. [Some useless fragment not included here]


| $N D$ | No． | Museum | Notes | Provenance | $N D$ | N000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ［d］ | 41 | Inst．Arch．London |  |  | ［d］ |  |
| ［e］ | 28 | Inst．Arch．London |  |  | ［e］ |  |
| ［f］ | 40 | Inst．Arch．London |  |  | ［f］ |  |
| ［g］ | 32 | Inst．Arch．London | $(+) 249+252(\mathrm{j})$ |  | ［g］ |  |
| ［ h ］ | 76 | Inst．Arch．London |  |  | ［h］ |  |
| ［i］ | 77 | Inst．Arch．London |  |  | ［i］ |  |
| ［j］ | 124 | Inst．Arch．London |  |  | ［j］ |  |
| ［k］ | 173 | Inst．Arch．London |  |  | ［k］ |  |
| ［1］ | 179 | Inst．Arch．London |  |  | ［1］ |  |
| ［m］ | 16 | Inst．Arch．London | （＋） 247 |  | ［m］ |  |
| 276 | 29 | IM 56838 |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room M，near door into Room K | 276 |  |
| 277 | 153 | IM 56839 |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room C，near door of Room E | 277 | 붑 |
| 278 | 131 | Inst．Arch．London |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room B，next to red tripod－bowl （ND $15=$ IM 55311） | 278 | 苜 |
| 279 | 216 | IM，for study |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room H，opposite door to Room P， close to west wall | 279 | 边 |
| 280 | Iraq 12， 197 | BM 131981 | Amulet | Governor＇s Palace，Room K，adjacent to the tablets | 280 | \％ |
| 281 | Iraq 12， 197 | IM 55349 | Brick | Governor＇s Palace，Room K，pavement | 281 | \％ |
| 282 | Iraq 12， $197{ }^{6}$ | Inst．Arch．London | Brick | Governor＇s Palace，Room K，pavement | 282 | 0 |
| 283A | Iraq 12， $197{ }^{6}$ | IM 55350 | Brick | Governor＇s Palace，Room I，pavement | 283A | $\sim$ |
| B | Iraq 12， $197{ }^{6}$ | ［E］ | Brick | Governor＇s Palace，Room I，pavement | B | － |
| 284 | Iraq 12， 197 | IM 55351 | Brick | Rubbish at south end of Governor＇s Palace | 284 | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ |
| 285 | Iraq 12， 197 | IM 55352 | Brick | Rubbish over top of Governor＇s Palace，out of position | 285 | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ |
| 286A | － | IM 55353 | Brick | Incorporated into Parthian burnt－brick grave，G．2，over top of Governor＇s Palace | 286A | － |
| B | － | ［E］ | Brick | Incorporated into Parthian burnt－brick grave，G．2，over top of Governor＇s Palace | B | 水 |
| 287 | － | IM 55354 | Brick | Provenance not recorded | 287 | E |
| 400 | Iraq 13，21－4 | BM 131982 | Historical | Governor＇s Palace，Room U，upper fill | 400 |  |
| 401 | 14 | Inst．Arch．London | ＋402 | Governor＇s Palace，Room U，upper fill | 401 |  |
| 402 | 14 | Inst．Arch．London | ＋401 | Governor＇s Palace，Room U，upper fill | 402 |  |
| 403 | 209 | Inst．Arch．London |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room U，upper fill | 403 |  |
| 404 | 212 | Inst．Arch．London |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room S， $0 \cdot 20 \mathrm{~m}$ ．above Level II，near west door in fill | 404 |  |
| 405 | 208 | Inst．Arch．London |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room S， $0 \cdot 20 \mathrm{~m}$ ．above Level II，near west door in fill | 405 |  |
| 406［a］ | 89 | Inst．Arch．London |  | Miscellaneous fragments found with ND 404－5 | 406［a］ |  |


| $N D$ | No． | Museum | Notes | Provenance | $N D$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ［b］ | 168 | Inst．Arch．London |  |  | ［b］ |
| ［c］ | 169 | Inst．Arch．London |  |  | ［c］ |
| 407 | 221 | IM，for study |  | A 50，Room 7，Level II（in fill by door into Room 3， | 407 |
| 408 | 220 | IM，for study |  | associated with cache of small objects－pedestal vase， | 408 |
| 409 | 219 | Inst．Arch．London |  | furniture bronze，etc．） | 409 |
| 410 | Iraq 13， 107 | IM 55742 | Stone vase | Trench d 13，outside north－west corner of Governor＇s Palace，in upper fill | 410 |
| 411 | 214 | Inst．Arch．London |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room S ；under brick mastaba over mud－brick floor level in south－west corner， $\mathbf{1} \cdot 00 \mathrm{~m}$ ．to left of door in south wall | 411 |
| 412 | 162 | Inst．Arch．London |  | As 411 | 412 |
| 413 | 196 | BM 131992 |  | As 411 | 413 |
| 414 | 198 | BM 131993 |  | As 411 | 414 |
| 415 | 201 | BM 131994 |  | As 411 | 415 |
| 416 | 136 | Inst．Arch．London |  | As 411 | 416 |
| 417 | 189 | IM 56840 |  | As 411 | 417 |
| 418 | 190 | BM 131995 |  | As 411 | 418 |
| 419 | 139 | IM 56841 |  | As 411 | 419 |
| 420 | 193 | IM 56842 |  | As 411 | 420 |
| 421 | 191 | IM 56843 |  | As 411 | 421 |
| 422 | 156 | IM 56844 |  | As 411 | 422 |
| 423 | 118 | Inst．Arch．London |  | As 411 | 423 |
| 424 | 194 | BM 131996 |  | As 411 | 424 |
| 425 | 207 | Inst．Arch．London |  | As 411 | 425 |
| 426 | 181 | Inst．Arch．London |  | As 411 | 426 |
| 427 | 128 | BM 131997 |  | As 411 | 427 |
| 428 | 140 | BM 131998 |  | As 411 | 428 |
| 429 | 137 | Inst．Arch．London |  | As 411 | 429 |
| 430 | 127 | Inst．Arch．London |  | As 411 | 430 |
| 431［a］ | 114 | Inst．Arch．London | $(+) 471$ | As 411 | 431［a］ |
| ［b］ | 210 | Inst．Arch．London |  | As 411 | ［b］ |
| ［c］ | 166 | Inst．Arch．London |  | As 411 | ［c］ |
| 432 | 111 | ［E］ |  | As 411 | 432 |
| 433 | 108 | IM 56845 |  | As 411 | 433 |
| 434 | 138 | IM 56846 |  | As 411 | 434 |
| 435 | 182 | IM 56847 |  | As 411 | 435 |
| 436 | 188 | BM 131999 |  | As 411 | 436 |


| $N D$ | No． | Museum | Notes | Provenance | $N D$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 437 | 185 | BM 1312000 |  | As 411 | 437 |  |
| 438 | 192 | IM 56848 |  | As 411 | 438 |  |
| 439 | 203 | IM 56849 |  | As 411 | 439 |  |
| 440 | 183 | IM 56850 |  | As 411 | 440 |  |
| 441 | 202 | IM 56851 |  | As 441 | 441 |  |
| 442 | 112 | IM 56852 |  | As 411 | 442 |  |
| 443 | 206 | IM，for study |  | As 411 | 443 |  |
| 444 | 184 | IM 56853 |  | As 411 | 444 |  |
| 445 | 125 | Inst．Arch．London |  | As 411 | 445 |  |
| 446 | 126 | IM 56854 |  | As 411 | 446 | 回 |
| 447 | 129 | Inst．Arch．London |  | As 411 | 447 | 出 |
| 448 | 47 | IM 56855 |  | As 411 | 448 |  |
| 449 | 160 | IM，for study |  | As 411 | 449 | 0 |
| 450 | 155 | IM，for study | （＋） 459 | As 411 | 450 | 建 |
| 451 | 113 | IM，for study | ＋unn．frgt． | As 411 | 451 | 0 |
| 452 | 130 | Inst．Arch．London |  | As 411 | 452 | \％ |
| 453 | 141 | IM 56856 |  | As 411 | 453 | \％ |
| 454 | 186 | IM，for study |  | As 411 | 454 | $\pm{ }^{\circ}$ |
| 455 | 199 | Inst．Arch．London |  | As 411 | 455 | － |
| 456 | 115 | Inst．Arch．London |  | As 411 | 456 | c |
| 457 | 161 | IM，for study |  | As 411 | 457 | － |
| 458 | 157 | IM，for study |  | As 411 | 458 | （2） |
| 459 | 155 | BM 132012 | $(+) 450$ | As 411 | 459 | S |
| $460$ | 215 | IM 56857 |  | As 411 | 460 | 0 |
| 461 | 154 | Inst．Arch．London | $(+)$ unn．frgt． | Governor＇s Palace，Room S ；（perhaps as 411） | 461 | 含 |
| 462 | 195 | IM 56858 |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room S；in bricked－in doorway on west side | 462 | ※ |
| 463 | 116 | IM 56859 |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room S；in bricked－in doorway on west side | 463 |  |
| 464［a］ | 226 | Inst．Arch．London |  | B 50，Room VII，below Level I | 464［a］ |  |
| ［b］ | 227 | Inst．Arch．London | ＊ | B 50，Room VII，below Level I | ［b］ |  |
| ${ }^{[\mathrm{c}]}$ | 228 | Inst．Arch．London |  | B 50，Room VII，below Level I | ［c］ |  |
| 465 | 222 | IM，for study |  | B 50，Room VII，below Level I | 465 |  |
| $466$ | 230 | Inst．Arch．London |  | B 50，Room IX，second floor［see on No．230，p．］ | 466 |  |
| 467 | 223 | IM，for study |  | B 50，Room VII，between Floors I and II | 467 |  |
| 468 | 225 | IM，for study |  | B 50，Room VII，between Floors I and II | 468 |  |
| 469 | 224 | IM，for study |  | B 50，Room VII，between Floors I and II | 469 |  |


| ND | No． | Museum | Notes | Provenance | $N D$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 470 | 117 | IM，for study |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room S ；south－west corner，in wall | 470 |
| 471 | 114 | IM 56860 | $(+) 431(a)$ | Governor＇s Palace，Room S ；south－west corner，in wall | 471 |
| 472 | 25 | Austr．Inst．Arch． |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room K，Level II | 472 |
| 473 | 24 | IM 56861 | $(+)$ unn．frgt． | Governor＇s Palace，Room K，Level II | 473 |
| 474 | 9 | IM 56862 |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room K，Level II | 474 |
| 475 | 26 | Austr．Inst．Arch． |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room K，Level II | 475 |
| 476 | 66 | Inst．Arch．London |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room K，Level II | 476 |
| 477 | 102 | Inst．Arch．London |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room K，Level II | 477 |
| 478 | 8 | Inst．Arch．London |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room K，Level II | 478 |
| 479［a］ | 39 | IM，for study |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room K，Level II | 479［a］ |
| ［b］ | 37 | IM，for study |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room K，Level II | ［b］ |
| ［c］ | 174 | IM，for study |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room K，Level II | ［c］ |
| 480 | 57 | Inst．Arch．London |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room K，Level II | 480 |
| 481 | 36 | TM 56863 |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room K，Level II | 481 |
| 482 | 48 | IM 56864 |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room K，Level II | 482 |
| 483 | 229 | Inst．Arch．London | Seal impression | B 50，Room VII，between I and II | 483 |
| 484 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Iraq } 13,115 ; \\ N \& R \mathrm{I} \\ \text { pp. } 117-18 \end{gathered}$ | IM 55753 | Pazuzu plaque | North－west Palace，Rooms 00 and QQ | 484 |
| 485 | Iraq 13， 115 | IM 55698 | Jar sherd | North－west Palace，Room HH ；fill above Floor I | 485 |
| 486 | 255 | Inst．Arch．London |  | North－west Palace，Room HH； 5 cm ．above Floor III， 2.80 m ．deep near east wall， $2 \cdot 10 \mathrm{~m}$ ．from south－east corner（with ivory cow）， | 486 |
| 487 | 248 | BM 132001 |  | North－west Palace，Room OO，Level III | 487 |
| 488 | 123 | Inst．Arch．London |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room M，Level II | 488 |
| 489 | 107 | TM 56865 |  | Governor＇s Palace，Koom M，Level II | 489 |
| 490 | 197 | BM 132002 |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room M，Level II | 490 |
| 491 | 100 | Inst．Arch．London |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room M，Level II | 491 |
| 492 | 2 | BM 132003 |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room M，Level II | 492 |
| 493 | 92 | IM 56866 |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room M，Level II | 493 |
| 494 | 132 | IM 56867 |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room M，Level II | 494 |
| 495 | 22 | IM 56868 |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room M，Level II | 495 |
| 496 | 17 | IM 56869 |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room M，Level II | 496 |
| 497 | 134 | Inst．Arch．London |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room M，Level II | 497 |
| 498 | 105 | Austr．Inst．Arch． |  | Governor＇s Palace，Room M，Level II | 498 |
| 499 | 252 | IM 56870 |  | North－west Palace，Room HH，on floor | 499 |
| 800 | 251 | IM 56871 |  | North－west Palace，Room HH，on floor | 800 |


| $N D$ | No. | Museum | Notes | Provenance | $N D$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 801 | 62 | IM 56872 |  | Governor's Palace, Room S | 801 |  |
| 802 | Iraq 17, 113-14 | IM, for study | Sealing | Governor's Palace, Room S | 802 |  |
| 803 | 45 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Governor's Palace, Room M, Level II | 803 |  |
| 804[a] | 46 | IM, tor study |  | Governor's Palace, Room M, Level II | 804[a] |  |
| [b] | - | IM, for study | Uninscr. frgt. | Governor's Palace, Room M, Level II | [b] |  |
| 805 | 250 | Inst. Arch. London |  | North-west Palace, Room HH, Floor III | 805 |  |
| 806 | 256 | IM, for study |  | North-west Palace, Room HH, Floor III | 806 |  |
| 807 | 257 | IM 56873 |  | North-west Palace, Room HH, Floor III | 807 |  |
| 808 | 263 | Inst. Arch. London |  | North-west Palace, Room HH, Floor III | 808 |  |
| 809 | 258 | Inst. Arch. London |  | North-west Palace, Room HH, Floor III | 809 | 安 |
| 810 | 254 | IM 56874 |  | North-west Palace, Room HH, Floor III | 810 |  |
| 811 | Iraq 13, 118 | IM 55744 | Historical | North-west Palace, Room FF, just below Floor III | 811 | a |
| 812[a] | 268 | IM, for study |  | North-west Palace, Room FF, in box, Floor III | 812[a] | $\bigcirc$ |
| [b] | 269 | IM, for study |  | North-west Palace, Room FF, in box, Floor III | [b] | स |
| 813 | 264 | Inst. Arch. London |  | North-west Palace, Room FF, Level IV | 813 | ${ }_{2}$ |
| 814 | Iraq 13, 24-6 | IM 56875 | Historical | North-west Palace, Room OO, 0.23 m . above Level III, to right of door | 814 | 0 |
| 815 | 247 | IM 56876 |  | North-west Palace, Room DD, Level III | 815 | $\square$ |
| 816 | Iraq 13, 118 | [E] Ashmoleen 1951.61 | Historical | North-west Palace, Room DD, covering grave below Level III | 816 | B |
| 817 | Iraq 13, 118 | IM 55745 | Historical | North-west Palace, Room DD, covering grave below Level III | 817 | - |
| 818 | 265 | Inst. Arch. London |  | North-west Palace, Room QQ, Level III | 818 | - |
| 819 | Iraq 13, 119 | IM 55743 | Historical | North-west Palace, Room GG, Level III | 819 |  |
| 820 | Iraq 13, 119 | [E] | Historical | North-west Palace, pavement at end of Passage P | 820 |  |
| 821(a) | 270 | BM 132004 |  | North-west Palace, Room FF, Level III; north-west corner | 821(a) |  |
| (b) | 271 | BM 132005 |  | North-west Palace, Room FF, Level III | (b) |  |
| [c] | 272 | BM 132006 |  | North-west Palace, Room FF, Level III | [c] |  |
| 822 | 213 | [E] |  | Governor's Palace, Room S, Level II | 822 |  |
| 823 | Iraq 13, 119 | [E] | 6 bricks | North-west Palace/Governor's Palace | 823 |  |
| 824 | Iraq 13, 119 | IM 55759 | 2 bricks | North-west Palace/Governor's Palace | 824 |  |
|  |  | IM, for study | 1 brick |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mosul Museum | 2 bricks |  |  |  |
| 825 | Iraq 13, 119 | IM 56167 | Brick | North-west Palace/Governor's Palace | 825 |  |
| 826 | Iraq 13, 119 | [E] | Brick | North-west Palace/Governor's Palace | 826 |  |
| 827 | Iraq 13, 119 | [E] | Brick | North-west Palace | 827 |  |


| $N D$ | No. | Museum | Notes | Provenance | $N D$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 828 | Iraq 13, 119 | Mustansiriyah, Baghdad | Brick | North-west Palace | 828 |
| 829 | Iraq 13, 119 | [E] | 7 bricks | North-west Palace | 829 |
| 830 | Iraq 13, 119 | IM 55760a-b | 2 bricks | North-west Palace | 830 |
|  |  | IM 55761 | 1 brick |  |  |
|  |  | Mosul Museum | 3 bricks |  |  |
| 831 | Iraq 13, 119 | IM 55701 | Plaque |  | 831 |
| 1101 | 253 | Inst. Arch. London |  | North-west Palace, Entrance E, $1 \cdot 00 \mathrm{~m}$. above courtyard pavement, in filling against blocked doorway into EB | 1101 |
| 1102 | Iraq 14, 63 | IM, for study | Ostrich egg | North-west Palace, Room B ; behind slab 23 in east wall | 1102 |
| 1103 | Iraq 14, 63 | BM 131980 | Amulet | Trench in Square E 3, 0.30 m . below surface | 1103 |
| 1104 | 266 | Mosul Museum 3 | Stele | North-west Palace, recess EA | 1104 |
| 1105 | Iraq 14, 63 | IM, [for study ${ }^{\text {? }}$ ] | Diorite frgt. | Ground south of south-east wing of North-west Palace | 1105 |
| 1106[a] | 260 | IM, for study |  | North-west Palace, Room HH, in upper fill, Level I | 1106[a] |
| [b] | 261 | IM, for study |  | North-west Palace, Room HH, in upper fill, Level I | [b] |
| [c] | 262 | IM, for study |  | North-west Palace, Room HH, in upper fill, Level I | [c] |
| 1107 | 243 | IM 56877 |  | Burnt Palace, Room viii, upper fill | 1107 |
| 1108 | 242 | BM 132007 |  | Burnt Palace, Room viii, upper fill | 1108 |
| 1109 | 245 | [E] |  | Burnt Palace, Room viii | 1109 |
| 1110 | 249 | Inst. Arch. London |  | North-west Palace, Room AH | 1110 |
| 1111 | 240 | BM 132008 |  | Burnt Palace, Room vii | 1111 |
| 1112 | 244 | IM, for study |  | Burnt Palace, Room xii | 1112 |
| 1113 | 241 | IM 56878 |  | Burnt Palace, Room viii | 1113 |
| 1114 | 231 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Burnt Palace, Room viii (near door to xviii, north wall) | 1114 |
| 1115 | 259 | IM, for study |  | North-west Palace, Room HH (cupboard in east wall) | 1115 |
| 1116 | 232 | IM 56879 |  | Burnt Palace, Room viii | 1116 |
| 1117 | 233 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Burnt Palace, Room viii (centre) | 1117 |
| 1118 | 234 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Burnt Palace, Room viii | 1118 |
| 1119 | 235 | IM, for study |  | Burnt Palace, Room viii | 1119 |
| 1120 | 246 | TM 56880 |  | Outside south-west corner of Burnt Palace, c. 10 m . east of Room viii, Square D 12 | 1120 |
| 1121 | Iraq 14, 66 | [E] Mellosume | Historical | North-west Palace, Room B; on floor by east wall below fallen fresco | 1121 |
| 1122 | 267 | Mosul Museum 1 |  | North-west Palace, Room B (east end), west of Slab 23 | 1122 |
| 1123 | Iraq 14, 66 | BM, on loan | Historical | As for 1120 | 1123 |
| 1124 | 236 | IM 56881 |  | Burnt Palace, Room viii, floor | 1124 |
| 1125[a] | 238 | Inst. Arch. London |  | Burnt Palace, Room viii, floor | 1125[a] |



## INDEX OF PERSONAL NAME

Note
The index includes names from No. 246, but not Nos. 266-72.
Names of limmus are marked with an asterisk, and the day and month dates given in brackets. Dots indicating breaks are classed last alphabetically.
(1) Function in the text-i.e. witness ; buyer, seller, sold ; slave, owner ; creditor, debtor ; author addressee (letters)
) Rolatives.
(3) Profession or other deseription
(4) Provenance (" from GN ").

## br. = brother <br> . $=$ daughter <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { f. } & =\text { father } \\ \text { gov. } & =\text { governor (šakin) }\end{array}$ <br> $\mathrm{m} .=$ mother

ow. $=$ owner
Abat-iš[tar?--ā]sur? 247, $14^{\prime}$ w.
Abdā[...] 220 r. $9^{\prime}$ w.
Abda-adad-milki 138,
Abdī 103, 6 w . ; s. of ${ }^{〔}$ Biyā
120, 3
Abūa 121, 1
Abu-lāya 11 r. $5^{\prime}$ w.; nephew of $\mathrm{Ha}(\mathrm{m})$ šàyu ; láha-za-nu
248, $20^{\prime}$
Abu-lēšir 17, 40 (bis) w.; f. of Itu'āyu
22, $31 \mathrm{w} . ;{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~s}$ s. $[e-l] a p-p a-a-a$
Abu-si' 85, $6^{\prime}$ w.
Abuta 121, 8 from Ialūna
Abu-tāb 248, $18^{\prime}$ w. ; s. of Ninurta-nišêka-uṣur
ur-idi 3,5 sold; br. of Ubru-ahhē
$30,2,9,15$ seller ; s. of Šamaš-šē[. . .], br. of Hubuškāyu
5, creditor
Adabui 118, 6 f. of a Lú.SIMUG GUšKin
Adad-ahu-iddina 15, 39 w.; from Kurbail 35, 28 w. ; from Kurbail
199, 2 author
Adad-ahu-uṣur 15, 4 seller
Adad-aplu-iddina 16, 2 seller
36, 11 w.; sa è Samaš-kēnu-dugul; from Kurbail
Adad-bān-ahhē 51 r .13 w. ; (scr.) writer of tablet
Adad-bānu 17, 46 w .; urukal-ha-a-a la-bi-ru
Adad-bēl $62,14 \mathrm{w}$; ; lümu ${ }^{?}-x[$ []
96, 7 creditor
*Adad-bēlu-ukīn 98, 16 (13.i ; 2nd pūru) 104, 12 (-.iv)
s. $=$ son
s.i. $=$ seal impression
sl. = slave
w. = witness

Adad-ēpuš? 114, 17
Adad?-ettẹir 195, 2 author
Adad-ibni 11 r. $7^{\prime}$ w.; from HARšu
[Ad]ad-iqbi 6, 22 w .
Adad-išmāni? $74,7^{\prime}$ w.
Adad-kūmūya 36, $8^{\prime}$ w.; from Kurbail
*Adad-mušammer $52,16{ }^{\prime}$ (23.i)
94, 11 (12.viii)
103, 17 (18.i)
Adad-našhira 51 r. 12 w.; scr.
Adad-nērārī 17, 7 [LUGAAL K]UR $a s ̌-s ̌ u r$ 32, $7^{\prime}$ MAN [K] UR aššur
Adad rōni 36, $10^{\prime}$ w,
Adad-rä’̀ni 36, 10 ' w.; from Kurbail
Adděu-


> luttar-ta-ni; from Kurbail

Adad-šallim 96, 10 w. ; LƯ.DAM.GÀR 118, 9
Adad-šall[im(-. . )] 62, 12 w .
Adad-[. . .]me 91, 12 creditor
AD. $\mathrm{BU}^{2}$. $\mathrm{SI}^{2} 48,6^{\prime}$ buyer; s. of Ahu? ${ }^{?}$. [. . .]
 Aduru 120, 8
Agirāyu 36, $13^{\prime}$ w. ; from Kurbail
Agūsu 122, 6
Ahimē? ${ }^{?}$ 69, 5 debtor(?)
Ahūa-(e)riba 4,1 seller ; sl. of LÚ.AGRTG GAL 122, 1 (?)
Ahuānu 4, 18 w.; ${ }^{\text {lüh }}$ a-za $a-n u$ ša URU.ŠE ${ }^{\text {1útur-ta-ni }}$
Ahu-damqu'.... 85, $3^{\prime}$ w.
Ahu-iddina 101, 2 debtor
103, 5 debtor

Ahu-liāya 248, $20^{\prime}$ br. of 4 witnesses(?
Ahu-(i)le'i 63, $5^{\prime}$ w.
90, 8 creditor ; sl. of Lipūšu
116, 1 from Zaliqe ${ }^{\text {? }}$
Ahu-ilaka? $53,11^{\prime}$ w.; s. of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Mu}[$. . .]
109, 3, L.E. $3^{\prime}$ debtor ; from Imgur-ellil
Ahu-(i)qis? $52,11^{\prime}$ w.
Ahu-ke[...] 71, 5' w
Ahu-lamassi 111, 1 uứ.uš kib-si
Ahu-lamur 9, 1, 4 seller ; s. of Kisii(ya)
$82,14 \mathrm{w} . ;$ s. of Țūa; from Imberahī
Ahu-luballiṭ 11 r . $11^{\prime} \mathrm{w}$.; f. of Qanasī ; "'íkar-ka di-nu
Ahu-M[U...] 74, $8^{\prime}$ w.
Ahu?
Ahu-riba ( 246 , $17^{\prime}$ )
Ahu-čš $68,6^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ )
Ahu-šamšì 68, $6^{\prime}$ w.; ${ }^{\text {Lúm }}$ mu-šar-ki-[su]
Ahu-sú? [. . .] 220 r. $10^{\prime}$ w.
Ahu-tidintu? $62,11 \mathrm{w}$.
Ahu-ṭuri 90,7 creditor
Ahu-[...] 48, $6^{\prime}$ f. of AD.bU?.SI?
101, 7(?)
248, 1 ' sold
Akburu(?) 98, 15 (wr. AK.U) w.; scr.
Ampāru? 15, 43 w ; sl. of 'Șarpanitum-šarrat
${ }^{\text {t }}$ Aninia 121,13 (from) URU.S̆E mba-bu-u
Aplayã? 91, 31 f . of Zēr-kitti-lēsir (wr. $\mathrm{A}^{?}-i a-a$ )
Aqata 121, 6 from Ariru
Aribu? 3, 26 w.; Lư.š̌̀̀m
Asalluhi-šūmu-uṣur 29, 19 w .
Aššur-aplu-uṣur 15, 44 ow. of Šamaš-ahu-iddina 18, 1,7 seller ; s. of . . . ni
, iúsum kù babbir.meš 48, 3(?)
241, 2 author
*Aš̌̌ur-bašti-êkurri 14, 28 (18.xii)
Ašsur-bēl-ilāni ( 246 r. $19^{\prime}$ )
Ašscur-bēlu-usur 127,10
Ašsur-bēl(u)-[...] 35, 23 w.; ${ }^{\text {Ialláh-hi-nu } n \text {; from }}$ Kurbail
*Aššur-da'inanni 134, 5 (1.viii)
174(?)
*Aššur-dān 54 r. 5 (20.vii) m[AN K]UR $a s ̌-s ̌ u r r^{k 1}$
Ašsur-dūru-uşur 43, 5 buyer
95, 3 f. of Sēlubu
99, 1 (ša) Lứstag ša Bel-dān lứ.en.nam ša
${ }^{\text {uru } k a l-h i}$
104, 3 creditor
Aššur-E?-a-a 57 T.E. 15 w.
Aššur-ētuir 122, 3
*Aššur-gimilli-tirri $219 \mathrm{r} .122^{\prime}(4+$.x $)$
Aššur-iddina 120,7
Ašsur-idnāni (246 r. $20^{\prime}$ )

Ǎšur-kinnāni (246 r. 18')
Aššur-le'i 11 r. $8^{\prime}$ f. of Harmāku and Mumí
Ašsur-mātka-tirri $108,11 \mathrm{w}$
Ašsur-nādin-ahhē 78, $4^{\prime}$ w.
Aššur-nādin-ahi 29,21 w.
Asšur-nāṣir 11 r. $10^{\prime}$ w.; Lú.MU
Ašsur-rēmāni $9,17 \mathrm{w}$; ; mu-tir 〈tè-mi
*Aššur-šallimanni 6, 27 (28.-); [gov.] of uruár. $x[$...]
Aššur-šallim[(...)] 39 r. $8^{\prime}$ w.; from Zur[ $\left.i^{2}\right]$
Aššur-šēzibāni 108, 13 w.
Ašsur-taklak 14, 4, 9 buyer ; lứgAL É
196, 3 author
Aššur-zēru? ${ }^{?}$ ussur 129, 2 [ $s$ ] $a^{?}$ ma-ha-za-a-ni
Ašsur-[. . .] 83, $1^{\prime}$ w.
Atara[. . .] 247, $5^{\prime}, 11$
Ayammu 192 r. 3
Bābilāyu 19, 19 w.
139, 2
Babû 121, 12 (URU.ŠE b.)
Bahiānu 90, 10 creditor; from Diāt[e?
Balāṭu 92, 11 w. ; [ . . ša $a$ ] Lư.GAL É.gAL Balți-nūrī 130, 11
Bān? ${ }^{\text {-ahhē }} 139,3$
Bānī 43, 25 w.; (scr.) writer of tablet
132, 2 Lú.sI[PA]; from Same[(. . .)]
Bānītum-[šarra]t 219, 3 sold; d. of [. . .]addu Bāniu 91, 11 creditor
Bar'a-ilu 90, 14 creditor
Barihu 26, 22 w .
Bar-ili 103, 15 f . of Marduk-nādin-ahhē
Barrarānu? 100, 10 sum-in kas-pi
Barruqu 98,2 recipient ; sl. of Dilil-ištar
Barzi 106, 8 w.
$\operatorname{Bar}[\ldots] 90,15$ creditor ; lúh $h a^{p}-z a^{?}-n u$ [. . .]
Basidqī 144, 3
$146,2(b a-3$ (ba-
149, 2 (bai-)
Batēya $34,2,8$ seller
Bau-ahu-iddina (246 r. 16 ${ }^{\prime}$
Bayā? 110,7 w.
Bēl-abu-usuur 118, 4
Bēl-ahhē 51 r. 9 w.; lứgal Ka.šìr
53, $10^{\prime}$ w. ; Lứ.SUM? $[\operatorname{GaR}(?)]$
86, $2^{\prime}($ ? $)$ w.
91, 9 (?) creditor
Bēl-ahi (cf. Bēl-nāṣir) 2, 1, 4 s . of HARṭunāyu; ow. of $[. .$.$] ta' { }^{2}$
101, 4 creditor(?).
101, 4 creditor(?) ; GAL URU.MEŠ
Bēl-ăli 9,16 w.; GAL ${ }^{\text {1úkal-la-pi }}$ 101 r. $5^{\prime}$ w.
$248,4^{\prime}, 15^{\prime}$ seller ; s. of Mušēzib-ilu
*Bēl-am(u)ranni 96, 14 (11.ii)
107, 7 (1.xii) gov. of KUR $[r] a-s a-p a$
126 (u)ranni 120, 10
Bēl-aplu-iddina 15, 35 w.; s. of Bēl-rubā'-ilāni; from Kurbail
$36,7^{\prime}$ w. ; Lú.SANGA ${ }^{2} a{ }^{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{\mathrm{IM}}$ ša uru[kur-]ba-il
Bêl-būnāya 20, 2, 12 seller
*Bèl-dān 25, 29 (28.i) gov. of Kalhu

99, 2 Lú.en.nam ša urukal-hi
183, 2 addressee
184, 2 addresse
201, 1 addressee ; f. of Mišarrum-nāșir(-. . .
202, 1 addressee ; f. of Mīšarrum-näṣir(-
w.; lümu-kil-kUŠ.PA.meš
$26,23 \mathrm{w}$.
$27,1,7,13$ seller
14,13
114, 13
Bèl-emüqi 91, 8 credito
Bēl-ēpuš 12, $5^{\prime}$ seller
Bēl-êtir? 66,8 recipient
*Bēl-harrān-bēlu-uṣur 29, 26 gov. of Guzāna
Bēl-iddina 22,27 w.; Lú.GAL $x[(x)]$ s sa man
*Bēl-ilāya 4, 25 (13.i)
Bēl-ilāya 24, 25 w.; from ... u...
*Bēl-(i)qišāni 3, 31 (3.xi)
15, 51 ( 15. vii) gov. of Šibhinis
16 r. $7^{\prime}$ gov. of Šibh[iniš]
Bēl-(i) qī̌̄āni? $52,12^{\prime}$ w
Bēl-issiya 4, 4 ow. of buyer
7, 6 buyer ; Lú.GAL URU ${ }^{?}$.MEŠ?
8, 6 buyer
$15,11,22$ buye
$15,11,22$ buyer ; Lú.GAL URU.meš-ni [ša . . . P]N gov. of Kalhu
$15,36 \mathrm{w}$. ; br. of Bḕl-rubā'-ilāni ; from Kurbail
22, 2 ow. of Kiqilānu ; [ L$]$ Ú.GAL URU.meš-ni
33, 6, 15 buyer ; LứSAG (ša Bēl-tarși-iluma gov.
34, 6 buyer; Lứ.SAG ša Bēl-tarși-iluma gov. of
Kalhu
$35,9,16$ buyer ; lú.gal urv.meš-ni
$35,24 \mathrm{w}$.; br. of Bēl-rubā'-ilāni ; from Kurbail
91,32 w. ; Lú.uš.bar of Arbail
91,36 w.; Lứ.UŠ.bar ${ }^{\prime} a$ É ${ }^{\text {lututur-ta-ni }}$
92, 5 creditor
94, 2 creditor
103, 3 creditor
Bēl-lāmur? $62,15 \mathrm{w}$
90, 13
*Bēl-lēšir 18, 28 (15.i) Lú.nimgir ša Šulmānuašārēd [king] of Assyria
53, $15^{\prime}$ (20.iii) [Lứ.NTMGIR] É.[GaL]
Bēl-lȩ̄isir(?) $13,12 \mathrm{w}$

100, 2 debtor
Bēl-(lu)ballit/balāt 82, 11 w. ; lúha-za-nu š urum $\left[i^{3} \ldots\right]$

193, 7
Bēl-nāṣir (cf. Bēl-ahi) 6, 4 f . of Marduk-aplu-uṣur Bēl-nūrka-lāmur 5, 6 buyer
Bèl-rubā'-ilāni 15, 34, 36 w.; f. of Bēl-aplu
iddina, br. of Bell-issiya; Lứ.sanga š
Kurbail
$35,21 \mathrm{w}$. ; br. of Bēl-issiỳa, nephew of [...]āyu; Lú.SANGA
Bēl-şābišu? 222 B 4
*Bēl-šadūa 22, 30 ( 26 .ii) gov. of Parnunna
Bēl-šadūa 107, 3 creditor

*Bēl-tarṣi-iluma 51 r. 14 (-.i) gov. of Kalhu
91, 39 (27.xii)
Bèl-tarsisi-iluma 33, 6 gov. of Kalhu
34, 6 gov. of Kalhu
64, 3 br. of [Sîn-ētir](?) ; gov. of Kalhu
64 , s.i.(?)
66, 1, 6 donor(?) ; gov. of Kalhu $66(/ 170 / 171)$ s.i. 1 šáá SAG šáa Adad-nērārī
90, 3, 20 gov. of Kalhu
91, 2, 28 gov. of Kalhu
93, 19 gov. of Kalhu
(?) 15,12 gov. of Kalhu
(*)Bēl-[...] 101, 5
$112,7\left(-i^{?}\right)$
Bēt-šǎšizāyu
Bēt-šasšsirāyu 35, 3 seller ; L[Ú.N]AR ${ }^{\text {f Biya }} 103,7 \mathrm{~m}$. of Abd
Birtaju 17,37 .

Bisilu 17, 41 w. ; ${ }^{1 u} h a-z a-n u$ ša uru $d u-\cdot \backslash-u ́-a$
Bisinè 57 r. 1 seller
Bișidqī see Basidqī
Būnāya? 17,47 w.; scr.
57 L.E. 18 w.
Būnī? 97, 6 creditor(?) ; Lú.nivDA Burki-. . 18, 15 w.; s. of Ninurta-iqbi
Burzinānu 68, $7^{\prime}$ w.; Lú.A.zu
Busāsi 91, 11 creditor
Busĩ 119, 16 f. of Šamaš-ahu-uṣur
Busilu? 110, 5 w. ; ${ }^{\text {lu }} \mathrm{kal}$-la-pu

## Dadā 114, 4

Dadī 98, 8 w. ; Lứ.GAL kal-la-pi šáa Lú.EN.NAM Dadiyā 119, 15 f. of Ubru-šamaš?
Dagan-bēlu-uṣur 43, 4 (URU šáa)
Dannē 102 r. 4
Dari-[...] 137,4

Dayānī 3, 7, 9 buyer 26, 25(?) w.
Dayānu-kāmir?-a[hhē? $83,2^{\prime}$ w.
Dayān-ninurta 51 r. 3 w.; Lú, GAL í
Dayān-šamaš 46, 2 seller
Da'zīn[a] 112, 1 кUR $u$ ú-ra-ár-ta-a- $a c]$
$\mathrm{D}[\mathrm{a} \ldots] 13$, 1 seller
222 L.E. 1
Didĩ $3,29 \mathrm{w}$. ; Lú.š̀̀m
53, 14 w.; Lứ.Gír.LA Libluṭu"
Dudūa 29, 23 w.
Dulā $91,10,22^{?}$ creditor
*Dūr-ašsur 108, 15 (11.viii)
Dūr-ašsur 248, 17' w.; s. of Ninurta-nišēka-ușur

## Ebisu 113, $6{ }^{\prime}$

Edā 120, 17
Ēress-ilu 22, 25 w
$95,12 \mathrm{w}$.; Lúg.GAL É.GAI
Erš? ${ }^{?}$-ištar 69,4 debtor (?
Esaggil-aplu-usur 6, 25 w. ; scr. writer of tablet
Eši? $\left.{ }^{?} \ldots.\right] 72$ r. $2^{\prime}$ w.
Ešra'āyu? (UD.20.кÁM-a-a) (246 r. 16')
Essidàyu 101 r. $7^{\prime}$ w.; sl. of Šēp-i[sstar?]; from Gabrīna
139, 4
Etel-pi-marduk (246 r. 21')
Ettri?-išstar 91, 12 creditor
Gabbu-āmur 24, 27 w ; ; sl. of Sîn-šallimāni 69, 2 debtor(?)
223, 7
249, 3 debtor
Gabbu-ana-ištar 4, 20 w.; Lứ.tin.NA
Galulu 75, $9^{\prime}$ w. ; [...] śa É Lúc.[. . .]
219 r. 10 w.; ;
Gameu $113,4^{\prime}$
Gameu 1.3, 4
Ga[. . .]DIR? 120, 33
Gidāya? 220 r. $8^{\prime}$ w.
Gidāya? 220 r. $8^{8}$ w.
Giritu $24,6,13$ buyer
$25,5,14$ buyer
$25,5,14$
$104,9 \mathrm{w}$.
Gi[. . .] 85, $4^{\prime}$ f. of Marduk-ibni
Gula-balāṭī 35, 2 seller ; Lứ.NAR
Gung[u...] 19, 21 w.
Hābil-kēni 78, $3^{\prime}$ w. ; Lứ.x[...]
Habusu 101 r. $4^{\prime}$ w.

Hadè-[. . .] 19, 23 w.
${ }^{\text {'Hadi-abuša } 248, ~} 3$ ' sold

Hadi-lipūšu 82,3 w.; s. of Ra'ūzu
Halbūri 232, 12
Haldi-bēl(-. . .) 117,
Halimu 114, 3 Lú.SIMUG Kù.[Gr]
Hal(i)musu 43, 1, 7 seller
91, 5 creditor
Halutu(?) 123, 1
Hamāni 120, 5
Hamaqāti 102 r. 6 w.; Lứ.NINDA ; bēl kaspi našé
$\mathrm{Ha}(\mathrm{m})$ šāyu 11 r. $6^{\prime}$ w.; uncle of Abu-ilāya
Hanāna 90, 2 debtor
Hanbunu 91, 12 creditor
Handāšu? 100, 11 sābbit kanīki
Hanī 91, 6 creditor
Hanini(?) 70, $4^{\prime}$ debtor(?)
Hanṭu[...] 117, 3
Hanzāru 82, 8 w.; gAL da-a-a-li
Haran 13 from Si'-altāru?
Harmāki-ištar 96, 5 debtor
Harmāku 11 r. $8^{\prime}$ w.; s. of Ašsurur-[ [e'j? $]$
$14,24 \mathrm{w}$.
$14,24 \mathrm{w}$.
$79,2^{\prime}(?) \mathrm{w}$
Harrānāyu (cf. Hūlāyu) 119, 12 from Si'-altāru?
HARtunāyu 2, 2 f. of Bēl-ahi
Hattiyānu 114, 5 from Biha? ${ }^{2} .$. .]
hé.DAR/SI ${ }_{4}$-ištar 57 L.E. 17 w.
101 r. $6^{\prime}$ w.
Hi'āyu 15, 46 w.; Lú.dumu ši-pir ša GAL.Lú.SAG MEŠ
HIbāni 18, 26 f. of Nabû-šūmu-iddina Hidā? 82, 10 w. ; qatennu(?)
Himbu(?) $17,35 \mathrm{w}$; LứSANGA ša dŠEŠ.GAL Hinibirā 81, 9 w.
Hin. 10,23 creditor
Hišd/t̄̄u 82,10 debt
Hubuškā̀yu 30 , 8 .
buškāyu $30,2,8,14$ seller ; s. of Šamaš-šē[. . .], br. of Abu-ūl-idi
any? $3,27 \mathrm{w}$.; from
Hulayu 3,27 w. ; from Bēl-libur
Hunānu 180, 2 author
Hurāpu 104, 4 debtor
Hurāṣī 29, 18 w .
Huṭusu 4, 14 w. ; Lú.ka.šèR ša ša IGI É.gaL
Iada'-ilu 119, 9, 21 s . of Ukaturme? ; lúna-si-ku sa ${ }^{14} n a-q i-r a-a-a$
Tamāqi 33, 2, 8, 12 seller
Ianādi 130, 7
Iata'(a) $120,15 \mathrm{br}$. of Tardititisistar $247,15^{\prime}(?) \mathrm{w}$.
Ibašši-ilāni 51 r. 5 w.; Lú.gat kal-la-pi
Ibba'āmu 93, 7, 16 creditor; Lர́.šáM ša šu? [. . .]ra $\bar{a}$

Ibnī 2, 18 w.; s. of Šamaš-êtir
Ibnīya 78, 9' w. ; scr.
Idnāni 119, $2{ }^{\text {lúrru-qu-ha-a }}$
Ilāya-bēl 193, 10
Ilāya-rame 233, 2
Ilāya-ta[klak $\left.{ }^{\text {? }}\right] 69,6$ debtor(?
Ilu-abu-ussur(?) 114, 14
Ilu-a[. .] 110, 4 w .; sl. of Ninurta-taklak
Ilubua 91, 9 creditor
Ilu-dalā 114, 11 [...] of Ninurta-il[āya?]
Ilu-danāni 237, 1
Hu-dàrañu $2,14 \mathrm{f}$. of Urdu-ilāni
91, 13 creditor
Ilu-eppaš 17,2,
Ilu-eppaš 17, 2, 11 seller; lú.SaG ša L[Ú.gat. SAG(?)]
Ilu-(e)riba 8,
Ilu-(e)riba 8, 2 , 4 seller; ow. of [. .]dulu; from Hamath
$9,17 \mathrm{w}$.
Ilu-hanāna $52,8^{\prime}$ w.
Ilu-i(a')āb 120, 6
Ilu-ia[...] 117, 4
Ilu-(i)le'i $248,21^{\prime}$ w. ; Lứ.A.ba $k i-s i-t i$
Ilu-iqbi(?) 220 r. 15'
Ilu-irte?-ēreš 123, 9
Iluma-le'i 79, $3^{\prime}$ w.
118, 3(?)
Iluma-[...] 16, 3 seller
Ilu-mušabši $248,1^{\prime}$ sold
Ilu-[...] 119, 3 lŭru-qa-ha-a-a
Imārì 12
Imāri $9,15 \mathrm{w}$. ; ša qurbūti
Imāru 103, 10 w.; s. of Nabû-iqbi
Immerānu 93, 5,14 creditor
Ina-šulmi-nāsir(?) $11 \mathrm{r} .14^{\prime}$ w.; [scr., writer] of tablet
Ina-s̄ār-bēli-allak 123, 1
$\operatorname{Inv}[. .]$.42 r .12 w .
Iqala? $[(\ldots)] 81,14 \mathrm{w}$.
Iqbi-ilu 17, 45 w.; urukal-ha-a-a la-bi-ru
120, 13
$120,19(?)$ s. of $[\ldots$-ah]u-iddina
(I) qiša-marduk? 242 r. 3
$\mathrm{Iq}\left[\right.$. . . ] 63, $4^{\prime} \mathrm{w}$.
$70,3^{\prime}$ debtor(?)
Irnīya $15,45 \mathrm{w}$.
Išdi-... see Ubru-.
Išmāni-iš̌tar 248, $18^{\prime}$ w. ; s. of Ninurta-nišēka-uṣu Išme-ilu $14,21 \mathrm{w}$.
63, $6^{\prime}$ w.; Lút.NIMGIR? É.gai
Iššilāyu(?) $63,7^{\prime}$ w.
Istar-dürí ( 246,2 , r. $22^{\prime}$ )
Istar'-istissiya 75, $5^{\prime}$
Tštar-tariba 18, 14 f . of U[b . . ]

Ištar-[. . .] 15, $5($ ? $)$ seller
$159,7^{\prime}(?)$
Itu'āyu 17, 40 w. ; s. of Abu-lē̌̌ir
118, 8
'Iutātu? 29, 4 buyer
Izbu-lēšir $15,47 \mathrm{w}$; ša qurbūti
Kab .... see Kimir ....
Kabar 93, 5, 13 creditor
Kabilu 80, 6 w.
120, 4
Kakūsu 110, 10 debtor(?)
Kāmir?... [....] 42 r. 14 w
Kênī 5,17 w.
$26,29 \mathrm{w}$. ; scr.,
$26,29 \mathrm{w}_{-}$scr., writer of tablet
$29,20 \mathrm{w}$.
Kēnu-šallim
4, 7 w.; Lứ.nı.DUH
Kime 192 r.
Kimir?-ahi-lămur
Kimir-.... 42 r. 14 , 42 w.; from Du'ūa
Kiqilānu 22, 1, 8, 15 seller ; sl. of Bēl-issīya Lú.GAL URU.meš-ni
80,4 w.
93, 2, 10 creditor
Kiribtu 2, 17 f . of Šūmu-lēšir
103, 4 debtor
Kisì(ya) $9,1,4$ f. of Ahu-lāmur
Kiṣir-aššur 193, 18
Kisrāyu(?) 3,26 w.
Kitti?-.ili 91, 37 w.; Lú.nagar ${ }^{\text {gis }} \mathrm{mu}$-gir-re
Kuāri 116, 3 from Sunigē?
Kukulá 51 r .4 w. ; ša qurbūte
79, $4^{\prime}$ w
Kukunātu 19, 3 seller; s. of Ṣābūya
Kumāyu 90, 6 creditor
123, 5
Kumētum 93, 3, 11 credito
Kunāya 69, 2 debtor(?)
Kurbailāyu 35,6 seller ; Lú.gaLA
Kurbānu 26, 5, 17 buyer
27, 5, 18 buyer
La'īti-ilu $91,8 \mathrm{f}$. of creditor
Lamariyānu $10,2^{\prime}, 9^{\prime}$ sold ; sl. of [. . .]
Laqīpu 2, 20 w.; 1ưsée-lap-pa-a-a
23, 26 w ; Lứ. $3 . \mathrm{U}_{5}$
$29,22 \mathrm{w}$.
45, 2, 13 seller
52, $7^{\prime}$ w.
91, 7 creditor
106, 7 w
Läṣahittu 93, 5, 14 credito
La ... a 158 r. 4 w.(?)
Lēšeru 102 r. 3 w.; LứKAš.LUL ša Dannē

Libbi-ālāyu 93, 2, 11
Libluṭ(u) 98, 4 (URU $\check{a} a$ )
Lidbubu 91, 33 w .; from Kume
[Lim]uru 86, $1^{\prime}$ w.
Lipūšu 78, $5^{\prime}$ f. of Salamānu
90, 8 ow. of Ahu-le
Li[..]ilitu? ilāni 118, l
Lua..[..] 187 A 3
Mabimū(/Mammū) 31, 2, 9, 14 seller ; grandson of [...]āya; Lư ša šar-rı
Madāyu? 106, 9 w.
Mana[. . .] 67, $1^{\prime}$ donor
Mannī 82, 9 w.; ${ }^{\text {lúqa-ti-en-nu }}$
Mannu-akī-aššur 92, 7 w. ; цứ.MU? ša Ł́ $^{\text {lútur-ta-ni }}$ Mannu-aki-šarri 123, 10
Mannu-ki-adad 82, 6 w
114, 6 from Biha? $[$.
Mannu-kī-ahhē $17,44 \mathrm{w}$; ; urukal-ha-a-a la-bi-ru
Mannu-kī-ahī 82, 17 w ; ; Lú. 3 -šu $\mathrm{U}_{5}$
101, 2 debtor
Mannu-kī-ili 127, 3 [LứGA]L? URU.MEš-n 50, 3
Man[nu-kī-iš]tāria 219 r. $7^{\prime}$ w.

## Guzāna

Chana una 23,8
annu-ki-mät-aššur 23, 8, 17 buyer ; lứ.aAL É
Mannu-ki-[. . .] 117, 2
221, 5
Mār-banūte 109, 8 w.
 ssa sal igi e.gal
125, 7 [LÚ.GAL] ${ }^{[ } \mathrm{URU}^{? 7}$ ?.MEŠ
Marduk-aplu-uṣur 6, 4 buyer ; s. of Bēl-nāṣir 81, 6 w .; GAL ${ }^{14}[k a l]-l a-b i$
Marduk-aplu-[. . .] 249, 9 w.
Mardukāte 26, 3, 7, 14 selle
*[Marduk] bḕlu-uṣur 175, $2^{\prime}(?)$ (26.i)
Marduk-bēlu-uṣur 102 r . 1 sá É ${ }^{\text {1úturtur-ta-ni }}$ 123, 8
Tardukiā 15,49 ow. of Ubru-šamaš 78, $2^{\prime}$ w.
Marduk-ibni 85, $4^{\prime}$ w. ; s. of Gi[...]
Marduk-kabtu-ahhēšu ( 246 r. 19')
Marduk-nādin-ahhē $23,27 \mathrm{w}$. ; LứGAL kal-la-bi 103, 14 w. ; s. of Bar-ili
*Marduk-rēmāni 19, 27 (20.xii $+\mathrm{i}^{\text {? }}$ ) 20 L.E. $4^{\prime}$ (11.-
68 r. 14' (21.iii)
Marduk-rēmāni 93, 4, 12 creditor 108, 6 creditor ; Lứ.en.NAM sáá urukal-ha 242 r. $1^{\prime}$
*Mdaruk-šadūni 92, 19 (9.iv)

102 r. 9 (9.x)
Marduk-šallim-ahhē 103,8 w.; Lứ.SANGA ${ }^{2} a$ ${ }^{d_{\text {MAŠ̌.MAŠ }}}$
Marduk-(u)ballit 94,8 w.; ša pit-hal-li
Mardul-[ .]lu 222 . $5^{\prime}$,
Mār-ištar 15, 38 w.; 1úha-za-nu ša urukur-ba-il

from Kurbail
$44,2,7,12$ seller
102 r. 5 w.; Lứ.NINDA
103,12 w. ; Lú.sANGA ${ }^{\prime} a^{\text {dagašan-nat-ha }}$
130, 8
197, 2 author
Mār?-[. . .] 157, 6
Māt-mandiāyu(?) 22,22 w.
Me'isu 119, $6^{\text {ur } r u-q a-h a-a-a ~}$
Meni'i 14, 2,10 seller
155 i.13(?)
Mĩnu-ēpusư-ilī 51 r. 11 w.; lúrak-su
Mišarrum-nāşir(-...) 201, 3 author; s. of Bèl-dān 202, 3 author; s. of Bēl-dā
Mumi 11, $9^{\prime}$ w.; s. of Ačšur-1[
${ }^{\prime}$ Murāyitu $93,6,15$ ow. of creditor (9)
Mušallim-ilu 120, 2
[Muša]llim-ištar 64, 10 " buyer " (exchange)
Mušallim-marduk 17, 50 w.; Lư.3.U. U $_{5}$ ša
Lú.gal.sag
42 r .15 w .
*Mušallim-ninurta 78, $13^{\prime}$ (24.xii)
Mušallim-ninurta 18, 19 w ; lúmu-tir-te-me ša Lú.en.vam ša Kalhi
Mušallim? abu-[...]
Mušallim-[...] 123, 4
Mušězib-aššur $248,17^{\prime}$ w.; s. of Ninurta-nišēkauṣur 248, 22 ' Lú.NI.DUH ša É.GAL
Mušēzib-ilu 25, $25(?)$ w.
$248,5^{\prime}$ f. of Bēl-āli; scr. of É.DINGIR ša uruS̆̀̀.UR Murerib inurta 27 buyer ; gov of Kalhu
*Mutakkil-marduk(?) 101 r. $13^{\prime}$
Mutaqqin-aššur $81,4 \mathrm{w}$. ; sl. of Lú.AGRIG
Mutaqqin-ilu 114, 8 sl. of Nabû-šarhi-[ilāni] Lứ.SIP[A?]
${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{M}[\mathrm{u} . .] 53,.11^{\prime} \mathrm{m}$. of Ahu-illaka: 90, 33

Nabūa 95, 10 w.; ${ }^{\text {Iumu-tur-tè-m }}$
Nabû-ahhē-(e)riba 85, $7^{\prime}$ (?) w.
104, 10 w.; (scr.) writer of tablet
Nabû-ahhē-[...] 62, 13 w.
Nabû-ahu-š[allim] 222 A $3^{\prime}$
Nabû-aplu?-uṣur 153, 14

Nabû-bēl-ili (246 r. 17')
*Nabû-da'inanni 105, 9 (24.i) lùtu[ $r-t] a-[n u]$
Nabû?-da'inanni 219 r. $9^{\prime}$ w.
Nabû-de'iq 230, 2 autho
Nabû-dūr-bēlīya 257, 2
 lứa.ba ég.gal
Nabû-êt(i)rāni 95, 20 (27.x) Lú.GAL KAš.LUL
Nabû-gimilli-tirri 112, 3 LứGAL 50 ša Lú $[$. . ]
Nabû? ${ }^{\text {? }}$ ilāya 108, 5 debtor
Nabû-iqbi 103, 11 f. of Imāru
Nabû-kēnu-dugul? 17, 49 w ; ; writer of table
Nabû-mu[?...$] 88,8^{\prime}$ w.
Nabû-nādin-ahhē $17,46 \mathrm{w}$. ; (urukal-ha-a-a la-bi-ru)
Nabû-nāṣir 95,7 w.; lúša UGU URU
Nabû?-nürka-lāmur 155.i. 3 Lú.ìr É.GAL
Vabû?-qātē-şabat 155.i. 5
Nabû-rēmāni 71, $7^{\prime}$ w. ; GAL $u$ ú-ra-a- $\left[t e^{e}\right]$
95, 15 w. ; EN ÚŚ.MEŠ ša-du-ni
Tâ̂̀-šallim ahhē 81, 13 w
Nabû-šallimm-ahhē 81, 13 w ; ; scr
Nabû-šarhi-il[āni] 114, 9 ow. of Mutaqqin-ilu
Nabû-sūmu-ibni 51 r. 7 w.; Lư.A.ZU
Nabû-šūmu-iddina 18,26 w.; s.
writer of tablet
$53,13^{\prime}$ w.; (ser.) writer of tablet
$96,9 \mathrm{w}$.; Lứ.DAM.GÀR
Nabû-takbis $25,26 \mathrm{w}$; ; scr., writer of tablet
Nabû-taklak 81, 8 w.
Nabû-tuklātu-usalli 248, $9^{\prime}$ buyer ; palace scr
Nabû-uballiṭ 95,17 w.; scr., writer of tablet
Nabû-uṣalla 81, 2 w ; ; [GA]L lú.m
Nabû-zēru? ${ }^{\text {Pibni }} 106,6$ w
*Nabû?-[. ..] 43, 23 (-.xii $+\mathrm{i}^{\text {i }}$ )
Nabû-[...] 13, 13 w
$19,25 \mathrm{w}$. ; (scr.) writer of table
42 r. 13 w .
Nādinea 108, 12 w.
Nadinea 108, 12
Nagaha 122, 5
Nagāyu $5,1,5$ seller; f. of $\uparrow[$. .]nāya
Nai 105, 2 debtor(?); LỨ.DUMU GEMÉ.ÉGAL
Nanī 78, $8^{\prime}$ w.; lùmu-tir-tè-me
90, 12 creditor ; Lú. $x[$ [ ša pān Bēl-làmur? 123, 7 (?)
196, 6
Nap'adulu 119, $4^{\text {lùru- }}$-qa-ha-a-a
Nasi'i 82, 4 w. ; 'úha-za-nu
 igi é.gal
Natēya $23,2,9,15$ seller
$\mathrm{Na}[. .] 41,.1^{\prime}$ seller
192 r. $1^{\prime}$

Nergal-. . . . see also Palil-. . .
*Nergal-ilāya 2, 23 (一.xii)
$170(4(+)$.-)
ergal-na'id $95,5 \mathrm{w}$; Lú qur-bu-te; from Parnunna
Vergal-n̄̄sir
*Nergal-nāsir 24, 31 (27.xi) [šakin u]runa-ṣi-bi-na
Nergal-nāsir 194, 2 author
Nergal-s̄ümu-iddina 123 ,
Nergal-šūmu-usurur 15, 50 w .; (scr.) writer of tablet
16 r. $6^{\prime}$ w.; [scr.]
K[A.ṠÈ $]$ ?
Ninurta-ahhē-šallim? 35, 5 seller ; Lứ.NAR
Ninurta? ${ }^{?}$-ahu-uṣur 6, 23 w .
*Ninurta-älik-päni 144, 6 (30.-)
Ninurta?-balassu-iqbi 29, 24 w.; (scr.) writer of tablet
Ninurta-bēlu-usur 107, 2 debtor

Ninurta-(e)riba 24, 26 w ; ; from . . . . na
Ninurta-ilāya 114, 12 (?) [ow.?'] of Ilu-dalā 127, 11
Ninurta-(i)le'i 109
Ninurta-(i)le'i 109, 7 w.
Ninurta-iqbi 18, 15 f. of Burki-.
Ninurta-issīya 83, $3^{\prime}$ w
Ninurta-kusranni 96,
Ninurta-na'id 4, 22 w.; (scr.) writer of tablet $22,28 \mathrm{w}$; ; (scr.) writer of tablet
$82,7 \mathrm{w}$.; GAL da-a-a-li
$86,5^{\prime}$ w.
Ninurta-nāṣir 17, 48 (6.ii) 79, $6^{\prime}$ (13.viii/ix)
Ninurta-nišēka-uṣur 248, $19^{\prime}$ f. of 4 witnesses
Ninurta-qātē-sabat? 14,25 w.
Ninurta-rēm[āni] 71, 9' w.; GAL..
Ninurta-šall $[\mathrm{im}(-\ldots)] 74,3^{\prime}$ w. ; [GA]L É.gaL
*Ninurta-šēzibanni 5,19 (2.vii)
23, 32 (13? - -1
81, 11 (19.vii) gov. of Rimusi
Ninurta-taklak 110, 4 ow. of $\mathrm{II}(\mathrm{u}) \mathrm{a}[\ldots]$
118, 5

255,2
Nuhšāyu 82, 5 w.; s. of Rub[. . ]

Nūrānu 3, 28 f. of Urdu-ištar
Nūr-el[liil] 239, 1
Nusku'-rēmāni(?) 155 viii. $6^{\prime}$
Palil-ahu-idd[ina] 57 T.E. 14 ow. of $Z A \cdot A D$ ?.Iš?
*Palil-èreš 21 T.E. 6'
$90,34(?)\left(26^{?} . \mathrm{ii}\right)$

Pāna 82, 12 w. ; hazanu [š]a urum[u . . .].
Pān-aššur 91, 6 creditor
Pānāya 22,24 w.
Pān-ištar-lāmur 106, 3 creditor
Parisu 120, 11
Pa[. . ]āyu 219 r. $8^{\prime}$ w.
Pilaqqāyu? 43, 19 w .; lú.nagar
Pussānu 249, 8
Pusịi 80, 2 w
ušaya 18, $22(?)$ w. ; en . . . ša urukap-ru da-lal
Pušhí 5, 16
Pu[. . .] 25, 21 w.; scr.
Qalaliu 101 r. $10^{\prime}$ w.; from Šašlē
Qanasī 11 r. $12^{\prime}$ w. ; s. of Ahu-luballit
Qari-ilu 120, 12
Qaruru 3, 29 w
Qāt-ili-gabbu 182, 2 addressee ; from Kalhu
Qibīt-aššur 45, 5, 18 buyer
Qūa 24, 2 f. of Tabši-kittu
Qunīya 15,2 seller
Qurdi-ašsur? 221, 15 w.
Qurdi-ilāni 45, 1,12 seller
Qurdi-ištar 11 r. 13' w.
[Qu]rdi-ištar-lāmur 32 r. 2
Qu[...]a $90,21 \mathrm{w}$.
Rabba-il ${ }^{3} 113,2^{\prime}$
Rapala 106, 2
Raši-ilu 2, 15 w.; lúmu-kil-kuš.pa.meš
Ra'ūzu 15, 41 w .; s. of Sanini ; from Kurbai 36, $8^{\prime}$ w.; from Kurbail
$53,8^{\prime}$ w.; Lú.DAM.G[ÀR]
82, 3 f. of Hadi-lipūšu
Rēmāni-ilu 17, 42 w.; from Du'ūa
Rēmāni-[...] 24, 24 w.; Lú [. . .]āyu 70, $2^{\prime}$ debtor(?)
Ri'ānu 131, 12
Rib-marduk 19, 6, 8 buye
Ribsiru 113, $5^{\prime}$
Rīmūt-ili-ma $24,29 \mathrm{w}$.; (ser.) writer of tablet
${ }^{\text {' Rīsī̄tu }} 248,3$ ' sold
Rub[...] 82,5 f. of Nuhšāyu
Sa('a)lti-ilu 104, 8 w.
Sabāyu 82, 12 w.
Sabiri 93,18 debtor ; Lú.dam.gÀr
Sa'i[. . .] 96, 4 debtor
Salamānu $18,21 \mathrm{w}$.

120, 16

Sā-MAŠ 234, 2
Samā[...] 90, 1 debtor
Samí 105, 3 credit
Samsi-imme 235, 1
Sāmūtu 17,39 w. ; Lú.hal lugal
Sanī 249, 7 w .
Sanini 15, 39, 41 w.; f. of Ra'ūzu ; from Kurbail
Sapharru 119, 1 lŭr $u$-qa-ha-a-a
Sayā 113, $7^{\prime}$
Silim-adad 25,22 w.; Lú.sIPA MUŠEN.MEŠ
Silim-ǎšur $3,25 \mathrm{w}$. ; $^{14}[x]-p u r^{2}$
Silim-nergal? 120, 22
Silimu $247,17^{\prime}$ w.
Silu 90, 11 creditor ; kur ar-ma-a-a
Sinā 121, 3 lúha-za-nu
Sîn-ahu-iddina $80,5 \mathrm{w}$.
90,5 creditor ; lú.uš anše
Sina'-ilu 130, 9
Sîn-aplu-iddina ( $246 \mathrm{r} .18^{\prime}$ )
Sîn-ēṭir 17, 36 w. ; LứGAL 自 ša Lứ.gaL.SAG
$20,5,15$
37, 7(?) buyer(?)
47 . 7 (?) buyer;
7, 7( ) yyer ; [Lú SA]c? Lú A.BA
60 b. $4^{\prime}$ (?) buyer
64,7 " seller "
64, 7 "seller" (exchange) ; br. of Bēl-tarṣi-
iluma(?)
65,4
Sin-iqbi 19,20 " (exchange)
Sîn? ${ }^{?}$-mušallim $63,8^{\prime}$ w.; scr., writer of tablet
Sîn-na'id $13,16(?)$ w.
78, 6 w. ; Lứ.ASG
în-šallimāni 24,28 ow. of Gabbu-āmur
Sîn-uballiṭ 39 r. $10^{\prime}$ w.
Sinn-[. . .] 60 b. $4^{\prime}$ buyer
Siparrānu 15, 37 w.; GAL Kuš.meš of Kurbail
35,22 w.; LứgAL kUŠ.meš; from Kurbail Si'-ramu 120, 9
Sisí(ya) 4, 17 w.; lứ.nindA
$14,26 \mathrm{w}$; A.BA? URU?
Sūlī 85, $5^{\prime}$ w

Șāb-ištar? 205, 5, 13
Ṣābu-da(m)qu 4, 16 w.; lú.tiv.NA
18, 16 f . of Šulmu-šarri
22, 6, 17 buyer
51 r .10 w .; ${ }^{\text {lu }} \mathrm{mu}$-šar-ki-su
53, 12' w.; ${ }^{1} 1 \mathrm{~d} d a-a-a-l\left[u^{?}\right]$
Șābūya 19, 4 f. of Kukunāte
Șalmu[(. . .)] 219 r. $5^{\prime}$ w.
Şapūnu 94, 6 w.
120, 18
${ }^{\text {tṢarpanītum-šarrat }}$ 15, 43
Şaṣī 4, 24 w.
Sidqī 207, $5^{\prime}$ (URU.ŠE)
Șidqi-ilu 17, 42 w.; from Du'ūa
Sil-aššur 40, $3^{\prime}$ buyer
Ṣil-ištar 4, 21 w.
$68,11^{\prime}$ w. ; LứgaL é.gaL ša é.gaL gibil
Ṣil-nergal 68, $9^{\prime}$ w.; lứ.gal É.gaL ša é.gaL be-ti
Ṣil-šarri 91, 11 creditor
190, 2 addressee
${ }^{\text {t Ṣiriāna }}$ 121, 10 from Ialūna
Ša-aššur-dubbu 137, 5
Sadu-il[āya? ${ }^{?} 221,13 \mathrm{w}$.
Ša-ili-gabbu 23, 24 w .; 1úmu-kil-PA.MEŠ
Ša-la-mašê 122, 4
Sallimul
Samaš-abu-[...] 7, 4 sold ; sl. of Mušallim?-šama
Samas̆-ahhē-[. . .] 25, 23 w.
Samaš-ahu-iddina $15,44 \mathrm{w}$. ; sl. of Aššur-aplu-ușur
91, 34 w. ; Lú.UŠ.bar
Samaš-ahu-uṣur 119, 16 s. of Busī ; from Si'-altāru? Šamaš-am(u)rāni 25, 2, 6, 11 seller

146, 5 (-.xii +i$)$
147, $2^{\prime}$ (11.i?)
Šamaš-bēlu-uṣur 196, 18 Lú.en.nan
Šamaš-da'inanni 139, 6
Šamaš-ētir 2, 19 f. of Ibnī
Šamaš-iddina 44, 1 láha $a-z a-n u$ ša ur[u...]
$83,4^{\prime}$ w.
Samaš-ilāya 109, 6 w.
Samas-(i)le i 15, 3 seller
Sam ansiya 108 , 11 w
Šenu-ubaliṭ $32,6^{\prime}, 15^{\prime}$ buyer ; ša qurbūt $i$ of Adad-nērārī
Šamaš-kūmīa 17, 7, 17 buyer; lú.SAG of Adad nērärī
$31,8,20$ buyer ; scr. of lú.nimair [É.GAL(?)]
57 r. 3 buyer
68, $8^{\prime}$ w.; scr.
Šamas̆-nādin-šumi? 22,23 w. ; s. of . . . .ālik-pāni Šamaš-nūr $\left[u^{?}\right]$ 13, 14 w.
Samaš-šallim 14, 22 w .; lúmu-kil ap-pa-te
47, 2,13 seller
Šamaš-s̄ēzib 22, 7, 17. buyer
39 r. $12^{\prime}$ w.
Šamaš-šē[. . .] 30, 3 f. of Hubuškāyu and Abu-ūl-īdi 35, 26
Šamaš-upahhir 110, 11 w .
Šamaš-[. . .] 90, 23, 25 w.
100, 6 w .
219 r. $2^{\prime}$ w.

Šamaš-[. . ]]-ușur(?) 35, 26 f. of [. . .]-ištar
Šarru-am(u)rāni 92, 2, 4 ow. of Uššābu
$242,2,5$, r. $6^{\prime}$ author
Šarru-balātu-iqbi 35,4 seller ; Lú.var
Sarru-dūrí 132/172 s.i. 1 gov. of Kalhu
185, 2 addressee
186, 1 (?) addressee
187 A. 2 (?) addressee
188, 2 addressee
189, 2 addressee
203, $6^{\prime}, 17^{\prime}$ Lús.EE[N.N]AMM [š]a Kalhi
*Šarru-kēn 261, 1 (-.iv)
Sarru-kēn (246, 1, r. 23')
Sarru-munammir 51 r.
$\mathrm{Sa}[\ldots] 42 \mathrm{r}$.
$75,11^{\prime} \mathrm{w}$.
Še'i-isistar 248, $2^{\prime}$ sold
Sekānu 247, $16^{\prime}$ w.
Šèlubu 91, 6 creditor
95,1 debtor ; s. of Ašsur-dūru-uşur
Šêp-ašsur-aṣbat $17,45 \mathrm{w}$; ; ur ukal-hasar-a-a la-bi-ru
*Š̄ēp-isistar(?) 74, 14'
Šēp-istar 209, $12^{\prime}$
$219 \mathrm{r} .4^{\prime}$ w.
*Š̌̄p-šarri 100, 12 (11.v)
Šēp-šarri 51 r. 6 w.; Lú.Mu
Šēp-[...] 86, $4^{\prime}$ w.; GAL [...]
101 r. $9^{\prime}$ ow. of Essidāyu
Šēr-hanāna $93,4,13$ creditor
Šēr-ma'ādi 93, 3, 12 creditor
Šē[. . .] 88, $5^{\prime}$ w.
Sulmānu-ašarēd 18, 29 [king] of Assyria
Šulmānu-nāṣir 222 A.4'
Sulmī 96,6 creditor
Šulmu-ahhē 4,11 w
26, 21
128, 10 LứGAL URU.MEES-ni-šú-
Šulmu-ahhē-šu 69,3 debtor(?)
Sulmu-ah[hē(-. . .)] 24, 21 w.; [. . .] ša Lư.en.ran
Šulmu-bēl 68, 2(?)
115, $6^{\prime}$
Šulmu-bēl-lāmur 4, 15 w . ; gAL ši-ma-ni
57 L.E. 17 w.
91, 7 f . of creditor
230, 5(?)
Šulmu-šarri 18, 16 w. ; s. of Ṣābu-da(m)qu
Šulmu-[...] 42 r. 10(?) w.
$74,6^{\prime}$ w.
${ }^{2} 20$ r. $6^{\prime}$ w.
220 r. $6^{\prime}$ w
ūm-adad-milki(?) $10,5^{\prime}$ buyer
Sūmu-lēšir 2, 17 w.; s. of Kiribtu
69, 2 debtor(?)
Šunu-qardu 17, 47 w. ; Lú.nU(=KAŠ).LUL MAN

Tabši－kittu／mēs̄āru 24，2，6， 11 seller ；s．of Qūa
＊Taklak－ana－bēl 255， 5 （－．i）
Tardititisistar 120， 14 br．of Iata
Ta［．．．］qa？3， 24 w ．
Tini ．．． 95 ， 13 w ．；from Badana
Tirik［a．．．］71， $6^{\prime}$ w．
TI［．．．］235， 1
＊Tukultī－apil－ešarra 26， 27 （17．ix）king of Assyria 27,29 （一．ix？）king of［Assyria］
28 r．2＇（一．x）king of［Assyria］
Tuli ${ }^{2}$ ．．．］115， 3
Tāb－ahūnu 80， 3 w
TṬ］āb－lipūšu 36， $9^{\prime}$ w．；ša 亩 lútur－ta－ni；from Kurbail
＊Täb－sil－ešarra 257， 4 （16．i）
Täb－sil－ešarra 240， 2 author
Tāā－šarr［．．］64，11
Tābūs［u（．．．）］19， 22
Tūa 82， 14
Ubri－iš̌tar 63 r． $5^{\prime}$ w．
Ubru－ahhē $3,2,10$ ， 11 seller ；sl．of ša Lú．UGU $\mathbf{~ E ́ ~ ; ~}$ br．of Abu－ūl－idi
Ubru－harrān 114， 3 Lư．STmuG kù．［GI］
Ubru－ilăni 86， $3^{\prime}$ w．
91,36 w．；Lứ．UŠ．bAR šáa É lutur－tar－ni
Ubru－ištar 13，15（？）w．
30，7， 17 buyer
39 r． $9^{\prime}$ w．
63 r． $5^{\prime}$（ub－ri－；sandhi ？）w
Ubru－nabû 17,38 w．；scr．of Lúv．GAL．SAG
78， 7 ＇w．；scr．
（246 r． $\left.16^{\prime}\right)$
（246 r．16）
bru－nergal $52,13^{\prime}$ w．

號 15,1 debtor（？
119，14（？）s， $49 \mathrm{w} . ;$ sl．of Mardukiā
119，14（．）s．of Dadiyā；from Si＇－altāru
Ubrāte 82， 6 w ．
$18,14(?)$ w．；s．of Ištar－tarība
89， $4^{\prime}$
114， 13
222 A． 2
249， 2 creditor
Uburtu－ahhē－ša 248， $2^{\prime}$ sold
Ukaturme？119， 22 f．of Iada＇－ilu
Ullubāyu 78， $10^{\prime}$ w．；scr．，writer of tablet Ūm－．．．see Ha（m）s̄āyu，Sabāyu，Ešra＇āyu
Urarṭăyu 82， 2 w．
98,10 w．；lưza－ma－ru ša Lứ．EN．NAM

Urdu－allāya 95,8 w．；1üha－za－nu
Urdu－ilāni $2,13 \mathrm{w}$ ．；s．of Ilu－dārānu
Urdu－ištar 3， $28 \mathrm{w} . ;$ ；s．of Nūrānu
$18,17 \mathrm{w}$. ；luha－za－nu of Kalhu
62， $10($ ？$)$ w．；Lúv．En？$[$ ．．］
80， 1 w．
91， 1 debtor ；Lú．uš．bar bir－me ša Bēl－tarṣi－ iluma
Urdu？${ }^{?}[. .$.$] 115， 2^{\prime}$
Urukuna（？）121， 4 from Ialūna
Urzāna 243 r． $9^{\prime}$
Urzāya 39 r．11＇w．
Ur［．．．］a 123， 5
Usammir－akšudu 224， 10 w．（？）
Uššābu 92， 1 debtor ；sl．of Šarru－am（u）rāni
Zāya 220 r． $13^{\prime}$ w．
（246，10）
$(246,10)$
ZA．AD？．Iš？ $57 \mathrm{r} .13 \mathrm{w} . ;$ sl．of Palil－ahu－id［dina？］
Zèr－kitti－lēsir 57 r． 13 w ．
$91,31 \mathrm{w} . ;$ s．of Aplayā？
Zēr－ki［．．．］36，15＇
Zērūa？ 220 r． $12^{\prime}$ w．
＊Zêru－ibni 249,11 （ $10+$ ．vii）gov．of Rasappa
Zīzāyu 74，5＇ w．
Zizī 57 r． 12 w．；Lú．sIMUG kù．［GI］
［．．．］－adad 16， 6 seller
［．．．］addu 219， 1 seller ；f．of Banitum－［šarr］at
［．．．］－ahhē 97,1 creditor（？）
114， 10
［．．．］ahīya？36， $10^{\prime}$ w．；from Kurbail
．．．ah］u－iddina 120,20 f．of Iqbi－ilu？
［．．．］－ahūni？ 155 i． 9
．．．］a－ilu 14， 17 w．
［．．．］－alilik－pāni＇？ 22,23
［．．．］］ānu 120，21， 28
．．．．－aplu－ussur 42 r．
57 T．E． 16 w．
（ 246 r ． $18^{\prime}$ ）
［．．．］’atu．．．．11 r．1＇w．；1ム́mu－kil кUš．pa．me
［．．．］āya／u 31， 3 $32,2^{\prime}$ seller
$35,25 \mathrm{w}$ ．；uncle of Bēl－rubā＇－ilāni ；from Kurbail
$67,9^{\prime}$ w．；ša UGU É
108,12 w．； 13 w ．
110， 8 w．；1uha－za－nu
234， 1
［．．．］－bēl 21 r． $5^{\prime}$ w．
［．．．］bu 97， 2 creditor（？）；Lú．us̆．bAR
［．．］．．būa $23,29 \mathrm{w}$ ；；LỨA．BA $2-i$ ，writer of tablet
．．．］buku 91， 10 creditor
．．Idulu 8,3 sold；sl．of Ilu－（e）riba
$[\ldots$－d］ūr－bēlīya 155 i． 12 Lú．ASGAB
．．．］－ellil 36， 3
．．．．］－ēreš（？） $32,1^{\prime}$ seller
．．．］ī 43， 18 w．；br．of Ninurta？${ }^{?}$－ēre
［．．．］ibni？－ahu 11， 6 buyer
［．．．］－ilāni 21 r． $2^{\prime}$ w．
．．．］－ištar 35， 26 w．；s．of Šamaš？＇．．．－uṣur ；from Kurbail
［．．$]$ kadu［（．．．）］238， 2
$[\ldots]$ kaqa ${ }^{?}[\ldots] 85,2^{\prime}$ w．
．．．］lăaya 36， $15^{\prime}$ w．；šáa モ́ Zēr－ki［．．．］
．．．－lĕšir 120， 1 （？）
［．．．］．．li 21 r． $1^{\prime}$ w．
．．．］－lī－baltat $35,27 \mathrm{w}$ ；；from Kurbail
［．．．］ludē 122， 2
（．．．）］makru 69， 3 debtor（？）
．．．］malka？ 155 i．11 Lú．TứG．ŠÈ［R］
［．．．］muktin？ 82,16 w．；Lứ．3－šu
［．．．］nāya 5,3 sold ；d of Nagāyu
＇．．．．］nāya 5,3 sold ；d．of Nagāyu
［．．．］ni 18，I f．of Aššur－aplu－usur
［．．．］－nisé 32 r .3 w ．
［．．．p］ušu 11， 2 sold ；d．of［．．．］－šūmu－ēreš？
［．．．］qi $5,15 \mathrm{w}$ ．
6， 3 sold ；sl．of Ninuāyu
82， 13 w ．
［．．．］rā 93,7 ． 16 r． $4^{\prime}$ w．
．．．Jrēmāni 155 i． 10 ứ．NiMgir
［．．．］rī 81， 1 w．
223， 4 ［L］ U ． ASGAB
［．．．］si［．．．］82， 1 w．
［．．］－simti 127， 8
［．．．］simu？14， 18 w．
${ }^{〔}[\ldots]$ sianāya 121,9 from Ialūna
［．．．］－šākin－šūmi（246 r．19＇）
．．．］－šallim $36,16^{\prime}$ w．
．．．］．．－šalmu－la［．．．］239， 2
．．．］－s̆arru－uṣur 32 r． 4 w．
$\ldots]$－sūmu－ēreš（？） $11,1,4$ seller ；f．of ${ }^{f}[\ldots$ p］ušu
［．．．］tala ${ }^{\text {an }} 113,15$＇
［．．．］talā？ $113,15^{\prime}$
．．］．．tua 16， 2 seller
［．．．］－uṣur 14， 19 w ．；［Lư］．sAG ša Lú．EN．naM
［．．．］zalu 52， $14^{\prime}$ w．

## INDEX OF PLACE NAMES

Note：the index includes gentilics and some PNs which are gentilics in form．In the arrangement the signs KUR and URU are ignored，even where they are certainly to be read as part of the name（as in $m \bar{a} t$ $S \bar{u} h i)$ ．
$\operatorname{Adad}(\bar{A} l-)$
URU．${ }^{\text {d }}$ IM 135 ， 3
Akkad（Mät－）
KUR．URI ${ }^{\mathrm{ki}(\text {（）})} 199,19$
${ }^{\text {uruam－an－te }}$
240， 8
Arba＇il
urutab．tab．dingir 17， 32 ；91， 32
${ }^{\text {uru }}$ a－ri－ra－a－a
121， 7
urar－ma－a－a
90， 11
uruar－rak ${ }^{3}$－［．．．］
157， $3^{\prime}$
Arrapha
${ }^{\text {uruar－} x-[\ldots] 6,28}$
ur $_{\text {uтab．тав－} h a-a-[a] ~ 205, ~}^{24}$
${ }^{\text {uru }}$ ar－zu－hi－na
127， 6 ；196， 5
A šsur
KUR $a \check{s}-s \breve{c}^{\prime} r^{(\mathrm{ki})} 17,8 ; 18,30 ; 26,28$ ；［27，30］；
［28 r． $\left.3^{\prime}\right] ; 32,7^{\prime} ; 54$ r． $6 ; 68,4^{\prime}$
urus̆ì．URU 17， 28 ； 240 r ； $\mathrm{m}^{248,6^{\prime}}$
urus̆̀̀．URU－$a-a$（PN） $93,2,11$
${ }^{\text {uru }}$ ba－da－na－a－a
95， 14
Bēl－lībur（ $\overline{A l}-$－）
URU EN－li－bur 3， 27
Bēl－［．．．］
［UR］U．En．［．．．］ 55 r． 17
Bēt－šašširi

urué．tM．DIR 24， 5
m．IM．DIR－$a-a$（PN）35， 3
114
114， 7
64， 6
$\stackrel{\text { kurda－u－na－ni }}{\text { kut }}$
195,4
urud $i$ ，$-t\left[e^{3}\right]$
urud $d-a-t\left[e^{e}\right]$
90,10


101 r. $8^{\prime}$
Gargamiš
KUR gar-ga-miš 215 r. 19'
urugar-ga-miš $1,16^{\prime}$
${ }^{\mathrm{kur}} g i$-mir-[a-a
243, 7', r. 5
${ }^{\text {urugu-za-na }}$
29, 27 ; 93 r. $7^{\prime}$
ku[r] $] h a-d[a] l-l i$
188,8
188, 8
${ }^{\text {kur }} \mathbf{6 a - l a ́ h}$ - $[$ [ $k i]$
64, 6
128, 3
Halzi
uruhal-z[ỉ] 186, 2
uruhal-z[ $\left.\imath^{2}\right]$ 186, 2
KÁ.GAL ša KUR hal-zi 193, 6

kurha-ma
8,2
uruhar-be-[...]
157, $7^{\prime}$
uruhar-bum-ba
183, 7
Harrän
m.urukaskal $-a-a$ (PN) 119, 12
${ }^{\text {uru }}{ }_{\text {HAR }}{ }^{\text {H.s.sa-a-a }}$
11 r. $7^{\prime}$
$\underset{\text { Har.mes }}{\text { m. uru }} 126,3$
n. uru $\mathbf{H I}-a-a$ (PN)

Hubustia
KUR $h u$-ub-bušs (KASKAL $\left.{ }^{\text {ii }}\right)$-[. . ] 243 r. 8

Ialūna
[kUR i]a-lu-na 65(/170/171) s.i. 5
uruia-lu-na-a-a 121, 5, 12
uruim-be-mra-hi-i (?)
$\stackrel{82,15}{ }$
uruim-gúr- $_{\text {- }}^{\text {BE }}$ 109, 4
${ }^{m} i-t u-(' a-) a-a$ (PN)
17, 40; 118, 8
Kalhu
uruka-làh 201, 7
urukal-ha 108, 7; 139, 7; 182, 3; 186, 11; 196, 10; 203, $7^{\prime} ; 262,2^{\prime}(?)$
urukal-ha-a-a 17, 44; 101, 7
urukal-hi 3, 4; 14, 14; 15, 12, 30; 17, 20, 27 ;
$18,18,20 ; 23,20 ; 24,17 ; 25,30 ; 33,7$;
34,7 ; 51 r. 15 ; $\left[53,3^{\prime}\right]$; 64, [3], s.i. 2 ;
$65,2,7 ; 65(/ 170 / 171)$ s.i. $3 ; 90,4 ; 91,3,28$;
93,$19 ; 99,3$; $154,8^{\prime}$; 155 viii. $2^{\prime}$; 172-3 s.i. 3; 181, 3
urukàl-zi
rukap-ri (. .) ša GAL URU(?)
135, 4-5
155 i
kap-ru [...]
48, $1^{\prime}$
Kapru-Babû
URU.ŠE mba-bu-u 121, 14
Kapru-bēl-[...]

urukap-ru-da-lal
18, 23
Kapru-sidqī(?).
URU.SE s $s[i]-i d-[q] i^{?}-\left[i^{i}\right] 207,5$
Kapru ša Bēt-šašširi

Kār-diglāyè
uruk[ar ${ }^{2}$ - ${ }^{1}[\mathrm{D} . \mathrm{ID}]$ rava $-a-a$ 65, 4
uruka-sa-pa
240,9
ur "ki-d $\left[i^{2} \ldots\right]$
157, 4
Kumme
uruku-me 91, 33
mku-ma-a-a (PN) 90, 6; 123,5
${ }^{\text {miku-me-tum (FPN) 93, 3, } 11}$
uruku-up-ru-na
33,$4 ; 34,4$
urul, 4; 34,
"kur-ba-il
$15,24,29,34,37,38 ; 17,30 ; 35,8,19$;
$36,2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}, 10^{\prime}, 13$
urukur-ba-il-a-a 15, 42; 35, 29; 36, 14'
${ }^{\text {m }}$ kur-ba-il-a-a (PN) 35, 6
Mé-tā̄ūte

uru $_{m}[i \ldots]$
82, 11
${ }^{\text {kur }} m u$-sa $a^{2}-[s i r(?)]$
urum $m \ldots$. .]
82,13
${ }^{14} n a-q i-r a-a-a$
119, 11
uruna-si-bi-na
Nēmed-istar
uruné-med - $_{\text {INANNA }} 222$ B. $3^{\prime}$

Ninua
uru $_{\text {Niva }} 6,12 ; 193,8 ; 199,23$
m.urunina-a-a (PN) 6, 2, 3

Parnuna
urupar-HA-na 22, 30
par- $\mathrm{HA}-a-a 95$,
219 r. 8
urupu-rat-ta-a-a
98, 13
$\left.{ }^{[i d]}\right] r a-d a-n i(?)$
127, 5
urura-pi-qi
194,15
194, 15
kur/uru $\mathbf{r a - s a - p a}$
107,$8 ; 249,12$

81, 12; 111, 5
1üru-qa-ha-a-a
119, 8
${ }^{\text {ur }}$ usa-li-ma-a-ni
30,5
30, 5
urusa-me-[ $\ldots$ ]
132,3
urus $s i-i^{\prime}-a l^{l}-t a^{2}-r u^{2}$
119, 17
urusip? $\mathrm{r} u$-[...]
157, $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}$
${ }^{\mathrm{kur}} s[u]-h i$
188,7
urusu-ni-gi-e
116,4
urus̆ááádos-li- $e^{2}$
Ša-Dagan-bēl-usur (Ãl-)
Sa-Dagan-bêl-usur (al-)
URU sáá mod[d]a-gan-EN.pAp 43,4


$32,4^{\prime}$
urusus $a-\mathrm{m}] i b-l u t-a$
48,4
uruša-sa-[. .
157, $5^{\prime}$
URU.Š̌ . . . see Kapru . .
urušib-hi-ni-iš
Šišlu
uruši-š̌il 190, 5
uruši-iš̀-li 183, 6
uruta-ma $a^{3}-n u-n\left[i^{i}\right]$
128, 9
kurte-me-ni
$65(/ 170 / 171)$ s.i. 4
Til-[...]
${ }^{\text {uruduL- } x(x)} x 222$ B. $2^{\prime}$
uru ull-mu?

112, 2
[m]ú-ra-ár-ta-a-a (PN) 82, 2
$[\mathrm{m}] \hat{u}-r a-a r-t a-a-a(\mathrm{PN}) 82,2$
$\mathrm{~m}_{\bar{u}-r a-a r-t a-a-a}$ (PN) 98, 10
${ }^{\text {uru }} u r^{2}-b i\left[l^{2}-\ldots\right]$
206, $2^{\prime}$
kur
kun-su-na-li
243 r. 6
uruza-ban

| 183,5 |
| :--- |
| uruza |

uruza-li-gi-e
116,2
uruzu $v^{2}-r\left[i^{i}\right]$
39 r. $8^{\prime}$
$\cdots$.-bi-na-a-a
120, 31
uru $[\ldots]-k u^{3}-a-n i$
55 r. 14(-16)
uru $[..] . .-n a$
24,26
uru [
uru $[\ldots]-\hat{u}-$
24,25

## INDEX OF PROFESSIONS

Note : This list gives all professions and similar designations, but not family terms. The examples are arranged thematically by the context in which the term appears. Where convenient, the commonest writing is used to form the heading for each word, and in the ensuing examples the initial letter of the word stands for that writing, and only that writing; other writings are explicitly indicated. Thus under EN $i l-k i$, LứSAG E.-šú stands for Lứ.SAG EN $i l-k i-s ̌$ sú. Logographic writings are placed after syllabic, and the sign lú, whether rendered in Akkadian or not, is ignored.
$[b a]-t u ́-s u$
113,12
${ }^{10} d a-a-a-l u$
PN d. $53,12^{\prime}$
PN GAL da-a-a-li 82, 7-8
e－mu－qe
186， 4 （lüe．）， $10,20,26-7$
luha－za－nu
PN h． 11
PN h． 11 r． $5^{\prime} ; 21$ T．E． $4^{\prime} ; 82,4 ; 90,16(?)$ ；
95， $9 ; 110,8 ; 121$,
PN h．of Du＇ūa 17,41
PN h．of Kurbail 15，
PN h．of M［i ．．．］82， 1
PN h．of M［u．．．］82， 12
PN h．of URU．šE lítur－ta－ni 4， 1
PN h．of［．．．］44， 1
in clauses：$l \bar{u}$ h． 15,$20 ; 17,13$
lū̄̀üha－za－an－nu 31， 18
h．－ma lä ämur 209， 9
${ }^{1}{ }^{\text {uhkal－la－b／pu }}$
PN k．110， 5
PN GAL lukal－la－pi 9,16
PN Lú．GAL kal－la－pi šáa LÚ．EN．NAM 98， 9
PN Lú．GAL kal－la－bi 23,28
PN GAL［1］＾［kal $]-b a-b i$ 81， 7
${ }^{1 u} k a r-k a-d i-n u$（cf．Lú．sUM．NINDA）
PN k． 11 r． $11^{\prime}$
（1aku－tal see ša kutalli）
${ }^{14}$ läh－h $h i-n u$
PN 1．35， 23
${ }^{1}{ }^{\text {unm－kil }}$ ap－pa－te
PN m．14， 23
PN lümu－kil－kUŠ．PA．ME（š）2， 16 ； 11 r． $2^{\prime}$ 22，26；82，18（？）
PN lümu－kill－［ए］A．MEŠ 23， 24
$m u$－sar－ki－su
PN m．51，10；68， 6
mu－tir－te－me
PN m．78， $8^{\prime} ; 95,11$
PN lúmu－tir－te－me ša Lú．en．NAM of Kalhu 18， 19
й mu ［．．．］
PN m． 62 ，
นй $a$－si－ku
PN n．ša l⿺𠃊́na－qi－ra－a－a 119， 10
uqq－ti－en－nu
PN q．82， 9
＂qe－pu
in clauses：$l \bar{u}$ q．17， 14
rĭqurbütu see ša qurbūti）
${ }^{14}$ ralk－su
PN r．51， 11
PN lú．GAL KA．Š̀̀r ša lürak－su－te 18， 23
${ }^{1}$ Iusar－ten－ni（Genit．）
PN ša é s． 15,48
sa－ru－time
pap－ma $7 \mathrm{~s} .119,18$
É s̆．105，5（？）
lussáá IGI É．gaL
PN š．191， 2 （author）；204，11＇


30 lú ku－tal erín．man 141， 10
sa muhbi ．．．see ša UGU ．．
ša pet－hal－li
PN s．94， 9
ssa qur－bu－ti
PN š．9， 15
PN ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~s}$ ． 15,47
PN lúsa qur－bu－te 51， 4

PN Lứ qur－bu－te urupar－मुA－a－a 95， 5
1usa sar－ri
ras． 4 ，
PV š 67
PN Iúš．ša
PN Iüšá UGU É šáa É lûtur－ta－ni 91,30
Lú UGU í šáa Lú．en．vam of Kalhu 3， 3
Luś ugu Lra Lư．en．NAM of Kahu 3,3
PN š． 95,7
197，5，r． $4^{\prime}$
šaknu A（ ${ }^{\text {us s̛á－kìn }}(+\mathrm{GN})=$＂governor＂）
PN š．urugu－za－na 29， 27 ； 91 r． 7
PN š．urukal－hi 2，8；25， $30 ; 33,7 ; 34,7$
51,$15 ; 64(/ 170 / 171)$ s．i． 2 ； $65,2,[7]$ ，s．i． 3
90,$4 ; 91,3,28 ; 93,19$ ； $132(/ 172)$ s．i． 2

PN［క̆．］uruna－si－bi－na 24， 32
PN š．kur／urura－sa－pa 107，8；249， 12
PN s．urupt－mo－sa－pal 12 ，
PN š uruł̌iblinievis，51； 16 r． 7
IN tú
šaknu B （an official）

$l \bar{u} \mathrm{~L}$ पúgAR－an－šúu 27,15 ；cf． $32,14^{\prime}$（？
${ }^{1 u ̈ s ̌ a-n u-u ́ u}$
PN š．97， 5
šāpiru
in clauses：$s a^{2} \cdot p i-r u 31,18$ $\check{s}] a-p i-r\left[u^{3} 60 \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{c} .5\right.$
1uše－lap－pa－a－a
PN š．2，21；22， 31
Purtānu
PN ${ }^{1 u ̈ t u}[r-t] a-[n u]$ 105， 9
ina pān ${ }^{\text {lùtur－ta－ni 194，11，}} 19$
tar－ta－a－ni 199， 7
PN LúdGAL 50 s̆a 1 út $[u r-t a-n i(?)] 112,4$ PN šá 白 ${ }^{\prime}$ ítur－ta－ni $36,9^{\prime} ; 102$ r． $2 ; 110,6$（tar－） PN GAL Mí．MAŠ．MEŠ šáa É lútur－ta－ní 15,40
 （tar－）
PN lú．uš．bar ša é lütur－ta－ni 91，35（－6）
${ }^{1 \stackrel{1}{4}}[u m]$－ma－ni
181， 2
lúú－ra－se（pl．）
193，4，14？，
，
za－ma－ru
PN z．ša Lứ．EN．NAM 98， 1
${ }^{4}[x]-p u r^{2}$
3， 25
LƯ．A．BA（ $=u m m a \bar{a} n u(?)$ cf．Lứ．DUB．SAR）
PN A．sāait IM 6，25；25， 26
PN A．sābit kaniki 26,29
PN A．2－i（？）säbit kaniki 23， 29
PN A．sābit tuppi［11 r．14＇］； 63 r． $8^{\prime}$ ；78， $10^{\prime}$ ； N，
PN A．（prob．writer of tablet） 5,$17 ; 68,8^{\prime}$（？）； 81,$13 ; 83,6^{\prime} ; 93,15 ; 219 \mathrm{r} .10^{\prime} ; 247,18^{\prime}(?)$ PN A．17，39，47； $25,21,47,8$ ；51，12； $78,7^{\prime}, 9^{\prime} ; 91,29(?)$
PN A．bA？URU？ 14,26
PN A．ša Lứ．GAL．SAG 17， 38
PN a．lú．nimgir［é．gal（？）］31， 8
ina é A．é．gal 95,3
PN lứgal uru．meš－ni［ $\quad$ ̌̌a］A．É．gal 95， 23
PN lứ．a．ba Édingir ša uruŠì．URU 248， $5^{\prime}$
PN A．ki－si－ti 248， $21^{\prime}$
scribes not so designated，but writers of tablet
PN sā̄bit IM 15，50；22，28；29，24；103， 10
PN Lứ．〈A．BA $\rangle s a \bar{a} b i t$ IM 24,29
PN sābit tup－pi 17，49；18， 26 ；19，26；51， 13 ； ，
PN säb［it $\ldots] 43,$.
säbit ka－ni－ki PN 100， 11
［L］ú．A．kin（ $=$ mār šipri ；cf．Lú．dUnu šipri） $\bar{u} m u[\check{a} a]$ A．－šú tammarūni 203， $11^{\prime}$

## LỨA．ZU（ $=a s \hat{u})$

PN A． 51,$7 ; 68,7$＇
PN A．ša Lú．GAL．SAG 17， 37
lứ．agrig
PN ìr ša A．81， 5
PN lútìr ša al gal 4， 2
．$\check{a} a$ šש ${ }^{11}$ A．114， 2
Lú．ASGAB
PN A．78， 6 ＇； 155 i．12；223， 4
Lú．［B］UR．G［U］L
PN b．118， 2
Lú．DAM．GÀr
PN d． $53,8^{\prime} ; 73$ r． 6 ；79， $1^{\prime} ; 93,9 ; 96,9-10$ $x$ ANŠE．KUR šáa D．126， 4
naun mand sa d．248，
PN d．É．GAL 248， $10^{\prime}$

LÚ．DUMU GEME
PN D．105， 2
Lứ．DUMU šip－ri（cf．LỨA．A．KIN）
193， 22
PN lứ．DUMU ší－pír ša Gal．lú．SAG．MEŠ 15,46
EN $i l-k i$
in clauses：$l \bar{u} \mathrm{E} .-$ šú $(-n u) 31,17 ; 32,13{ }^{\prime} ; 45,16$ ； 48， $12^{\prime}(?)$ ； 57 r． 3
［l］ $\bar{u}$ lú．erfin．meš en［．meš］$i l-k i$－šu
nu 15， 19

r． 5
lū LứMEŠ－e E．－šá 33， 14
lū Lú．sAG E．－šú 17,13
Lú．EN．NAM（bēl pāhiti ；cf．salknu A）
PN e．196， 18
im E．（author） 190,1
letters ana E．191－200；230，1；cf．also 194，3， 6 195， 3
PAP 5 ša E．114， 16

PN［．．．］sa E． 24,22
［PN ．．．］śa E．18， $3 ; 84,1^{\prime}, 6$
PN Lư．gAL kal－la－pi ša E．98， 9
PN ${ }^{1 u} z a-m a-r u$ ša E．98， 11
［P］N［Lú．］sag ša e．14， 20
E．ša uruar－zu－bi－na 127，6；196， 4
E．ša uruhal－sul 128,3
E．ša urukal－hi 196， 9
PN E．ša urukal－ha／i 108，7；203， 6 PN ša Lú．SAG šáa PN E．ša urukal－$h i$ 99， 3
PN mutīr－tèmi ša E．ša urukal－hi 18,20

E．$s ̌ a{ }^{\text {ur }}{ }_{\text {ur－}} m x-s i i^{i} 111,4$
E．sa uruta－ma ${ }^{\imath}$－nu－n $\left[\imath^{2}\right] 128,8$
in claus ：awa ．
n 6，16；14，15；
23,$21 ; 36,3$ ； 55 r．12；62， 5

EN ŠU ${ }^{\text {i }}$［．MEŠ（？）］
76，
PN EN $x x$ me ša GN 18， 23
PN L［ర́］．EN？［．．．］62， 10
Lú．en［．．．］65， $1^{\prime}$
ša Lú．En［．．．］ 187 B． 4
lú．engar
［Lúvel］ngar．meš［a］seme 196， 16
2 Lú．en $\left[\operatorname{Gar}(. \operatorname{meš)}] 222\right.$ B． $2^{\prime}$
（Lú．）ERIN．MEŠ（ $=s \bar{a} b u$ ；cf．PN Ṣābu－da $(\mathrm{m})$ qu E．［GN］－a－a 120,30
sold： 9,$2 ; 12,6^{\prime}$

in clauses：$l \bar{u} \overline{\mathrm{E}}$ ．annūte 15,17 ；32， $11^{\prime}$ $l \bar{u}$ E．EN（．MEš）$i l-k i-s ̌ u ́$ ínu 15,19 ；

＂troops＂：
196， 25 （E．－šú）；203， $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ ？）；206， $3^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}, 16$
100 E．ša Šv PN 193， 7
atta EN E．－ka 190，
erín．mes̆．Man－ni 185， 5
30 ló $k u$－tal erín．man 141， 10
GAL see also ${ }^{\text {lüd }} d a-a-a-l u$ ；lúkal－la－bu；ка．šè Lứ．KAš．LUL；Lú／Mí．MAŠ；LứMU ；LÚ．SUM． NINDA
lúgal é
PN G．14，4；23，9；51， 3
PN G．$\check{y} a$ Lứ．GAL．SAG 17， 3
Lú ana $\mathbf{~}$ ．［it］tannu 67， 5
LÚ．GAL Ê．GAL
PN G． $74,4^{\prime}$
PN G．s̈a É．GAL BE－ti 68， 10 PN g．$\check{s a} a$ égal getbil 68,10 PN G．sa e．gAL Gibil 68， PN $\left[\ldots s a^{?}\right]$ G． 92,12
GAL KUŠ．MEŠ
PN G．ša Kurbail 15， 37
PN Lứ．G．35， 22
Lứ．GAL $m u-g i$
im G．（author）192， 2
GAL si－ma－ni
PN G．4， 15
GAL $\dot{u}-r a-a-\left[t e^{?}\right]$
PN G．71， $8^{\prime}$
gal Uru．meš
PN G．101，4；125，8（？）
PN LƯ．G．7，7（？）；28， 6
NN
PN LU．G．－ni［so Pa gov．of Kalhu 15， 11
PN Lú．G．－ni［ša］Lúc．a．ba É．GAL 95， 22
PN Lú．G．$n i$－šú－nu 128,11
PN［śc］az ma－bu－za－a－ni 129， 3
urukap－ri sáa GAL URU（？）135， 5
in clauses：$l \bar{u}$ úv．g．17， 14
Lú．G．－ni－ma là āmur 209， 11
Lứ．GAL 50
PN G．ša ${ }^{\text {lút }} t[u r-t a-n i(?)]$ 112， 4
（Lú．）gal［．．．］
PN G．71， $10^{\prime}$ ；86， $4^{\prime}$（？）； 187 B． 2 （？）
PN lúd．GAL $x[(x)]$ ša MAN 22,27
lúg．gala
PN G． 35,6
Lúgar see šaknu A and B and lứ．ninda
PN
PN－G．ơ̆ú 113， 7

PN lú．dUMU G．É．gal 105， 2
đ̛．Gír．Lí
PN G．53， $14^{\prime}$
Gứgal
［PN］G．［（ ）］ 73 r． 5
LUU．HAL
PN H．LUGAL 17,39
LứIÀ．SUR
I．MEŠ annüte 197， 3
（Lú．）IGI
pap 8 tal．meš 3,30
PAP 9 Lú．tGI．MEŠ－ti urukur－ba－il－a－a 15， 42
$\stackrel{\text {（Lú．）ìr }}{\text { PN }}$
PN（Lứ．）ìR（ša $\ldots$ ．）4，4； $10,10^{\prime} ; 15,43,44,49$ 24,27 ； $81,5^{\prime} ; 84,1^{\prime}(?) ; 90,9 ; 92,2$ ； $93,6,15 ; 98,2 ; 101$ r． $9^{\prime} ; 110,4 ; 114,8(?)$ ； 117， 7
PN（Lú．）ÌR sold ： 2,$4 ; 3,3 ; 4,2 ; 6,3 ; 8,3$ ir－ka PN（introd．to letters）：180，2；193， 2 194,$2 ; 195,2 ; 196,3 ; 197,2 ;[198,2]$
199,$2 ;[200,2] ; 205,2 ; 230,2 ; 240,2$ 199，2；［200，2］；205，2；230，2；240， 2
CN LÚ．İ É．GAL 155 i．
ìr．ME $\breve{s} a{ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{N}] 90,33$
Lú．ìr．MEŠ－ni sáa Lút．EN．NAM ．．．194， 5
ìR．me－ma ${ }^{?}$［ 205， 12
Lứ．ìr．meš－ka 188， 4
i］na libbi Lứíl R ？．．． 222 L．E． 2
KA．ŠÈR（also Lú．TỨ́．（KA．）Š̀̀R）
［P］N к．ìr $\check{s} a$ PN 6,3
PN LứK．ša ša IGİ £́．gaL 4，13， 14
［P］N Lú．TúG．S．S̀ ER］ 155 i． 11
PN LứTứG．K［A．S̀̇̇］R 6
PN Lú．GAL K．51， 9
PN lứgal K．ša lurrak－su－te 18， 24
LÚ．KAŠ．LUL（ $=$ šă $\bar{q}(i) u$ ）
PN к．sa $a$ wít．
PN Lúv．NU（＝KAŠ？）．LUL MAN 17,47
PN lứgal kaš．LUL 95， 21
［P］N ša GN Lú．GAL KAŠ̌．LUL 98， 13
LUGAL（cf．MAN）
letters from $\mathbf{L}$ ：：181－5；［186－7］
letters to L．：180，1，［2］，r． $3^{\prime}, 9^{\prime} ; 240,1,3,4,5$ ； 241，1，3，6；242，1，3，4，r． $5^{\prime}$
$u(n) q u$ L．199， 4
［šumm］u L．（！）andurāru［iša］kkant 10,8
PN L．KUR $a s ̌-s ̌ u r($（ki） $17,[8] ; 18,29 ; 26,28 ;$ 28 r． $3^{\prime}$
PN lór．hal l．17， 39
PN lútsAG ša PN［L．］17，［8］
ŠE．PAD．MEŠ－LUGAL－e－šu 99， 4
ma．NA ša L．31，7＇；107， 1
nitual：DN M
man（cf．lugal）
PN m．kur aš－šur（ki） 27,$30 ; 32,7$ ；${ }^{\prime} ; 54,6$
PN Lứ．nU（＝KAŠ̌？）．LUL M．17， 47
PN lứgaL $x[(x)]$ śa m． 22,27
PN［گ］］a qurbūti $\stackrel{\text { sa } a}{ }$ PN м．32， 7
（MA．NA） $\begin{aligned} \\ a \\ \text { м．} 30,6 ; 106,1\end{aligned}$
hist．：m．kàl malkē 217，
（Lúf／Mí．）MAŠ
PN GAL Mf．maš．meš ša é lútur－ta－ni 15， 40
in clauses： 7 L⿱亠凶禸．MAS̆．MEŠ 7 mí．MAŠ．MEŠ 15， 25 ；
uf é．gaL
PN LứKAŠ．LUL $\check{a} a$ M．44， 5
LứMU
PN м． 11 r． $10^{\prime}$ ； 16 r． $4^{\prime}(?)$ ；51， $6 ; 222$ A． $5^{\prime}$ PN］m．ša GAL．SAG 21 T．E． $3^{\prime}$

M． 190
ša м．MEŠ 207， 13
PN［GA］LL M． 81 ，
lứ．nagar
PN N．43， 19
PN N．Eİmu－gir－ri 91， 37
cf． 155 iii． 19
LỨ．NAR
PN n．35，2，3，4， 5
ina $k u$－da－a－ri［ $\check{a} a \mathrm{~N}$ ．］ 35,7
Lú．NI．DUḢ
PN N．84，3＇；94， 7
PN N．šá É．GAL $248,22^{\prime}$
Lú．NIMGIR
205，11（？）
PN N． 155 i． 1
PN N．ša PN（king）18， 29
PN［N．］E．［GAL］53， 16
PN n．？E．GAL 63 r． 6 ，
NTV E （？）
223， 2 （cf．šaknu A and B）
PN м．4，17；97，6； 102 r．5， 6

$1 \mathrm{~N} .199,9$
Ú．SAG（ $=$ ša rēši $)$
PN s． 7 L．E． $3^{\prime} ; 33,15 ; 51,8 ; 47,8^{\prime}(?)$ （＋Lú．A．bA）
PN s．ša PN（king）17， 7
PN［š］á SAG śá PN（king） $65(/ 170 / 171)$ s．i． 2
PN s．ša PN（gov．）33，6；34，6； 99 tab． 2
PN s $s a$ s．$s a$ PN（gov．） 99 env． 2
PN［Lút］sag ša Lú．EN．NAM 14， 20
PN s． $\operatorname{ša} \mathrm{L}[\mathrm{U}$ U．GAL．SAG（？）］17，2
E．GAL 223， 6
PN s．$\check{s} a[\mathrm{~N}][\mathrm{IN} ? . \dot{\mathrm{E}} 223,2$
s．meš－ka 203， $4^{\prime}, 14^{\prime}$
in clauses：lū S．EN $i l-k i-s ̌ u ́ u ́ 17,13$
Lú．gal．sag
PN lứ．GAL．é $\begin{gathered}a \\ a\end{gathered}$ G．17， 36
PN Lư．A．ZU ša G．17， 37
PN lú．A．BA ša G．17， 38
PN Lú． 3 U $_{5}$ ša G．17， 50
PN Lú．SAG ša L［ứ．GAL．SAG（？）］17， 2
PN LứdUMU $\check{s} i$－pir ša GAL．LÚ．SAG．MEŠ 15,46
Lú．sANGA
PN s． $35,21,24,25$ ；114， 1 （？）
PN s．$\check{s} a$ DN 17,$35 ; 36,7^{\prime} ; 73,4 ; 103,9,13$
PN s．DN（？）84， $2^{\prime}$
PN s．ša Kurbail 15， 34
Ú．simua
PN s．GuŠkin $57 \mathrm{r} .12 ; 118,7$
PAP 2 S．Kù．［GI］114， 4
cf． 155 iv．6（？）
cús．stra
PN s．114， 8 ；132， 2
PN s．MUŠEN．MEŠ 25,22
］s．meš ša PN 257， 2
（Lú／Mí）．SUnưr．Lá
in clauses： 7 lứ．suhur．lá．meš 7 mí．suhur．Lí． meš 17,31

## LứsUKKaL

［PN］s．${ }^{2} 97,9$
LU．SUM．NINDA（prob．$=$ karkadinu q．v．）
PN s．53， $9^{\prime}($ ？$)$
1 s．199， 8
Lú．gaL sum．atinda 230,4
Ứ．ŠÁM／Š̀̀M
PN Lư．šà 3，26， 29
PN lư．Šám（nindá x A．AN）ša šu PN 93， 7
3 LứšÁm（NINDÁ x AN）．MEŠ 103，1；cf． 161 A．3，
B． $5^{\prime}$
Lú．še．kin．kud
50 š．105， 6
Uú．Šim x ninda（ $=$ bappir）
PN š．114， 5
Lú．tin．NA
PN T．4，16， 20

Lú．TỨG．KA．SÈR see Ka．ŠÈR
un．meš
sold：248， $12^{\prime}, 13^{\prime}$
in clauses：$l \bar{u} \mathrm{U}$ ．－šú 27,14
U．－šu －nu（＂family＂）185， 12
šuh U．（＂people＂）184， 6
LÚ．UŠ
PN U．kib－si 111， 2
PN U．ANŠE．（NITÁ．）（MEŠ） 17,$5 ; 90,5 ; 138,5$
ỨUSUS．bAR
PN u．91，34；97， 2

PN U. ša Arbail 91, 32
PN U. ša É Élütur-ta-ni 91, 35, 36 PN U. bir-me ša PN (gov.) 91, 2
UTUL
68, 6 (?), r. $2^{\prime}$ (U.MES)
109,

Lú.z. 121, 15; 155 i. 15 ; 194, 9, 17
PAP 6 zi ša PN 155 viii. $6^{\prime}$
sold: 9, 2; 248, $4^{\prime}$
PN 3. 11 r. $4^{\prime}$; 23, 25, 26
PN Lú. $3-$ š̌u $_{\mathrm{U}_{5}} 82,16,17$
PN 3. sáa Lú.GAL.SAG 17, 50

## INDEX OF WORDS

N.B.-This list includes only those new words or meanings discussed in the notes or commentary. A complete index would, of course, be ideal, but did not prove practicable, and in any case would be more valuable if it could include past and future publications of texts from Nimrud.
(an)durāru 10 comm.; 248, 13'-16'
apālu $48,8^{\prime}$
apālu 48, $8^{\prime}$
aruthē 155 iv. 17
$\begin{gathered}a s ̧ h u l u ~ \\ b \bar{a} b \\ z i \\ q\end{gathered} 212,5^{\prime}$
bäb ziqi 212,
basitu 15,52
bēt bèlē(-šunu) 186, 10
bēt dā̀ā̄ni 64, 8-9
bēt danniti 155 ii.11-12
bēt qāti 22,11
bēt quati 22,11
bēt šīqi 64, 8-9
bët tu'inte 241, 4
bitiqtu 181,
ӣru 68, 3
businnu 141, 7
dallulūtu 150, 4
dallulūtu 150, 4
dannu 93,17
dukdu 153, 10
durāru see andurāru
durātu 153,5
gazālu 119, 19
gazaiu 119,19
gizzu 222 A. $2^{\prime}$
gizzutu 145, 2
allup(t)u 153, 7
hanānu 266, 50
harsu 155 i. 16
hasānu $63,5^{\prime}$
hullānu 152, 1
hulsu 1, 11'
irginu 125 comm
kalappu 155 iv. 3
kalla'̄ $\bar{u} t u$ 219, 7
ammutu 100
isiptu 1,5
kisiptu $1,5^{\prime}$
$k u(d) d a \bar{a} r u ~ 35$,
labīru 17, 44
labiru 17, 44
mahru 123, 13
maklulu 152,2 (ma'äta) 242,5
mannu (ma àta) 242,5
mésiränu $1,14^{\prime} ; 125,4$
mullilu 155 iii. 20
( $m$ )uššuru 17,30
nut $\bar{a} \not{ }^{\top} \bar{e} 152,5$
muzzakru 214, 5
nāruttu 64, 5
nu 193, 12
padakku 135, 1
parū̆qu 136, 1
pinigu 136, 8
ри $\bar{s} \stackrel{s}{u} u 36,9^{\prime}$
pušku 212, $9^{\prime}$
putubhu 65, 6
abll 93,17
llu 93,17
alpu 152, 3; 155 v.14'-16'
aqquru 29, 2; 156, 2
ай̄̄ти $99,8-9$
$q \bar{u}(t)$ sibitti $92,17-18$; 119, 20
фітти 149, 3
rāqu 156, 2
rūtu 113, $2^{\prime}$; 212, $2^{\prime}$
sanbuku 266, 51
sa'urāte 150, 3
ssibtāti 155 iv.19-20
sibtu 11, 8; $155 \mathrm{iv} .19-20$
sa GAB 113, $5^{\prime}$
sa a hilli 1, $6^{\prime}$
$s{ }^{\prime} a$ Is 153,2
ša māhāzā̃ni 129, 3
ša pušk $k a ̄ y i 1,5$
ša qurbūti 9,1
sa s sarri 31,3
$s_{a} \mathrm{UW} 31,3$


HAR(.MEŠ) 126,3
Hé.med $1,6^{\prime}$
m.dir 24,5

Á(-e) 152,5
Lá $(-e) 152,5$
nagar 155 iii. 19
$A_{A_{5}}$ see dir
$\mathrm{A}_{5} \mathrm{see} \mathrm{Dmb}$
IG 4,16
sip.pur 3, 25
Lút/Mf).SUhur.LÁ 15, 25
sub̧uš 30,7
se.pad.meš lugal(-e-šu) 99, 4
šE.AL 180 r. $9^{\prime}$
túg.an.ta 153, 5
rứG.GỨLÁ 152, 1
тúg.ib 153,4
túa.ki.ta 153, 7
túa.pa 1, 12'
TỨG.ST.LUH 1, $4^{\prime}$
Ú'.ME) $^{\prime} 9,5 ; 181,19$
(.ME) 19, 5; 181,

STus 68 , 16
ZA.HUM 155 iii. 13
ZAG 155 v. $10^{\prime}-11^{\prime}$
(Lứ)Zz 121, 15
ZíD.KASKAL.MEŠ 185, 9 ; 203, 3
2.тA 108, 10
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31
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2 rev

28
obv

ND 275(g)


ND 249
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$\stackrel{n}{\infty}$

rev
36
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$\stackrel{\infty}{\oplus}$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 还一 } \\
& \text { - x } 1 \text { III }
\end{aligned}
$$
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133
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184




195


194







220


225



224
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239



232





$10^{\circ}$

家

HNSNO
期期





a. No. 155 obv.

b. No. 64

All approx. 1:1

a. No. 13

c. No. 107 rev .

e. No. 183 obv.

a. No. 44

b. No. 6


No. 248 obv.

d. No. 196 obv.
. No. 92 rev.

a. No. 18

c. No. 219

b. No. 171, stamp seal
$a$. No. 171, cyl. seal

c. No. 170

d. No. 66

e. No. 264

All approx. 1:1

a. No. 173 obv.

e. No. 172


b. No. 173 rev .

d. No. 132, cyl. seal (right)

a. No. 237 obv.
b. No. 237 rev.

d. No. 238 obv.

g. No. 239 obv.

k. No. 257

All approx. 1:1

c. No. 235 obv.

e. No. 236

b. No. 234 rev.

d. No. 235 rev .

$f, g$. No. 229 (two fragments)


[^0]:    ${ }^{4}$ So in $N \& R, I, 42$; from the plan it seems neare $5.00 \times 4.00 \mathrm{~m}$.
    date of thorway is not marked on the plan, and the p. 5, n. 10 ).

[^1]:    ${ }^{22}$ The list does not include mentions of governors where the name is not given or not preserved; fo words.
    ${ }^{23}$ There is no direct evidence to support this identification, which may at first sight seem improbable. However, it is clear that Mušēzib-ninurta of Šadikanni had some political standing, since he was able to inseribe the winged bulls of 'Arbān
    ( $=$ Sadikanni) with his own name alone (ef. A. H. Layard, Nineveh and Babylon 276), and he kept the title priest held by his grandfather who was an independent ruler. Moreover, a single governorship
    of Kalhu on the one hand, and districts in the northwest (e.g. Ialuna) on the other, is attested for Šamaš-
    bēlu-usur and Bēl-tarsi-iluma, and is therefore probable for any intervening governor. Admittedly, Sadikanni seems more logically to fall under the governor of Rasappa at this date, but provincial boundaries in the ninth century are very illogical in any case. The identity must therefore be treated as ${ }^{\text {a }}{ }_{24}$ Thassibility, though no more.

    號 1.4 of the ${ }^{25}$ Although not too long after, in view of the reference to Sammuramat.

[^2]:    ${ }^{37}$ For the rab bēti cf. in particular $A B L$ 242, 34; 282 r. 19; ADD 127 (ša Gargamiš); ABL 746
    ([ža] Lahiri) : NL 28 (Iraq 18 (1956) 45 ); NL 75 (Iraq 27 (1965), 23) ; NL 89 (Iraq 28 (1966), PI. LVI, 11. 26-9) ; and NL 92 (Iraq 28 (1966), 189). These
    examples make it clear that he was a provincial official, who functioned as the governor's deputy in military affairs. His original association with the household seems to have become secondary, at least

[^3]:    ${ }^{41}$ See $C A D$ e 350 a for references to other such nA exts.
    very likely the same man as the governor of Tušhan under Sargon and Sennacherib.

